



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye  
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Rockefeller, Obey, and Oberstar; Senators Cochran and Hutchison; and Congressmen Lewis and Mica.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "J. R. Babbitt". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "R".

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable Thad Cochran  
Committee on Appropriations  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Cochran:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Rockefeller, Obey, and Oberstar; Senator Hutchison; and Congressmen Lewis and Mica.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "J. R. Babbitt". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending from the end.

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable John D. Rockefeller, IV  
Chairman, Committee on Commerce, Science,  
and Transportation  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Obey, and Oberstar; Senators Cochran and Hutchison; and Congressmen Lewis and Mica.

Sincerely,

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable Kay Bailey Hutchison  
Committee on Commerce, Science,  
and Transportation  
United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Hutchison:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Rockefeller, Obey, and Oberstar; Senator Cochran; and Congressmen Lewis and Mica.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. R. Babbitt". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large initial "J" and "R".

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable David R. Obey  
Chairman, Committee on Appropriations  
House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Rockefeller, and Oberstar; Senators Cochran and Hutchison; and Congressmen Lewis and Mica.

Sincerely,

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable Jerry Lewis  
Committee on Appropriations  
House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Lewis:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Rockefeller, Obey, and Oberstar; Senators Cochran and Hutchison; and Congressman Mica.

Sincerely,

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable James L. Oberstar  
Chairman, Committee on Transportation  
and Infrastructure  
House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Rockefeller, and Obey; Senators Cochran and Hutchison; and Congressmen Lewis and Mica.

Sincerely,

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure



U.S. Department  
of Transportation

**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

Office of the Administrator

800 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20591

**MAY 20 2010**

The Honorable John L. Mica  
Committee on Transportation  
and Infrastructure  
House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Congressman Mica:

The Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 111-117) expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. In addition, the Act directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide facility-by-facility targets for each air traffic control facility against which actual experience levels could be measured. The attached report provides these facility-by-facility targets for FY 2010.

We have sent identical letters to Chairmen Inouye, Rockefeller, Obey, and Oberstar; Senators Cochran and Hutchison; and Congressman Lewis.

Sincerely,

J. Randolph Babbitt  
Administrator

Enclosure

**Facility By Facility Trainee Percentages**

***Report to the House and Senate  
Committees on Appropriations***



**March 31, 2010**

In the Fiscal Year (FY) 2010 Omnibus Appropriations Act, P.L.111-117, the House and Senate Appropriations Conference Committee expressed the importance of maintaining adequate experience levels at each air traffic control facility. Specifically, the Committee directed the Federal Aviation Administration to provide a report with facility-by-facility targets against which actual experience levels could be measured.

The attached report presents the forecasted FY 2010 facility trainee percentage targets. These targets, calculated as projected trainees (Certified Professional Controllers – In Training [CPC-IT] and Developmentals) divided by projected total controllers, reflect our estimates of the trainee percentage for each facility in FY 2010. The actual end of FY 2009 controller trainee percentage, by facility, for en route and terminal air traffic control facilities is also shown.

While the national trainee average is around 27 percent, it is expected to decline in subsequent years. This percentage may be higher at some individual facilities, however, the FAA reviews this information along with other indicators such as overtime, time on position, and leave usage so we can manage training and daily operations at each facility.

Facilities may have higher trainee percentages for FY 2010 when measured against the forecast due to higher attrition, higher numbers of trainees, or longer training times. At some of the larger terminal facilities, the trainee percentages are high due to recent efforts to bring in additional CPC-ITs. Others may be higher due to the staffing build-up required for their three year training cycle. At smaller facilities, the addition of a few CPC-ITs or Developmentals may cause high trainee ratios due to the smaller population. Because these are forecasts, actual numbers may differ due to changes in attrition, checkout rates, and local hiring and placement decisions.

Over the past 5 years, FAA has hired more than 7,000 new air traffic controllers. FAA continues to hire in advance of need to allow sufficient training time for our new hires that will replace the long expected wave of retiring controllers. The Department of Transportation's Inspector General stated in a recent congressional hearing that the FAA has "done what I can only say is a remarkable job in hiring replacements for controllers that have decided to leave."

