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1.0 Optimization of Airspace and Procedures in the Metroplex

In September 2009, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) received the RTCA’s Task Force 5 Final Report which recommended the top priorities for the implementation of NextGen initiatives. A key component of the FAA response to the RTCA recommendations was the formation of teams leveraging FAA and Industry Performance Based Navigation (PBN) experience to expedite implementation of optimized airspace and procedures.

Optimization of Airspace and Procedures in the Metroplex (OAPM) was developed in direct response to the RTCA’s Task Force 5 Final Report on Mid-Term NextGen Implementation which addressed the quality, timeliness, and scope of metroplex solutions. OAPM is a systematic, integrated and expedited approach to implementing PBN procedures and associated airspace changes.

OAPM focuses on a geographic area, rather than a single airport in order to consider multiple airports and airspace, all types of operations, and connectivity with other metroplexes. The OAPM initiative is intended to enable accelerated development and implementation of beneficial PBN procedures. The process is made up of five phases: Study, Design, Evaluation, Implementation, and Post Implementation. This Executive Summary describes the Design Phase, while Attachment A, Proposed Final Design Submission Packages, provides the detailed designs that will be carried forward to the Evaluation Phase.

2.0 Overview of the North Texas OAPM Study and Design Teams

The North Texas Study Team was the second collaborative OAPM team deployed and was active from September 2010 through December 2010. The Study Team consisted of participants from the FAA, the National Air Traffic Controllers Association (NATCA), Air Traffic Control (ATC) and Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) subject matter experts (SMEs), industry stakeholders, and the MITRE Corporation’s Center for Advanced Aviation System Development (CAASD). These experts were tasked to identify operational and efficiency issues that could be addressed through PBN procedure and airspace design, to develop conceptual solutions that addressed the identified issues, and to make preliminary assessments of associated benefits, costs, and risks. Throughout the process, the Study Team held multiple outreach sessions with local facility and industry stakeholders. Working with those stakeholders, they identified over 80 issues, developed conceptual solutions to many of them, and performed the preliminary benefits assessment. These 80 underlying issues were then consolidated into 17 major issues (see Attachment

---

1 An FAA manager and a NATCA Article 48 Representative acted as Co-Leads for the project with participants from the FAA Air Traffic Control (ATC) facilities, National Air Traffic Controllers Association (NATCA), ATC subject matter experts (SMEs), Industry stakeholders, representatives from the Central Service Area, other FAA lines of business such as PBN Policy and Support and Flight Procedures, as well as MITRE CAASD, and various support contractors.
A). The Study Team Final Report, dated 31 March, 2011, served as the foundation for the Design Team’s scope of work. The Design Team focused on finalizing the Study Team’s conceptual designs in order to address identified operational and efficiency issues through the application of PBN procedures and associated airspace changes within the metroplex, with the ultimate goal of creating designs that support both FAA and Industry needs.

The Study Team identified conceptual PBN solutions that resulted in both quantitative and qualitative efficiency gains. The estimated annual fuel savings were between $10.3 million and $21.7 million. These estimates were developed by the National Analysis Team (NAT) based on the Study Team’s conceptual designs, and do not reflect the refinements made by the Design Team. The qualitative benefits expected by the Study Team were reduced ATC task complexity, reduced pilot/controller communications, repeatable and predictable flight paths, and a reduction in the need for Traffic Management Initiatives.

The final designs proposed by the North Texas Design Team refine the Study Team recommendations to increase efficiency in the metroplex. This includes maximizing the use of existing aircraft technologies and aircrew capabilities, and optimizing vertical profiles to eliminate or reduce the requirement to level-off. In addition, the team was able to develop procedural changes to improve both lateral and vertical paths for Standard Terminal Arrival Routes (STARs) and Standard Instrument Departures (SIDs). This would de-conflict arrival and departure procedures to enhance safety, and provide for repeatable/predictable paths to reduce ATC task complexity.

3.0 Scope and Process

The North Texas Metroplex consists of airspace delegated to the Dallas-Fort Worth Terminal Radar Approach Control (D10) and the Fort Worth Air Route Traffic Control Center (ZFW). The North Texas OAPM Design Team focused on aircraft operations at Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) and Dallas Love Field (DAL), as well as numerous satellite airports (see Table 1).

---

2 The estimated fuel burn savings considered a lower bound based on a conservative European Organization for the Safety of Air Navigation (EUROCONTROL) Base of Aircraft Data (BADA) fuel burn model and an upper bound based on Industry stakeholder flight simulation analysis. This analysis was performed in 2010, and assumed a fuel price of $2.52 per gallon.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport ID</th>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
<th>Airport Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADS</td>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50F</td>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI</td>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at Mc Kinney</td>
<td>RBD</td>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUD</td>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F41</td>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTW</td>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>NFW</td>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWS</td>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4T2</td>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQZ</td>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEA</td>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. North Texas OAPM Airports with new/revised PBN procedures

The North Texas OAPM Design Team consisted of participants from the FAA ATC facilities, NATCA, ATC SMEs, Industry stakeholders, representatives from the Central Service Area, other FAA lines of business such as PBN Policy and Support and Flight Procedures, MITRE CAASD, and various support contractors.

The Design Team began the process by reviewing the Study Team Final Report to identify all conceptual proposals. The proposals were then prioritized based on the complexity, the interdependencies, and the magnitude of the potential benefit. The remainder of the Design Phase was focused on the refinement of the Study Team conceptual solutions, with the goal of developing Proposed Final Designs that were 90 percent complete before proceeding to the Evaluation Phase, where additional operational validation, environmental review, and safety review would lead to Final Designs that could be carried forward to the Implementation Phase.

The refinement of the Study Team concepts ensured that Proposed Final Designs met the requirements in the Airspace Management Handbook, FAA Order 7100.9D (Standard Terminal Arrival Program and Procedures, Appendix 5 Guidelines for Implementing Terminal RNAV Procedures), and other applicable guidance. While the Study Team Report provided the framework, the Design Team had the flexibility to modify or adjust the Study Team proposals if the changes enhanced the expected benefit or if the changes were operationally necessary. Modifications could not significantly reduce the expected benefits, increase the expected costs, or extend the project timeline.

The Design Team evaluated all proposed designs with Industry representatives, and then systematically developed more refined PBN and airspace designs. The preliminary designs were then shared so the FAA, NATCA, SMEs and Industry could provide
additional input. Coordination with the Houston OAPM Team helped facilitate procedure development between ZFW and Houston Air Route Traffic Control Center. Numerous factors supported the refinements including Industry flight simulations, human-in-the-loop validations, and other stakeholder feedback. Finally, the Team documented the designs and obtained signatures from all affected FAA and NATCA stakeholders indicating agreement on the Proposed Final Designs (PFDs) (pending environmental and safety review, and further operational validation).

It is important to note that the Design Team considered numerous alternatives in the development of the PFDs. For each individual Study Team concept, the Design Team went through an iterative process that considered alternative lateral and vertical paths, various speed and altitude restrictions, alternative leg types, different deconfliction options, various charting considerations, etc. The Design Team evaluated the efficiency gains associated with each proposal, the potential impact to controller task complexity, and the implementation challenges, among other considerations. The process was supported by a range of tools and analyses (human-in-the-loop simulations, simulator flights, flyability assessments, criteria checks, etc.), with a focus on reducing flight times, flying distances, and level-segments. The actual design refinement of each Study Team concept was an iterative process conducted over a nine month period with each version of the process recorded in evolving TARGETS files with supporting documentation (meeting summaries and various versions of Design Submission Packages) maintained on the OAPM SharePoint Site hosted by CAASD.

At the conclusion of the Design Process, the Design Team had created 42 PFD Submission Packages. These packages describe 32 RNAV STARs, 29 RNAV SIDs, 8 Conventional STARs and 6 RNP – ARs. All 17 major issues identified in Study Team recommendations were addressed by the PFDs.

4.0 Proposed Solutions

As stated above, the Design Team considered each of the conceptual solutions developed by the Study Team and refined them into comprehensive designs. These designs are captured in the PFD Submission Packages, which are included as Attachment A to this document. These Submission Packages describe the issues identified by the Study Team, their conceptual solution, and the design refinements made by the Design Team resulting in the PFD. The Submission Packages also identify dependencies among various proposals, include graphical depictions of current conditions and the proposed final designs, identify impacted sectors, provide a broad overview of expected benefits, and identify additional concerns that should be considered.

The Design Team was able to create procedural changes, including the development of Optimized Profile Descents (OPDs) for DFW and DAL. They also improved lateral and/or vertical paths for earlier divergence for departures. Where applicable, arrival and departure procedures were de-conflicted and designed to create repeatable/predictable paths, reduce ATC task complexity, and enhance safety.
The Design Team created or improved STARs and SIDs, and made numerous airspace changes. Their proposals will be examined in the Environmental Assessment and will be implemented in either May or July 2014. It should be noted that they identified a number of improvements that were already being analyzed when OAPM was initiated. For example, an amendment to the DFW Terminal Area Chart has been submitted for publication and the revision of ZFW’s Ultra High Sectors is being worked outside of the OAPM process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication Date</th>
<th>Number of STARs</th>
<th>Number of SIDs</th>
<th>Number of RNP-ARs</th>
<th>Number of Airspace Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2014</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Implementation of North Texas Proposals

While no new quantitative benefits have been calculated for the Design phase, it is anticipated they will meet or exceed the estimates included in the North Texas Study Team Final Report.

5.0 Key Deliverables and Recommendations

Per the OAPM nominal project schedule, the primary deliverables and milestones for this Design Phase include this Executive Summary and the attached PFD Submission Packages. The North Texas OAPM project is now ready to proceed with the Evaluation Phase. Upon completion of Evaluation, a decision will be made whether to proceed with Implementation.

Adopting the Design Team proposals is anticipated to result in reduced flying miles, minimal level-offs for departures, implementation of OPDs, reduced fuel burn and emissions, as well as reduced controller task complexity. Considering the potential benefits and anticipated costs, it is recommended that the North Texas OAPM project proceed with the Evaluation Phase, including all applicable operational, environmental, safety, and business case analyses.
Attachment A: Proposed Final Design Submission Packages
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN DFW RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN-DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sector 53</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Fort-Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR7, DN, DR1, DR2, DR3, DS, MN and MNH</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STAR: NANDR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

After developing the NANDR RNAV STAR to Dallas Love Field (DAL), the Design Team determined they needed to provide procedural de-confliction between the NANDR RNAV STAR and the current four DFW RNAV SIDs to the north (AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN).

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team did not recommend any changes to the AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE or LOWGN RNAV SIDs.

**Proposed Design**

The Design Team added waypoints to the AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN RNAV SIDs in north flow only to provide procedural de-confliction from arrivals on the NANDR RNAV STAR.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN DFW RNAV SIDs

AKUNA RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the AKUNA FOUR RNAV SID by adding an altitude restriction of at or above 7,000 feet to the RYNNE waypoint for runways 36 L/R to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The ITTTT waypoint was added for runways 35 L/C with an altitude restriction of at or above 6,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the AKUNA FIVE RNAV SID.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed AKUNA FIVE RNAV SID.

![Diagram of AKUNA RNAV SID]

Figure 1. Proposed AKUNA FIVE RNAV SID

BLECO RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the BLECO FOUR RNAV SID by adding an altitude restriction of at or above 7,000 feet to the RYNNE waypoint for runways 36 L/R to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The YUNGGG waypoint was modified from its present position for runways 35 L/C with an altitude restriction of at or above 7,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the BLECO FIVE RNAV SID.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN DFW RNAV SIDs

Figure 2 depicts the proposed BLECO FIVE RNAV SID.

Figure 2. Proposed BLECO FIVE RNAV SID

GRABE RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the GRABE FIVE RNAV SID by adding an altitude restriction of at or above 7,000 feet to the RYNNE waypoint for runways 36 L/R to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The ITAKE waypoint was added for runways 35 L/C with an altitude restriction of at or above 7,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the GRABE SIX RNAV SID.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed GRABE SIX RNAV SID.
LOWGN RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the LOWGN FIVE RNAV SID by adding the AZIDE waypoint for runways 36 L/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 9,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The YUNGGG waypoint was modified from its present position for runways 35 L/C with an altitude restriction of at or above 7,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the NANDR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the LOWGN SIX RNAV SID.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed LOWGN SIX RNAV SID.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN DFW RNAV SIDs

Figure 4. Proposed LOWGN SIX RNAV SID

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations were not conducted.

No airspace changes are associated with the AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE or LOWGN RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

This procedure is dependent on the NANDR RNAV STAR.

No changes are anticipated for the Standard Operating Procedures or Letter of Agreement between D10 and ZFW. No changes to staffing, facilities, or equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN DFW RNAV SIDs

Attachments

1. AKUNA TARGETS Distribution Package
2. BLECO TARGETS Distribution Package
3. GRABE TARGETS Distribution Package
4. LOWGN TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, AKUNA, BLECO, GRABE and LOWGN DFW RNAV SIDs

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date
8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date
9/22/13

Jen Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
8/22/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date
8/22/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
8/22/13
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN DFW RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN-DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW) • Sector 96</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10) • Sectors AR7, DN, DR2, DS and MS</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STAR: BACHR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

After developing the BACHR RNAV STAR to Dallas Love Field (DAL), the Design Team determined they needed to provide procedural de-confliction between the BACHR RNAV STAR and the current four DFW RNAV SIDs to the south (ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN).

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team did not recommend any changes to the ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA or NELYN RNAV SIDs.

**Proposed Design**

The Design Team added waypoints to the ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN RNAV SIDs in south flow only to provide procedural de-confliction from arrivals on the BACHR RNAV STAR.
ARDIA RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the ARDIA FOUR RNAV SID by adding the CUTSO waypoint for runways 18 L/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The NEAPS waypoint was added for runways 17 C/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the ARDIA FIVE RNAV SID.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed ARDIA FIVE RNAV SID.

---

DARTZ RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the DARTZ FOUR RNAV SID by adding the CALEK waypoint for runways 18 L/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The KALEB waypoint was added for runways 17 C/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 9,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the DARTZ FIVE RNAV SID.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed DARTZ FIVE RNAV SID.
JASPA RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the JASPA THREE RNAV SID by adding the JUGEL waypoint for runways 18 L/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The ENIKE waypoint was added for runways 17 C/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the JASPA FOUR RNAV SID.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed JASPA FOUR RNAV SID.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN DFW RNAV SIDs

NELYN RNAV SID

The Design Team opted to modify the NELYN THREE RNAV SID by adding the WETUR waypoint for runways 18 L/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The ONKAE waypoint was added for runways 17 C/R with an altitude restriction of at or above 10,000 feet to provide procedural de-confliction from the BACHR RNAV STAR. The new procedure will be the NELYN FOUR RNAV SID.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed NELYN FOUR RNAV SID.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN DFW RNAV SIDs

Figure 4. Proposed NELYN FOUR RNAV SID

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations were not conducted.

No airspace changes are associated with the ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

This procedure is dependent on the BACHR RNAV STAR.

No changes are anticipated for the Standard Operating Procedures or Letter of Agreement between D10 and ZFW. No changes to staffing, facilities, or equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN DFW RNAV SIDs

Attachments
1. ARDIA TARGETS Distribution Package
2. DARTZ TARGETS Distribution Package
3. JASPA TARGETS Distribution Package
4. NELYN TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, ARDIA, DARTZ, JASPA and NELYN DFW RNAV SIDs

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Hanan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, HUDAD (RYNOE), HRPER, KATZZ, ALIAN and WSTEX DFW RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUDAD (RYNOE), HRPER, KATZZ, ALIAN and WSTEX – DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW) • Sectors 32, 39, 40, 47, 61, 63, 64 and 94</td>
<td>ZFW • Robert Ellis • Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10) • Sector DR3</td>
<td>D10 • James Harlan • Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuquerque ARTCC (ZAB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages • En Route Airspace Design</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package • STARS: BOWIE, BOOVE, GIBBI, GLEN ROSE, JOVEM, TILLA and WESAT • SIDs: ALIAN, DAMNS, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ, KKITY, SNSET, SWABR and WSTEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

DFW east bound and west bound published departures contain doglegs that are typically shortcut by ATC on a consistent basis. This creates a concern as aircraft must load fuel amounts based on the filed route. Redesigning the SIDs to reflect the route that is actually flown results in airlines reducing fuel-loading on aircraft, which translates to an overall fuel savings.
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Study Team Recommendation

Both of the proposed alternatives for DFW RNAV SIDs in ZFW airspace reflect the routes that are flown the majority of the time and eliminate most doglegs in ZFW airspace. The Study Team came up with two alternatives; the first using the present departure fixes and the second use a “floating fix” concept.

Figure 1 depicts alternative 1 showing the DFW west bound RNAV SIDs departing the D10/ZFW boundary at the present departure fixes. The purple lines depict the published SIDs. These proposed RNAV SIDs are separated by a minimum of 8 NM.

