

## New ATM Requirement: Certification Framework for AI Technology

Spring REDAC: March 19, 2024

## Outline

- Background
- Framework Overview



# **US Government Leadership on Al**

### Oct 2022

White House Blueprint for AI Bill of Rights

- Defines five principles of AI
- Provides technical practices to apply the principles

### Mar 2023

NIST Risk AI Management Framework

- Provides voluntary guidance for agencies
- Addresses managing AI risks and increasing AI trustworthiness
- Includes a technical risk management playbook

2022

### 2023

Jan 2023

National AI R&D Strategic Plan

- Provides roadmap to establish a national AI research cyberinfrastructure
- Goal is to strength, accelerate, and democratize US AI innovation in a safe and secure way

#### Oct 2023

Executive Order on "Safe, Secure, and

Trustworthy Development and Use of AI"

• Outlines comprehensive approach to the development and use of AI in the US government

2024

- Emphasizes the need for responsible AI and prioritizing safety, security, and trustworthiness
- Gives numerous actions for agencies



# Al and ML Research within NextGen

- The FAA's NAS 2040 envisions a more agile and dynamic environment capable of seamlessly adapting to the changing demands of the NAS
- To support this vision, the FAA is exploring the use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) technologies to help enhance decision support function, processes, and other capabilities in support of Air Traffic Management (ATM) Operations
- AI/ML models can identify trends/patterns in existing data, predicting airspace operational behaviors and analyzing complex airspace situations



## **Techniques Investigated by AI/ML Areas**







- Random Forest
- Reinforcement
   Learning
- Deep Q-Learning
- Conservative Q-
- Learning
- Long Short-Term
- Memory Networks





# **Concerns Around the Use of AI/ML**

- AI/ML isn't always a suitable solution. **AI/ML suitability requires** 
  - Learnable patterns
  - Data availability
  - Problems with a repetitive and predictive nature
  - Problems where wrong predictions are cheap (i.e., not catastrophic)
  - Patterns shared between training data and live data
- Even when AI/ML is suitable, to develop and deploy these systems responsibility within the human-based safety-first environment of FAA, teams need to consider
  - Reliability and robustness
  - Explainability and transparency
  - Data quality and bias
  - Trust, overreliance, and automation bias
  - Continuous monitoring and maintenance



# Safe and Secure Use of Al

• To ensure the responsible implementation of AI/ML into the NAS, ongoing efforts in this area include:



### **AI Certification Framework**

 Developing systematic processes to certify AI technologies by considering the entire AI development life cycle



### NASA Aeronautical Research Institute (NARI) - FAA Collaboration

• Exploring AI problems, use cases, and prototypes for the NAS



### **AI Impacts on Traditional Software Certification**

- The traditional software assurance processes used by the FAA will require modification to appropriately validate and verify AI software:
  - New checkpoints or documentation
  - Amendments to existing requirements

Notional review process to assess software assurance for traditional software:





# **Regulatory Gaps for AI Certification**

- Current industry standards are more suited for traditional software development and do not provide sufficient consideration of AI
  - These standards must be amended to address unique technical aspects of AI
- FAA specifies processes for new, COTS, and previously certified software development
  - The certification process for new development of Al technologies should be considered within the current FAA (e.g., AMS) software lifecycle
  - Due to the learning aspects of some ML that inherently alter the established algorithm based on implementation context, the criteria to certify COTS and reused AI software may be different from traditional software

#### **Industry Certification Standards**

- RTCA DO-178C: Software Considerations in Airborne Systems and Equipment Certification
- RTCA DO-278A: Software Integrity Assurance Considerations for Communication, Navigation, Surveillance and Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) Systems
- A EUROCAE and SAE working group is currently assessing considerations for AI use, development, and certification in aviation

#### **FAA Certification Standards**

- Allows RTCA DO-178C for software assurance for aircraft systems and equipment
- Software assurance specified in ATO's Safety Risk Management Guidance for System Acquisitions is based on RTCA DO-278A

# **Technical Gaps for AI Certification**

• Unique attributes of AI technologies inhibit the use of traditional software assurance processes



### Use of Learning Techniques

- Training and testing datasets are unique to ML techniques
- Requirements and review for these datasets and the learning process are not covered by traditional software assurance



- Traditional traceability requirements may be difficult to fulfill for AI (e.g., lack of clear traceability between requirements and data or between algorithm components and outputs)
- In particular, it is difficult to establish traceability to low-level requirements for ML due to the use of learning techniques



