





ADVANCED AUTOMATION IN ATC AT EUROCONTROL MAASTRICHT UAC ARGOS - ATC Real Ground-Breaking Operational System

Peter Hendrickx – Head ATM Development



Maastricht Upper Area Control Centre

EUROCONTROL

Level of Automation at MUAC (2018)



: current tasks



Paths to(wards) Full Automation – Autonomous Cars

| Stage | Path A | Path B |
|--|--------|--------|
| I. Human-Centred Automation human decision making accelerated by automated tools moderately automated, cooperative System developed with the extensive involvement of end users | | |
| II. Technology-Centred Automation highly automated decision making monitored by operators developed without a sufficient consideration of operator needs | | |
| III. Full Automation completely autonomous System (automated decision making) supervised by the system itself and a few system engineers developed by engineers for engineers | | |



Path A:

A gradual allocation of more and more functions to automation in all environments; which renders Stage II inevitable.

Path B:

An early implementation of full automation in a constrained environment, which is then gradually expanded; so that Stage II is circumvented.



MUAC LoAT & Automation Ambitions







– : Path A
— : Path B (chosen)

MUAC LoAT & Automation Ambitions





Our Approach – Our Slogan



00

'Let ATCOs focus on the real, challenging work, to do what they are the best at, and leave the routine work to the machine.'



ARGOS Requirements

Initial requirements:

- Traffic <u>MUST BE</u> conflict-free (0 min = 5 NM, 1 min = 6 NM, 8 min = 8 NM)
- Try DCT to Exit Point
- Try to climb to TFL ASAP descend to TFL ALAP
- Sequence at exit
- Stay in sector/AoR
- Stable solutions

Advanced requirements:

- Avoid active TSAs (Contrail Areas)
- Multi interaction solution
- Support Training
- Scoring Safety/Efficiency
- Descend "When Ready"



- DIGI-talisation LoAs
- Calculate Environmental KPIs
- Maximise Safety/Efficiency
- ML Model for VRC & Speeds







ARGOS Level of Automation

| ARGOS LoA | Description | LoA Decision | LoA Execution |
|--------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| LO | ARGOS does nothing. | C0 | D0 |
| L1 | Upon ATCO request for individual flight(s), ARGOS suggests actions to the ATCO. The ATCO executes his/her own plan. | | |
| L2 | For all flights, ARGOS suggests actions to the ATCO. The ATCO executes his/her own plan. | | |
| L3 | For all flights, ARGOS displays the best plan (a set of multiple timed actions). The ATCO can approve the plan, impose a constraint to let ARGOS revise the plan, or come up with his/her own plan. For CPDLC flights, ARGOS executes the plan. For non-CPDLC flights, the ATCO is reminded and the plan is the default selection in the menus. | C2/3 | D2/4/5 |
| L4 | ARGOS suggests a plan for each flight (set of multiple timed actions). The ATCO decides to let ARGOS handle individual flight(s) and controls the other flights. | | |
| L5 | ARGOS manages certain flights (for each flight, a plan is presented and executed). The ATCO supervises ARGOS and can take flights away from it. The ATCO controls all non-ARGOS flights. | C2/3 C6 | D2/4/5 D7 |
| L6 | ARGOS manages all flights (for each flight, a plan is presented and executed). The ATCO monitors ARGOS and can switch it OFF. The ATCO controls flights when ARGOS is OFF. | | |
| L7 | ARGOS manages all flights (for each flight, a plan is presented and executed). The ATCO monitors ARGOS and can take flights away from it. The ATCO controls non-ARGOS flights. | | |
| L8 | ARGOS manages all flights (for each flight, a plan is presented and executed). The ATCO is alerted by ARGOS when supervision is required: ARGOS still manages the situation but outside its normal comfort zone (i.e. conflict-free look-ahead time is reduced). The ATCO either only supervises ARGOS as requested (i.e. stays in L8) or takes flights away from ARGOS (i.e. reverts to L5). | C6 | D7 |
| L9 | ARGOS manages all flights without supervision. | C6 | D8 |





Al in ATC – Safety Related Systems



Liability / Certification



ARGOS Legal Case (Liability Case):

- SESAR Legal Case Methodology
- DeepBlue external support



- Shift in target: from operators to organisations and producers
- Shift in grounds: from negligence to defectiveness



• Proceed using current Certification Process



Closing the loop – Ground-Air-Ground

Vertical Clearances Uplinked via CPDLC:

• Check on ground using the received EHS-FSSA

Horizontal Clearances Uplinked via CPDLC:

• Check on ground using the received ADS-C EPP

SSR Code Change Uplinked via CPDLC:

• Check on ground using the received Mode-A

Frequency Changes Uplinked via CPDLC:

• Check on ground using ATS Baseline 2 RevB (ADS-C)





But why?

- Offload ATCO work to the computer:
 - Less boring/routine work
 - Less stress but more traffic
 - Allows to focus on complex things
- Maybe more performant in some aspects
 - Environmental KPIs
- More time in the SIM to train (SO)
- Support in training





EUROCONTROL

ARGOS – MUAC Automation

MUAC ATCO perception/position vis-à-vis Automation

- ATCOs involved from the on-set (SMART Team)
- Automation Subject can be discussed
 - Happening everywhere
 - Not a threat

'Let ATCOs focus on the real, challenging work, to do what they are the best at, and leave the routine work to the machine.'

- COVID...
 - Boring to work on position
 - High Intensity Training (HIT) for competency









'Let ATCOs focus on the real, challenging work, to do what they are the best at, and leave the routine work to the machine.'



THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION AT GOS ... QUESTIONS?

Peter Hendrickx – Head ATM Development peter.hendrickx@eurocontrol.int

Performance

through

innovation



Maastricht Upper Area Control Centre