

Task Assignment

[Federal Register: October 20, 1998 (Volume 63, Number 202)]
[Notices]
[Page 56059-56060]
From the Federal Register Online via GPO Access [wais.access.gpo.gov]
[DOCID:fr20oc98-133]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Transport Airplane and
Engine Issues--New Tasks

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (**FAA**), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of new task assignments for the Aviation Rulemaking
Advisory Committee (ARAC).

SUMMARY: Notice is given of new tasks assigned to and accepted by the
Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC). This notice informs the
public of the activities of ARAC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stewart R. Miller, Transport Standards Staff (ANM-110), Federal
Aviation Administration, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, WA 98055-4056;
phone (425) 227-1255; fax (425) 227-1320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The **FAA** has established an Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee
to provide advice and recommendations to the **FAA** Administrator, through
the Associate Administrator for Regulation and Certification, on the
full range of the **FAA's** rulemaking activities with respect to aviation-
related issues. This includes obtaining advice and recommendations on
the **FAA's** commitment to harmonize its Federal Aviation Regulations
(FAR) and practices with its trading partners in Europe and Canada.

One area ARAC deals with is Transport Airplane and Engine Issues.
These issues involve the airworthiness standards for transport category
airplanes and engines in 14 CFR parts 25, 33, and 35 and parallel
provisions in 14 CFR parts 121 and 135.

The Tasks

This notice is to inform the public that the **FAA** has asked ARAC to
provide advice and recommendation on the following harmonization tasks:

Task 11: Safety and Failure Analysis

1. JAR-E requires a summary listing of all failures which result in
major or hazardous effects and an estimate of the probability of

occurrence of these major and hazardous effects. Part 33 requires an assessment of failures which lead to four specified hazards.

2. JAR requires a list of assumptions and the substantiation of those assumptions. Most of the JAR-E assumptions are covered by other Part 33 paragraphs.

3. JAR-E includes a unique hazard, ``toxic bleed air''.

4. While both regulations require analysis to examine malfunctions and single and multiple failures. Part 33 also requires an examination of improper operation.

The **FAA** expects ARAC to submit its recommendation(s) resulting from this task by January 31, 2000.

[[Page 56060]]

Task 12: Endurance Test Requirements Study

Review and evaluate the feasibility and adequacy of harmonizing: (1) FAR 33.87 and JAR-E 740 endurance test requirements, including thrust reverser operation during endurance testing, in consideration of changes in engine technology; and (2) FAR 33.88 and JAR-E 700 overtemperature/excess operating conditions. The Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) is specifically tasked to study these issues and document findings in the form of a report.

The **FAA** expects ARAC to submit the report by December 31, 1999.

The report must include industry-provided data for an **FAA** economic analysis. This data should include the effects on small operators and small businesses. The report also should include industry-provided data regarding the record-keeping burden on the public.

Task 13: Fatigue Pressure Test/Analysis

JAR-E 640(b)(2) requires fatigue pressure testing of major engine casings. The FAR's do not have a specific requirement for fatigue pressure tests of major engine casings.

The **FAA** expects ARAC to submit its recommendation(s) resulting from this task by January 31, 1999.

Task 14: Overtorque

JAR-E 820 requires testing at maximum over-torque in combination with maximum turbine-entry and the most critical oil-inlet temperatures for the power turbine to validate transient overtorque values. The **FAA** does not have a specific requirement. Note: The 33.87 endurance test includes requirements that can be used to satisfy JAR-E requirements.

The **FAA** expects ARAC to submit its recommendation(s) resulting from this task by January 31, 1999.

Task 15: Compressor/Fan and Turbine Shafts

1. JAR-E 850 establishes probability limits for shaft failures based on the consequences of the failure. If the consequences of a shaft failure are not readily predictable, a test is required to determine the consequences. FAR 33.27(c)(2)(vi) requires all shaft failures, regardless of failure probability, to be considered when determining rotor integrity requirements.

2. ACJ E 850 provides guidance to determine the likelihood of a failure at a given location on a shaft and also provides guidance for

conducting tests to determine the dynamic characteristics and fatigue capability of the shaft. The FAR's do not provide any guidance material.

The **FAA** expects ARAC to submit its recommendation(s) resulting from this task by January 31, 2000.

Task 16: Electrical and Electronic Engine Control Systems

1. Advisory material exists for JAR-E (AMJ 20X-1). Advisory material does not exist for Part 33, which has caused difficulty during certification programs.

2. AMJ 20X-1 clearly defines the engine/airframe substantiation responsibilities, while FAR material does not define these requirements.

3. JAR-E states that an electronic control system ``should provide for the aircraft at least the equivalent safety, and the related reliability level, as achieved by Engines/Propellers equipped with hydromechanical control and protection systems.'' Part 33 does not state a desired reliability level. Part 33 states that failures must not result in unsafe conditions.

The **FAA** expects ARAC to submit its recommendation(s) resulting from this task by January 31, 2000.

For the above tasks the working group is to review airworthiness, safety, cost, and other relevant factors related to the specified difference, and reach consensus on harmonization of current Part 33/ JAR-E regulations and guidance material.

The **FAA** requests that ARAC draft appropriate regulatory documents with supporting economic and other required analyses, and any other related guidance material or collateral documents to support its recommendations. If the resulting recommendation(s) are one or more notices of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published by the **FAA**, the **FAA** may ask ARAC to recommend disposition of any substantive comments the **FAA** receives.

Working Group Activity

The Engine Harmonization Working Group is expected to comply with the procedures adopted by ARAC. As part of the procedures, the working group is expected to:

1. Recommend a work plan for completion of the tasks, including the rationale supporting such a plan, for consideration at the meeting of ARAC to consider transport airplane and engine issues held following publication of this notice.

2. Give a detailed conceptual presentation of the proposed recommendations, prior to proceeding with the work stated in item 3 below.

3. Draft appropriate regulatory documents with supporting economic and other required analyses, and/or any other related guidance material or collateral documents the working group determines to be appropriate; or, if new or revised requirements or compliance methods are not recommended, a draft report stating the rationale for not making such recommendations. If the resulting recommendation is one or more notices of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) published by the **FAA**, the **FAA** may ask ARAC to recommend disposition of any substantive comments the **FAA** receives.

4. Provide a status report at each meeting of ARAC held to consider transport airplane and engine issues.

The Secretary of Transportation has determined that the formation and use of ARAC are necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the **FAA** by law.

Meetings of ARAC will be open to the public. Meetings of the Engine Harmonization Working Group will not be open to the public, except to the extent that individuals with an interest and expertise are selected to participate. No public announcement of working group meetings will be made.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 13, 1998.

Joseph A. Hawkins,
Executive Director, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 98-28038 Filed 10-19-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Analysis completed; no recommendation resulted.

FAA Action – Not Available