

Appendix E - Summary of Section 106 and 4(f) Consultation Activities

CONSULTING PARTY	DATE	CONSULTATION ACTIVITY
CALIFORNIA STATE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION OFFICE	5/4/2022	The FAA initiated Section 106 consultation with the California (CA) State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO), the City of Los Angeles, and the City of Culver City by sending an invitation letter on May 4, 2022.
	9/8/2022	The CA SHPO provided a response on September 8, 2022, stating that the CA SHPO believed the Area of Potential Effects appears to be adequate to account for direct and indirect effects to historic properties. The CA SHPO recommended the FAA do the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Conduct a records search at the South Central Coastal Information Center •Contact the California Native American Heritage Commission to obtain a list of Native American tribal groups and entities that might have additional knowledge or interest in the Area of Potential Effects. Once a list is received, write to each of the contacts to see if the tribes have any questions or comments on the undertaking, or any additional information about historic properties or in the Area of Potential Effects. •In addition to the City of Los Angeles and City of Culver City, SHPO recommended the FAA consult with the Los Angeles Conservancy to see if they have additional information about historic resources in the Area of Potential Effects.
	9/15/2023	The FAA sent a determination letter to SHPO with a proposed finding of "No Historic Properties Affected" along with details of the analysis and consultations completed.
	10/16/2023	The FAA received a response of "no objections" to the proposed finding from the California SHPO.
	1/25/2023	The FAA provided the CA SHPO with an updated list of resources from the City of Malibu for their awareness.
LOS ANGELES CONSERVANCY	10/21/2022	The FAA sent an initial Section 106 consultation letter on October 21, 2022, to the Los Angeles Conservancy with details of the undertaking and a request to see if the Conservancy was interested in consulting on the undertaking.
	11/16/2022	The FAA sent a reminder email on November 16, 2022, and received a response from the Conservancy that included some questions related to the project. FAA responded to the request for information on November 30, 2023, by providing the information requested.
	3/31/2022	On March 31, 2023, the FAA sent a letter to the Conservancy requesting a response within 14 days of the invitation to consult with the FAA. The Conservancy responded on April 3, 2023, that it wanted to be on a consultation list for the undertaking.
	4/12/2023	The FAA responded on April 12, 2023, with a letter requesting a review of the Area of Potential Effects and for information on properties in the Area of Potential Effects within 14 day. The Conservancy responded with a request for detailed mapping of the Area of Potential Effects. The FAA provided the ArcGIS shape files to assist the Conservancy with the Area of Potential Effects boundaries followed by an email on April 27, 2023 with additional information and tips for accessing the ArcGIS files.
	6/14/2023	The Conservancy did not reply after the April 27, 2023, correspondence and the FAA considers the consultation with the Conservancy complete.
SOUTH CENTRAL COASTAL INFORMATION CENTER	10/28/2022	The FAA contacted the Center on October 28, 2022, and requested information on historical properties located in the Area of Potential Effects.
	10/31/2022	The Center responded on October 31, 2022, with a suggestion to retain a consultant to conduct a records research for historic properties.
	12/1/2022	The FAA investigated the possibility of hiring a consultant, however after weighing its benefits decided that the FAA could access sufficient information to assess historic and cultural resources in the Area of Potential Effects through consultation with other parties and through publicly accessible information, and in a more timely manner. Hiring a consultant specifically for the purpose of consultation with the Center would have added a year or more to the timeline for the project due to federal funding allocation requirements.

Appendix E - Summary of Section 106 and 4(f) Consultation Activities

CONSULTING PARTY	DATE	CONSULTATION ACTIVITY
CALIFORNIA NATIVE AMERICAN HERITAGE COMMISSION	10/6/2022	The FAA initially contacted the Commission on October 6, 2022, and requested a list of tribal groups and entities that might have additional knowledge or interest in the Area of Potential Effects or knowledge of historical resources.
	3/17/2023	The FAA sent a reminder email on November 14, 2022, and January 11, 2023, before the Commission responded with a form to complete prior to providing the records. The FAA provided the form and exchanged numerous emails with the Commission over the next few weeks, until a list of 22 tribes was provided on March 17, 2023.
INDIVIDUAL NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES	4/7/2023	The FAA sent Section 106 initiation letters on April 7, 2023, to all 22 tribes on the list provided by the Commission requesting information on the Area of Potential Effects and historic resources in the Area of Potential Effects. The Barbareno/Ventureno Band of Mission Indians responded by saying they are deferring this project to local tribes and FAA requested contact information, but none was provided. The Fernandeno Tataviam Band of Mission Indians responded that they had nothing to add at that time.
	5/3/2023	On May 3, 2023, the FAA sent a reminder email to all the tribes who had not responded to determine if the email addresses were correct, and if the remaining tribes had any questions. The Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians – Kizh Nation responded with a question about ground disturbance being a part of the project. The FAA responded that ground disturbance was not a part of the project, and Gabrieleno Band of Mission Indians – Kizh Nation stated they did not have any concerns. The Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians was the only tribe who requested to have formal consultation with the FAA.
	6/7/2023	On June 7, 2023, the FAA and the Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians conducted a formal consultation session where the FAA provided a background of the project, specific details of the undertaking and a schedule of future expected activities. The Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians did not request any further information and stated they looked forward to reviewing the environmental review document when it becomes available to the public.
	9/20/2023	On September 20, 2023, the FAA received an update from the Coastal Band of the Chumash Nation, Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians, to update its contact information reflecting the current Chairman and point of contact for all Cultural Resource Management as Gabriel Frausto.
	9/26/2023	On September 26, 2023, the FAA received a response from the Rincon Band of Luiseño Indians that the location identified within project documents is not within the Band's specific Area of Historic Interest and that the Band has no additional information to provide.

