Federal Register / Vol. 57, No. 230 / Monday, November 30, 1992 / Notices

Ittee of the Aviation ing Advisory Committee. INFORMATION CONTACT: am J. (Joe) Sullivan, Executive General Aviation and Business Subcommittee, Aircraft tion Service (AIR-3), 800 ience Avenue, SW., ton, DC 20591, telephone: (202)

FAX: (202) 267-9562.

Aviation Administration (FAA) d an Aviation Rulemaking Committee (56 FR 2190, 2, 1991) which held its first May 23, 1991 (58 FR 20492,). The General Aviation and Airplane Subcommittee was d at that meeting to provide and recommendations to the Aircraft Certification Service, marding the airworthiaess for standard and commuter sirplanes and engines in part Federal Aviation Regulations, milel provisions of parts 91 and Federal Aviation Regulations. TAA announced at the Joint Authorities (JAA)-Federal Administration (FAA) nization Conference in Toronto. Canada, (June 2–5, 1992) that it consolidate within the Aviation neking Advisory Committee an ongoing objective to nonize" the Joint Aviation ments (JAR) and the Federal Regulations (FAR). Coincident that announcement, the FAA ed to the General Aviation and Airplane Subcommittee those making projects related to JAR/FAR Marmonization which were then in be process of being coordinated men the JAA and the FAA. The immonization process included the mention to present the results of JAA/ #A coordination to the public in the and a Notice of Proposed **him aking** an objective comparable and compatible with that assigned to Aviation Rulemaking Advisory mitee. The General Aviation and ess Airplane Subcommittee, quently, established the JAR/FAR Marmonization Working Group. sufically, the Working Group's

the ere the following: The JAR/FAR 23 monization Working Group is with making recommendations the General Aviation and Business the Subcommittee concerning the disposition of the following making subjects recently making between the JAA and the

Data 1 Review JAR Issues: Review **Data** I Review No. 4 (which excludes

commuter category airplanes) and No. 5 (which includes commuter category airplanes), and compare them with Amendment 23-42 to FAR 23, and the proposals in Notices 3 and 4 from the Part 23 Airworthiness Review. Identify technical differences between JAR 23 and FAR 23 which can be harmonized.

Task 2-Systems and Equipment: Based on the results of the Task 1 review, identify the changes to Subparts D and F of FAR 23 that are appropriate for harmonization, and those provisions that should not be harmonized, if any.

Task 3-Powerplant: Based on the results of the Task 1 review, identify the changes to Subpart E of FAR 23 that are appropriate for harmonization, and those provisions that should not be harmonized, if any.

Task 4-Flight Test: Based on the results of the Task 1 review, identify the changes to Subparts A, B and G of FAR 23 that are appropriate for harmonization, and those provisions that should not be harmonized, if any.

Task 5-Airframe: Based on the results of the Task 1 review, identify the changes to Subparts C and D of FAR 23 that are appropriate for harmonization, and those provisions that should not be harmonized, if any.

Reports

A. Recommend time line(s) for completion of each task, including rationale, for Subcommittee consideration at the meeting of the subcommittee held following publication of this notice.

B. Give a detailed presentation to the subcommittee of the results of Task 1 before proceeding with Tasks 2–5.

C. Give a detailed conceptual presentation on Tasks 2–5 to the Subcommittee before proceeding with the work stated under item D, below. Each presentation should identify what proposed amendments will be included in each notice, and whether any additional notices will be need to be drafted in addition to the four identified in item D, below. These reports may be combined or presented separately at the discretion of the working group chair.

D. Draft a separate Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for Tasks 2–5 proposing new or revised requirements, a supporting economic analysis, and other required analysis, with any other collateral documents (such as Advisory Circulars) the Working Group determines to be needed.

E. Give a status report on each task at each meeting of the Subcommittee.

The JAR/FAR 23 Harmonization Working Group will be comprised of experts from those organizations having an interest in the task assigned to it. A

working group member need not necessarily be a representative of one of the organizations of the parent General **Aviation and Business Airplane** Subcommittee or of the full Aviation **Rulemaking Advisory Committee.** An individual who has expertise in the subject matter and wishes to become a member of the working group should write the person listed under the caption **"FOR FURTHER INFORMATION** CONTACT' expressing that desire, describing his or her interest in the task, and the expertise he or she would bring to the working group. The request will be reviewed with the subcommittee chair and working group leader, and the individual advised whether or not the request can be accommodated.

The Secretary of Transportation has determined that the information and use of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee and its subcommittees are necessary in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the FAA by law. Meetings of the full committee and any subcommittees will be open to the public except as authorized by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Meetings of the JAR/FAR 23 Harmonization Working Group will not be open to the public, except to the extent that individuals with an interest and expertise are selected to participate. No public announcement of working group meetings will be made.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 19, 1992.