Advance hiring and effective training are key factors in completing a smooth transition from trainee to CPC as we build the controller workforce of the future.

## 2010 Facility Trainee Percentages

Trainee Percentages are calculated as Trainees (CPC-ITs and Developmentals) divided by Total Controllers.

Note: Facilities may have higher trainee percentages due to higher attrition, higher numbers of trainees, or longer training times. Facilities may have lower trainee percentages due to lower attrition, fewer trainees, or faster certification of trainees.

### En Route Facility Trainee Percentages

ID	Facility Name	Actual on Board as of 09/26/09			Total	Actual	Forecast
		CPC	CPCIT	Developmental		End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %
ZAB	ALBUQUERQUE ARTCC	183	5	92	280	35%	29%
ZAN	ANCHORAGE	88	0	32	120	27%	26%
ZAU	CHICAGO ARTCC	358	5	70	433	17%	18%
ZBW	BOSTON ARTCC	221	0	75	296	25%	20%
ZDC	WASHINGTON ARTCC	270	2	72	344	22%	19%
ZDV	DENVER ARTCC	241	0	96	337	28%	27%
ZFW	FORT WORTH ARTCC	266	2	64	332	20%	18%
ZHU	HOUSTON ARTCC	243	4	59	306	21%	22%
ZID	ZID INDIANAPOLIS ARTCC	306	1	92	399	23%	17%
ZJX	JACKSONVILLE ARTCC	265	0	66	331	20%	20%
ZKC	KANSAS CITY ARTCC	241	1	76	318	24%	21%
ZLA	LOS ANGELES ARTCC	228	2	58	288	21%	20%
ZLC	SALT LAKE ARTCC	153	0	70	223	31%	26%
ZMA	MIAMI ARTCC	212	1	81	294	28%	27%
ZME	MEMPHIS ARTCC	249	2	59	310	20%	20%
ZMP	MINNEAPOLIS ARTCC	274	2	48	324	15%	15%
ZNY	NEW YORK ARTCC	243	0	89	332	27%	33%
ZOA	OAKLAND ARTCC	186	7	43	236	21%	24%
ZOB	CLEVELAND ARTCC	350	3	64	417	16%	15%
ZSE	SEATTLE ARTCC	152	1	56	209	27%	23%
ZSU	SAN JUAN	38	1	11	50	24%	28%
ZTL	ATLANTA ARTCC	289	36	131	456	37%	27%
ZUA	GUAM	12	2	8	22	45%	40%

