Task Assignment

Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee; Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee; Direct View Harmonization Working Group

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. ACTION: Notice of establishment of direct view harmonization working group.

SUMMARY: Notice is given of the establishment of the Direct View Harmonization Working Group of the Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee. This notice informs the public of the activities of the Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. William J. (Joe) Sullivan, Executive Director, Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee, Aircraft Certification Service (AIR-3), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, Telephone: (202) 267–9554; FAX: (202) 267–5364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) established an Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (56 FR 2190, January 22, 1991) which held its first meeting on May 23, 1991 (56 FR 20492, May 3, 1991). The Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee was established at the meeting to provide advice and recommendations to the Director, Aircraft Certification Service, FAA, regarding the airworthiness standards for transport airplanes, engines and propellers in parts 25, 33 and 35 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR parts 25, 33 and 35).

The FAA announced at the Joint Aviation Authorities (JAA)-Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Harmonization Conference in Toronto, Ontario, Canada, (June 2-5, 1992) that it would consolidate within the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee structure an ongoing objective to "harmonize" the Joint Aviation Requirements (JAR) and the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR). Coincident with that announcement, the FAA assigned to the Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee those projects related to JAR/FAR 25, 33 and 35 harmonization which were then in the process of being coordinated between the JAA and the FAA. The harmonization process included the intention to present the results of JAA/ FAA coordination to the public in the form of either a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking or an advisory circularobjective comparable to and compatible with that assigned to the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee. The Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee, consequently, established the Direct View

Harmonization Working Group. Specifically, the Working Group's task is the following:

The Direct View Harmonization Working Group is charged with making recommendations to the Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee concerning the FAA disposition of the following subject recently coordinated between the JAA and the FAA:

Cabin Attendant Direct View: Review the proposed guidance material contained in draft Advisory Circular 25.785 for finding compliance with the cabin attendant's direct view requirements of FAR 25.785 and make recommendations for new or revised guidance (FAR 25.785; AC 35.785). Reports:

A. Recommend time line(s) for completion of each task, including rationale, for Subcommittee consideration at the meeting of the subcommittee held following publication of this notice.

B. Give a detailed conceptual presentation on each task to the Subcommittee before proceeding with the work stated under items C, below.

C. Draft a change to Advisory Circular 25.783 providing appropriate guidance material.

D. Give a status report on each task at each meeting of the Subcommittee.

The Direct View Harmonization Working Group will be comprised of experts from those organizations having an interest in the tasks assigned. A Working Group member need not necessarily be a representative of one of the organizations of the parent Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee or of the full Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee. An individual who has expertise in the subject matter and wishes to become a member of the Working Group should write the person listed under the caption FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT expressing that desire, describing his or her interest in the task, and the expertise he or she would bring to the Working Group. The request will be reviewed with the Subcommittee and Working Group Chairs and the individuals will be advised whether or not the request can be accommodated.

The Secretary of Transportation has determined that the information and use of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee and its subcommittees are necessary in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties of the FAA by law. Meetings of the full Committee and any subcommittees will be open to the public except as authorized by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Meetings of the Direct View Harmonization Working Group will not be open to the public except to the extent that individuals with an interest and expertise are selected to participate. No public announcement of Working Group meetings will be made.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 4, 1992.

William J. Sullivan,

Executive Director, Transport Airplane and Engine Subcommittee, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 92-30115 Filed 12-10-92; 8:45 am]

Gerald R. Mack Director Airplane Certification

Boeing Commercial Airplane Group P.O. Box 3707, #MS 67-UM Seattle, WA 98124-2207

May 16, 1996 B-T000-ARAC-96-004

Mr. Anthony J. Broderick (AVR-1) Associate Administrator for Regulations and Compliance Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, DC 20591 Fax: (202) 267-5364

N-7 prm act.

Dear Mr. Broderick:

BDEING

On behalf of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee, I am pleased to submit the proposed Advisory Circular 25-785. This document was developed by the Cabin Direct View Harmonization Working Group chaired by Dean Klippert.

The language on Direct View proposed by the working group was accepted by a nine (9) to five (5) vote to be forwarded to the FAA with a recommendation for adoption. An additional motion was made and accepted on a five (5) to two (2) vote with three (3) abstentions that the dissenting votes be noted and that this group further recommends that this proposal be applicable to new type certificate airplanes only.

The members of ARAC appreciate the opportunity to participate in the FAA rulemaking process.

Sincerely,

Dersed R. mare

Gerald R. Mack Chairman, Transportation Airplane & Engine Issues Group Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee Tele: (206) 234-9570, FAX: (206) 237-4838

Enclosure

Fine Sy is a

Acknowledgement Letter



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591

JUL 1 8 1996

Mr. Gerald R. Mack Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Comittee Boeing Commercial Airplane Group P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207

Dear Mr. Mack:

Thank you for your May 16 letter forwarding the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee's (ARAC) recommendation in the form of proposed changes to Advisory Circular 25-785 concerning the issue of flight attendant direct view.

