

**GOVERNMENT/INDUSTRY AERONAUTICAL CHARTING FORUM**  
**Instrument Procedures Subgroup**  
**History Record**

**FAA Control # 00-01-222**

**SUBJECT:** TERPS SIAP Naming Convention

**BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:** Current TERPS procedure title requirements are based only on the navigation facilities required to fly the final approach segment. An example of what this results in is, that complex ILS SIAPs, where DME is mandatory for the missed approach segment, do not have "DME" in the title. This TERPS naming convention is contrary to practices in most of the world.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Where DME, or any other type of secondary navigation facility (other than VOR) is required to fly the course-reversal initial approach, intermediate, final, or missed approach segments, it should be included in the procedure title. Further, if this secondary navigation facility is required to fly all initial approach segments, it should be included in the title. Where ADF is mandatory for the missed approach procedure of a non-NDB SIAP, the procedure should be titled, for example, "ILS/ADF".

**COMMENTS:** This recommendation affects TERPS (8260.3B and 8260.19C).

Submitted by: Captain Simon Lawrence, Chairman  
Charting and Instrument Procedures Committee

**AIR LINE PILOTS ASSOCIATION**

PH: (703) 689-4176 FAX: (703) 689-4370  
March 10, 2000

---

**INITIAL DISCUSSION (Meeting 00-01):** Issue presented by Simon Lawrence on behalf of ALPA recommending that SIAP's be named to reflect NAVAID's required to fly the entire approach. Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI), noted that this issue was also presented to the TERPS Working Group (TWG) at their February meeting (see comments under issue 98-01-208) and the recommendation was not adopted. Bill also stated that AIM, paragraph 5-4-5a3, is a good source for pilot education on SIAP naming. Martin Walker, ATP-120, expressed concern that adoption of ALPA's recommendation would make SIAP titles excessively long. Jim Terpstra, Jeppesen, added that he believed the U.S. naming convention is the best in the world and should be presented by FAA to the OCP for ICAO adoption. He also noted that adoption of ALPA's suggestion could present problems for data base manufacturers due to coding limitations for procedure ID's. Bill Hammett, AFS-420 (ISI) recommended that since the TWG had already considered the recommendation and rejected it, that the issue be closed. Simon Lawrence, ALPA, requested it remain open and took an IOU to provide examples where there have been miscommunications related to procedure identifications.

**ACTION:** ALPA.

**Meeting 00-02:** Dave Eckles, AFS-420, presented a status update paper prepared by Jack Corman, AFS-420. The TERPS Working Group (TWG) addressed this issue as well as ALPA's other concerns. The "ILS or DME" issue is resolved and is included in TERPS Change 19. This, coupled with the revision to AIM paragraph 5-4-5a3, should close the issue. Randy Kenagy, AOPA, wants to ensure that Air Traffic updates controller phraseology requirements. Consensus was that the issue may be closed. **Issue Closed.**

---