![Diagram of Study Team Recommendation – Alternative 1]

Figure 2 depicts alternative 2 showing the proposed DFW west bound RNAV SIDs departing the D10/ZFW boundary floating departure fixes, depending upon the flow in use at DFW. The use of the floating fix concept reduces flying mileage as aircraft will fly a shorter route to the common fix in ZFW’s airspace, depending upon the DFW flow. The green lines depict the proposed north flow SIDs and the red lines the proposed south flow SIDs. The proposed SIDs are separated by a minimum of 8 NM.
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![Diagram of North and South flows](image)

**Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation – Alternative 2**

**Proposed Design**

At the request of Industry Representatives, a fifth RNAV SID (ALIAN) was added to allow for more direct routing through the Lancer Military Operations Area (MOA) and Air Traffic Control Assigned Airspace (ATCAA) when this airspace complex is inactive. The Design Team determined that five RNAV SIDs should be created to address the Study Team Solution:

- WSTEX
- ALIAN (ATC Assigned Only)
- HUDAD
- KATZZ
- HRPER

The Design Team chose Alternative 2 as the floating fix concept reduces flying mileage. Additionally, ZFW and D10 agreed to create waypoints 25 NM west of D10’s airspace boundary to allow for more direct routings when traffic permits to further reduce flying mileage. West bound turbojet aircraft departing from the eastern satellite airports may be stacked above the DFW/DAL west bound departures, and west bound turbojet aircraft departing from the
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western satellite airports may be stacked below the DFW/DAL departures. ZFW will have control for turns and climb.

**WSTEX RNAV SID and ALIAN RNAV SID**

The Design Team kept the north flow routing to WSTEX identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. The south flow routing was amended from GIGEM waypoint to RBBIT waypoint. The rest of the route remains as proposed by the Study Team. The Design Team proposal was extended in length when compared to today’s PODDE THREE RNAV SID, with transitions to CIKAN waypoint and a second transition terminating at DOSXX waypoint. The CIKAN transition was added to keep civil traffic south of the LANCER MOA/ATCAA Complex when it is active. This SID serves traffic for ELP, PHX, TUS, Southern California and Mexico.

The Design Team amended the WSTEX RNAV SID to create the ALIAN RNAV SID. This SID will be ATC assigned only; the ALIAN RNAV SID will only be available when both the Lancer MOA/ATCAA and the White Sands Missile Range Airspace Complex are inactive. ZFW will confirm the active status through the Special Use Airspace Management System (SAMS). If the ALIAN transition is not available, traffic will be routed via the proposed WSTEX RNAV SID. This SID will serve traffic for ELP, PHX, TUS, Southern California and Mexico.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed WSTEX RNAV SID.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed ALIAN RNAV SID.
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Figure 3. Proposed WSTEX RNAV SID and PODDE THREE RNAV SID

Figure 4. Proposed ALIAN RNAV SID
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HUDAD RNAV SID

The Design Team kept the north and south flow routings to SCABI waypoint identical to the 
routings proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2 except that the termination point of the 
route ends at HUDAD waypoint, 0.98NM east of SCABI. This was amended to shorten the 
distance for departure traffic for SLC and DEN, and the Pacific Northwest.

The Design Team proposal was shortened in length over today’s FERRA FOUR RNAV SID, 
terminating at HUDAD. This will allow for more direct routing after HUDAD as opposed to 
being routed directly to PNH for DEN, SLC, and Pacific Northwest traffic.

Figure 5 depicts the proposed HUDAD RNAV SID.

![Map of Proposed HUDAD RNAV SID and FERRA FOUR RNAV SID](image)

**Figure 5. Proposed HUDAD RNAV SID and FERRA FOUR RNAV SID**

KAT4Z RNAV SID

The Design Team kept the north and south flow routings to KAT4Z waypoint identical to the 
routings proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2.

The Design Team proposal was shortened in length over today’s CEOLA FOUR RNAV SID 
terminating at BRHMA waypoint. This will allow for more direct routing after BRHMA as 
opposed to being routed directly to TXO and CNX, for Southern California, LAS, PHX, ABQ 
and LBB traffic.
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Figure 6 depicts the proposed KATZZ RNAV SID.

![Diagram of KATZZ RNAV SID and CEOLA FOUR RNAV SID](image)

**Figure 6. Proposed KATZZ RNAV SID and CEOLA FOUR RNAV SID**

**HRPER RNAV SID**

The Design Team kept the north and south flow routings to HRPER waypoint identical to the routings proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2.

The Design Team proposal was shortened in length over today’s SLOTT THREE RNAV SID terminating at DYREK waypoint. This will allow for more direct routing after DYREK as opposed to being routed directly to BOOMR waypoint or TCC. The SID serves California Bay Area and RNO traffic.

Figure 7 depicts the proposed HRPER RNAV SID.
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Figure 7. Proposed HRPER RNAV SID and SLOTT THREE RNAV SID

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

A waiver will be required for all proposed SIDs for exceeding the maximum climb gradient and degree divergence criteria.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX RNAV SIDs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:
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- **HUDAD**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DAMNS, HRPER, KKITY, SNSET and SWABR RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the ALIAN, HRPER, KATZZ and WSTEX RNAV SIDs and the WESAT RNAV STAR. It has altitude dependencies with the GIBBI and JOVEM RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR in north flow and with the BOOVE and TILLA RNAV STARs and the GLEN ROSE STAR in south flow.

- **HRPER**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DAMNS, KKITY, HUDAD, SNSET and SWABR RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the ALIAN, KATZZ, HUDAD and WSTEX RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the GIBBI and JOVEM RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR in north flow and with the BOOVE and TILLA RNAV STARs and the GLEN ROSE STAR in south flow.

- **KATZZ**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ALIAN, DAMNS, KKITY, SNSET, SWABR and WSTEX RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD and WSTEX RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the GIBBI and JOVEM RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR in north flow and with the BOOVE and TILLA RNAV STARs and the GLEN ROSE STAR in south flow.

- **ALIAN**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DAMNS, KATZZ, KKITY, SNSET, SWABR and WSTEX RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the GIBBI and JOVEM RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR in north flow and with the BOOVE and TILLA RNAV STARs and the GLEN ROSE STAR in south flow.

- **WSTEX**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ALIAN, DAMNS, KKITY, KATZZ, SNSET, and SWABR RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the ALIAN, HRPER, KATZZ and HUDAD RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the GIBBI and JOVEM RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR in north flow and with the BOOVE and TILLA RNAV STARs and the GLEN ROSE STAR in south flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation, and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities, or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. ALIAN TARGETS Distribution Package
2. HRPER TARGETS Distribution Package
3. HUDAD TARGETS Distribution Package
4. KATZZ TARGETS Distribution Package
5. WSTEX TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, HUDAD (RYNOE), HRPER, KATZZ, ALIAN and WSTEX DFW RNAV SIDs

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date
8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date
8/22/13

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
8/27/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date
8/22/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
8/22/13
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, SKTER, TRYTN, FORCK and MRSSH DFW RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKTER, TRYTN, FORCK and MRSSH – DFW</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>☑ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 27, 28, 30, 52, 71, 83 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sector DRI</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis ARTCC (ZME)</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• En Route Airspace Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARS: CABBY, CAIN, KLNDR and SEEVR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: EMMTT, ESNYE, FORCK, KUSO, LEEAG, LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER, SWTSR and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Study Team Recommendation

Both of the proposed alternatives for DFW RNAV SIDs in ZFW airspace reflect the routes that are flown the majority of the time and eliminate most doglegs in ZFW airspace. Both alternatives also call for separating the inside DFW track on a north flow from east bound DAL departure traffic. This is accomplished by creating a DAL RNAV SID that meets airspace requirements in the area surrounding DAL and moving the DFW inside track further north to maintain procedural separation with the proposed DAL RNAV SID. Moving the inside track north requires that the outside DFW track also be moved further north to maintain separation with the proposed inside track. The Study Team came up with two alternatives; the first using the present departure fixes and the second use a “floating fix” concept.

Figure 1 depicts alternative 1 showing the DFW east bound RNAV SIDs departing the D10/ZFW boundary at the present departure fixes. The purple lines depict the published SIDs.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation – Alternative 1**

Figure 2 depicts alternative 2 showing the proposed DFW east bound RNAV SIDs departing the D10/ZFW boundary floating departure fixes, depending upon the flow in use at DFW. The use of the floating fix concept reduces flying mileage as aircraft will fly a shorter route to the common
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fix in ZFW's airspace, depending upon the DFW flow. The green lines depict the proposed north flow SIDs and the red lines the proposed south flow SIDs.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation – Alternative 2**

**Proposed Design**

The Design Team determined that four RNAV SIDs should be created to address the Study Team Solution:

- SKTER
- TRYTN
- FORCK
- MRSSH

The Design Team chose Alternative 2 as the floating fix concept reduces flying mileage. Additionally, ZFW and D10 agreed to create waypoints 25 NM east of D10’s airspace boundary to allow for more direct routings when traffic permits to further reduce flying mileage.
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East bound turbojet aircraft departing from the western Satellite airports may be stacked above the DFW/DAL east bound departures, and east bound turbojet aircraft departing from the eastern Satellite airports may be stacked below the DFW/DAL departures. ZFW will have control for turns and climb.

SKTER RNAV SID

The Design Team kept the south flow routing to SKTER waypoint identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. On the north flow, the Design Team amended the routing from CUZEN to BTMAN waypoints to shorten the distance to the D10/ZFW boundary fix (by 0.31 NM). The remaining route from to SKTER is identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. An altitude restriction at FEZTR waypoint at or above 7,000 feet was added for procedural de-confliction from north bound DAL RNAV SIDs. The Design Team extended the routing from SKTER to BSKAT bound waypoint. This will allow for a more direct routing for traffic routed over LIT.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed SKTER RNAV SID.

![Proposed SKTER RNAV SID and NOBLY SID](image-url)
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TRYTN RNAV SID

The Design Team kept the south flow routing to TRYTN waypoint identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. On the north flow, the Design Team amended the routing from CUZEN to BLADE waypoint to shorten the distance to the D10/ZFW boundary fix (by 0.87 NM). The remaining route to TRYTN is identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. An altitude restriction at FEZTR at or above 7,000 feet was added for procedural de-confliction from north bound DAL RNAV SIDs. The Design Team extended the routing from TRYTN to LOOSE waypoint. This will allow for a more direct routing for traffic routed over TXK.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed TRYTN RNAV SID.

---

Figure 4. Proposed TRYTN RNAV SID and TRISS SID
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW SIDs, SKTER, TRYTN, FORCK and MRSSH DFW RNAV SIDs

FORCK RNAV SID

The Design Team kept the south flow routing to FORCK waypoint identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. On the north flow, the Design Team amended the routing from MECHL to SPIDY waypoints to shorten the distance to the D10/ZFW boundary fix (by .45 NM). The remaining route to FORCK is identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2.

This SID will serve traffic for ELD, SQS, ATL, CLT and Northeast Metro Areas Airports.

Figure 5 depicts the proposed FORCK RNAV SID.

![Map of FORCK RNAV SID and SOLDO SID](image)

Figure 5. Proposed FORCK RNAV SID and SOLDO SID

MRSSH RNAV SID

The Design Team modified the south flow routing from JOLEN to THHOR waypoints; the rest of the route remains as proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2. On the north flow, the Design Team amended the routing from MECHL to XXMEN waypoint to shorten the distance to the D10/ZFW boundary fix (by 0.76 NM). The remaining route to MRSSH waypoint is identical to the routing proposed by the Study Team in Alternative 2.

This SID serves traffic for MSY, Florida, Alabama and Mississippi.
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The BDDAY transition was added as a weather routing, per coordination with ZHU ARTCC and will be ATC assigned only.

Figure 6 depicts the proposed MRSSH RNAV SID.

![Diagram showing proposed MRSSH RNAV SID and CLARE SID](image)

**Figure 6. Proposed MRSSH RNAV SID and CLARE SID**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

A waiver will be required for all proposed RNAV SIDs for exceeding the maximum climb gradient and degree divergence criteria.

**Implementation Dependencies**

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs.
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These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **SKTER**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, KUSSO, LEEAG, LNDRE and TRYTN RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the FORCK, MRSSH and TRYTN RNAV STARs and vertically de-conflicted from the ESNYE RNAV SID. It has altitude dependencies with the SWTSR RNAV SID when DFW is in north flow and DAL is in south flow. It has altitude dependencies with the CAINES and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the WILBR STAR when DFW is in north flow and with the CABBY and KLNDR RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK STAR when DFW is in south flow.

- **TRYTN**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, KUSSO, LEEAG, LNDRE and SKTER RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the FORCK, MRSSH and SKTER RNAV STARs and vertically de-conflicted from the ESNYE RNAV SID. It has altitude dependencies with the SWTSR RNAV SID when DFW is in north flow and DAL is in south flow. It has altitude dependencies with the CAINES and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the WILBR STAR when DFW is in north flow and with the CABBY and KLNDR RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK STAR when DFW is in south flow.

- **FORCK**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, ESNYE, KUSSO, LEEAG, LNDRE and MRSSH RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the SWTSR RNAV SID when DFW is in north flow and DAL is in south flow. It has altitude dependencies with the CAINES and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the WILBR STAR when DFW is in north flow and with the CABBY and KLNDR RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK STAR when DFW is in south flow.

- **MRSSH**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, ESNYE, FORCK, KUSSO, LEEAG and LNDRE RNAV SIDs. It is laterally de-conflicted from the FORCK, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the SWTSR RNAV SID when DFW is in north flow and DAL is in south flow. It has altitude dependencies with the CAINES and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the WILBR STAR when DFW is in north flow and with the CABBY and KLNDR RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK STAR when DFW is in south flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Marpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.
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Attachments

1. FORCK TARGETS Distribution Package
2. MRSSH TARGETS Distribution Package
3. SKTER TARGETS Distribution Package
4. TRYTN TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas/Houston OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMMTT and LNDRE – DAL</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Terminal Procedure SID| □ Preliminary Design (PD)  
|                       | □ Operational Design (OD)  
|                       | □ Operational Design Complete (ODC)  
|                       | □ Proposed Final Design (PFD)  
|                       | ☑ Final Design (FD) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sector 27, 28, 30, 52, 71, 83 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DE, DN, DR1 and DS</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• David Salapata</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAL SIDs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: EMMTT, ESNYE, FORCK, KUSSO, LEEAG, LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER, SWTSR and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified two issues with departures from DAL. The first issue is departures from DAL in north flow operations turning right south bound fly lower altitudes and additional mileage then departures turning left. The second is complexities resulting from interactions between Dallas Fort Worth International Airport “inside track” departures and DAL east departures in north flow sometimes result in limiting DFW departures to a single vectored heading versus dual RNAV headings.
North Texas OAPM Design Package  
DAL SIDs, EMMTT and LNDRE DAL RNAV SIDs

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team proposed four recommendations to address the issue the Team identified. The first recommendation was to create a north flow left turn DAL RNAV SID for south bound traffic to address D10 airspace and DAL arrival traffic issues. The second was to create a north flow right turn DAL RNAV SID to provide a predictable path and to procedurally separate DAL east departures and DFW “inside track” departures. The third was to create a north flow DAL RNAV SID for west bound and north bound traffic to procedurally separate DFW and DAL traffic. The fourth was to create a single south flow DAL RNAV SID for all departing traffic.

The use of RNAV SIDs for DAL departures would provide more predictable management of traffic; incorporation of PBN concepts provides user benefits; reduces phraseology for controllers; and segregates DAL and DFW departure flows during their initial climb out within D10 airspace before safely merging these departure flows at the D10/ZFW airspace boundary. DAL departures would then benefit from the modified lateral tracks in the proposed RNAV SIDs within ZFW airspace, allowing more direct routing for Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex departures.

Figure 1 depicts version 1 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 2 depicts version 2 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 3 depicts the recommended SID in a south flow.
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Figure 1. North Flow Version 1 Study Team Recommendation
Figure 2. North Flow Version 2 Study Team Recommendation
Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV SIDs named EMMTT and LNDRE. The proposed designs are for east bound departures. The proposed designs incorporate single departure routes to turn the departures east bound to either EMMTT or LNDRE then diverge to four departure routes.

The Design Team tied these proposed procedures to the same boundary fixes the proposed DFW RNAV SIDs will use to increase flight path predictability and reduce controller task complexity. In the enroute environment, per coordination with the ZHU the BDDAY transition will be ATC assigned only. These designs are slightly modified from the Study Team recommendation to provide for longitudinal de-confliction from the east bound DFW RNAV SIDs in a north flow.
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East bound turbojet aircraft departing from the western Satellite airports may be stacked above the DFW/DAL east bound departures, and east bound turbojet aircraft departing from the eastern Satellite airports may be stacked below the DFW/DAL departures. ZFW will have control for turns and climb.

The proposed south flow procedure is longer in distance than the today's current departure tracks to meet the minimum design criteria for segment lengths and climb gradients.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed EMMTT and LNDRE RNAV SIDs.

![Proposed EMMTT and LNDRE RNAV SIDs and DAL SID]

**Figure 4. Proposed EMMTT and LNDRE RNAV SIDs and DAL SID**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed EMMTT and LNDRE RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.
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A waiver will be required for all proposed RNAV SIDs for exceeding the maximum climb gradient and degree divergence criteria.

**Implementation Dependencies**

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed EMMTT and LNDRE RNAV SIDs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **EMMTT**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ESNYE, FORCK, KUSSO, LEEAG, LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs.