## Terminal Facility Trainee Percentages

Actual on Board as of 09/26/09					Actual	Forecast	
ID	Facility Name	CPC	CPCIT	Developmental	End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %	
				Total			
A11	ANCHORAGE TRACON	20	0	13	33	39%	45%
A80	ATLANTA TRACON	63	5	25	93	32%	46%
A90	BOSTON TRACON	56	6	6	68	18%	13%
ABE	ALLENTOWN TOWER	19	4	6	29	34%	21%
ABI	ABILENE TOWER	15	1	7	23	35%	47%
ABQ	ALBUQUERQUE TOWER	29	2	9	40	28%	33%
ACK	NANTUCKET TOWER	9	0	2	11	18%	16%
ACT	WACO TOWER	12	1	4	17	29%	36%
ACY	ATLANTIC CITY TOWER	23	1	6	30	23%	27%
ADS	ADDISON TOWER	12	1	3	16	25%	4%
ADW	ANDREWS TOWER	11	0	5	16	31%	18%
AFW	ALLIANCE TOWER	13	2	2	17	24%	8%
AGC	ALLEGHENY TOWER	8	0	7	15	47%	5%
AGS	AUGUSTA TOWER	13	0	8	21	38%	37%
ALB	ALBANY TOWER	20	1	5	26	23%	32%
ALO	WATERLOO TOWER	7	0	11	18	61%	30%
AMA	AMARILLO TOWER	16	0	5	21	24%	42%
ANC	ANCHORAGE TOWER	20	1	6	27	26%	16%
APA	CENTENNIAL TOWER	17	2	1	20	15%	12%
APC	NAPA TOWER	8	0	3	11	27%	4%
ARB	ANN ARBOR TOWER	9	0	1	10	10%	4%
ARR	AURORA TOWER	8	1	4	13	38%	4%
ASE	ASPEN TOWER	9	1	5	15	40%	44%
ATL	ATLANTA TOWER	37	9	6	52	29%	28%
AUS	AUSTIN TOWER	31	0	12	43	28%	32%
AVL	ASHEVILLE TOWER	15	1	6	22	32%	35%
AVP	WILKES-BARRE TOWER	15	0	10	25	40%	41%
AZO	KALAMAZOO TOWER	16	0	9	25	36%	36%
BDL	BRADLEY TOWER	14	0	3	17	18%	9%
BED	HANSCOM TOWER	15	0	2	17	12%	4%
BFI	BOEING TOWER	17	0	6	23	26%	13%
BFL	BAKERSFIELD TOWER	14	0	3	17	18%	42%
BGM	BINGHAMTON TOWER	9	1	4	14	36%	25%
BGR	BANGOR TOWER	19	0	3	22	14%	26%
BHM	BIRMINGHAM TOWER	25	1	5	31	19%	24%
BIL	BILLINGS TOWER	19	0	4	23	17%	14%
BIS	BISMARCK TOWER	12	0	2	14	14%	15%
BJC	BROOMFIELD TOWER	12	0	4	16	25%	8%
BNA	NASHVILLE TOWER	31	4	15	50	38%	35%
BOI	BOISE TOWER	18	2	6	26	31%	24%
BOS	BOSTON TOWER	34	0	6	40	15%	17%
BPT	BEAUMONT TOWER	14	0	2	16	13%	8%
BTR	BATON ROUGE TOWER	9	2	12	23	61%	25%
BTV	BURLINGTON TOWER	14	2	7	23	39%	32%
BUF	BUFFALO TOWER	22	2	4	28	21%	37%
BUR	BURBANK TOWER	18	2	2	22	18%	4%
BWI	BALTIMORE TOWER	25	0	2	27	7%	19%
C90	CHICAGO TRACON	69	11	25	105	34%	34%
CAE	COLUMBIA TOWER	17	3	9	29	41%	32%
CAK	AKRON-CANTON TOWER	13	3	11	27	52%	42%
CCR	CONCORD TOWER	9	0	2	11	18%	5%
CDW	CALDWELL TOWER	9	2	2	13	31%	9%
CHA	CHATANOOGA TOWER	16	2	4	22	27%	26%
CHS	CHARLESTON TOWER	22	1	8	31	29%	24%
CID	CEDAR RAPIDS TOWER	14	0	7	21	33%	26%
CKB	CLARKSBURG TOWER	11	0	3	14	21%	42%