William J. Sullivan,

Executive Director, General Aviation and Business Airplane Subcommittee, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee. [FR Doc. 92–28931 Filed 11–27–92; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee; Airworthiness Assurance Working Group

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of establishment of the airworthiness assurance working group.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the establishment of an Airworthiness Assurance Working Group by the Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William J. (Joe) Sullivan, Executive Director, Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee, Aircraft Certification Service (AIR-3), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, Telephone: (202) 267–9554; FAX: (202) 267–5364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) established an Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) (56 FR 2190, January 22, 1991) which held its first meeting on May 23, 1991 (56 FR 20492, May 3, 1991). The Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee was established at that meeting to provide advice and recommendations to the Director, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, regarding the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes and engines in parts 25, 33 and **35 of the Federal Aviation Regulations** (14 CFR parts 25, 33, 35).

Before the establishment of the **Aviation Rulemaking Advisory** Committee, the agency's Research, **Engineering, and Development Advisory Committee established a Transport** Airplane Safety Subcommittee. In turn that subcommittee established the Airworthiness Assurance Task Force to deal with issues arising out of the tragic aircraft accident in Hawaii involving an Aloha Airlines B-737. The ARAC **Transport Airplane and Engine** Subcommittee was tasked with assuming jurisdiction over the Airworthiness Assurance Task Force. This was accomplished, and this notice renames the Task Force as the **Airworthiness Assurance Working** Group and restates its tasks.

Specifically, the Airworthiness Assurance Working Group's tasks are:

Task 1-Corrosion: Develop recommendations concerning whether new or revised requirements and compliance methods for corrosion prevention and control programs should be instituted and made mandatory for the Airbus A-300, British Aerospace BAC 1-11, Boeing B-707, B-727, B-737, B-747, Douglas DC-8, DC-9/MD-80, DC-10, Fokker F-28, and Lockheed L-1011.

Task 2-Repairs: Develop recommendations concerning whether new or revised requirements and compliance methods for structural repair assessments of existing repairs should be instituted and made mandatory for the Airbus A-300, British Aerospace BAC 1-11, Boeing B-707, B-727, B-737, B-747, Douglas DC-8, DC-9/MD-80, DC-10, Fokker F-28, and Lockheed L-1011.

Task 3-Structural Fatigue Audit: Develop recommendations on whether new or revised requirements for structural fatigue evaluation and corrective action should be instituted and made mandatory as the airplane ages past its original design life goal. Task 4-Supplemental Structural Inspection Programs: Conduct a review of existing supplemental structural inspection programs to determine whether any new or revised requirements should be instituted and made mandatory as the airplane ages past its original design life goal. This review should cover the following airplanes: Airbus A-300, British Aerospace BAC 1–11, Boeing B–707, B– 727, B–737, B–747, Douglas DC–8, DC–9/ MD–80, DC–10, Fokker F–28, and Lockheed L–1011.

Reports

A. Recommend time line(s) for completion of each task, including rationale, for Subcommittee consideration at the meeting of the subcommittee held after the publication of this notice.

B. Give a detailed conceptual presentation to the Subcommittee, and receive it's concurrence, before proceeding with the work stated under item D, below.

C. Draft a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing requested or modified new or revised requirements, a supporting economic, and other required analysis, with any other collateral documents the Working Group determines to be needed.

D. Give a status report on each task at each meeting of the Subcommittee.

The Airworthiness Assurance Working Group will be comprised of experts from those organizations having an interest in the task assigned to it. A working group member need not necessarily be a representative of one of the organizations of the parent **Transport Airplane and Engine** Subcommittee or of the full Aviation **Rulemaking Advisory Committee.** An individual who has expertise in the subject matter and wishes to become a member of the working group should write the person listed under the caption FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT expressing that desire, describing his or her interest in the task, and the expertise he or she would bring to the working group. The request will be reviewed with the subcommittee chair and working group leader, and the individual advised whether or not the request can be accommodated.

The Secretary of Transportation has determined that the information and use of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee and its subcommittees are necessary in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the FAA by law. Meetings of the full committee and any subcommittees will be open to the public except as authorized by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Commute Act. Meetings of the Airworthiness Assurance Working Group will not be open to the public, except to the extent that individuals with an interest and expertise are selected to participate Ne public announcement of working group meetings will be made.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 19, 1992.

William J. Sullivan,

Executive Director, Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 92-28936 Filed 11-27-92: 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee; Small Transport and Commuter Airworthiness Assurance Working Group

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of establishment of the small transport and commuter airworthiness assurance working group

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the establishment of a Small Transport Commuter Airworthiness Assurance Working Group by the Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee.