- **LNDRE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, FORCK, KUSSO, LEEAG, MRSSH, SKTER, SWTsr and TRYTN RNAV SIDs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. EMMTT TARGETS Distribution Package
2. LNDRE TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ESNYE and SWTSR – DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>◼ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 48 and 53</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DR1, DR2 and DN</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAL SIDs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STAR: SEEVR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: EMMTT, ESNYE, FORCK,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER, SWTSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified two issues with departures from DAL. The first issue is departures from DAL in north flow operations turning right south bound fly lower altitudes and additional mileage then departures turning left. The second is complexities resulting from interactions between Dallas Fort Worth International Airport “inside track” departures and DAL east departures in north flow sometimes result in limiting DFW departures to a single vectored heading versus dual RNAV headings.

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team proposed four recommendations to address the issue the Team identified. The first recommendation was to create a north flow left turn DAL RNAV SID for south bound traffic to address D10 airspace and DAL arrival traffic issues. The second was to create a north
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flow right turn DAL RNAV SID to provide a predictable path and to procedurally separate DAL east departures and DFW "inside track" departures. The third was to create a north flow DAL RNAV SID for west bound and north bound traffic to procedurally separate DFW and DAL traffic. The fourth was to create a single south flow DAL RNAV SID for all departing traffic.

The use of RNAV SIDs for DAL departures would provide more predictable management of traffic; incorporation of PBN concepts provides user benefits; reduces phraseology for controllers; and segregates DAL and DFW departure flows during their initial climb out within D10 airspace before safely merging these departure flows at the D10/ZFW airspace boundary. DAL departures would then benefit from the modified lateral tracks in the proposed RNAV SIDs within ZFW airspace, allowing more direct routing for Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex departures.

Figure 1 depicts version 1 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 2 depicts version 2 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 3 depicts the recommended SID in a south flow.
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Figure 1. North Flow Version 1 Study Team Recommendation
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL SIDs, ESNYE and SWTAR DAL RNAV SIDs

Version 2

Figure 2. North Flow Version 2 Study Team Recommendation
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![Diagram: South Flow Study Team Recommendation](image)

**Proposed Design**

The North Texas OAPM Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV SIDs, ESNYE and SWT SR for northern departures from DAL. The proposed designs incorporate single departure routes to turn the departures north bound then to ESNYE waypoint in north flow and to SWT SR waypoint in south flow then diverge to four departure routes. The Design Team tied these proposed procedures to the same boundary fixes the DFW SIDs use to increase flight path predictability and reduce controller and pilot task complexity.

The proposed procedures are longer in distance than the today’s current departure tracks to meet the minimum design criteria for segment lengths and climb gradients.
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Figure 4 depicts the proposed ESNYE and SWTSR RNAV SIDs.

![Diagram of proposed ESNYE and SWTSR RNAV SIDs and Krumm SIDs](image)

**Figure 4. Proposed ESNYE and SWTSR RNAV SIDs and Krumm SIDs**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed ESNYE and SWTSR RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

A waiver will be required for all proposed SIDs for exceeding the maximum climb gradient.

**Implementation Dependencies**

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed ESNYE and SWTSR RNAV SIDs.
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These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **ESNYE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, FORCK and MRSSH and SWT SR RNAV SIDs. It is vertically de-conflicted from the SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs.

- **SWTSR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ESNYE and LNDRE RNAV SIDs. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs when DFW is in north flow and DAL is in south flow. It has altitude dependencies with the SEEVR RNAV STAR when DFW and DAL are in north flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. ESNYE TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SWT SR TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead  

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead
# North Texas OAPM Design Package

## DAL SIDs, RAMBL and CURLO DAL RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAMBL and CURLO – DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Change Classification

- Terminal Procedure SID

## Current Phase of Design

- Preliminary Design (PD)
- Operational Design (OD)
- Operational Design Complete (ODC)
- Proposed Final Design (PFD)
- Final Design (FD)

## OAPM Study Team Reference(s)

- Solution 11

## Publication Date

- 29 May 2014

## Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors

- **Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)**
  - Sectors 46 and 96

- **Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)**
  - Sectors AR1, AR2, AR3, FE1, FE2, FW1, FW2, DR1, DR2, DR3 and DS

## Facility Points of Contact

- **ZFW**
  - Robert Ellis
  - Jon Shedden

- **D10**
  - James Harlan
  - Ed Rivas

## Related/Dependent Submissions

- **Airspace Design Packages**
  - DAL SIDs

- **Procedure Design Package**
  - SIDs: SNSET and KKITY

## Associated Data Files

- NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs

## Purpose

The Study Team identified two issues with departures from DAL. The first issue is departures from DAL in north flow operations turning right south bound fly lower altitudes and additional mileage then departures turning left. The second is complexities resulting from interactions between Dallas Fort Worth International Airport “inside track” departures and DAL East departures in north flow sometimes result in limiting DFW departures to a single vectored heading versus dual RNAV headings.

## Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team proposed four recommendations to address the issue the Team identified. The first recommendation was to create a north flow left turn DAL RNAV SID for south bound traffic to address D10 airspace and DAL arrival traffic issues. The second was to create a north flow right turn DAL RNAV SID to provide a predictable path and to procedurally separate DAL east departures and DFW “inside track” departures. The third was to create a north flow DAL
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RNAV SID for west bound and north bound traffic to procedurally separate DFW and DAL traffic. The fourth was to create a single south flow DAL RNAV SID for all departing traffic.

The use of RNAV SIDs for DAL departures would provide more predictable management of traffic; incorporation of PBN concepts provides user benefits; reduces phraseology for controllers; and segregates DAL and DFW departure flows during their initial climb out within D10 airspace before safely merging these departure flows at the D10/ZFW airspace boundary. DAL departures would then benefit from the modified lateral tracks in the proposed RNAV SIDs within ZFW airspace, allowing more direct routing for Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex departures.

Figure 1 depicts version 1 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 2 depicts version 2 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 3 depicts the recommended SID in a south flow.
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Figure 1. North Flow Version 1 Study Team Recommendation
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Figure 2. North Flow Version 2 Study Team Recommendation
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Figure 3. South Flow Study Team Recommendation

Proposed Design
The North Texas OAPM Team is proposing the implementation two new RNAV SIDs, named RAMBL and CURLO for south bound DAL departures. The proposed designs incorporate single departure routes to turn the departures south bound to RAMBL waypoint in north flow and to CURLO waypoint in south flow then diverge to four departure routes. The Design Team tied these proposed procedures to the same boundary fixes the DFW SIDs use to increase flight path predictability and reduce controller and pilot task complexity.

The proposed south flow procedure is longer in distance than the today’s current departure tracks to meet the minimum design criteria for segment lengths and climb gradients.
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Figure 4 depicts the proposed RAMBL and CURLO RNAV SIDs.

Figure 4. Proposed RAMBL and CURLO RNAV SIDs and VENUS SID

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed CURLO and RAMBL RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

A waiver will be required for all proposed RNAV SIDs for exceeding the maximum climb gradient.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed RAMBL and CURLO RNAV SIDs.
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These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **RAMBL**: This procedure shares waypoints with the SNSET RNAV SID
- **CURLO**: This procedure shares waypoints with the KKITY RNAV SID

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. CURLO TARGETS Distribution Package
2. RAMBL TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date 8/22/13

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date 8/22/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13
# North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL SIDs, SNSET and KKITY DAL RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNSET and KKITY – DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 32, 39, 47, 61, 63, 93 and 94</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR1, AR2, AR3, FE1, FE2, FW1, FW2, DS, DR1, DR2 and DR3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuquerque ARTCC (ZAB)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAL SIDs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Procedure Design Package        |                          |
| • SIDs: ALIAN, CURLO, DAMNS,    |                          |
|     HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ, KKITY,  |                          |
|     RAMBL, SNSET, SWABR and      |                          |
|     WSTEX                       |                          |

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified two issues with departures from DAL. The first issue is departures from DAL in north flow operations turning right south bound fly lower altitudes and additional mileage then departures turning left. The second is complexities resulting from interactions between Dallas Fort Worth International Airport “inside track” departures and DAL East departures in north flow sometimes result in limiting DFW departures to a single vectored heading versus dual RNAV headings.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team proposed four recommendations to address the issue the Team identified. The first recommendation was to create a north flow left turn DAL RNAV SID for south bound traffic to address D10 airspace and DAL arrival traffic issues. The second was to create a north flow right turn DAL RNAV SID to provide a predictable path and to procedurally separate DAL east departures and DFW “inside track” departures. The third was to create a north flow DAL RNAV SID for west bound and north bound traffic to procedurally separate DFW and DAL traffic. The fourth was to create a single south flow DAL RNAV SID for all departing traffic.

The use of RNAV SIDs for DAL departures would provide more predictable management of traffic; incorporation of PBN concepts provides user benefits; reduces phraseology for controllers; and segregates DAL and DFW departure flows during their initial climb out within D10 airspace before safely merging these departure flows at the D10/ZFW airspace boundary. DAL departures would then benefit from the modified lateral tracks in the proposed RNAV SIDs within ZFW airspace, allowing more direct routing for Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex departures.

Figure 1 depicts version 1 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 2 depicts version 2 of the recommended SID in a north flow.

Figure 3 depicts the recommended SID in a south flow.
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Figure 1. North Flow Version 1 Study Team Recommendation
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL SIDs, SNSSET and KKITY DAL RNAV SIDs

Figure 2. North Flow Version 2 Study Team Recommendation
Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV SIDs, named SNSET and KKITY for west bound DAL departures. The proposed designs incorporate single departure routes to turn the departures south bound to SNSET waypoint in north flow and to KKITY waypoint in south flow then diverge to four departure routes. The Design Team tied these proposed procedures to the same boundary fixes the proposed DFW SIDs will use to increase flight path predictability and reduce controller and pilot task complexity.

West bound turbojet aircraft departing from the eastern Satellite airports may be stacked above the DFW/DAL west bound departures and west bound turbojet aircraft departing from the
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western Satellite airports may be stacked below the DFW/DAL departures. ZFW will have control for turns and climb.

The ALIAN transition will be an ATC assigned only. The transition will only be available when both the Lancer Military Operations Area and Air Traffic Control Assigned Airspace and the White Sands Missile Range Airspace Complex are inactive.

The proposed procedures are longer in distance than the today’s current departure tracks to meet the minimum design criteria for segment lengths and climb gradients.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed SNSET and KKITY RNAV SIDs.

![Diagram showing proposed RNAV SIDs]

**Figure 4. Proposed KKITY and SNSET RNAV SIDs and WORTH SID**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed SNSET and KKITY RNAV SIDs.
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These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

A waiver will be required for all proposed RNAV SIDs for exceeding the maximum climb gradient and degree divergence criteria.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed SNSET and KKITY RNAV SIDs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **SNSET**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ALIAN, DAMNS, HRPER, KATZZ, KKITY, HUDAD, RAMBL, SWABR and WSTEX RNAV SIDs.

- **KKITY**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ALIAN, CURLO, DAMNS, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ, SNSET, SWABR and WSTEX RNAV SIDs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. KKITY TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SNSET TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead  

Date  

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

8/22/13  

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Date  

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

8/22/13  

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Date  

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

8/22/13
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Satellite SIDs, DAMNS and SWABR SAT RNAV SIDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAMNS and SWABR-Satellite Airports</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change Classification</td>
<td>Current Phase of Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</td>
<td>Publication Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 32, 39, 40, 47, 61, 63, 64 and 94</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DR3, MN, MS and MW</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuquerque ARTCC (ZAB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: ALIAN, DAMNS, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ, KKITY, SNSET, SWABR and WSTEX</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified an issue with D10 turbojet traffic departing over the same exit point being placed in-trail regardless of departure airport leading to delay vectoring, miles in trail restrictions, hold for releases, and/or suspension of dual RNAV departure tracks at DFW.

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team recommended the Design Team evaluate the trade-offs between stacking, in-trail delivery and separation of departure fixes for departures from within the metroplex.

**Proposed Design**

The North Texas OAPM Team is proposing the implementation of DAMNS and SWABR RNAV SIDs for west bound departures from the following Satellite airports:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Satellite Airports

The Design Team created a radar vector RNAV SID for all D10 Satellite airports which merge with the DFW western SIDs at the four associated boundary fixes. According to the rules governing RNAV SIDs, a radar vector RNAV SID must have an initial common fix, DECKC or BOWTTL waypoints, followed by a common route to a transition fix, from which the four transition legs branch. Aircraft that are assigned this SID must be within a ninety degree “cone” east of the initial common fix before being allowed to proceed direct to DECKC. If they don’t depart from an airport that lies within the cone they must be vectored into it. Westbound turbojet aircraft departing from the eastern Satellite airports may be stacked above the DFW/DAL westbound departures, and westbound turbojet aircraft departing from the western Satellite airports may be stacked below the DFW/DAL departures. ZFW will have control for turns and climb.

Although the routing indicates a mileage increase over today’s conventional departures, the actual mileage flown is anticipated to be less for all four transitions based on historical track data for turns to the boundary fixes.

The ALIAN transition will be an ATC assigned only. The transition will only be available when both the Lancer Military Operations Area and Air Traffic Control Assigned Airspace and the White Sands Missile Range Airspace Complex are inactive.
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Figure 1 depicts the proposed SWABR RNAV SID.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed DAMNS RNAV SID.

Figure 1. Proposed SWABR RNAV SID and WORTH SID
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Figure 2. Proposed DAMNS RNAV SID and WORTH SID

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

No airspace changes are associated with the DAMNS and SWABR RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **DAMNS**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ALIAN, HAILY, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ, SNSET, SWABR and WSTEX RNAV SIDs.

- **SWABR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the ALIAN, DAMNS, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ, KKITY, SNSET and WSTEX RNAV SIDs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures.
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No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments
1. DAMNS TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SWABR TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Jon Sheddin
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEEAG and KUSSO-Satellite Airports</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure SID</td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 11</td>
<td>29 May 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors 27, 28, 30, 52, 71, 83 and 90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors DE, DN, DR1 and DS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memphis ARTCC (ZME)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIDs: EMMTT, FORCK, KUSSO, LEEAG, LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified an issue with D10 turbojet traffic departing over the same exit point being placed in-trail regardless of departure airport leading to delay vectoring, miles in trail restrictions, hold for releases, and/or suspension of dual RNAV departure tracks at DFW.

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team recommended the Design Team evaluate the trade-offs between stacking, in trail delivery and separation of departure fixes for departures from within the metroplex.

**Proposed Design**

The North Texas OAPM Team is proposing the implementation of the KUSSO and LEEAG RNAV SIDs for east bound departures from the following Satellite airports:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Satellite Airports

The Design Team created a radar vector RNAV SID for all D10 Satellite airports in a north and south flow. According to the rules governing RNAV SIDs, a radar vector RNAV SID must have an initial common fix, LEGIN and JSTIS waypoints, followed by a common route to a transition fix, from which the four transition legs branch. Aircraft that are assigned this SID must be within a ninety degree “cone” west of the initial common fix before being allowed to proceed direct to it. If they don’t depart from an airport that lies within the cone they must be vectored into it. East bound turbojet aircraft departing from the western Satellite airports may be stacked above the DFW/DAL east bound departures, and east bound turbojet aircraft departing from the eastern Satellite airports may be stacked below the DFW/DAL departures. ZFW will have control for turns and climb.

Although the routing indicates a mileage increase over today’s conventional departures, the actual mileage flown is anticipated to be less for all four transitions based on historical track data for turns to the boundary fixes.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed KUSSO RNAV SID.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed LEEAG RNAV SID.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Satellite SIDs, KUSSO and LEEAG SAT RNAV SIDs

Figure 1. Proposed KUSSO RNAV SID and WYLIE SID
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![Diagram of LEEAG RNAV SID and WYLIE SID](image)

**Figure 2. Proposed LEEAG RNAV SID and WYLIE SID**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

No airspace changes are associated with the KUSSO and LEEAG RNAV SIDs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

**Implementation Dependencies**

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **LEEAG**: This procedure shares waypoints with the KUSSO, EMMTT, FORCK, LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs

- **DOOOM**: This procedure shares waypoints with the EMMTT, FORCK, LEEAG, LNDRE, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures.
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No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. KUSSO TARGETS Distribution Package
2. LEEAG TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead  

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOOVE and SOCKK - DFW</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 3</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 61, 62, 63 and 65</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors FW1, FW2, DR3, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Albuquerque (ZAB) and Houston (ZHU)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abilene (ABI), Midland (MAF), San Angelo (SJT) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td>• Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray (GRK) RAPCON</td>
<td>• David Salapata</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Southwest Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARS: BACHR, BOOVE, GLEN ROSE, KNEAD, LIKES, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK, SWAVY and TILLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WTEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose
The North Texas Study Team identified three issues related to the descent profiles of DFW arrivals; high-side arrivals fly a downwind segment with a level-off at 11,000 feet for approximately five minutes at each corner post; this arrival profile creates inefficient departure profiles for DFW turbojet departures headed east and west on the departure track furthest from the airport; and arriving aircraft experience level-offs in ZFW airspace at Flight Level (FL) 240.

Study Team Recommendation
The Study Team recommended the creation of RNAV STARs with Optimal Profile Descents (OPD) for all primary DFW arrival flows at each corner post. In addition, the Study Team proposed individual solutions for each corner post arrival flow. For the Southwest Corner Post an RNAV STAR was created similar to the JEN STAR with more direct routing to the D10 boundary, similar to shortcuts that are often given today. This design would reduce miles flown, fuel burn and CO2 emissions.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.

![Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow](image-url)
Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARS, named BOOVE and SOCKK. The proposed designs merge at BOOVE waypoint, as compared to the conventional merge point at JEN, allowing a more direct routing to the D10 boundary. After BOOVE, arrivals on the WISPR RNAV STAR will join the base at SOCKK waypoint and arrivals on BOOVE RNAV STAR will turn downwind at DELMO waypoint. These designs were modified vertically from the Study Team recommendation to extend the OPD further optimizing the RNAV STARS and providing the SOCKK RNAV STAR procedural de-confliction from the REEKO RNAV STAR. Aircraft departing ACT TRACON and GRK RAPCON will be routed over BILDO waypoint and aircraft departing ABI and SJT TRACON will go over NN/1UH waypoint.

The proposed design will increase flight path predictability, reduce controller and pilot task complexity, and reduce overall fuel burn and CO2 emissions.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed BOOVE and SOCKK RNAV STARS
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![Map Diagram]

**Figure 3. Proposed BOOVE and SOCKK RNAV STARs and JEN STAR**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed BOOVE and SOCKK RNAV STARs.

These procedures will be used by all RNAV-equipped turbojet aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

**Implementation Dependencies**

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed BOOVE and SOCKK RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **BOOVE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BACHR, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally
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de-conflicted from the LIKES, PAWLZ, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE and KNEAD STARs. It has altitude dependencies with the ALIAN, HRPER, KATZZ, HUDAD and WSTEX RNAV SIDs in south flow.

- **SOCKK**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BACHR, BOOVE, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the LIKES, PAWLZ, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE and KNEAD STARs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZHU, ZAB, ABI, ACT, MAF and SJT TRACONs and GRK RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. BOOVE TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SOCKK TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Jon Shadden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRDJE and SEEVR - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions 3 and 5</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 27, 37, 38, 42, 50, 53, 83 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR1, AR2, AR3, DN, DR1, FE1 and FE2</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR1, AR2, AR3, DN, DR1, FE1 and FE2</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Memphis (ZME) and Kansas City (ZKC)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oklahoma City (OKC), Tulsa (TUL) and Razorback (RZC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Northeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• En Route Airspace Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARS: BRDJE, CAIN, DAWGZ, FINGR, HIBIL, SANGR, SASIE, SEEVR, SLANT, TRYST and WILBR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER, SWTSSR and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose

The North Texas Study Team identified three issues related to the descent profiles of DFW arrivals; high-side arrivals fly a downwind segment with a level-off at 11,000 feet for approximately five minutes at each corner post; this arrival profile creates inefficient departure profiles for DFW turbojet departures headed east and west on the departure track furthest from the airport; and arriving aircraft experience level-offs in ZFW airspace at Flight Level (FL) 240. In addition, to the three related issues the Study Team identified ZFW is required to change STAR assignments at the northeast corner post during parachute activity increasing controller task complexity on pilots and controllers.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended the creation of RNAV STARs with Optimal Profile Descents (OPD) for all primary DFW arrival flows at each corner post and proposed individual solutions for each of the corner post arrival flows. The Northeast Corner Post solution was to overlay the lateral path of the BONHAM STAR Fort Smith (FSM), Little Rock (LIT) and McAlester (MLC) transitions with an RNAV STAR incorporating an OPD, while minimizing the additional distance to avoid the Sky Diving Area. This proposed solution would reduce miles flown, time in level flight, fuel burn and CO2 emissions.

Figures 1 and 2 depict the recommended RNAV STARs.

![Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation](image-url)
**Proposed Design**

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the creation of two new RNAV STARs, named BRDJE and SEEVR. The proposed design is similar to the Study Team recommendation with a different common merge point at the SEEVR waypoint and a different D10/ZFW boundary fix at BRDJE waypoint. After BRDJE in north flow, arriving aircraft will continue to STONZ waypoint before turning south on downwind. In south flow, after BRDJE, arriving traffic will turn west to YAHBT waypoint on a base leg. This design bypasses the Dallas Skydive Area described in the Study Team Recommendation, increases flight path predictability,
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reduces miles flown, fuel burn and CO2 emission overall and reduces controller and pilot task complexity.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs.

Figure 3. Proposed BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs and BONHAM STAR

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:
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- **SEEVR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BRDJE, CAIN, DAWGZ, SANG, SLANT, HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs and the SASIE and WILBR STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the HIBIL, TRYST, SLANT, SANGR RNAV STARs and the FINGR STAR. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs in north flow. It has altitude dependencies with the SEEVR RNAV STAR in north flow.

- **BRDJE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the CAIN, DAWGZ, SANG, SEEVR, SLANT, HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs and the SASIE and WILBR STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the HIBIL, TRYST, SLANT, SANGR RNAV STARs and the FINGR STAR.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZKC and TUL, RZC and OKC TRACONs will need to be modified for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. BRDJE TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SEEVR TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
### North Texas OAPM Design Package
#### DFW STARs, DEBBB and JOVEM DFW RNAV STARs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEBBB and JOVEM - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>[] Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[] Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[] Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[] Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[] Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 34, 47, 48, 53, 75, 93 and 94</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR1, AR2, AR3, AR5, FW1, FW2 and MN</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Albuquerque (ZAB) and Kansas City (ZKC)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lubbock (LBB) and Oklahoma City (OKC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPCONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fort Sill (FSI) and Sheppard (SPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Northwest Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• En Route Airspace Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARS: BOWIE, DEBBB, GIBBI, GREGS, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDS: ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose

The North Texas Study Team identified three issues related to the descent profiles of DFW arrivals; high-side arrivals fly a downwind segment with a level-off at 11,000 feet for approximately five minutes at each corner post; this arrival profile creates inefficient departure profiles for DFW turbojet departures headed east and west on the departure track furthest from the airport; and arriving aircraft experience level-offs in ZFW airspace at Flight Level (FL) 240.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended the creation of RNAV STARs with Optimal Profile Descents (OPD) for all primary DFW arrival flows at each corner post. In addition, the Study Team proposed individual solutions for each corner post arrival flow. At the Northwest Corner Post the proposed UKW RNAV OPD STAR provides a more direct routing to the D10 boundary, similar to shortcuts that are often given today. Compared to the currently published STAR transitions, the proposed OPD STAR is 0.8 NM shorter from TEXICO (TXO), 0.2 NM shorter from BORGER (BDG), and 3.6 NM shorter from Will Rogers (IRW) and Tulsa (TUL). As many aircraft today are given shortcuts off the published route, the reduction in distance from the proposed procedure compared to flown tracks is smaller, resulting in an estimated annual fuel burn savings of $22K.

In addition to the savings from reduction in distance flown, the elimination of level segments on the UKW OPD RNAV STAR is estimated to result in a savings of $400K – 1.2M per year. This results in a total estimated annual savings of $422K – 1.2M from the Northwest Corner Post, and an estimated annual reduction in CO2 emissions of 1.6 – 4.8K metric tons.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.
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Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW STARs, DEBBB and JOVEM DFW RNAV STARs

Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation, South flow

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs, named DEBBB and JOVEM. The proposed designs will merge at the DEBBB waypoint and incorporate a transition from IRW beginning at the WUNPI waypoint to allow a more direct routing to the TRACON boundary. These designs are slightly modified from the Study Team’s design to enable the more direct routing.

In the enroute environment RNAV arrivals over BGD or PNH NAVAIDs will transition over MDANO and SULTA waypoints. Arrivals from OKC TRACON will transition over FAWNT waypoint; from FSI and SPS TRACONs will transition over LETNN waypoint; and from LBB TRACON will transition over HNKER waypoint. A slightly modified vertical profile of the Study Team’s recommended runway transitions was incorporated into the design to allow aircraft to stay on the Optimal Profile Descents (OPD) longer and reduce the amount of vectoring.

After merging at DEBBB, arrivals in north flow will continue to the SILER waypoint turn south to KABOOM waypoint for the Runways (RWY) 36 Left (L)/Right(R) and 35L/R/Center (C).
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After merging at DEBBB, arrivals in south flow will continue to NCONA waypoint turn east to AMMOMO waypoint for the Runways (RWY) 18 Left (L)/Right(R) and 17L/R/Center (C) or continue to POPPA waypoint for the DFW RWY13R.

The proposed designs will enable OPDs, increase flight path predictability, reduce miles flown, fuel burn and CO2 emissions and decrease controller and pilot task complexity.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs.

![Proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs and BOWIE STAR](image)

**Figure 3. Proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs and BOWIE STAR**

**Additional Design Considerations**

The Design Team validated the OPD designs with industry partners American Airlines simulators. Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

**Implementation Dependencies**

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs.
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These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **DEBBB**: This procedure shares waypoints with the GIBBI, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the GIBBI, JFRYE, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and GREGS STAR.

- **JOVEM**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JFRYE, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the GIBBI, JFRYE, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and GREGS STAR. It has altitude dependencies with the ALIAN, HRPER, KATZZ, HUDAD and WSTEX RNAV SIDs in north flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZAB and ZKC, FSI and SPS RAPCONs and LBB and OKC TRACONs will need to be modified for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. DEBBB TARGETS Distribution Package
2. JOVEM TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead
8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead
8/22/13

Robert Ellis
For: Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead
8/22/13

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead
8/22/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead
8/24/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead
8/22/13
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KLNDR and WHINY - DFW</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 3</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors 29, 30, 52, 83, 86, 89 and 96</td>
<td>- Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors FE1, FE2, AR1, AR2, AR3, AR6, DR1, DS and DE</td>
<td>- Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors FE1, FE2, AR1, AR2, AR3, AR6, DR1, DS and DE</td>
<td>- James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>- Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>- Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td>- David Salapata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Longview (GGG), Monroe (MLU), Shreveport (SHV) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk (POE) RAPCON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS Files (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Southeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- En Route Airspace</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- STARS: BAWLZ, CABBY, CEDAR CREEK, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNND0, REDDN, REEKO and WHINY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- SIDs: FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Purpose
The North Texas Study Team identified three issues related to the descent profiles of DFW arrivals; high-side arrivals fly a downwind segment with a level-off at 11,000 feet for approximately five minutes at each corner post; this arrival profile creates inefficient departure profiles for DFW turbojet departures headed east and west on the departure track furthest from the airport; and arriving aircraft experience level-offs in ZFW airspace at Flight Level (FL) 240.

Study Team Recommendation
The Study Team recommended the creation of RNAV STARs with Optimal Profile Descents (OPD) for all primary DFW arrival flows at each corner post and proposed individual solutions for each of the corner post arrival flows. The Southeast Corner Post solution was to create an RNAV STAR similar to the CEDAR CREEK STAR with more direct routing to the D10 boundary, similar to shortcuts that are often given today. This design would reduce miles flown, fuel burn and CO2 emissions.

Figure 1 depicts recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.
Figure 2 depicts recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.

![Diagram of RNAV STARs]

Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two RNAV STARs over the Southeast Corner Post named KLNDR and WHINY. The proposed design has a merge point at KLNDR waypoint, as compared to the conventional merge point at CQY, to allow a more direct routing to the D10 boundary. After merging at KLNDR, arrivals in north flow will continue to the HOWDY waypoint then turn west to HLZZZ waypoint for the Runways (RWY) 36 Left (L)/Right(R) and 35L/R/Center (C) or continue to GACHO waypoint for the DFW RWY31R. In south flow operations, arrivals will continue after KLNDR to DIETZ waypoint before turning north to the downwind. These designs were modified vertically from the Study Team recommendation to extend the OPD further optimizing the RNAV STAR.

Aircraft departing ACT TRACON will be routed over BRITE waypoint; aircraft departing GGG, SHV, and MLU TRACONs will be routed over CHAWK waypoint; aircraft routed from POE TRACON will be routed over BAYES waypoint; ZHU will route CLL TRACON traffic direct to KLNDR.

The proposed design will increase flight path predictability, reduce controller task complexity and reduce overall fuel burn and CO2 emissions.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs.
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![Diagram of air traffic routes](image)

**Figure 3. Proposed KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and CEDAR CREEK STAR**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

**Implementation Dependencies**

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **KLNDR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, MNND, REDDN, REEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, MNND, REDDN and REEKO RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK STAR. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs in south flow.
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- **WHINY**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNNDN, REDDN and REEKO RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, MNNDN, REDDN and REEKO RNAV.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZHU, and GGG, MLU, POE, SHV, and ACT TRACONs will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. KLNDR TARGETS Distribution Package
2. WHINY TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas/Houston OAPM
FAA Lead

8/12/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

9/2/13

Jon Stedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/22/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

8/26/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/22/13

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/13/13
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**DFW STARs, CAINE and DAWGZ DFW RNAV STARs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAINE and DAWGZ - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Sectors 37, 38 and 42</td>
<td>* Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>**Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>** Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Sectors FE1, FE2, FW1, FW2 AR1, AR2, AR3, DR2 and DN</td>
<td>** James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>** Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Northeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* STARs: BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, FINGR, HIBIL, SANGR, SASIE, SEEVR, SLANT and TRYST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Purpose

The Study Team identified an issue with the limited use of low-side dual/offload routes due to confined vectoring airspace. High-side dual/offload arrival routes were typically not in use due to the complexity for DAL departures trying to climb above the dual/offload routes and the sequencing of dual/offloads into one arrival stream if dual/offloads are not feeding separate runways.

## Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended creating RNAV STARs, for both primary and offload (dual) DFW arrivals, with runway transitions to facilitate more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side and west side to east side. The Study Team proposed the introduction of runway transitions on the proposed RNAV OPD STARs in order to more effectively manage high-side dual routes. The RNAV routes and transitions provide more
predictable routing, thereby facilitating more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side and west to east; incorporate PBN concepts for the stakeholders; result in a reduction in phraseology for controllers; and should allow optimization of TMA on the dual routes.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.
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Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
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Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation, South Flow

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the creation of two new RNAV STARs, named CAINE and DAWGZ to replace the JONEZ STAR. The proposed designs are slightly modified from the Study Team design by moving a transition to start at PONNY waypoint to align with the ZFW Sector 50 boundary and with the addition of enroute transitions at RIDDE and AXXEE waypoints. After crossing SASIE waypoint, north flow arrivals will transition to CARBS waypoint for the downwind, and in south flow DAWGZ waypoint for the base leg.
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The Design Team did not include the crossover procedure to the west side of DFW as proposed by the Study Team. Industry partners suggested it would not be beneficial to publish this as the procedure is seldom used today. Additionally, based on current FAA Order 7110.65 guidance dated February 9, 2012, the use of runway transitions is not practical for this procedure.

These proposed RNAV STARs will reduce miles flown, reduce controller and pilot task complexity, and increase flight path predictability.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed CAINE and DAWGZ RNAV STARs.

![Diagram of CAINE and DAWGZ RNAV STARs]

**Figure 3. CAINE and DAWGZ RNAV STARs**

**Additional Design Considerations**

ZFW identified periods when the use of dual STARs was not permitted by D10, which led to intrail vectoring in their airspace. D10 advised that the use of dual STARs was often dictated by departure demand. It is difficult to climb above the arrivals using pre-coordinated climb areas when there are two streams of traffic in this area. D10 also identified the difficulty of merging a dual arrival stream into a single arrival stream in their airspace, which is required if the two streams are not feeding separate runways.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.
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Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed CAINE and DAWGZ RNAV STARs.

The DAWGZ RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 9,000 feet and 250 knots at SASIE.

The CAINE RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 12,000 feet at SASIE.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed CAINE and DAWGZ RNAV STARs.

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **CAINE**: This procedure shares waypoints with BRDJE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, SANGR, SEEVR, SLANT and TRYST RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the SASIE STAR at SASIE. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs in north flow.

- **DAWGZ**: This procedure shares waypoints with BRDJE, CAINE, HIBIL, SANGR, SEEVR, SLANT and TRYST RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the FINGR and SASIE STARs at SASIE.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. CAINE TARGETS Distribution Package
2. DAWGZ TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GIBBI and SHAAM - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>× Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 47 and 75</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR1, AR2, AR3, AR5, FE1, FE2, FW1, FW2, MN and MNH</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>•</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albuquerque ARTCC (ZAB)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Northwest Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BOWIE, DEBBS, GIBBI, GREGS, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZ and WSTEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified an issue with the limited use of low-side dual/offload routes due to confined vectoring airspace. High-side dual/offload arrival routes were typically not in use due to the complexity for DAL departures trying to climb above the dual/offload routes and the sequencing of dual/offloads into one arrival stream if dual/offloads are not feeding separate runways.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended creating RNAV STARs, for both primary and offload (dual) DFW arrivals, with runway transitions to facilitate more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side and west side to east side. The Study Team proposed the introduction of runway transitions on the proposed RNAV OPD STARs in order to more effectively manage high-side dual routes. The RNAV routes and transitions provide more predictable routing, thereby facilitating more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side and west to east; incorporate PBN concepts for the stakeholders; result in a reduction in phraseology for controllers; and should allow optimization of traffic management advisor on the dual routes.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.
Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
Proposed Design

The North Texas Design Team is proposing the creation of two new RNAV STARs, named GIBBI and SHAAM to replace the MASTY STAR. The proposed designs are similar in design to the MASTY STAR, incorporating additional enroute transitions, merging at GREGS waypoint and incorporating a more direct route from the FAWNT and LUDWG waypoints. In south flow on the SHAAM RNAV STAR after GREGS aircraft will proceed to ROWDI waypoint before turning east on to the base leg. In north flow on the GIBBI RNAV STAR after GREGS aircraft will continue to GIBBI waypoint then expect vectors to the downwind. The addition of the enroute transitions will provide a more predictable routing for traffic arriving from ZAB.
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The Design Team did not include the crossover procedure to the east side of DFW as proposed by the Study Team. Industry partners suggested it would not be beneficial to publish this as the procedure is seldom used today. Additionally, based on current FAA Order 7110.65 guidance dated February 9, 2012, the use of runway transitions is not practical for this procedure.