Actual on Board as of 09/26/09					Actual	Forecast	
ID	Facility Name	CPC	CPCIT	Developmental	End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %	
				Total			
CLE	CLEVELAND TOWER	36	5	19	60	40%	36%
CLT	CHARLOTTE TOWER	51	12	29	92	45%	38%
CMA	CAMARILLO TOWER	9	2	4	15	40%	4%
CMH	COLUMBUS TOWER	38	1	14	53	28%	32%
CMI	CHAMPAIGN TOWER	15	0	7	22	32%	22%
CNO	CHINO TOWER	10	0	2	12	17%	5%
COS	COLORADO SPRINGS TOWER	26	0	9	35	26%	27%
CPR	CASPER TOWER	8	0	4	12	33%	35%
CPS	DOWNTOWN TOWER	14	0	1	15	7%	4%
CRP	CORPUS CHRISTI TOWER	28	0	12	40	30%	48%
CRQ	PALOMAR TOWER	9	0	3	12	25%	18%
CRW	CHARLESTON TOWER	13	1	6	20	35%	44%
CSG	COLUMBUS TOWER	3	2	2	7	57%	13%
CVG	CINCINNATI TOWER	48	0	20	68	29%	41%
D01	DENVER TRACON	31	12	22	65	52%	44%
D10	DALLAS - FT WORTH TRACON	57	11	38	106	46%	45%
D21	DETROIT TRACON	37	5	11	53	30%	31%
DAB	DAYTONA BEACH TOWER	38	0	26	64	41%	49%
DAL	DALLAS LOVE TOWER	19	3	2	24	21%	18%
DAY	DAYTON TOWER	28	0	11	39	28%	30%
DCA	WASHINGTON NATIONAL TOWER	24	1	4	29	17%	25%
DEN	DENVER TOWER	31	6	5	42	26%	26%
DFW	DFW TOWER	52	6	9	67	22%	16%
DLH	DULUTH TOWER	16	1	5	22	27%	14%
DPA	DUPAGE TOWER	11	0	4	15	27%	13%
DSM	DES MOINES TOWER	17	2	9	28	39%	37%
DTW	DETROIT TOWER	28	2	8	38	26%	30%
DVT	DEER VALLEY TOWER	13	1	5	19	32%	12%
DWH	HOOKS TOWER	15	2	2	19	21%	5%
E10	HIGH DESERT TRACON	11	5	9	25	56%	37%
ELM	ELMIRA TOWER	12	0	1	13	8%	23%
ELP	EL PASO TOWER	15	1	5	21	29%	42%
EMT	EL MONTE TOWER	14	1	1	16	13%	3%
ERI	ERIE TOWER	12	1	9	22	45%	32%
EUG	EUGENE TOWER	18	0	6	24	25%	36%
EVV	EVANSVILLE TOWER	16	0	6	22	27%	31%
EWR	NEWARK TOWER	26	3	5	34	24%	24%
F11	CENTRAL FLORIDA TRACON	34	17	7	58	41%	25%
FAI	FAIRBANKS TOWER	11	1	5	17	35%	52%
FAR	FARGO TOWER	18	1	3	22	18%	14%
FAT	FRESNO TOWER	14	2	13	29	52%	55%
FAY	FAYETTEVILLE TOWER	18	2	9	29	38%	34%
FCM	FLYING CLOUD TOWER	11	1	4	16	31%	4%
FFZ	FALCON TOWER	15	1	2	18	17%	9%
FLL	FORT LAUDERDALE TOWER	23	0	6	29	21%	25%
FLO	FLORENCE TOWER	9	1	8	18	50%	45%
FNT	FLINT TOWER	20	0	4	24	17%	18%
FPR	ST LUCIE TOWER	13	0	1	14	7%	9%
FRG	FARMINGDALE TOWER	11	0	3	14	21%	11%
FSD	SIOUX FALLS TOWER	14	0	5	19	26%	27%
FSM	FORT SMITH TOWER	25	0	5	30	17%	26%
FTW	MEACHAM TOWER	11	2	3	16	31%	15%
FWA	FORT WAYNE TOWER	21	2	2	25	16%	21%
FXE	FORT LAUDERDALE EXECUTIVE TOWER	15	0	2	17	12%	9%
GCN	GRAND CANYON TOWER	5	0	1	6	17%	26%
GEG	SPOKANE TOWER	24	1	6	31	23%	29%