Appendix E - Summary of Section 106 and 4(f) Consultation Activities

CONSULTING PARTY	DATE	CONSULTATION ACTIVITY
CITY OF CULVER CITY	5/4/2022	The FAA initiated Section 106 and 4(f) consultation with the City of Culver City on May 4, 2022, by inviting the City of Culver City to participate in the Section 106 consultation process. Over the next few months, a series of letters were exchanged between FAA, the City of Culver City, and Los Angeles, wherein the FAA continued working on the consultations while the cities sought a personal meeting with the FAA Administrator to discuss the FAA's compliance with the Court's order.
	2/17/2023	On February 17, 2023, the FAA sent the City of Culver City a reminder email, again requesting input and information on historical resources.
	4/14/2023	Hearing nothing back, the FAA sent another reminder to the City of Culver City on April 14, 2023, stating the FAA intended to complete consultation by June 14, 2023, and requesting input within 30-days for information on historical resources that have quiet as an attribute.
	4/18/2023	The City of Culver City finally responded on April 18, 2023, that they were interested in Section 106 consultation concerning historical resources and asked for meeting dates before June 14, 2023. Culver City also provided a list of resources on April 26, 2023. The list the City of Culver City provided on April 26, 2023, to the FAA was developed as part of the City of Culver City updating their General Plan and included paleontological, geologic, archaeological and historical resources contained within their jurisdiction. The list included twelve paleontological resources, seven geologic units and paleontological resources, twelve archeological resources, and 220 historical resources.
	5/23/2023	The FAA and The City of Culver City conducted a consultation session on May 23, 2023, with the intent to discuss the provided list of resources to determine properties that may have quiet as an attribute, however the City of Culver City's Historic Preservation Officer did not attend. The City of Culver City followed up with a letter on June 9, 2023, that stated all the resources on the provided list could be considered to have quiet as an attribute, but the City of Culver City did not provide evidence in support of these claims.
	6/14/2023	The FAA had planned to complete Section 106 consultation on June 14, 2023, and had notified the City of Culver City on April 19, 2023, of this intent. On June 28, 2023, the City of Culver City joined in a request by City of Los Angeles to extend the Section 106 consultation due to their request for information on the flight track data. Culver City also joined City of Los Angeles's request to extend the public comment period for the NEPA document review from 14 days to 30-days. The FAA modified the environmental review schedule to accommodate this request and provided the flight track data to the City of Culver City and the City of Los Angeles on August 7, 2023. Under the environmental review schedule submitted to the court, the FAA concluded consultation with Culver City on September 1, 2023.
	8/7/2023	The FAA provided the City of Culver City with flight track data along with altitude and dispersion information. The City of Culver City responded on August 8, 2023 that they were having difficulty accessing the data. The FAA responded on August 9, 2023, that the files are ArcGIS files and a program is needed to retrieve the information. On August 16, 2023, the FAA provided a link to the City of Culver City public website that provided a portal for requesting access to ArcGIS data along with a City of Culver City email address for ArcGIS support.