These procedures will reduce controller and pilot task complexity.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed GIBBI and SHAAM RNAV STARs.

![Diagram of proposed GIBBI and SHAAM RNAV STARs and MASTY STAR](image)

Figure 3. Proposed GIBBI and SHAAM RNAV STARs and MASTY STAR

**Additional Design Considerations**

ZFW identified periods when the use of dual STARs was not permitted by D10, which led to intrail vectoring in their airspace. D10 advised that the use of dual STARs was often dictated by departure demand. It is difficult to climb above the arrivals using pre-coordinated climb areas when there are two streams of traffic in this area. D10 also identified the difficulty of merging a dual arrival stream into a single arrival stream in their airspace, which is required if the two streams are not feeding separate runways.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed GIBBI and SHAAM RNAV STARs.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW STARs, GIBBI and SHAAM DFW RNAV STARs

GIBBI will have a crossing restriction at 12,000 feet and 280 knots at GREGS established via Letter of Agreement. The crossing restriction on the primary STAR will not change when the GIBBI RNAV STAR is in use.

SHAAM will have a crossing restriction at 9,000 feet and 250 knots at GREGS established via Letter of Agreement.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed GIBBI and SHAAM RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **GIBBI**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the DEBBB, JOVEM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR. It has altitude dependencies with the ALIAN, HRPER, KATZZ, HUDAD and WSTEX RNAV SIDs in north flow.

- **SHAAM**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the DEBBB, JOVEM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10 and ZAB will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. GIBBI TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SHAAM TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date 8/22/13

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date 8/22/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAWLZ and TILLA - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 62 and 65</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors MS, FW1, FW2, DR2, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Southwest Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BACHR, BOOVE GLEN ROSE, KNEAD, LIKES, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK, SWAVY and TILLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: ALIAN, HUDAD, HRPER, KATZZ and WSTEX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified an issue with the limited use of low-side dual/offload routes due to confined vectoring airspace. High-side dual/offload arrival routes were typically not in use due to the complexity for DAL departures trying to climb above the dual/offload routes and the sequencing of dual/offloads into one arrival stream if dual/offloads are not feeding separate runways.

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team recommended creating RNAV STARs, for both primary and offload (dual) DFW arrivals, with runway transitions to facilitate more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side and west side to east side. The Study Team
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proposed the introduction of runway transitions on the proposed RNAV OPD STARs in order to more effectively manage high-side dual routes. The RNAV routes and transitions provide more predictable routing, thereby facilitating more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side and west to east; incorporate PBN concepts for the stakeholders; result in a reduction in phraseology for controllers; and should allow optimization of traffic management advisor on the dual routes.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.

Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
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Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation, South Flow

Proposed Design

The North Texas Design Team is proposing the creation of two new RNAV STARs, named PAWLZ and TILLA replacing the JUMBO STAR. The proposed designs incorporate two enroute transitions starting at BIGTO and DITSY waypoints. The enroute transitions will join at KNEAD waypoint, where arrivals on the PAWLZ RNAV STAR will continue to PAWLZ waypoint to join the base in north flow, or arrivals on the TILLA RNAV STAR will continue to STURN waypoint to join the downwind in south flow. The enroute transition at BIGTO will allow for all traffic arriving from ZAB and ZHU to have a predictable route of flight when using this dual arrival route. The transition from the south was shortened to start at DITSY to allow for arrivals from the south to fly a more direct route towards DFW.
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The Design Team did not include the crossover procedure to the east side of DFW as proposed by the Study Team. Industry partners suggested it would not be beneficial to publish this as the procedure is seldom used today. Additionally, based on current FAA Order 7110.65 guidance dated February 9, 2012, the use of runway transitions is not practical for this procedure.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed PAWLZ and TILLA RNAV STARs.

![Diagram of proposed STARs]

**Figure 3. Proposed PAWLZ and TILLA RNAV STARs and JUMBO STAR**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed PAWLZ and TILLA RNAV STARs.

PAWLZ will have a crossing restriction at 11,000 feet at KNEAD waypoint established via Letter of Agreement.

TILLA will have a crossing restriction at 12,000 feet at KNEAD established via Letter of Agreement.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DFW STARs, PAWLZ and TILLA DFW RNAV STARs

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed PAWLZ and TILLA RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **PAWLZ**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BACHR, BOOVE, NRTAY, SOCKK, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BOOVE, LIKES and SOCKK RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE STAR.

- **TILLA**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BACHR, BOOVE, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK and SWVAY RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BOOVE, LIKES and SOCKK RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE STAR. It has altitude dependencies with the ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX RNAV SIDs in south flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes for transfer of control points. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10 will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. PAWLZ TARGETS Distribution Package
2. TILLA TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  8/22/13
North Texas OAPM  Date  Ed Hulsey  8/22/13
FAA Lead

Date

Robert Ellis  8/22/13
Fort Worth Center OAPM  Date  Jon Shedden  8/22/13
Facility Lead  Fort Worth Center OAPM  NATCA Lead

Date

James Harlan  8/22/13
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  Date  Ed Rivas  8/22/13
Facility Lead  Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  NATCA Lead

Date
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAWLZ and CABBY - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>☑️ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 29 and 89</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors FW1, FW2, FE1, FE2, AR1, AR2, AR3, AR5, AR6 and DE</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Southeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BAWLZ, CABBY, CEDAR CREEK, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNND0, REDDN, REEKO, WHNY and YEAGR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified an issue with the limited use of low-side dual routes due to confined vectoring airspace. High-side dual arrival routes were typically not in use due to the complexity for DAL departures trying to climb above the dual routes and the sequencing of duals into one arrival stream if duals are not feeding separate runways.

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team recommended creating RNAV STARs, for both primary and dual DFW arrivals, with runway transitions to facilitate more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side, and west side to east side. The Study Team proposed
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the introduction of runway transitions on the proposed RNAV OPD STARs in order to more effectively manage high-side dual routes. The RNAV routes and transitions provide more predictable routing, thereby facilitating more predictable management of dual STARs and crossing aircraft from east side to west side, and west to east; incorporate PBN concepts for the stakeholders; result in a reduction in phraseology for controllers; and should allow optimization of TMA on the dual routes.

Figure 1 depicts recommended RNAV routes, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts recommended RNAV routes, south flow

Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
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Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation, South Flow

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs named BAWLZ and CABBY for traffic offloaded from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs during times of high traffic volume. These procedures will be ATC-assigned only. These procedures will replace the JAGGO STAR. A total of four enroute transitions starting at PUDJE, MERKK, CRIED and CHEVE waypoints are incorporated into the RNAV STARs. The proposed procedure design is similar to the JAGGO STAR and procedurally de-conflicted from the proposed KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs. The Design Team did not include the crossover procedure to the west side of DFW as proposed by the Study Team. Industry partners suggested it would not be beneficial to publish this as the procedure is seldom
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used today. Additionally, based on current FAA Order 7110.65 guidance dated February 9, 2012, the use of runway transitions is not practical for this procedure.

These proposed RNAV STARs will reduce miles flown, increase flight path predictability and decrease controller and pilot task complexity.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed BAWLZ and CABBY RNAV STARs.

![Diagram of proposed STARs]

Figure 3. Proposed BAWLZ and CABBY RNAV STARs and JAGGO THREE STAR

Additional Design Considerations

ZFW identified periods when the use of dual STARs was not permitted by D10, which led to intrail vectoring in their airspace. D10 advised that the use of dual STARs was often dictated by departure demand. It is difficult to climb above the arrivals using pre-coordinated climb areas when there are two streams of traffic in this area. D10 also identified the difficulty of merging a dual arrival stream into a single arrival stream in their airspace, which is required if the two streams are not feeding separate runways.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed CABBY and BAWLZ RNAV STARs.
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The CABBY RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 14,000 feet and 280 knots at DODJE waypoint. The crossing restriction on the primary STAR will not change when the CABBY RNAV STAR is in use.

The BAWLZ RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction of 11,000 feet and 250 knots at DODJE in a north flow.

These procedures will be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed BAWLZ and CABBY RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **CABBY**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNND0, REDDN, REEEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs in south flow.

- **BAWLZ**: This procedure shares waypoints with the CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNND0, REDDN, REEEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will need to be modified. The ZFW Letter of Agreement with D10 will need to be modified for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. BAWLZ TARGETS Distribution Package
2. CABBY TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures
The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead

8/22/13
Date

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead

8/22/13
Date

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead

8/22/13
Date

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead

8/22/13
Date

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead

8/22/13
Date

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead

8/22/13
Date
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BACHR and NRTAY - DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Terminal Procedure STAR | ☑ Preliminary Design (PD)  
☑ Operational Design (OD)  
☑ Operational Design Complete (ODC)  
☑ Proposed Final Design (PFD)  
☒ Final Design (FD) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions 1, 7 and 9</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)  
  • Sectors 61, 62, 63 and 65  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)  
  • Sectors MS, DR2, DS, DN and AR7  
ARTCCs  
  • Albuquerque (ZAB) and Kansas City (ZKC)  
TRACONS  
  • Abilene (ABI), Midland (MAF), San Angelo (SJT) and Waco (ACT)  
Gray RAPCON (GRK) | ZFW  
  • Robert Ellis  
  • Jon Shedden  
D10  
  • James Harlan  
  • Ed Rivas |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Airspace Design Packages  
  • Southwest Corner Post | NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs |
| Procedure Design Packages  
  • STARs: BACHR, BOOVE, CHUKK, EESAT, GLEN ROSE, KNEAD, LIKES, NRTAY, PAWLZ, REEKO, SOCKK, SWAVY and TILLA  
  • DAL RNP-AR Approaches |
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Purpose

The Study Team identified two issues that impact DAL. The first issue was in-trail delivery of jet arrivals to Dallas Love Field (DAL) does not allow full benefit of Traffic Management Advisor. The second issue was low altitude level segments on arrival.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended the segregation of DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic at all corner posts by creating RNAV STARs with the creation of the SLUGG RNAV STAR.

Figure 1 depicts the SLUGG RNAV STAR, south flow.

Figure 2 depicts the SLUGG RNAV STAR, north flow.

![Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, South Flow](image-url)
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Figure 2. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs, BACHR and NRTAY, as an alternative to the Study Team’s recommendation to implement the SLUGG RNAV STAR. Both BACHR and NRTAY RNAV STARs will be Optimized Profile Descents (OPDs). After extensive examination and analysis of the Study Team’s SLUGG RNAV STAR recommendation the Design Team concluded it was not operationally or procedurally feasible to implement it for DAL. A transition from ACT was added for low sector arrivals.

The BACHR RNAV STAR merges over KNEAD waypoint. The procedure continues past KNEAD to the northeast tying into the REDDN RNAV STAR to enter a left downwind for DAL Runway (RWY) 13 Right (R) and Left (L). This route will deliver the DAL arrivals into the DFW Class B airspace sooner than today’s current conventional procedure when arriving over KNEAD, enhancing safety. The Design Team’s proposal will segregate the DFW and DAL arrivals. This procedure terminates in an FM leg associated with the proposed Required Navigation Performance-Authorization Required (RNP-AR) procedure or aircraft will receive vectors for sequencing.

The NRTAY RNAV STAR continues past KNEAD to the northeast and then turns north bound, ending at WASDI waypoint on the base leg to DAL RWY31L/R. D10 controllers will then vector aircraft and sequence them with DAL arrival traffic from the southeast corner from ORVLL waypoint. This route closely follows today’s current conventional route that DAL
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aircraft are frequently vectored to when arriving over KNEAD in a north flow. This procedure terminates in an FM leg associated with the proposed Required Navigation Performance-Authorization Required (RNP-AR) procedure or aircraft will receive vectors for sequencing.

The proposed designs will segregate the DFW and DAL arrivals over the southwest corner, increase flight path predictability, and reduce controller task complexity.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed BACHR RNAV STAR.

Figure 4 depicts the proposed NRTAY RNAV STAR.

Figure 3. Proposed BACHR RNAV STAR and KNEAD STAR
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Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed BACHR and NRTAY RNAV STARs.

A crossing restriction at KNEAD between 10,000 and 11,000 feet at 250 knots will be established on the BACHR RNAV STAR.

A crossing restriction at KNEAD at 12,000 feet at 250 knots will be established on the NRTAY RNAV STAR.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.
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Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed BACHR and NRTAY RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **BACHR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BOOVE, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BOOVE, CHUKK, LIKES and SOCKK RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE STAR. It ties into the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 13 L/R.

- **NRTAY**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BACHR, BOOVE, PAWLZ, REEKO, SWVAY, TILLA and SOCKK RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BOOVE, LIKES and SOCKK RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE STAR. It ties into the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 31 L/R.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZHU, ZAB, MAF, ACT, SJT and ABI TRACONs and GRK RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. BACHR TARGETS Distribution Package
2. NRTAY TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead  

Date 8/22/13

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead

Date 8/24/13

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead

Date 8/24/13

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead

Date 8/22/13

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead

Date 8/20/13
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HIBIL and TRYST - DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 1</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 27, 37, 38, 42, 50, 53, 83 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR7, DE and DN</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Memphis (ZME) and Kansas City (ZKC)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oklahoma City (OKC), Tulsa (TUL) and Razorback (RZC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Northeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINGR, HIBIL, SANGR, SASIE,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEEVR, SLANT, TRYST and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WILBR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAL RNP-AR Approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purpose

The Study Team identified an issue with in-trail delivery of turbojet arrivals to Dallas Love Field (DAL) as it does not allow full benefit of traffic management advisor.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommends the segregation of DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic at all corner posts by creating RNAV STARs. Those STARs would also be designed to attempt to reduce flying miles for users. Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR.

![Diagram of RNAV STARs in North Texas OAPM Design Package](image)

Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North and South Flow

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs named HIBIL and TRYST. Both HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs will be Optimized Profile Descents (OPDs). The proposed procedures provide more direct routing from the existing Fort Smith (FSM), Little Rock (LIT) and Will Rogers (IRW) enroute transitions. It is anticipated that arrivals over TUL will be given more direct routes to FINGR waypoint as they frequently are today. This routing will also allow arrivals over HITUG waypoint to remain higher longer rather than being descended to a lower altitude below the DFW arrivals.

The proposed design continues past FINGR to SLANT waypoint, and then to waypoints TRYST or HIBIL, depending on the flow. After TRYST or HIBIL, aircraft will join the Required Navigation Performance-Authorization Required (RNP-AR) procedure or receive vectors for sequencing. The Design Team’s proposal will segregate the DFW and DAL arrival turbojets and will procedurally separate the DAL turbojets from the DFW Primary and Dual routes over the northeast corner in a north or south flow. The JETTT and VENUE waypoints will be used to get
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DAL arrivals under DFW arrivals. This route closely follows today’s conventional route for
DAL aircraft being vectored to arrive over FINGR in a north or south flow. Aircraft coming
from Sector 53 to 37 at FL 190 - FL230 will be routed on the AIRRE transition. Aircraft coming
from Sector 27 to 37 at or below FL230 will be routed on the RRONY transition. Aircraft
coming from Sector 38 to 37 at FL 190 - FL230 will be routed on the AXXEE transition.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs.

![Diagram of North Texas OAPM Design Package]

Figure 2. Proposed HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs and FINGR STAR

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the
proposed HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway
usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.
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Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed HIBIL and TRYST RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **TRYST**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, SANGR, SEEVR and SLANT RNAV STARs and the SASIE and WILBR STARs. It is laterally separated from the BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the FINGR, SASIE and WILBR STARs. It ties into the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 31 L/R.

- **HIBIL**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, TRYST, SANGR, SEEVR and SLANT RNAV STARs and the SASIE and WILBR STARs. It is laterally separated from the BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the FINGR, SASIE and WILBR STARs. It ties into the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 13 L/R.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZKC and TUL, FSM, RZC, and OKC TRACONs will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. HIBIL TARGETS Distribution Package
2. TRYST TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead  

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MNND0 and REDDN - DAL</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions 1 and 2</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors 29, 30, 52, 83, 86, 89 and 96</td>
<td>- Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors DE, DS, DN and AR-7</td>
<td>- Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sectors DE, DS, DN and AR-7</td>
<td>- Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>- James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Longview (GGG), Monroe (MLU), Shreveport (SHV) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td>- Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fort Polk (POE) RAPCON</td>
<td>- David Salapata</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS Files (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Southeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- STARs: BAWLZ, CABBY, CEDAR CREEK, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNSDR, MNND0, REDDN, REEK0, WHINY and YEAGR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- DAL RNP-AR Approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The North Texas Study Team identified two issues associated with arrivals over the Southeast Corner Post to DAL and Satellite airports. The first issue was with the DUMPY STAR creating a merge of traffic at the DUMPY fix. ZFW is required to manage the merge of arrival flows to
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East Satellite airports and Dallas Love Field (DAL) at DUMPY over ORVLL and YEAGR waypoints to ensure in-trail separation of aircraft at DUMPY. The mix of aircraft types and the number of different airports that are sequenced into this arrival can make it difficult to provide proper in-trail spacing over DUMPY.