DATES: William J. (Joe) Sullivan. Executive Director, Transport Airpine and Engine Subcommittee, Aircraft Certification Service (AIR-3), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, Telephone: 207-9954; FAX: (202) 267-5364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Aviation Administration established an Aviation Rulemakin Advisory Committee (ARAC) (58 2190, January 22, 1991 (which held first meeting on May 23, 1991 (56 20 May 3, 1991). The Transport Airples and Engine Subcommittee was established at that meeting to provi advice and recommendations to the Director, Aircraft Certification Ser FAA, regarding the airworthiness standard for transport category airplanes, engines, and propellers parts 25, 33 and 35 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR parts 33, 35).

Before the establishment of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee, the agency's Research Engineering, and Development Advi Committee established a Transport Airplane Safety Subcommittee. In that subcommittee established the Airworthiness Assurance Task For Gerald R. Mack Director Certification & Government Requirements

Boeing Commercial Airplane Group P.O. Box 3707, MS 67-UM Seattle, WA 98124-2207

January 20, 1995 B-T01B-ARAC-95-001

Mr. Anthony J. Broderick Associate Administrator for Regulations and Certification, (AVR-1) Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington DC 20591

Dear Mr. Broderick:

BOEING

Subject: Supplemental Structural Inspection Documents (SSIDs) ARAC/Airworthiness Assurance Working Group (AAWG) Task

One of the concerns expressed at the 1988 FAA International Conference on Aging Airplanes was whether the SSID Programs were adequate and complete. The AAWG and more specifically, the Structural Task Groups (STG) assumed the task to assess the various SSID programs by aircraft model type. This review covered the following airplanes and resulted in the following recommendations:

Airbus A-300: Updated A300 SSID, dated September 1989 (AD 93-01-24)

British Aerospace BAC 1-11: British Aerospace Document ASB 51-A-PM5830 - each critical area reexaminded; STG concluded that this document met the maintenance surveillance objectives.

Boeing B-707: Boeing Document No. D6-44860, Rev. O (pending) reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; expanded on the inspection area (AD 85-12-01, R1 references to Rev. M or document)

B-727: Boeing Document No. D6-48040, Rev. G (pending) - reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; expanded on the inspection area (AD 84-21-05) references to Rev. E of document)

B-737: Boeing Document No. D6-37089, Rev. C - reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; expanded on the inspection area (AD 91-14-20).

Page 2 of 2 A. J. Broderick B-T01B-ARAC-95-001

B-747: Boeing Document No. D6-35022, Rev. D - reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; revises the inspection intervals (AD 93-06-01)

McDonnell Douglas DC-8: McDonnell Report L26-011, Rev.3 (Vol. I); Rev 5 (Vol. II); reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; revised procedures on repair (AD 93-01-15)

DC-9: McDonnell Douglas Report L26-008; Rev. 3 (Vol. I); Rev. 4 (Vol. II), reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; expanded on the inspection area (FAA Docket 93-NM-08-AD)

DC-10: McDonnell Douglas Report L26-012, Rev. 1; reevaluation increased number of candidate airplanes; revised inspection procedures (FAA Docket 92-NM-221-AD; proposed rule to supersede AD 92-02-08).

Fokker F-20: Fokker Document 28438, Revision October 15, 1992; extends area of inspection (FAA Docket 91-NM-121-AD)

Lockheed L-1011: A draft document which was developed in coordination with the L-1011 STG, has been submitted to FAA-Atlanta ACO. A final document approved by the FAA, is expected shortly for publication.

The STG for each aircraft type will periodically review the applicable SSID documents to ensure that the effectiveness of this program is maintained. However, since the initial review for all aircraft types has been completed and recommendations have been made for the issuance of Airworthiness Directives, the AAWG views Task No. 4 as complete, and is therefore requesting that this task be removed from their agenda. The FAA's response to the AAWG request is appreciated.

Sincerely,

BOEING

D. R. mar

G. R. Mack Assistant Chairman, ARAC Transport Airplane & Engine Issues Group Tele: (206) 234-9570, Fax: 237-0192

cc: ARAC TAEIG Members



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Ave.. S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591

•

MAR 2 4 1995

Mr. Gerald R. Mack Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee Boeing Commercial Airplane Group P.O. Box 3707, M/S 67-UM Seattle, WA 98124-2207

Dear Mr. Mack:

Thank you for your January 20 letter in which you asked that the task assigned to the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) regarding Supplemental Structural Inspection Documents be removed from its agenda.

Based on our information and the information you presented in your letter, we have reconsidered whether anything further needs to be done by ARAC on this issue. We agree with you that there is no need for ARAC to pursue it further. Therefore, we are removing the task from your agenda, and consider the matter closed.

I would like to thank the aviation community, and particularly the Airworthiness Assurance Working Group, for its commitment to ARAC and for its interest and effort in reviewing this matter. The Structural Task Groups are an industry function; and we will be happy to consider any future recommendations they have.

Sincerely,

thony J. B

Associate Administrator for Regulation and Certification