Actual on Board as of 09/26/09					Actual	Forecast
ID	Facility Name	CPC	CPCIT	Developmental	End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %
GFK	GRAND FORKS TOWER	17	0	2	19	11%
GGG	LONGVIEW TOWER	15	1	2	18	17%
GPT	GULFPORT TOWER	12	1	3	16	25%
GRB	GREEN BAY TOWER	24	1	3	28	14%
GRR	GRAND RAPIDS TOWER	20	1	8	29	31%
GSO	GREENSBORO TOWER	29	1	8	38	24%
GSP	GREER TOWER	15	2	6	23	35%
GTF	GREAT FALLS TOWER	10	0	9	19	47%
HCF	HONOLULU CONTROL FACILITY	65	2	26	93	30%
HEF	MANASSAS TOWER	13	0	1	14	7%
HIO	HILLSBORO TOWER	11	0	3	14	21%
HLN	HELENA TOWER	6	2	3	11	45%
HOU	HOBBY TOWER	20	2	1	23	13%
HPN	WESTCHESTER TOWER	13	1	4	18	28%
HSV	HUNTSVILLE TOWER	16	1	1	18	11%
HTS	HUNTINGTON TOWER	14	0	4	18	22%
HUF	TERRE HAUTE TOWER	11	2	6	19	42%
HWD	HAYWARD TOWER	8	1	3	12	33%
I90	HOUSTON TRACON	57	16	13	86	34%
IAD	DULLES TOWER	35	1	4	40	13%
IAH	HOUSTON INTERCONTINENTAL ATC TOWER	39	4	5	48	19%
ICT	WICHITA TOWER	31	1	10	42	26%
ILG	WILMINGTON TOWER	11	0	5	16	31%
ILM	WILMINGTON TOWER	12	3	7	22	45%
IND	INDIANAPOLIS TOWER	33	9	15	57	42%
ISP	ISLIP TOWER	14	2	1	17	18%
ITO	HILO TOWER	9	0	3	12	25%
JAN	JACKSON TOWER	13	1	3	17	24%
JAX	JACKSONVILLE TOWER	29	2	17	48	40%
JFK	KENNEDY TOWER	22	8	10	40	45%
JNU	JUNEAU TOWER	9	0	1	10	10%
K90	CAPE TRACON	20	0	2	22	9%
L30	LAS VEGAS TRACON	28	12	11	51	45%
LAF	LAFAYETTE TOWER	7	0	5	12	42%
LAN	LANSING TOWER	17	2	9	28	39%
LAS	LAS VEGAS TOWER	32	2	14	48	33%
LAX	LOS ANGELES TOWER	36	7	12	55	35%
LBB	LUBBOCK TOWER	13	0	7	20	35%
LCH	LAKE CHARLES TOWER	8	2	7	17	53%
LEX	LEXINGTON TOWER	17	0	8	25	32%
LFT	LAFAYETTE TOWER	13	1	9	23	43%
LGA	LA GUARDIA TOWER	22	6	7	35	37%
LGB	LONG BEACH TOWER	15	2	7	24	38%
LIT	LITTLE ROCK TOWER	26	1	8	35	26%
LNK	LINCOLN TOWER	12	0	4	16	25%
LOU	BOWMAN TOWER	10	0	2	12	17%
LVK	LIVERMORE TOWER	9	0	3	12	25%
M03	MEMPHIS TRACON	29	0	2	31	6%
M98	MINNEAPOLIS TRACON	47	7	2	56	16%
MAF	MIDLAND TOWER	14	1	10	25	44%
MBS	SAGINAW TOWER	12	0	9	21	43%
MCI	KANSAS CITY TOWER	27	2	16	45	40%
MCO	ORLANDO TOWER	31	1	2	34	9%
MDT	HARRISBURG INTL TOWER	17	3	7	27	37%
MDW	MIDWAY TOWER	25	0	7	32	22%
MEM	MEMPHIS TOWER	31	0	3	34	9%