The second issue was with in-trail delivery of turbojet arrivals to Dallas Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) and Dallas Love Field (DAL) as it does not allow full benefit of Traffic Management Advisor.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended the segregation of DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic and the creation of new RNAV STARs to eliminate the merge at DUMPY fix. The first RNAV STAR was over YEAGR for east satellite turbojets and props, and in a south Flow only for DFW props. The second RNAV STAR was over ORVLL for traffic arriving to DAL. The Study Team determined the segregation of DAL arrivals over ORVLL will allow for the enhanced metering of traffic and eliminate the need for segregating traffic over DUMPY fix. The proposed YEAGR RNAV STAR was offset and parallel to the proposed ORVLL RNAV STAR.

Turbojet and propeller traffic for the satellite airports need to be segregated from the primary DFW RNAV STARs and the DAL RNAV STARs to allow for Optimized Profile Descents (OPD) for DFW turbojet traffic, and to create predictable and repeatable flight paths for all satellite arrivals. This proposed design will accomplish these requirements.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended YEAGR and ORVLL RNAV STARs
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Proposed Design

The Design Team is proposing the creation of two new RNAV STARs, named MNND0 and REDDN. Both MNND0 and REDDN RNAV STARs will be Optimized Profile Descents (OPDs). The proposed RNAV STARs will segregate the flow of traffic over the Southeast Corner Post in north and south flow eliminating the need to sequence a merge of traffic over the DUMPY waypoint. The MNND0 RNAV STAR incorporates runway transitions to Runway (RWY) 31 Left (L) and Right (R). This procedure terminates in an FM leg associated with the proposed Required Navigation Performance-Authorization Required (RNP-AR) procedure or aircraft will receive vectors for sequencing. The MNND0 RNAV STAR is procedurally de-conflicted from the YEAGR RNAV STAR, except when ATC assigns DAL arrivals the transition over YEAGR. This will be done on a non-interference basis with east satellite arrivals.

These proposed procedures are slightly modified from the Study Team recommendation to enhance traffic metering, reduce controller task complexity, increase flight path predictability and reduce miles flown.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed REDDN RNAV STAR

Figure 3 depicts the proposed MNND0 RNAV STAR
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Figure 2. Proposed REDDN RNAV STAR and DUMPY STAR
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Figure 3. Proposed MNNDO RNAV STAR and DUMPY STAR

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed MNNDO and REDDN RNAV STARs.

These procedures will have a crossing restriction at 9,000 feet at ORVLL waypoint. In south flow only, traffic arriving DAL may be sent direct REDDN waypoint (if there are no conflicts with East Satellite arrivals over YEAGR waypoint) at 8,000 feet. This transition will be ATC-assigned only.

For north flow only, traffic arriving DAL may be sent direct MNNDO waypoint (if there are no conflicts with East Satellite arrivals over YEAGR). Aircraft will cross YEAGR at 9,000 feet and MNNDO at 6,000 feet. This transition will be ATC-assigned only.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.
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Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed MNND0 and REDDN RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **MNND0**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, REDDN, REEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs. This procedure terminates in an FM leg associated with the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 31 L/R.

- **REDDN**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNND0, REEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs. This procedure terminates in an FM leg associated with the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 13 L/R.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZHU and GGG, MLU, SHV and ACT TRACONs and POE RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

1. MNND0 TARGETS Distribution Package
2. REDDN TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL STARs, MNND0 and REDDN DAL RNAV STARs

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead
# North Texas OAPM Design Package

**DAL STARs, NANDR DAL RNAV STAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NANDR- DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✗ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions 1 and 7</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 34, 47, 48, 53, 75, 93 and 94</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR7, DN, DS, DR1, DR2, DR3, MN and MNH</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Albuquerque (ZAB) and Kansas City (ZKC)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPCONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fort Sill (FSI) and Sheppard (SPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City (OKC) TRACON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Northwest Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• En Route Airspace Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BOWIE, DEBBB, GIBBI, GREGS, JFRYE, JOVEM, SHAAM and WESAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAL RNP-AR Approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL STARs, NANDR DAL RNAV STAR

Purpose

The Study Team identified two issues impacting DAL. The first issue was in-trail delivery of turbojet arrivals to Dallas Love Field (DAL) does not allow full benefit of Traffic Management Advisor. The second issue was low altitude level segments on arrival.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended the segregation of DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic at all corner posts by creating RNAV STARs with the creation of MOTZA RNAV STAR.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, north flow.

Figure 2 depicts the recommended RNAV STAR, south flow.

---

Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation, North Flow
Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of the NANDR RNAV STAR to DAL, as an alternative to the Study Team's recommendation to implement the MOTZA RNAV STAR. After extensive examination and analysis of the Study Team's MOTZA RNAV STAR recommendation the Design Team concluded it was not procedurally feasible to implement it for DAL in a north or south flow. The proposed NANDR RNAV STAR will have five enroute transitions that merge over GREGS waypoint. In a north flow, arrivals on the NANDR RNAV STAR continue southeast bound after GREGS to SWYFT waypoint providing several shortcuts over the current GREGS STAR. In south flow, arrivals will fly the JFRYE RNAV STAR.

The Design Team's proposal will segregate the DFW and DAL arrival turbojets and procedurally de-conflict the DAL turbojets from the DFW Primary and Dual routes over the Northwest Corner
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL STARs, NANDR DAL RNAV STAR

Post. In a north flow, altitude restrictions at or below 7,000 feet at NANDR waypoint and crossing CROEW waypoint at 5,000 feet will procedurally de-conflict this STAR from DFW and DAL north bound departures. This route closely follows today’s conventional route that DAL aircraft are frequently vectored to when arriving over GREGS in a north flow.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed NANDR RNAV STAR.

Figure 3. Proposed NANDR RNAV STAR and GREGS STAR

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed NANDR RNAV STAR.

These procedures will only be used by turbojet traffic, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

The NANDR RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 10,000 to 11,000 feet at 250 knots at GREGS.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
DAL STARs, NANDR DAL RNAV STAR

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed NANDR RNAV STAR.

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **NANDR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JFRYE, JOVEM, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR. This procedure terminates in an FM leg associated with the DAL RNP-AR Approach to Runway 31 L/R.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZAB, ZKC, FSI and SPS RAPCONs and OKC TRACON will need to be modified for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

NANDR TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Jon Sheddin
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date
North Texas OAPM Design Package  
Satellite STARs, CHUKK, EESAT and REEKO SAT RNAV STARs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CHUKK, EESAT and REEKO – Satellite Airports</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</strong></td>
<td><strong>ZFW</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 29, 30, 52, 83, 86, 89 and 96</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</strong></td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DE, DN, DS, AR7, AR8 and MS</td>
<td><strong>D10</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARTCCs</strong></td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRACONs</strong></td>
<td><strong>ZHU</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Longview (GGG), Monroe (MLU), Shreveport (SHV) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td>• Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fort Polk (POE) RAPCON</strong></td>
<td>• David Salapata</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airspace Design Packages</strong></td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Southeast Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• En Route Airspace</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure Design Packages</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BACHR, BAWLZ, CABBY, CEDAR CREEK, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNNDO, NRTAY, REDDN, REEKO, SWAVY, WHINY and YEAGR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Satellite STARs, CHUKK, EESAT and REEKO SAT RNAV STARs

Purpose

The North Texas Study Team identified three issues associated to arrivals over the Southeast Corner Post to DAL and Satellite airports. The first issue was with the DUMPY STAR creating a merge of traffic at the DUMPY waypoint. ZFW is required to manage the merge of arrival flows to East Satellite airports and Dallas Love Field (DAL) at DUMPY over ORVLL and YEAGR waypoints to ensure in-trail separation of aircraft at DUMPY. The mix of aircraft types and the number of different airports that are sequenced into this arrival can make it difficult to provide proper in-trail spacing over DUMPY. The second was low altitude routing for turbojets to Satellite airports, including DAL.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended the segregation of DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic and the creation of new RNAV STARs to eliminate the merge at DUMPY (see Figure 1). The first RNAV STAR was over YEAGR for east satellite turbojets and props, and in a south flow only for DFW props. The second RNAV STAR was over ORVLL for traffic arriving to DAL. The Study Team determined the segregation of DAL arrivals over ORVLL will allow for the enhanced metering of traffic and eliminate the need for segregating traffic over DUMP. The proposed YEAGR RNAV STAR was offset and parallel to the proposed ORVLL RNAV STAR.

Turbojet and propeller traffic for the Satellite airports need to be segregated from the primary DFW RNAV STARs and the DAL RNAV STARs to allow for Optimized Profile Descents (OPD) for DFW turbojet traffic, and to create predictable and repeatable flight paths for all satellite arrivals. This proposed design will accomplish these requirements.

The second Study Team Recommendation to create crisscrossing STARs delivering turbojet traffic inbound Satellite airports eliminating the low altitude level-offs. Initial calculations indicate that there is a small benefit in estimated fuel savings; however, over-all estimates indicate a cost is associated with this concept.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended ORVLL and YEAGR RNAV STARs
North Texas OAPM Design Package
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Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of three new RNAV STARS, named CHUKK, REEKO, and EESAT for D10 Satellite arrivals over the Southeast Corner Post. The EESAT and CHUKK RNAV STARS will be procedurally de-conflicted from the proposed MNNDO RNAV STAR providing several shortcuts as compared to the current DUMMY STAR. These proposed designs will provide service to the following destination airports:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. East Satellite Airports

Aircraft routed over the CHEVE transition will be at or below FL230. Aircraft routed from ACT TRACON will be routed over FIATT waypoint. Aircraft routed from GGG TRACON will be routed over ZEKKE waypoint. Aircraft routed from SHV TRACON will be routed over MRPHI waypoint. Aircraft routed from POE TRACON will be routed over YEAGR. Aircraft routed from MLU TRACON will be routed over MRPHI.

The REEKO RNAV will transition over DODJE waypoint. After DODJE the procedure continues past DODJE to SCOPS waypoint, where radar vectors will be given to the following destination airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. West Satellite Airports

This route closely follows the current route that West satellite arrivals are frequently vectored to when arriving over DODJE in north and south flows. Aircraft routed over the CHEVE transition will be at or below FL230. Aircraft routed from ACT TRACON will be routed over GEEMC waypoint. Aircraft routed from GGG, SHV and MLU TRACON will be routed over VEDUB waypoint. Aircraft routed from POE TRACON will be routed over DODJE.
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These RNAV STARs are procedurally de-conflicted from DFW and DAL arrival flows. In addition, the designs will increase flight path predictability and reduce controller and pilot task complexity.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed EESAT and CHUKK RNAV STARs.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed REEKO RNAV STAR.

Figure 2. CHUKK and EESAT RNAV STARS and DUMMY STAR
**North Texas OAPM Design Package**  
**Satellite STARs, CHUKK, EESAT and REEKO SAT RNAV STARs**

![Diagram of North Texas OAPM Design Package](image)

**Figure 3. REEKO RNAV STAR and DODJE STAR**

**Additional Design Considerations**

The Team determined the Study Team’s proposal to crisscross traffic was not feasible because it had the potential to increase controller task complexity and mileage flown.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed CHUKK, EESAT and REEKO RNAV STARs.

The EESAT and CHUKK STARs will have crossing restrictions at 9,000 feet at YEAGR for turbojet aircraft, and 6,000 and 7,000 feet for all prop aircraft established via Letter of Agreement. The chart will have turbojets at 250 knots and expect 9,000 feet at YEAGR.

The REEKO STAR will have a crossing restriction of 12,000 feet and 250 knots at DODJE for turbojet aircraft in a north flow. A crossing restriction of 9,000 feet and 250 knots at DODJE for turbojet aircraft and 6,000, 7,000 and 8,000 feet for all prop aircraft at DODJE will be in place in a south flow.
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These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and are not anticipated to modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed CHUKK, EESAT and REEKO RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **CHUKK**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, EESAT, KLNDR, NRTAY, MNND0, REDDN, REEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs and the YEAGR STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BACHR, KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs.

- **EESAT**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, KLNDR, NRTAY, MNND0, REDDN, REEKO and WHINY RNAV STARs and the YEAGR STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs.

- **REEKO**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, NRTAY, MNND0, REDDN, SWVAY and WHINY RNAV STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the KLNDR and WHINY RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK and YEAGR STARs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZHU and GGG, MLU, SHV and ACT TRACONs and POE RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. CHUKK TARGETS Distribution Package
2. EESAT TARGETS Distribution Package
3. REEKO TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures
The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date 8/22/13

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/22/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date 8/26/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/29/13

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date 8/3/13
# North Texas OAPM Design Package

## Satellite STARs, JFRYE and WESAT SAT RNAV STARs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JFRYE and WESAT– DAL/Satellites</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 1</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 34, 47, 48, 53, 75, 93 and 94</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors AR7, AR8, DN, DS, MN and MNH</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Albuquerque (ZAB) and Kansas City (ZKC)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAPCONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Fort Sill (FSI) and Sheppard (SPS)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lubbock (LBB) and Oklahoma (OKC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Airspace Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Northwest Corner Post</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• En Route Airspace Design</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Package</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BOWIE, DEBBB, GIBBI, GREGS, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SANGR, SHAAM and WESAT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SID: HUDAD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Satellite STARs, JFRYE and WESAT SAT RNAV STARs

Purpose

The Study Team identified two issues impacting the DFW Satellite airports. The first issue was with in-trail delivery of turbojet arrivals to Dallas Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) and Dallas Love Field (DAL) does not allow full benefit of Traffic Management Advisor. Satellite airport arrivals are also intermixed into this flow. The second issue was low altitude routing for turbojets to Satellite airports, including DAL.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended two solutions to address the issues identified. The first solution was to segregate DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic at all corner posts by creating RNAV STARs. Those STARs would also be designed to attempt to reduce flying miles for users. Additional traffic in Satellite Sectors’ airspace may require airspace sectors to be open more often than they are today.

Turbojet and propeller traffic for the Satellite airports need to be segregated from the primary DFW RNAV STARs and the DAL RNAV STARs to allow for Optimized Profile Descents (OPD) for DFW turbojet traffic, and to create predictable and repeatable flight paths for all satellite arrivals. This proposed design will accomplish these requirements.

The second Study Team Recommendation is to create crisscrossing STARs delivering turbojet traffic inbound satellite airports eliminating the low altitude level offs. Initial calculations indicate that there is a small benefit in estimated fuel savings; however, overall estimates indicate a cost is associated with this concept.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STARs.
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Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs, named JFRYE and WESAT. The WESAT RNAV STAR is over MOTZA waypoint and JFRYE RNAV STAR is over GREGS waypoint. The JFRYE RNAV STAR includes five enroute transitions merging at GREGS. After the GREGS, arrivals will continue to JFRYE waypoint, then given radar vectors to the following destination airports:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Love Field (props)</td>
<td>DAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Love Field (turbojets – south flow)</td>
<td>DAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. East Satellite and Dallas Love Airports

The WESAT RNAV STAR incorporates four enroute transitions merging at MOTZA waypoint. After MOTZA, arrivals will continue to WESAT, then given radar vectors to the following destination airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. West Satellite Airports

The designs will increase flight path predictability and decrease controller task complexity. Additionally, the Design Team's proposal will reduce pilot task complexity through incorporation of PBN.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed JFRYE RNAV STAR.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed WESAT RNAV STAR.
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Figure 2. Proposed JFRYE RNAV STAR and GREGS STAR
Additional Design Considerations

The Team determined the Study Team’s proposal to crisscross traffic was not feasible because it had the potential to increase controller task complexity and mileage flown.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed JFRYE and WESAT RNAV STARs.

The JFRYE RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 10,000 feet and 250 knots for turbojet arrivals, 6,000 and 7,000 feet for all prop arrivals, 8,000 feet for Denton Municipal Airport (DTO) turbojet arrivals and 4,000 feet for DTO all prop arrivals in a north flow at GREGS established via Letter of Agreement.

The WESAT RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 8,000 feet for turbojet arrivals, 6,000 and 7,000 feet for all prop arrivals at MOTZA established via Letter of Agreement.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.
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Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed JFRYE and WESAT RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **JFRYE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JOVEM, NANDR, SANGR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the DEBBB, JOVEM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR.

