Presentation to REDAC Subcommittee for Aircraft Safety

Thoughts on Aviation Safety R&D

Andy Lacher

6 September 2017

Approved for Publicly Release - Unlimited Distribution - Case: 17-3360

The contents of this material reflect the views of the author and/or the Director of the Center for Advanced Aviation System Development, and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) or Department of Transportation (DOT). Neither the FAA nor the DOT makes any warranty or guarantee, or promise, expressed or implied, concerning the content or accuracy of the views expressed herein.



© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

Some Drivers

- Unmanned Aircraft
- Urban Air Mobility
- General Aviation Safety
- Commercial Aviation
 - Continued Safety Improvements
 - Automation Complexity
 - Cost reductions
 - Pilot Shortages









MITRE



 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\sc 0}}$ 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

Concepts

- Unmanned Aircraft
- Urban Air Mobility
- General Aviation Safety
- Commercial Aviation
 - Continued Safety Improvements
 - Automation Complexity
 - Cost reductions
 - Pilot Shortages

```
Accessible Aviation
      Simplified Operational Interface
        "Easy Button"
                  Automated Parcel Delivery
                                        Pilotless Flight
         Refuse to Crash Technology
                         Automation as a Safety Net
          Reduced Crew Requirements
                                      Single Pilot Ops
                                                 MITRF
Approved for Publicly Release - Unlimited Distribution - Case: 17-3360
```

© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

More Dependence Upon Software for Safe Operations

- Human-Machine Teaming
- Software Assurance
- Cybersecurity
- Cyber-Resiliency

Software is both a key enabler and a barrier to operational implementation

Certification





Automated Actions vs. Cognitive Assistance

- Task Automation under human control
 - Autopilot; Autoland
- Human operation with automation assistance (safety net, watch dog, cognitive aid)
 - Auto-GCAS
 - Digital Co-pilot
- Pilot-less Flight Automation is the pilot

5



Human-Machine Interface Challenges Associated with Automation



Auto-GCAS: Automatic Safety Net

(Ground Collision Avoidance System)

CFIT & GLOC accidents Six systems on the F-16 \rightarrow CFIT rate unchanged



- Introduced in 2014 Block 40/50
- Maneuver Roll-to-upright and 5G pull

Auto-GCAS Keys to Success

- Design started with the pilot requirements
 - Nuisance Budget
 - Maximum acceptable maneuver
 - Interface
- Run-time Assurance Architecture Approach



Approved for Publicly Release - Unlimited Distribution - Case: 17-3360



CFIT: Controlled Flight Into Terrain GLOC: G-Force induced Loss of Consciousness Auto-GCAS: Auto-Ground Collision Avoidance System



Digital Co-Pilot – Cognitive Assistance



© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.



More Dependence Upon Software for Safe Operations

- Human-Machine Teaming
- Software Assurance
- Cybersecurity
- Cyber-Resiliency

Software is both a key enabler and a barrier to operational implementation

Certification





Old Wine in New Bottles

- Mature Research Space
 - People building systems don't read the research
 - People doing research don't understand how to apply it within systems engineering





Human-Machine Teaming – Themes from the Research

Design Content			Design Process
Transparency	Augmenting Cognition	Coordination	Design Specifics
 Observability Transparency into what an automation partner is doing relative to task progress Predictability Future intentions and activities are observable & understandable 	 Directing Attention Orient attention to critical problem features and cues Exploring the Solution Space Leverage multiple views, knowledge, and solutions to jointly understand Adaptability Recognize and adapt fluidly to unexpected situations 	 Directability Humans can direct and redirect an automation partner's resources, activities, and priorities Calibrated Trust Understand when and how much to trust automation partner Common Ground Pertinent beliefs, assumptions, intentions are shared 	 Design Process Guidance on the systems engineering processes for HMT Information Presentation Format information to support understandability & simplicity

Quenching the Thirst for Human-Machine Teaming Guidance: Helping Military Systems Acquisition Leverage Cognitive Engineering Research - Patricia L. McDermott (MITRE), Katherine E. Walker (MITRE), Cynthia O. Dominguez (MITRE), Alex Nelson (AFRL), and Nicholas Kasdaglis (MITRE).

© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

Approv Approved for Public Release – Distribution Unlimited – Case #17-1590



More Dependence Upon Software for Safe Operations

- Human-Machine Teaming
- Software Assurance
- Cybersecurity
- Cyber-Resiliency

Software is both a key enabler and a barrier to operational implementation

Certification





Run-Time Assurance

- Monitors system behavior during runtime
- When specific thresholds are reached triggers bounding behaviors
- Variants
 - Monitor system state
 - Monitor autonomous processes
 - Data outputs
 - Inferred behaviors







© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

Approved for Publicly Release - Unlimited Distribution - Case: 17-3360

MITRE

More Dependence Upon Software for Safe Operations

- Human-Machine Teaming
- Software Assurance
- Cybersecurity
- Cyber-Resiliency

Software is both a key enabler and a barrier to operational implementation

Certification





Risk Based Approach for sUAS Operational Approval

A Risk-Based Approach for sUAS operational approval combines the vehicle and mission characteristics to ensure an acceptable level of safety





sUAS Risk Model System



© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.



sUAS Risk Model Overview Modeling Each Node



Standard Mission Profiles

Each of the Mission profiles have different types of operational risks.

The risk is based on the combination of:

- Mission profile
- Vehicle profile
- Operational factors
- Environmental factors (such as buildings and obstacles)

Sparse Operations: Agriculture, Wildlife, Disaster Assessment, etc.

Contained Area Operations: Static Infrastructure Inspection, Real Estate Photography, Temporary Hotspots

Linear Area Operations: Linear Infrastructure, Waterfront Advertising, Traffic, etc.

Public Event Operations: Parades, Sporting Events, Concerts, Static News Coverage, etc.

Network Operations: Small Cargo Delivery, emergency response, etc.

Dynamic Area Operations: Fire and Rescue, search and rescue, police chases, media coverage

Sparse Operations









Dynamic Area Operations



© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

Mapping Mission Profile to Key Risk Variables

- Sparse Operations are characterized by low populated areas, but may be near or far from sUAS operator
- Contained Area Operations are characterized by operations near structures typically near the operator with controlled population access.
- Linear Area Operations are characterized by long distance operations typically over sparse or controlled population areas.
- Public Event Operations are characterized by operations near the operator over densely packed populations.
- Network Operations are characterized by operations traversing wide area networks near or far from populations.
- Dynamic Area Operations: Fire and Rescue, search and rescue, police chases, media coverage



Approved for Publicly Release - Unlimited Distribution - Case: 17-3360

© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

MITRE

More Dependence Upon Software for Safe Operations

- Human-Machine Teaming Automation as a safety net and cognitive assistant
- Software Assurance New architectures Software watching software
- Cybersecurity New attack surfaces vulnerabilities at the interfaces
- Cyber-Resiliency Must continue to function safely
 - Despite
 - Design defects
 - Unanticipated situations
 - Missing/corrupt/spoofed/unexpected data
 - Deliberate attacks

Software is both a key enabler and a barrier to operational implementation

Certification – Consider operational and system risk together

© 2017 The MITRE Corporation. All rights reserved.