I would like to thank the aviation community for its commitment to ARAC and its expenditure of resources to develop the recommendation. We in the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) pledge to process the document expeditiously as a high-priority action.

Again, let me thank the ARAC and, in particular, the Cabin Direct View Harmonization Working Group for its dedicated efforts in completing the task assigned by the FAA.

Sincerely,

Barry L. Valentine () Acting Associate Administrator for Regulation and Certification



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591

14

Mr. Gerald R. Mack Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee Boeing Commercial Airplane Group P.O. Box 3707 Seattle, WA 98124-2207

Dear Mr. Mack:

Mr. Anthony J. Broderick has asked me to respond to a September 26, 1994, letter he received from Mr. Dean Klippert, Chairman of the Direct View Harmonization Working Group (DVHWG) of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC). A copy of the letter is enclosed. The working group was tasked to recommend new or revised guidance material for finding compliance with the cabin attendant's direct view requirements of Section 25.785 of the Federal Aviation Regulations. Mr. Klippert states that the DVHWG will not be able to come to technical agreement unless the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is willing to agree that any criteria the working group proposes would only be applicable to new type design certification aircraft.

As you know, the FAA assigns tasks to ARAC in an effort to obtain recommendations from those who will be impacted by, or otherwise interested in, FAA decisions. In this instance, rather than take action on our own, we are hoping for some recommendation from ARAC with regard to the cabin attendant direct view requirements. The task assigned to ARAC is one of providing an acceptable means of compliance to a rule, through guidance contained in an advisory circular (AC).

An AC contains one means, not the only means, of showing compliance with a regulation. Issuance of an AC can not require reevaluation of a previous finding of compliance with respect to an existing regulation. Similarly, an AC cannot negate a previous finding of compliance. If the FAA determines a previous finding to be erroneous and a unsafe condition exists, that determination would be based on a safety justification and would result in issuance of an airworthiness directive. On the other hand, if the agency determines the level of safety needs to be improved beyond the current standard, rulemaking action would be required. Neither is the case in our decision to assign the direct view requirements task to ARAC.

I understand the concern of the DVHWG and appreciate the fact that Mr. Klippert advised us of the apparent impasse. Nonetheless, it is important that the working group make its recommendation to ARAC so it can receive the full attention of all members interested in Transport Airplane and Engine issues. If the members want to address specific parameters in the recommendation to the FAA, those parameters should be clearly shown and justified. I would ask that you convey this information to Mr. Klippert.

If I can be of further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Oh Olimetet

Chris A. Christie Executive Director, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee

Enclosure

. . . ARM act

September 26, 1994

Mr. Anthony J. Broderick Director, Aircraft Certification Service Federal Aviation Administration, AVR-1 800 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, D.C. 20591

ARAC Cabin Direct View Harmonization Working Group

BACKGROUND:

Our HWG has been working to develop guidance material for demonstrating compliance with the direct view requirements of FAR 25.785.

The intent is to have guidance material which is harmonized between the FAA and JAA and has technical agreement among the HWG members which represent various industry and interest groups.

In order to provide a foundation for developing the revised Advisory Circular criteria and not to inhibit our thinking by considering existing interior configurations the HWG stated that the criteria would be applicable to new "Type Design Certification Aircraft" only.

Based on this assumption we have developed the referenced criteria and are working to achieve technical agreement among the HWG members.

CONCERN:

One of the major issues that is impeding the technical agreement is the latent concern that these revised criteria will be applied retroactively to in service aircraft or to future delivered aircraft types which have been certified to other direct view criteria as previously negotiated with the FAA.

This concern is due to the fact that many of these existing aircraft interiors would have to be reconfigured and/or special design considerations made in order to meet the proposed criteria.

Many HWG members are unwilling to provide technical agreement without an agreed to position with the FAA on this applicability issue.

DISCUSSION:

The cabin direct view compliance criteria which have been developed by the HWG are not considered to provide any improved level of safety over the existing 50-80% criteria, or other criteria that have been utilized on prior certification programs. Rather, the criteria are considered to be an alternate method of evaluating compliance to the cabin direct view requirements which results in an equivalent level of safety as well as harmonizing the FAA and JAA differences which existed previously.

RECOMMENDATION:

Based on the foregoing information regarding equivalent levels of safety, there should be no advantage/benefit to imposing these new criteria to any existing certification programs. Therefore the HWG is recommending that these revised criteria only become applicable to new "Type Certificated" aircraft in order to support the assumptions under which they were developed.