- **WESAT**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, SHAAM, GIBBI, JFRYE, JOVEM and NANDR RNAV STARs and the BOWIE and GREGS STARs. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the DEBBB, SHAAM, GIBBI, JFRYE and JOVEM RNAV STARs, the BOWIE STAR and the HUDAD RNAV SID.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZAB, ZKC, FSI and SPS RAPCONs and LBB and OKC TRACONs will need to be modified for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. JFRYE TARGETS Distribution Package
2. WESAT TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps 8/22/13
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey 8/22/13
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis 8/22/13
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden 8/22/13
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Harlan 8/22/13
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas 8/22/13
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead
# North Texas OAPM Design Package

**Satellite STARS, LIKES and SWVAY SAT RNAV STARs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport(s)</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIKES and SWVAY – Satellite Airports</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Change Classification

- **Terminal Procedure STAR**

### Current Phase of Design

- Preliminary Design (PD)
- Operational Design (OD)
- Operational Design Complete (ODC)
- Proposed Final Design (PFD)
- **Final Design (FD)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solutions 1 and 8</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors

- **Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)**
  - Sectors 61, 62, 63 and 65
- **Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)**
  - Sectors MS, MW, DN, DS and AR7
- **ARTCCs**
  - Albuquerque (ZAB) and Houston (ZHU)
- **TRACONs**
  - Abilene (ABI), Midland (MAF), San Angelo (SJT) and Waco (ACT)
- **Gray (GRK) RAPCON**

### Facility Points of Contact

- **ZFW**
  - Robert Ellis
  - Jon Shedden
- **D10**
  - James Harlan
  - Ed Rivas
- **ZHU**
  - Mike McGhee
  - David Salapata

### Related/Dependent Submissions

- **Airspace Design Packages**
  - Southwest Corner Post
- **Procedure Design Packages**
  - STARS: BACHR, BOOVE GLEN ROSE, KNEAD, LIKES, NRTAY PAWLZ, REEKO, SOCKK, SWAVY and TILLA

### Associated Data Files

- Master TARGETS File *(file extension name)*

## Purpose

The Study Team identified two issues impacting the DFW Satellite airports. The first issue was in-trail delivery of turbojet arrivals to Dallas Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) and Dallas Love Field (DAL) does not allow full benefit of Traffic Management Advisor. Satellite airport
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arrivals are also intermixed into this flow. The second issue was low altitude routing for
turbojets to Satellite airports, including DAL.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended two solutions to address the issues identified. The first solution
was to segregate DFW primary arrival flows from DAL turbojet arrival traffic at all corner posts
by creating RNAV STARs. Those STARs would also be designed to attempt to reduce flying
miles for users. Additional traffic in Satellite Sectors’ airspace may require airspace sectors to be
open more often than they are today.

Turbojet and propeller traffic for the Satellite airports need to be segregated from the primary
DFW RNAV STARs and the DAL RNAV STARs to allow for Optimized Profile Descents
(OPD) for DFW turbojet traffic, and to create predictable and repeatable flight paths for all
Satellite arrivals. This proposed design will accomplish these requirements.

The second Study Team Recommendation was to create crisscrossing STARs delivering turbojet
traffic inbound Satellite airports and eliminating the low altitude level-offs. Initial calculations
indicate that there is a small benefit in estimated fuel savings; however, over-all estimates
indicate a cost is associated with this concept.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STARs.
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Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs for Satellite arrivals; named LIKES and SWVAY. The LIKES RNAV STAR merges at SLUGG waypoint and continues to LIKES waypoint, where radar vectors will be given to the following destination airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Satellite Airports
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Satellite STARS, LIKES and SWVAY SAT RNAV STARs

The Design Team’s proposal will procedurally de-conflict the DFW primary traffic over BOOVE waypoint from the Satellite arrivals and increase flight path predictability. ACT TRACON and GRK RAPCON departures will be routed over FOSSL waypoint. ABI TRACON and SJT TRACON departures will go over MESHN waypoint.

SWVAY RNAV STAR merges at KNEAD waypoint and continues to SWVAY, where radar vectors will be given to the following destination airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Love Field (Props only)</td>
<td>DAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Satellite Airports

The Design Team's proposal will procedurally de-conflict the DFW Dual route traffic and DAL arrivals over KNEAD waypoint from the East Satellite arrivals in a south flow. In a north flow East Satellites and DAL arrivals over KNEAD will follow the same route. This route closely follows the current route that East Satellite arrivals are frequently vectored to when arriving over KNEAD in north and south flows. ACT TRACON and GRK RAPCON departures will be routed over FRSTI waypoint. ABI and SJT TRACON departures will go over SHLLY waypoint.

The proposed designs will assist in optimizing traffic metering at DFW and DAL, reduce controller and pilot task complexity, and increase flight path predictability.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed SWAVY RNAV STAR.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed LIKES RNAV STAR.
Figure 2. Proposed SWVAY RNAV STAR and KNEAD STAR
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Figure 3. Proposed LIKES RNAV STAR and SLUGG STAR

Additional Design Considerations

The Team determined the Study Team’s proposal to crisscross traffic was not feasible because it had the potential to increase controller task complexity and mileage flown.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed LIKES and SWAVY RNAV STARs.

The LIKES RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 6,000 feet at SLUGG waypoint in both flows for turbojet aircraft and 4,000 and 5,000 feet for all prop aircraft at SLUGG.

The SWVAY RNAV STAR in a north flow will have a crossing restriction at 12,000 feet and 250 knots at KNEAD for turbojet aircraft. The south flow will have a crossing restriction at 10,000 feet and 250 knots at KNEAD for turbojet aircraft and all prop aircraft will have a crossing restriction at 6,000 and 7,000 feet at KNEAD. CPT, FWS, GKY and GPM airports will have a crossing restriction of 5,000 feet at KNEAD for turbojets and 4,000 feet for all props.
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These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV- equipped aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed LIKES and SWAVY RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **SWVAY**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BACHR, BOOVE, NRTAY, PAWLZ, REEKO, SOCKK and TILLA RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BOOVE, LIKES and SOCKK RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE STAR.

- **LIKES**: This procedure is procedurally de-conflicted from the BACHR, BOOVE, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SWVAY, SOCKK and TILLA RNAV STARs and GLEN ROSE and KNEAD STARs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZHU and ZAB, ACT, MAF and SJT TRACONs and GRK RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. LIKES TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SWVAY TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Jop Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date

8/13/13
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SANGR and SLANT– Satellites</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change Classification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terminal Procedure STAR</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OAPM Study Team Reference(s)**  
Solution 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors**

- **Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)**
  - Sectors 27, 37, 38, 42, 50, 53, 83 and 90

- **Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)**
  - Sectors AR7, AR8, DN, DS, MN and MNH

- **ARTCCs**
  - Memphis (ZME) and Kansas City (ZKC)

- **TRACONs**
  - Oklahoma City (OKC), Tulsa (TUL), and Razorback (RZC)

**Facility Points of Contact**

- **ZFW**
  - Robert Ellis
  - Jon Shedden

- **D10**
  - James Harlan
  - Ed Rivas

**Related/Dependent Submissions**

- Airspace Design Packages
  - Northeast Corner Post
  - En Route Airspace Design

**Procedure Design Package**

- STARs: BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, JFRYE, SANGR, SASIE, SEEVR, SLANT and TRYST

**Associated Data Files**

- NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs

**Purpose**

The Study Team identified issue was low altitude routing for turbojets to Satellite airports.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team recommended to create crisscrossing STARs delivering turbojet traffic inbound Satellite airports eliminating the low altitude level-offs. Initial calculations indicate that there is a small benefit in estimated fuel savings; however, over-all estimates indicate a cost is associated with this concept.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNAV STARs.

![Diagram of RNAV STARs]

Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of two new RNAV STARs, named SANGR and SLANT. The SANGR RNAV STAR will be routed over the SASIE waypoint for west satellite arrivals and the SLANT RNAV STAR will be routed over the FINGR waypoint for east satellite arrivals.

The SANGR RNAV STAR will merge over CAINE waypoint then continue to SASIE, then BLECO waypoint where radar vectors will be given to the following destination airports:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. West Satellite Airports

This route is slightly north of the current route that west satellite arrivals are frequently vectored to when arriving over SASIE in north and south flows. In addition, the proposed transfer of the FRISCO Shelf airspace from ZFW to D10 will allow west satellite turbojets to enter terminal airspace sooner, resulting in additional efficiencies and benefits from terminal separation standards. Aircraft routed via the AXXEE transition will be at or below FL230. Aircraft coming from Sector 27 to 37 below FL230 will be routed via the RONEY transition.

The SLANT RNAV STAR used the FINGR STAR as a baseline including seven similar enroute transitions and eliminated the IRW and ADM transitions available on the FINGR STAR. After merging at the FINGR, the procedure will follow a similar design as the FINGR STAR ending at the SLANT waypoint. After SLANT, aircraft will depart heading 230 vectors for final to the following destination airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. East Satellite Airports

These new STARs will procedurally de-conflict satellite arrivals from DFW arrivals, will reduce controller task complexity and increase flight path predictability. Additionally, the procedure
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will reduce task complexity in the cockpit through incorporation of Performance-Based Navigation (PBN).

Figure 2 depicts the proposed SLANT RNAV STAR.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed SANGR RNAV STAR.

Figure 2. Proposed SLANT RNAV STAR and FINGR STAR
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Figure 3. Proposed SANGR RNAV STAR and SASIE STAR

Additional Design Considerations

The Design Team determined the Study Team’s proposal to crisscross traffic was not feasible because it had the potential to increase controller task complexity and mileage flown.

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

Spectrum analysis will be required for the proposed airspace changes associated with the proposed SANGR and SLANT RNAV STARs.

These procedures will be used by all types of RNAV-equipped aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

The SLANT RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 8,000 feet at FINGR for turbojet aircraft, and 6,000 and 7,000 feet for all prop aircraft established via Letter of Agreement.

The SANGR RNAV STAR will have a crossing restriction at 12,000 feet at SASIE for turbojet aircraft, and 5,000 and 6,000 feet for all prop aircraft established via Letter of Agreement.
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Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed SANGR and SLANT RNAV STARs.

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **SLANT**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, SANGR, SEEVR and TRYST RNAV STARs and the SASIE STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs at PLEZE waypoint and is laterally separated with BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the SASIE STAR.

- **SANGR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the TRYST, BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, JFREY, HIBIL, SEEVR and SLANT RNAV STARs and the SASIE STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted with BRDJE and SEEVR RNAV STARs and the SASIE STAR.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZKC and TUL, RZC, and OKC TRACONs will need to be modified for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

1. SANGR TARGETS Distribution Package
2. SLANT TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

Design Team Lead’s signatures indicate the appropriate coordination with any concerned parties has been conducted with regards to the changes/amendments listed on this document.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead
## North Texas OAPM Design Package

**Conventional STARs, BOWIE CONV STAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOWIE - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Change Classification**

| Terminal Procedure STAR |

**Current Phase of Design**

- [x] Final Design (FD)
- [ ] Preliminary Design (PD)
- [ ] Operational Design (OD)
- [ ] Operational Design Complete (ODC)
- [ ] Proposed Final Design (PFD)

**OAPM Study Team Reference(s)**

None

**Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors**

- **Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)**
  - Sectors 34, 47, 48, 53, 75, 93 and 94

- **Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)**
  - Sectors FW1, FW2, DR3, MN, AR1, AR2, AR3 and AR5

**ARTCCs**

- Albuquerque (ZAB) and Kansas City (ZKC)

**TRACONs**

- Lubbock (LBB) and Oklahoma City (OKC)

**RAPCONs**

- Fort Sill (FSI) and Sheppard (SPS)

**Related/Dependent Submissions**

- **Procedure Design Packages**
  - STARs: DEBBB, GIBBI, GREGS, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT
  - SIDs: ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX

**Facility Points of Contact**

- **ZFW**
  - Robert Ellis
  - Jon Shedden

- **D10**
  - James Harlan
  - Ed Rivas

**Publication Date**

24 July 2014

**Associated Data Files**

NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs

### Purpose

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures and airspace changes associated with them. However, there is a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the
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introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing modifications to the BOWIE STAR. The proposed design will modify the current procedure after the UKW NAVAID to align with the proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs.

After the UKW NAVAID, in south flow, arrivals will continue to BEWTS waypoint at 10,000 feet at 250 knots and then expect radar vectors after NCONA to final. In north flow, turbojet arrivals will continue to DEBBB waypoint at 280 knots, JOVEM waypoint at 270 knots, and HIKAY waypoint at 11,000 feet at 250 knots. All DFW prop arrivals will remain the same as today whether conventional or RNAV. After HIKAY, turbojet arrivals will continue to SILER waypoint at 11,000 feet at 220 knots, then will depart on a 175 heading and expect radar vectors to final. All props will depart HIKAY on a 145 heading for vectors to final. These designs will align the conventional procedure with the proposed DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs. Figure 1 depicts the proposed BOWIE STAR.
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![Diagram of BOWIE STARs](image)

**Figure 1. Proposed and Current BOWIE STARs**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

This procedure will be used by all types of aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

**Implementation Dependencies**

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **BOWIE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the GREGS STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the GIBBI, JFRYE, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs. It has altitude dependencies with the ALIAN, HRPER, KATZZ, HUDAD and WSTEX RNAV SIDs in north flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZAB, ZKC, SPS and FSI RAPCONs, and OKC and LBB TRACONs will require changes for handoffs, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.
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Attachments

BOWIE TARGETS Distribution Package
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Conventional STARs, BOWIE CONV STAR

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  8/22/13  Ed Hulse  8/22/13
North Texas OAPM  Date  North Texas OAPM  Date
FAA Lead

Robert Ellis  8/22/13  Jon Shedden  8/22/13
Fort Worth Center OAPM  Date  Fort Worth Center OAPM  Date
Facility Lead

James Harlan  8/22/13  Ed Rivas  8/22/13
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  Date  Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  Date
Facility Lead

NATCA Lead

NATCA Lead
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEDAR CREEK - DFW</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>× Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̶ Sectors 29 and 89</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̶ Sectors DS, DE, FW1, FW2, FE1, FE2, DR1, AR1, AR2, AR3, AR5 and AR6</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̶ Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>• Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td>• David Salapata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̶ Longview (GGG), Monroe (MLU), Shreveport (SHV) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk (POE) RAPCON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>̶ STARS: BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, KLNDR, MNNDO, REDDN, REEKO and YEAGR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures and airspace changes associated with the new procedures. However, there is still a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.
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Study Team Recommendation
The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design
The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the modification of the CEDAR CREEK STAR. In the enroute environment the GIFFA transition will be replaced by the CRIED transition. In north flow aircraft will depart HOWDY waypoint at 11,000 feet on a 280 heading and expect radar vectors to final. In south flow, aircraft will continue past HOWDY at 280 knots, cross WARDZ waypoint at 11,000 feet and 250 knots, instead of TACKE waypoint, then continue to DIETZ waypoint at 11,000 feet at 220 knots. DIETZ will be moved slightly northwest from today. After DIETZ, aircraft will depart on a 355 heading and expect radar vectors to final. Conventional arrivals will be handed off from ZFW to D10 in trail of RNAV arrivals. Conventional arrivals from ZHU Sectors 46 and 86 need to be moved to the enroute transition beginning at CRIED waypoint. All DFW prop arrivals will remain the same as today whether conventional or RNAV.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed CEDAR CREEK STAR.
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Additional Design Considerations
Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.
This procedure is not anticipated to change runway usage and does not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies
This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **CEDAR CREEK**: This procedure shares waypoints with the KLNDR RNAV STAR and the YEAGR STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, MNND0, REDDN and REEKO RNAV STARs. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs in south flow.

This proposed design is dependent upon the implementation of WHINY and KLNDR RNAV STARs. The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZHU, and GGG, MLU, POE, SHV, and ACT TRACONs will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments
CEDAR CREEK TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Conventional STARs, CEDAR CREEK CONV STAR

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  Date  Ed Hulsey  Date
North Texas OAPM  8/22/13  North Texas OAPM  8/22/13
FAA Lead

Robert Ellis  Date  Jon Shedden  Date
Fort Worth Center OAPM  8/22/13  Fort Worth Center OAPM  8/22/13
Facility Lead

James Harlan  Date  Ed Rivas  Date
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  8/22/13  Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  8/22/13
Facility Lead

Keith Brown  Date
Houston OAPM  8/13/13
NATCA Lead
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FINGR - Satellites</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Terminal Procedure STAR | □ Preliminary Design (PD)  
□ Operational Design (OD)  
□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)  
□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)  
☒ Final Design (FD) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 27, 37, 38, 42, 50, 53 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors FE1, FE2, DR1, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Kansas City (ZKC) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oklahoma City (OKC), Razorback (RZC) and Tulsa (TUL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARS: BRDJE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, SEEVR, TRYST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures, and airspace changes associated with them. However, there is a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the modification of the FINGR STAR for the following East Satellite airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. East Satellite Airports

The enroute transitions will remain the same. After the transitions merge at FINGR waypoint aircraft will depart on a heading of 230 for vectors to final approach course in north and south flows.