### **Verifying Results**

- Comprehensive testing of all possible ranges of inputs and outputs for AI may be difficult
- Repeatable testing requirements may only be possible for locked A techniques
- Traditional code and model testing requirements may not be suitable for AI
- Current practices do not support the probabilistic nature of AI



## Al Certification Framework Assumptions

01	The framework focuses on the certification of new and emerging technologies under consideration by the FAA, and, in particular, on AI-based technologies.
02	The framework will consider the certification of any type of AI-related software and service within the FAA supporting aircraft, ATM, and uncrewed components.
03	The framework will consider the certification of software for all systems and service classifications and the "system of systems" aspect of AI implementation, including but not limited to human factors, system design/architecture, system integration, safety, and life-cycle management.
04	The framework will assume the certification of hardware components is addressed by existing certification requirements.
05	<ul> <li>The framework will consider the certification of software under the following pathways:</li> <li>a) New FAA-led development of AI software from start to finish,</li> <li>b) COTS AI software received in near-final form,</li> <li>c) Modified COTS AI software received and altered for use, and</li> <li>d) Previously certified AI software now used in another system or being updated in the same system.</li> </ul>
06	The framework will consider the integration of any new or modified certification processes within the context of current FAA software approval processes (e.g., Verification and Validation (V&V) and Test and Evaluation (T&E)) and safety assurance expectations.
07	The framework will maintain that all AI technologies must meet the certification requirements deemed applicable by the civil authority; the certification pathway and level of rigor will differ depending on intended use, criticality, risk, and other factors.
08	The framework will evaluate the requirements of data management, particularly for separation of training data from testing data and from data used for certification compliance test case demonstrations.





# **Framework Overview**

## **Need for a Flexible Framework**

• The certification process to support a range of projects:





# **Certification Methodology**

• There are three main components in the certification methodology.

	Phase	Purpose	Outcome
01	Preliminary Assessments	Evaluate the initial usability, risk, response, and safety aspects of the AI technology	<ul><li>Level of certification rigor</li><li>Certification pathway</li></ul>
02	Compliance	Define and compile all documentation necessary for approval	Compliance     documentation
03	Approvals	Coordinate with stakeholders to review and approve documentation	Certification decision





# **Preliminary Assessments Phase**

	Phase	Purpose	Outcome
01	Preliminary Assessments	Evaluate the initial usability, risk, response, and safety aspects of the AI technology	<ul><li>Level of certification rigor</li><li>Certification pathway</li></ul>



**AI Usability Assessment:** Evaluate the use of AI technology for this problem based on FAA policy, cost-benefit, and conceptual and technical suitability



**Risk/Response Assessment:** Evaluate the AI technology based on the AI risk factors, roles, and function that will affect level of certification rigor



**Safety Assessment:** Evaluate the safety of the system and corresponding assurance level



**Pathway Determination:** Certifying Authority approves the pathway and level of rigor required and tailored for certification of the AI technology





# **C** Al Usability Assessment

- Decision tree of Yes/No questions that cover the following areas:
  - FAA Policy
    - E.g., Does the proposed technology comply with FAA 1370.121A guidance?
  - Cost-Benefit
    - E.g., Does the proposed technology provide added value over the current approach?
  - Conceptual Suitability
    - E.g., Is the problem clearly defined?
  - Technical Suitability
    - E.g., Are proposed data sources consistent, sufficient, and accurate?



### Possible Outcomes

- Al solution is applicable
- AI-enabled solution not advisable under these conditions
- Potential applicability issues, subjective assessment needed



# Risk/Response Assessment



• Two components are used to estimate the level of rigor needed for the certification of the AI technology





### PA CO AP

# **Risk Assessment**

- Considers risk commonly associated with AI
- Each risk component contains one or more negotiable risk elements
  - A lower and higher risk description are defined for each element to establish a scoring range from 1 to 10
  - Average of the element scores is the component score

<b>Risk Section</b>	Risk Components
	Intent
	Use Cases
Scope	Roles
	Performance
	Benefits
	Data
	Bias
Inputs	Protected data
	Quality
	Assumptions
	Approach
	Prior instances
Model	Assumptions
	Feature selection
	Method of learning
	System accessibility
Architecture	External connectivity
	SWaP-C requirements
Oversight	Human involvement in decision-making
	Reporting requirements
Implementation	Documentation
Implementation	Intended deployment