Appendix E - Summary of Section 106 and 4(f) Consultation Activities

CONSULTING PARTY	DATE	CONSULTATION ACTIVITY
CITY OF LOS ANGELES	4/22/2022	The FAA initiated Section 106 and 4(f) consultation with the City of Los Angeles Planning Department and the Recreation and Parks Department on May 4, 2022, by sending a letter inviting them to participate in the Section 106 process.
	6/1/2022	The City of Los Angeles responded on June 1, 2022, expressing an interest in participating in the Section 106 consultation process and requested clarification regarding the list of historic properties the FAA provided. The City of Los Angeles also requested geographic coordinates of the Area of Potential Effects. Over the next few months, a series of letters were exchanged between the FAA, Culver City, and Los Angeles, wherein the FAA continued working on the consultations while the cities sought a personal meeting with the FAA Administrator to discuss the FAA's compliance with the Court's order.
	11/16/2022	On November 16, 2022, the FAA provided the City of Los Angeles with additional information to facilitate the consultation process including ArcGIS shapefiles in a zip format of the Area of Potential Effects, a helpful tutorial with instructions for accessing the files, and the availability of Google Earth files, if needed. The FAA continued communicating with the City of Los Angeles over the next several months and provided information about the undertaking with the goal of receiving information from the City of Los Angeles on historical resources and particularly resources that have quiet as a generally recognized feature or attribute.
	4/19/2023	Having heard nothing more after the City of Los Angeles' email on January 26, 2023, stating that they were confirming with the City's IT staff to determine if any additional information was needed, the FAA contacted the City of Los Angeles on April 19, 2023, to inform them that consultation was expected to be complete by June 14, 2023, and to contact the FAA if the City of Los Angeles desired to continue consultation. On April 19, 2023, the City of Los Angeles responded they were working on a response to the FAA's April 19, 2023, communication.
	5/25/2023	The City of Los Angeles responded on May 25, 2023, that they had completed a comprehensive survey of its historic resources through their SurveyLA database and they had identified a large number of historic properties within the Area of Potential Effects. The City of Los Angeles provided the FAA with an online web application to share their data on historic and cultural resources within their jurisdiction.
	6/23/2023	The FAA had planned to complete Section 106 consultation on June 14, 2023, and notified the City of Los Angeles of that date on April 19, 2023. On June 23, 2023, the City of Los Angeles requested the FAA provide additional time for Section 106 consultation due to their request for information on the flight track data. The City of Los Angeles also requested the public comment period for the NEPA document review be extended from 14 days to 30 days. The FAA modified the environmental review schedule to accommodate this request, and the FAA provided the flight track data to the City of Los Angeles on August 7, 2023. Under the environmental review schedule submitted to the court, FAA's consultation with City of Los Angeles concluded September 1, 2023.
	7/17/2023	City of Los Angeles, Department of Recreation and Parks sent the FAA a letter suggesting FAA contact the County of Los Angeles regarding Section 4(f) properties.
	8/7/2023	The FAA sent Mr. Matthew Rudnich, City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks a letter in response to their July 17, 2023. The letter indicates the FAA sent a letter to the County of Los Angeles, as suggested by the City of Los Angeles. The letter included flight track data and dispersion information as requested by the City of Los Angeles.
	8/10/2023	City of Los Angeles attorney, Nate Hunt, asked the FAA if the flight track data and information provided was intended to complete FAA's response to the City of Los Angeles' request. On August 15, 2023, the Department of Justice representative for the FAA provided a response that the FAA provided the data, as requested, and no further data is planned.

Appendix E - Summary of Section 106 and 4(f) Consultation Activities

CONSULTING PARTY	DATE	CONSULTATION ACTIVITY
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	7/28/2023	FAA sent a letter to County of Los Angeles Regional Planning Department and Parks and Recreation Department initiating Section 4(f) consultation, as recommended by the City of Los Angeles Department of Recreation and Parks.
	8/2/2023	County of Los Angeles Department of Parks and Recreation responded that additional information on the boundary of the study area would be helpful.
	8/3/2023	The FAA responded to Los Angeles County's request for study area boundaries by providing ArcGIS boundary data, along with some brief notes and map samples to assist.
	8/23/2023	Los Angeles County Planning Department responded with a suggestion to contact the Mountain Conservation and Resource Agency for potential Section 4(f) properties.
	8/24/2023	County of Los Angeles Planning Department requested additional information on wildlife refuges and designation of State and Federal. FAA responded on August 25, 2023, with additional definitions and guidance on Section 4(f) properties.
	8/29/2023	Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation requested a one week extension for submitting the information and FAA agreed.
	8/31/2023	Los Angeles County Planning department provided a letter that stated they do not have jurisdiction over any publicly accessible parkland or wildlife refuge in the area. They also stated no county landmarks are in the study area. Furthermore, they said the analysis disclosed parkland, refuges, and preserves within the jurisdiction of other County, State, and Federal agencies.
	9/5/2023	Los Angeles County Parks and Recreation responded with a letter including some potential parks and resources within the study area.
MOUNTAIN CONSERVATION AND RESOURCE AGENCY	8/25/2023	The FAA sent a letter initiating Section 4(f) consultation to the Mountain Conservation and Resource Agency on August 25, 2023, in response to the suggestion by Los Angeles County that they be contacted.
CITY OF MALIBU	11/1/2023	During the public comment period for the Draft Environmental Review, the FAA received a comment letter dated November 1, 2023 from the City of Malibu's attorney, Mr. Steven Taber, indicating the City of Malibu's interest in consultation with FAA under Section 106 and Section 4(f) for the undertaking.
	11/30/2023	FAA invited the City of Malibu to participate as a consulting party and requested information on Section 106 and Section 4(f) resources in its jurisdiction within the Area of Potential Effects in a letter dated November 30, 2023.
	12/14/2023	The City of Malibu responded on December 14, 2023 with a list of important recreational, historic, and cultural resources in its jurisdiction.
	12/21/2023	FAA responded to the City of Malibu that all of the resources identified by the City of Malibu will be included in the FAA's final environmental review for the project, including its analysis under Section 106 of the NHPA.