Crossing restrictions will mirror the HIBIL, SLANT and TRYST RNAV STARs.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed FINGR STAR.
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![Diagram of North Texas OAPM Design Package](image)

**Figure 1. Proposed FINGR STAR and Current FINGR STAR**

---

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

These procedures will be used by all types of aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

---

**Implementation Dependencies**

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **FINGR**: This procedure is procedurally de-conflicted with the BRDJE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, SEEVR and TRYST RNAV STARs. It shares the same crossing altitude at FINGR as the HIBIL RNAV STAR.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZKC, ZME, and OKC, TUL, and RZC TRACONs will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.
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Attachments

FINGR TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas  
Dallas Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead
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**Conventional STARS, GLEN ROSE CONV STAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLEN ROSE - DFW</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change Classification</strong></td>
<td><strong>Current Phase of Design</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>- Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Sectors 62 and 65</td>
<td>- Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Sectors FW1, FW2, DR3, AR1 AR2, AR3 and MS</td>
<td>- James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>- Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Albuquerque (ZAB) and Houston (ZHU)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Abilene (ABI), Midland (MAF), San Angelo (SJT) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray (GRK) RAPCON</td>
<td>- Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Procedure Design Packages</strong></td>
<td>- David Salapata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* STARS: BACHR, BOOVE, KNEAD, LIKES, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK, SWAVY and TILLA*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* SIDs: ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX</td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Purpose

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures and airspace changes associated with the new procedures. However, there is still a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas
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Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the modification of the GLEN ROSE STAR. The five enroute transitions will remain unchanged merging at the JEN NAVAID then continue to BOOVE waypoint expecting 10,000 feet and 250 knots in north flow or 280 knots and no altitude restriction in south flow for turbojets only. After BOOVE, in north flow, arrivals will continue to ISABL waypoint then depart on a 075 heading for radar vectors to final. In south flow, after BOOVE, turbojet arrivals will continue to CURLE waypoint expecting 11,000 feet and 270 knots, then MOWWW waypoint at 250 knots, DELMO waypoint at 220 knots, and then depart DELMO on a 355 heading to join downwind. All DFW prop arrivals will remain the same as today whether conventional or RNAV. Figure1 depicts the proposed GLEN ROSE STAR.
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Figure 1. Proposed GLEN ROSE STAR and Current GLEN ROSE STAR

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

These procedures will be used by all types of aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **GLEN ROSE**: This procedure shares waypoints with the KNEAD STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BACHR, BOOVE, LIKES, NRTAY, PAWLZ, SOCKK, SWVAY and TILLA RNAV STARs and the KNEAD STAR. It has altitude
dependencies with the ALIAN, HRPER, HUDAD, KATZZ and WSTEX RNAV SIDs in south flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes to minimize coordination between sectors for this proposed design. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZHU, ZAB, ABI, ACT, MAF and SJT TRACONs and GRK RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

GLEN ROSE TARGETS Distribution Package
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Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shaddock
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GREGS - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Terminal Procedure STAR | - Preliminary Design (PD)  
- Operational Design (OD)  
- Operational Design Complete (ODC)  
- Proposed Final Design (PFD)  
- Final Design (FD) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)  
  - Sector 75                                                          | ZFW  
  - Robert Ellis  
  - Jon Shedden |
| Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)  
  - Sectors MN, MNH and DN                                              | D10  
  - James Harlan  
  - Ed Rivas |
| ARTCCs  
  - Albuquerque (ZAB) and Kansas City (ZKC)                           |                            |
| TRACONs  
  - Lubbock (LBB) and Oklahoma City(OKC)                              |                            |
| RAPCONs  
  Fort Sill (FSI) and Sheppard (SPS)                                   |                            |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Procedure Design Packages  
  - STARs: BOWIE, DEBBB, GIBBI,  
  JFRYE, JOVEM, NANDR, SHAAM  
  and WESAT                     | NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs |

**Purpose**

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures, and airspace changes associated with the new procedures. However, there is still a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the modifications of the GREGS STAR for the following East Satellite airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Love Field</td>
<td>DAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. East Satellite Airports

In a south flow aircraft will depart GREGS waypoint on a 085 heading at 11,000 feet and 250 knots instead of continuing to the CRAFF waypoint. In a north flow aircraft will depart GREGS on a 085 heading at 10,000 feet and 250 knots. All prop aircraft have a crossing restriction of GREGS at 6,000 and 7,000 feet. DTO turbojets will have a crossing restriction at GREGS at 8,000 feet; all props will have a crossing restriction at 4,000 feet. This will ensure procedural deconfliction with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JOVEM, NANDR and SHAAM RNAV STARs.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed GREGS STAR.
Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

This procedure will be used by all types of aircraft, is not anticipated to change runway usage, and does not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

The Terminal and En Route airspace changes need to be complete prior to implementation of the proposed GREGS STAR.

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **GREGS**: This procedure shares waypoints with the DEBBB, GIBBI, JOVEM, JFREY, NANDR, SHAAM and WESAT RNAV STARs and the BOWIE STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the DEBBB and JOVEM RNAV STARs.
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The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes to minimize coordination between sectors for this proposed design. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZAB and ZKC, FSI and SPS RAPCONs and LBB and OKC TRACONs will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

GREGS TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Conventional STARs, GREGS CONV STAR

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Date: 8/22/13

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date: 8/22/13

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Date: 8/24/13

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date: 8/24/13

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Date: 8/24/13

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

Date: 8/24/13
## North Texas OAPM Design Package
### Conventional STARs, SASIE CONV STAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SASIE - Satellites</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td>☑️ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 27, 37, 38, 42, 48, 50, 53, 83 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors FE1, DN, MN, MNH and AR8</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Kansas City (ZKC) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oklahoma City (OKC), Razorback (RZC) and Tulsa (TUL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BRDJ, CAINE, DAWGZ,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIBIL, SANGR, SEEVR, SLANT,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRYST and WILBR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Purpose

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures, and airspace changes associated with the new procedures. However, there is still a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design

The Design Team is proposing the modification of the SASIE STAR. The procedure will remain the same until SASIE waypoint. After SASIE aircraft will depart heading 255, expect radar vectors to final, removing the TADDI waypoint. In north flow, west satellite turbojets will cross SASIE at 10,000 feet. In south flow west satellite turbojets will cross SASIE at 11,000 feet. This procedure will be ATC-assigned only for aircraft landing Addison Airport (ADS). ADS turbojets will cross SASIE waypoint at 6,000 feet and all props will cross SASIE at 5,000 or 6,000 feet.

This procedure will be used for aircraft arriving to the following airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport – ATC Assigned Only</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlington Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GKY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourland Field Airport</td>
<td>50F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleburne Municipal Airport</td>
<td>CPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decatur Municipal Airport</td>
<td>LUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denton Municipal Airport</td>
<td>DTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Alliance Airport</td>
<td>AFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Meacham International Airport</td>
<td>FTW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base/Carswell Field</td>
<td>NFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Spinks Airport</td>
<td>FWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Prairie Municipal Airport</td>
<td>GPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth Copeland Airport</td>
<td>4T2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker County Airport</td>
<td>WEA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Satellite Airports

Figure 1 depicts the proposed SASIE STAR.
**North Texas OAPM Design Package**

**Conventional STARs, SASIE CONV STAR**

![Diagram of proposed SASIE STAR and current SASIE STAR](image)

**Figure 1. Proposed SASIE STAR and Current SASIE STAR**

**Additional Design Considerations**

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

These procedures will be used by all types of aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

**Implementation Dependencies**

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **STARs**: BRDJE, CAINE, DAWGZ, HIBIL, SANGR, SEEVR, SLANT, TRYST and WILBR

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZKC, and OKC, TUL, and RZC will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

**Attachments**

SASIE TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Conventional STARs, SASIE CONV STAR

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps  
North Texas OAPM  
FAA Lead  

Ed Hulsey  
North Texas OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

Robert Ellis  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Jon Shedden  
Fort Worth Center OAPM  
NATCA Lead  

James Harlan  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
Facility Lead  

Ed Rivas  
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM  
NATCA Lead
## North Texas OAPM Design Package
### Conventional STARs, WILBR CONV STAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WILBR - DFW</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 27, 37, 38, 42, 48, 50, 53 and 90</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors FE1, FE2, DR1, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors FE1, FE2, DR1, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Kansas City (ZKC) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oklahoma City (OKC), Tulsa (TUL) and Razorback (RZC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BRDJE, HIBIL, SEEVR and TRYST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SIDs: FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures and airspace changes associated with them. However, there is a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.
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Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the modification of the WILBR STAR. The enroute transitions will remain the same. After the transitions merge at WILBR waypoint the current ADDVL waypoint will be removed and replaced by BRDJE waypoint. Aircraft will cross BRDJE in south flow at 11,000 feet and 250 knots. Aircraft will depart BRDJE on a 255 heading for a base leg. In north flow, aircraft will cross BRDJE at 280 knots, turbojet aircraft will continue to PERSN waypoint at 270 knots, then cross FUNKY waypoint at 11,000 feet and 250 knots and TOWNN waypoint at 220 knots. After TOWNN, aircraft will depart on a 175 heading for downwind. All DFW prop arrivals will remain the same as today whether conventional or RNAV.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed WILBR STAR.
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Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

These procedures will be used by all types of aircraft, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and do not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **WILBR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the BRDJE, HIBIL, SEEVR and TRYST RNAV STARs and the SASIE STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from HIBIL and TRYST STARs. It has altitude dependencies with the FORCK, MRSSH, SKTER and TRYTN RNAV SIDs in north flow.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZKC, ZME, and OKC, TUL and RZC TRACONs will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

WILBR TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Conventional STARS, WILBR CONV STAR

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead
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Conventional STARs, YEAGR CONV STAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAGR - Satellites</td>
<td>01 xxxx 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure STAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>24 July 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth ARTCC (ZFW)</td>
<td>ZFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors 29, 30, 83, 89 and 96</td>
<td>• Robert Ellis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DS, DN, DE, FE1, DR1, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>• Jon Shedden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DS, DN, DE, FE1, DR1, AR1, AR2 and AR3</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors GS, GN, GJ, GJJ, GKE, GKE1, GKE2, GKE3, GKE4, GKE5, GKE6</td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARTCCs</td>
<td>ZHU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Houston (ZHU) and Memphis (ZME)</td>
<td>• Mike McGhee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACONs</td>
<td>• David Salapata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Longview (GGG), Monroe (MLU), Shreveport (SHV) and Waco (ACT)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Polk (POE) RAPCON</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td>Master TARGETS File (file extension name)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BAWLZ, CABBY, CEDAR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CREEK, CHUKK, EESAT, MNND0,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• REDDN and REEKO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The main goal of the OAPM process is to design and implement RNAV procedures, and airspace changes associated with the new procedures. However, there is still a small percentage of aircraft that are not RNAV-capable or equipped that rely on conventional navigational aids and procedures. With the introduction of new RNAV procedures proposed by the North Texas Design Team, new conventional procedures will also need to be designed and implemented to resolve conflicts with the new RNAV procedures.
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Conventional STARs, YEAGR CONV STAR

Study Team Recommendation

The Study Team did not recommend or design any conventional procedures.

Proposed Final Design

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of a new conventional STAR named YEAGR to replace the DUMMY STAR. The proposed procedure is used for all Dallas Fort Worth International Airport south flow prop arrivals and the following destination airports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport Name</th>
<th>Airport ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Addison Airport</td>
<td>ADS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collin County Regional Airport at McKinney</td>
<td>TKI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Executive Airport</td>
<td>RBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas Love Field</td>
<td>DAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ennis Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lancaster Regional Airport</td>
<td>LNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquite Metro Airport</td>
<td>HQZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-way Regional Airport</td>
<td>JWY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rockwall Municipal Airport</td>
<td>F46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. East Satellite Airports

The YEAGR STAR will overlay the DUMMY STAR with one modification to the transition at CQY NAVAID. From CQY aircraft will transition to YEAGR waypoint eliminating the merge over DUMMY waypoint. After YEAGR, aircraft will depart on a 310 heading, then vectored to final. Altitudes will be the same as RNAV arrivals. The YEAGR STAR will result in the elimination of the DUMMY STAR.

Figure 1 depicts the proposed YEAGR STAR.
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Figure 1. Proposed YEAGR STAR and DUMMY STAR

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations validated these proposed designs.

This procedure will be used by all types of aircraft, is not anticipated to change runway usage, and does not modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level.

Implementation Dependencies

This procedure is dependent on the following procedures:

- **YEAGR**: This procedure shares waypoints with the CHUKK and EESAT RNAV STARs and the CEDAR CREEK STAR. It is procedurally de-conflicted from the BAWLZ, CABBY, CHUKK, EESAT, MNNDO, REDDN and REEKO RNAV STARs.

The ZFW and D10 Standard Operating Procedures will require changes. The ZFW Letters of Agreement with D10, ZME, ZHU and GGG, ACT, SHV and MLU TRACONs and POE RAPCON will require changes for handoff, automation and coordination procedures. No
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changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

YEAGR TARGETS Distribution Package
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Conventional STARs, YEAGR CONV STAR

Review Signatures

The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.

Mark Phipps
North Texas OAPM
FAA Lead

8/22/13
Date
Ed Hulsey
North Texas OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/22/13
Date

Robert Ellis
Fort Worth Center OAPM
Facility Lead

8/27/13
Date
Jon Shedden
Fort Worth Center OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/27/13
Date

James Harlan
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
Facility Lead

8/22/13
Date
Ed Rivas
Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON OAPM
NATCA Lead

8/22/13
Date

Keith Brown
Houston OAPM
NATCA Lead

7/13/13
Date
North Texas OAPM Design Package
Required Navigation Performance (RNP) Authorization Required (AR) Approaches, DAL RNP AR Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Change and Airport/s</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNP-AR Approaches - DAL</td>
<td>22 August 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change Classification</th>
<th>Current Phase of Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Procedure RNP-AR</td>
<td>☑ Preliminary Design (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Operational Design (OD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Operational Design Complete (ODC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Proposed Final Design (PFD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Final Design (FD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OAPM Study Team Reference(s)</th>
<th>Publication Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solution 4</td>
<td>29 June 2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affected Airport(s), Facilities and Positions, Areas, and/or Sectors</th>
<th>Facility Points of Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dallas-Fort Worth TRACON (D10)</td>
<td>D10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Sectors DN, DS, DE, AR7, AR6 and AR8</td>
<td>• James Harlan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ed Rivas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Related/Dependent Submissions</th>
<th>Associated Data Files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Procedure Design Packages</td>
<td>NTEX OAPM 201309.tgs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• STARs: BACHR, HIBIL, MNND0, NANDR, NRTAY, REDDN and TRYST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Purpose**

The close proximity of the DFW Runway 17L and DAL Runway 13 final approach courses requires a waiver to permit these approaches to be conducted simultaneously. The waiver requires that a monitor position be staffed to ensure DAL arrivals do not deviate toward the DFW Runway 17L arrivals. The sector boundary is approximately 2.5 NM from the DFW Runway 17L final and DAL arrivals must remain east of this line. This turn-on area requires precise vectoring by D10 controllers and requires concentrated focus in this small area of a complex sector.

**Study Team Recommendation**

The Study Team recommended the creation of RNP-AR approaches into DAL on a south flow. Aircraft performing RNP-AR approaches fly precise paths with no vectoring required. These approaches would eliminate the need for controllers to vector (qualified aircraft) in a limited area and permit them to more optimally scan traffic and perform other operational duties in this busy satellite sector. This procedure may eventually eliminate the need for the monitor controller required by the waiver.

Figure 1 depicts the recommended RNP-AR Approach, south flow.
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Figure 1. Study Team Recommendation

**Proposed Design**

The North Texas OAPM Design Team is proposing the implementation of four RNP-AR approach procedures to DAL Runway 31 Left (L), RWY31 Right (R), RWY13L and RWY13R. The procedures will tie directly into the BACHR, HIBIL, MNNDN, NANDR, NRTAY, REDDN and TRYST RNAV STARs. They will overlay the current visual arrival paths and will be an option during Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC). These proposed procedures will reduce controller and pilot task complexity and increases flight path predictability.

Figure 2 depicts the proposed RNP-AR Approach to Runway 31L/R.

Figure 3 depicts the proposed RNP-AR Approach to Runway 13L/R.
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Figure 2. Proposed RNP-AR to RWY31L/R
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Figure 3. Proposed RNP-AR to RWY13L/R

Additional Design Considerations

Human-in-the-loop simulations were not conducted.

These procedures will be available to all arrivals meeting the RNP-AR certification requirements, are not anticipated to change runway usage, and could modify flight paths below 3,000 feet Above Ground Level (AGL) during IMC conditions. The changes below 3,000 feet AGL may require additional environmental analysis.

Implementation Dependencies

These procedures are dependent on the following procedures:

- **Runway 31 L/R**: This procedure utilizes an FM leg associated with the NANDR, NRTAY, MNND0 and TRYST RNAV STARs
- **Runway 13 L/R**: This procedure utilizes an FM leg associated with the BACHR, HIBIL and REDDN RNAV STARs

4
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There are no anticipated changes to Standard Operating Procedures or Letters of Agreements. No changes to Manpower, Facilities or Equipment requirements are anticipated for this proposed design.

Attachments

RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13L-W-DUMPY-D-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13L-W-FINGR-D-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13L-X-MOTZA-D-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13L-X-NORTH-D-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13R-W-DUMPY-N-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13R-W-FINGR-N-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13R-X-MOTZA-N-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 13R-X-NORTH-N-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31L-Z-FINGR-5-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31L-Z_MYLES-1-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31L-Z-NORTH-2-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31L-Z-WEST-N2-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31R-Z-FACIA-1-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31R-Z-FINGER-3-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31R-Z-NORTH-2-DistributionPackage
RNAV (RNP) Rwy 31R-Z-WEST-N2-DistributionPackage
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Review Signatures
The D&I Team reached agreement through consensus on these procedures using the OAPM process in accordance with the OAPM Memorandum of Understanding.
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