## **Response Assessment**



### Identifies the AI function: what type of actions or activities is the technology aiding

Function	Use Case	Definition*	
Diamaina	Advisory	Al application provides informational output result in near real-time (service) and provides a user (analyst) outcomes to be evaluated for final decisions.	
Planning	Situational Awareness	Al application provides <u>informational output</u> result that is made available for a user (analyst) to be evaluated for final decisions.	
Decision	Strategic	Al application provides <u>notification output</u> of expected values for the detection of an event or condition, the information is supplied to a human for action.	
Making	Tactical	Al application provides <u>notification output</u> of expected values for imminent or probable future event or condition evaluated; the information is supplied to a human for action.	
<b>Perceptive Detection</b> Al application provides <u>alerts</u> in response to detecting a condition or event in real time.		Al application provides <u>alerts</u> in response to detecting a condition or event in real time.	
Management/	Human to System Interaction	Al application provides capability for the <u>human to interact with a system</u> and optimize a given function. The AI/ML model provide a recommended action to reach a "best" outcome.	
Control	Multi-Systems Interaction	Al application uses data from multiple systems to make enhanced predictions with <u>output from system-to-</u> system interaction to determine a "best" course of action to avoid or mitigate problems or adjust to reach a better state in terms of safety or efficiency.	



# Safety Assessment

- The safety component of the assessment will consider the functionality and use of the AI technology
- For framework v1.0, only low safety use cases are considered as defined by FAA's SRM process





PA

AP

# Pathway Determination



• The pathways may differ by **timing**, **criteria**, and **roles** but each pathway must comply with all objectives and criteria for approval at the required software assurance level

01	Timing	When does the certification process take place? E.g., continuous review during software development lifecycle or one aggregate review at the end of development
02	Criteria	What are the requirements for certification? E.g., previously certified software may be exempt from certain steps while COTS products may already have proof of compliance
03	Roles	Who is the certifying authority and what is their level of involvement? E.g., the certifying organization may be the FAA (different lines of businesses) or an approved 3rd party



# Pathway Determination





Al technology completed, approved, and placed under **continuous configuration control throughout the software lifecycle** 

Al technology independently developed, used **with modification** requiring some new development, and not previously certified

Al technology independently developed, self-contained and used **without modification**, and not previously certified

AI technology certified in a prior project and seeking approval in a **follow-on certification** project (e.g., re-training/upgrading in current system or new use in another system)

Al technology determined to be low risk and pose no safety hazards



# **Notional Certification Flowchart**

Legend			
Contains	Certification Pathways		
additional level of flowchart, checklists or other recommendations for AI technologies	Qualification Pathways		
	New documents for AI certification		

• The notional certification process shows where new AI-specific checkpoints or analyses may be needed for different types of technologies



\*Based on the current software assurance processes used by the FAA from RTCA DO-178C and 278A.

# **Compliance Phase**

	Phase	Purpose	Outcome
02	Compliance	Define and compile all documentation necessary for approval	Compliance     documentation



**Documentation Needed:** Based on the types of components in the technology, propose any missing, new, or prior documentation to be submitted as evidence of compliance



**Documentation Determination:** Certifying Authority approves the plan for documentation required and tailored for certification of the AI technology



**Document Compliance:** Provide evidence of compliance regarding model and validation requirements as well as testing and verification





PA

AP

# **Compliance Objectives**

- Each compliance category has a checklist of objectives
- Documentation is required to show evidence that objectives are satisfied
- Any objectives that are not applicable should be justified as such

#### Example Framework Guidance for a Compliance Objective

- <u>Category</u>: Configuration Management
- <u>Objective</u>: All supporting and resultant items to be configured are defined.
- <u>Suggested Actions</u>:
  - Define the training, testing, validation, and other datasets as configuration items.
  - Define the algorithms and trained model parameters as configuration items.
  - Etc.
- <u>Documentation</u>: A compilation of definitions of each identified configuration item.



PA

AP

# **Compliance Phase Activities**

### Compliance Analysis

### **Documentation of Compliance**



#### **Documentation Needed**

- Identify based on Certification Pathway
- Assess gaps in COTS documentation
- Assess changes from prior use
- Propose which compliance objectives are applicable and what documentation will be provided



#### **Documentation Determination**

 Negotiate tailored documentation needs for the AI technology with the appropriate FAA line of business



#### **Document Compliance**

- Provide documentation to show evidence of meeting the agreed upon objectives
  - of meeting the agreed upon objectives
    - New documentation
  - Old documentation from prior use
  - Existing documentation from COTS vendor



PA

AP

# **Approvals Phase**

	Phase	Purpose	Outcome
03	Approvals	Coordinate with stakeholders to review and approve documentation	Certification decision



Final Approval / Acceptance: Certifying Authority reviews documentation and approves technology





PA

AP



# QUESTION