

Exemption No. 11629A

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20591

In the matter of the petition of

**MR. JOHN C. KRAMER**

for an exemption from §§ 61.23  
(a) and (c), 61.101(e)(4) and (5),  
61.113(a), 61.315(a), 91.7(a),  
91.119(c), 91.121, 91.151(a)(1),  
91.405(a), 91.407(a)(1), 91.409(a)(1)  
and (2), and 91.417(a) and (b)  
of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations

**Regulatory Docket No. FAA-2015-0601**

**RESCISSION OF EXEMPTION**

On May 20, 2015, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) issued Mr. John C. Kramer Exemption No. 11629. That exemption from §§ 61.23(a) and (c), 61.101(e)(4) and (5), 61.113(a), 61.315(a), 91.7(a), 91.119(c), 91.121, 91.151(a)(1), 91.405(a), 91.407(a)(1), 91.409(a)(1) and (2), and 91.417(a) and (b) of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), allows Mr. John C. Kramer to conduct aerial photography with a small unmanned aircraft system (sUAS). After reviewing this exemption, the FAA is rescinding Exemption No. 11629.

On March 9, 2015, Mr. Kramer submitted a petition for exemption to allow commercial operations of unmanned aircraft. Mr. Robert Serino was identified in the petition as the point of contact. On May 20, 2015 the FAA granted the exemption to Mr. Kramer and emailed its decision to Mr. Serino at the email address provided in the contact information section of the petition. Mr. Serino replied to that email stating that he has neither “knowledge nor affiliation with John C. Kramer and made no submission to the FAA in this regard.”

A review of Mr. Kramer's petition shows that Mr. Kramer submitted, verbatim, the petition submitted by Mr. Serino on February 19, 2015,<sup>1</sup> including the page with Mr. Serino's signature as the submitter of the petition. Mr. Kramer substituted his name for Mr. Serino's in only a few places.

The FAA granted the exemption to Mr. Kramer based on the record he submitted which included Mr. Serino's mailing address and signature. The FAA learned after granting the exemption that Mr. Serino was not affiliated with Mr. Kramer or the petition. Accordingly, we have determined that the exemption was granted based on erroneous information provided by the petitioner, including an incorrect mailing address, providing sufficient basis to rescind Exemption No. 11629.

**The FAA's Decision:**

In consideration of the foregoing, I find that Exemption No. 11629 was issued based on erroneous information submitted by petitioner and was therefore granted in error. Therefore, pursuant to the authority contained in 49 U.S.C. §§ 106(f), 40113 and 44701, delegated to me by the Administrator, Exemption No. 11629 is rescinded effective immediately.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on August 28, 2015.

/s/

John Barbagallo  
Deputy Director, Flight Standards Service

---

<sup>1</sup> Docket No. FAA-2015-0407. The FAA granted Exemption No. 11543 to Mr. Serino on May 11, 2015.