Actual on Board as of 09/26/09					Actual	Forecast
ID	Facility Name	CPC	CPCIT	Developmental	End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %
				Total		
MFD	MANSFIELD TOWER	13	0	2	15	13%
MGM	MONTGOMERY TOWER	17	0	4	21	19%
MHT	MANCHESTER TOWER	11	1	1	13	15%
MIA	MIAMI TOWER	55	11	32	98	44%
MIC	CRYSTAL TOWER	14	0	3	17	18%
MKC	DOWNTOWN TOWER	13	0	3	16	19%
MKE	MILWAUKEE TOWER	35	3	19	57	39%
MKG	MUSKOGON TOWER	17	2	5	24	29%
MLI	QUAD CITY TOWER	12	0	6	18	33%
MLU	MONROE TOWER	7	1	8	16	56%
MMU	MORRISTOWN TOWER	12	1	0	13	8%
MOB	MOBILE TOWER	21	3	2	26	19%
MRI	MERRILL TOWER	12	0	2	14	14%
MRY	MONTEREY TOWER	7	0	2	9	22%
MSN	MADISON TOWER	19	1	8	28	32%
MSP	MINNEAPOLIS TOWER	38	3	0	41	7%
MSY	MOUSANTT TOWER	29	0	12	41	29%
MWH	GRANT COUNTY TOWER	7	1	5	13	46%
MYF	MONTGOMERY TOWER	9	1	3	13	31%
MYR	MYRTLE BEACH TOWER	14	1	9	24	42%
N90	NEW YORK TRACON	160	9	49	218	27%
NCT	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA TRACON	128	6	57	191	33%
NEW	LAKEFRONT TOWER	5	0	9	14	64%
NMM	MERIDIAN TRACON	9	0	5	14	36%
OAK	OAKLAND TOWER	24	2	4	30	20%
OGG	MAUI TOWER	13	0	4	17	24%
OKC	OKLAHOMA CITY TOWER	29	2	8	39	26%
OMA	EPPLEY TOWER	12	1	4	17	29%
ONT	ONTARIO TOWER	12	0	6	18	33%
ORD	CHICAGO O'HARE TOWER	48	19	11	78	38%
ORF	NORFOLK TOWER	29	3	14	46	37%
ORL	ORLANDO EXECUTIVE, FL ATCT (ORL)	13	1	1	15	13%
P31	PENSACOLA TRACON	25	1	6	32	22%
P50	PHOENIX TRACON	42	12	8	62	32%
P80	PORTLAND TRACON	18	4	12	34	47%
PAE	PAINE TOWER	10	0	4	14	29%
PAO	PALO ALTO TOWER	8	0	3	11	27%
PBI	PALM BEACH TOWER	27	2	12	41	34%
PCT	POTOMAC TRACON	137	3	42	182	25%
PDK	DEKALB - PEACHTREE TOWER	18	0	1	19	5%
PDX	PORTLAND TOWER	22	1	3	26	15%
PHF	PATRICK HENRY TOWER	13	0	5	18	28%
PHL	PHILADELPHIA TOWER	66	8	25	99	33%
PHX	PHOENIX TOWER	28	1	9	38	26%
PIA	PEORIA TOWER	15	0	6	21	29%
PIE	ST PETERSBURG TOWER	14	0	5	19	26%
PIT	FAA PITTSBURGH ATC TOWER	52	0	3	55	5%
PNE	NORTHEAST PHILADELPHIA TOWER	11	0	5	16	31%
PNS	PENSACOLA TOWER	12	1	1	14	14%
POC	BRACKETT TOWER	10	1	3	14	29%
POU	POUGHKEEPSIE TOWER	9	0	1	10	10%
PRC	PRESCOTT TOWER	12	0	6	18	33%
PSC	PASCO TOWER	15	0	5	20	25%
PSP	PALM SPRINGS TOWER	12	1	0	13	8%
PTK	PONTIAC TOWER	12	0	10	22	45%
PUB	PUEBLO TOWER	11	0	3	14	21%

