AFFIDAVIT

Tung Xuan Le , being first duly sworn on oath, deposes and says:

1. I am President of LeTech Inc., a computer technology consulting firm in Alexandria, Virginia.

2. Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering, minor in Computer Science, 1983, Catholic University of America, Washington, DC.

3. For the past sixteen years. I have worked as a professional computer scientist in airport computer simulation. In that time I have performed numerous simulation analyses for airspace, runway, gates, and terminal worldwide. Additionally, I am the developer of TotalAirportSim and was a member of the FAA's SIMMOD development team. I am very familiar with the structure of airport capacity and delay simulation models.

4. I have conducted a preliminary review of the TAAM simulation model runs and data used by the FAA in the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the project called the "O'Hare Modernization Program" ("OMP")..

5. FAA used as input to the program the year 2002 Terminal Area Forecast (TAF) and I was asked to give a professional expert opinion as to the length of time that would be necessary to re-run the TAAM program with either 2003 or 2004 TAF data as the inputs.

6. My opinion as to the length of time required for such an effort and the basis for my opinion is as follows:

   A. In performing an airport analysis using an airport/runway simulation model, there are two major parts for development, 1) building the network and 2) building the input schedule.

   B. In comparison of these two major parts mentioned above, the less complex part to build is the input schedule for a full airport simulation analysis.

C. Assuming that a series of experiment with the 2003 or 2004 TAFs all uses exactly the same network, the only effort is to build a different input schedule for each experiment.

D. In any one experiment (*e.g.*, the use of the 2003 or the 2004 TAF), the effort to translate a new schedule to be useable with the network, should not take more than 20 business days and as little as 1 week in some cases , with the following assumptions:

1) The same analyst or someone comparable in expertise, in the tool and understanding of the dataset, performs the experiment.

2) The new schedule does not require any modification to the network.

3). The new schedule, 2003 or 2004, is derived from the 2002 schedule using cloning method, duplication and/or modification method or some other agreed upon method.

4). The same new schedule is used for all other experiments.

7. If any additional variations or modifications were necessary, the work could till be done in the time frame I discussed if sufficient financial and manpower resources were assigned to the task.

Signature _____

Signature Date: Sept 6, 2005

Sworn before me on this 6 day of September, 2005 (Date).

_____

Notary Public

05/31/2006

My Commission Expires