Actual on Board as of 09/26/09					Actual	Forecast
ID	Facility Name	CPC	CPCIT	Developmental	End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %
				Total		
PVD	PROVIDENCE TOWER	22	2	9	33	31%
PWK	PALWAUKEE TOWER	12	1	4	17	11%
PWM	PORTLAND TOWER	14	0	8	22	39%
R90	OMAHA TRACON	18	1	3	22	16%
RDG	READING TOWER	12	0	4	16	32%
RDU	RALEIGH-DURHAM TOWER	31	4	16	51	36%
RFD	ROCKFORD TOWER	18	1	8	27	34%
RHV	REID-HILLVIEW TOWER	8	0	2	10	11%
RIC	RICHMOND TOWER	14	0	6	20	4%
RNO	RENO TOWER	9	4	10	23	44%
ROA	ROANOKE TOWER	15	0	12	27	44%
ROC	ROCHESTER TOWER	24	0	4	28	21%
ROW	ROSWELL TOWER	10	0	5	15	29%
RST	ROCHESTER TOWER	12	1	3	16	14%
RSW	FORT MYERS TOWER	21	1	9	31	30%
RVS	RIVERSIDE TOWER	15	0	3	18	15%
S46	SEATTLE TRACON	31	5	23	59	47%
S56	SALT LAKE CITY TRACON	32	1	19	52	41%
SAN	SAN DIEGO TOWER	19	1	2	22	7%
SAT	SAN ANTONIO TOWER	31	6	17	54	47%
SAV	SAVANNAH TOWER	18	5	6	29	24%
SBA	SANTA BARBARA TOWER	17	0	14	31	46%
SBN	SOUTH BEND TOWER	17	3	8	28	26%
SCK	STOCKTON TOWER	6	0	5	11	4%
SCT	SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA TRACON	170	30	56	256	39%
SDF	STANDIFORD TOWER	33	2	10	45	31%
SDL	SCOTTSDALE TOWER	12	1	3	16	4%
SEA	SEATTLE TOWER	23	2	7	32	25%
SEE	GILLESPIE TOWER	11	0	4	15	13%
SFB	SANFORD TOWER	21	0	1	22	7%
SFO	SAN FRANCISCO TOWER	24	4	4	32	19%
SGF	SPRINGFIELD TOWER	29	0	7	36	24%
SHV	SHREVEPORT TOWER	14	0	11	25	55%
SJC	SAN JOSE TOWER	17	2	0	19	4%
SJU	SAN JUAN TOWER	10	5	3	18	6%
SLC	SALT LAKE CITY TOWER	28	2	2	32	12%
SMF	SACRAMENTO TOWER	15	0	0	15	5%
SMO	SANTA MONICA TOWER	12	1	2	15	4%
SNA	JOHN WAYNE TOWER	19	4	6	29	23%
SPI	SPRINGFIELD TOWER	10	0	4	14	30%
SRQ	SARASOTA TOWER	14	0	2	16	4%
STL	ST LOUIS TOWER	23	0	7	30	12%
STP	ST PAUL TOWER	14	0	2	16	4%
STS	SONOMA TOWER	8	1	0	9	18%
STT	ST THOMAS TOWER	9	0	0	9	14%
SUS	SPIRIT TOWER	14	0	1	15	4%
SUX	SIOUX GATEWAY TOWER	8	0	6	14	39%
SYR	SYRACUSE TOWER	13	1	11	25	41%
T52*	ROME TRACON	9	0	0	9	0%
T75	ST LOUIS TRACON	47	0	3	50	4%
TEB	TETERBORO TOWER	12	2	6	20	16%
TLH	TALLAHASSEE TOWER	17	0	11	28	29%
TMB	TAMIAMI TOWER	13	0	3	16	6%
TOA	TORRANCE TOWER	10	0	3	13	9%
TOL	TOLEDO TOWER	21	1	4	26	17%
TPA	TAMPA TOWER	44	3	28	75	47%

		Actual on Board as of 09/26/09				Actual	Forecast
ID	Facility Name	CPC	CPCIT	Developmental	Total	End of FY09 Trainee %	FY10 Trainee %
TRI	TRI-CITIES TOWER	14	0	6	20	30%	27%
TUL	TULSA TOWER	24	2	8	34	29%	39%
TUS	TUCSON TOWER	12	2	2	16	25%	20%
TVC	TRAVERSE CITY TOWER	9	1	1	11	18%	10%
TWF	TWIN FALLS TOWER	5	0	4	9	44%	38%
TYS	KNOXVILLE TOWER	19	4	9	32	41%	30%
U90	TUCSON TRACON	14	4	10	28	50%	38%
VGT	NORTH LAS VEGAS TOWER	11	2	6	19	42%	10%
VNY	VAN NUYS TOWER	17	0	4	21	19%	19%
VRB	VERO BEACH TOWER	9	0	3	12	25%	10%
Y90	YANKEE TRACON	22	0	8	30	27%	36%
YIP	WILLOW RUN TOWER	8	1	7	16	50%	5%
YNG	YOUNGSTOWN	17	1	5	23	26%	18%

\* T52 relocated to SYR in Feb of 2010