CONCLUSION:

In order to facilitate the HWG's efforts to reach technical agreement on this subject we are requesting FAA's concurrence with the applicability recommendations stated above. We need this at the earliest opportunity. Our next HWG meeting on this subject will be October 26 and 27, 1994 at the FAA Technical Center in Atlantic City.

As Chairman of the Working Group it is clear to me that without such an agreement there is absolutely no chance that the present draft of the Advisory Circular material will obtain consensus support from the Working Group.

Thank your for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Mr. Dean Klippért Chairman Cabin Direct View Harmonization Working Group

(Doc.DK94#1)

Recommendation



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Aviation Administration **DRAFT** 9-8-95 Advisory Circular

Subject: DYNAMIC EVALUATION OF SEAT Date: RESTRAINT SYSTEMS & OCCUPANT Initiated b PROTECTION ON TRANSPORT AIRPLANES

Date: Initiated by: ANM-110 AC No:25.562-1 Change:

1. <u>PURPOSE</u>. This advisory circular (AC) provides information and guidance regarding acceptable, but not the only, means of compliance with Part 25 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) applicable to dynamic testing of seats intended for use in transport category airplanes. The AC provides background and discussion of the reasoning behind the test procedures. It also describes the test facilities and equipment necessary to conduct the tests. Terms used in this AC, such as "shall" and "must" are used only in the sense of ensuring applicability of this particular method of compliance when the acceptable method of compliance described herein is used. While these guidelines are not mandatory, they are derived from extensive FAA and industry experience in determining compliance with the pertinent FAR. This advisory circular does not change, create any additional, authorize changes in, or permit deviations from, regulatory requirements.

2. <u>RELATED REGULATIONS</u>. Sections 25.562, 25.785, 25.787, and 25.789 of Part 25 of the Federal Aviation Regulations.

3. <u>DISCUSSION</u>.

a. <u>Intent of Tests</u>. The intent of the tests is to evaluate airplane seats, restraints, and related interior systems in order to demonstrate the structural strength and the ability of those systems to protect an occupant from injuries in a crash environment. For example, occupant injury potential, which is influenced, by head strike envelopes and seat pitch, should be assessed. This assessment will be essentially qualitative.

b. <u>Standardized Test Procedures - Reason and Practicalities</u>. The tests described are standardized procedures that are generally to be regarded as the minimum necessary to demonstrate compliance. Such standardized procedures ensure that, to the maximum extent possible, consistent results are achieved between different test facilities. These facilities may be of varying types, as described in paragraph 6. They will often not be under the direct control of the designer or manufacturer of the article under test, and they may be primarily dedicated to testing not related to the aerospace industry. For this reason many of the procedures and

evaluations described are already accepted as standards by government and commercial test facilities and have been modified only as necessary for the specific testing of civil airplane systems.

c. <u>Standardized Test Procedures - Relationship to Design Standards</u>. As stated above, the tests are, of necessity, standardized. The most obvious examples are the one size and weight representation of the occupant and the two discrete directions specified for the test impact. This philosophy is no different than that applied to static testing but, in the dynamic case, results in a much more complex consideration of the design factors involved in ensuring that the testing performed is adequate to demonstrate compliance with the applicable regulations.

(1) <u>Occupant size</u>. The dynamic tests are performed with an anthropomorphic test device (ATD) approximately representing the 50th percentile male occupant. Although the basic structural capability of the seat/restraint system is not directly demonstrated for other size occupants, aspects such as energy absorbing systems, restraint system loads and anchorage locations, and seat adjustments are typical design factors which are directly influenced by occupant size.

(2) <u>Test Conditions</u>. Only the two minimum impact tests are described in the dynamic test procedures discussed in this AC. These procedures therefore address the tests required to demonstrate compliance for one seat and restraint system installation. A typical use of a seat model on a particular aircraft will involve variations of seat design and installation. Additional tests may be necessary to demonstrate compliance for these variations if analysis is not adequate. An example is the lateral component of the test where it is necessary to consider the effect of loads from either side.

(3) <u>Floor Deformation</u>. The test procedure requires that for structural evaluation the floor should be deformed. The seat and restraint system should also perform properly if the floor remains undeformed.

(4) <u>Head Impact</u>. Occupant head impact with the interior of the airplane, should it occur, is evaluated by using a Head Injury Criterion (HIC) that can be measured directly in the tests described in this AC, or in alternative tests of the interior. The HIC is measured on the most critical surface within the ± 10 degrees yaw envelope (measurement of the HIC does not supersede the requirements of § 25.785). The HIC does not consider injuries that can occur at low impact velocities from contact with surfaces having small contact areas or sharp edges, especially if those surfaces are relatively rigid.

(5) <u>Femur Injury</u>. Extensive seat testing has shown that the femur loading criterion is not exceeded. For this reason, the femur loads need not be recorded in the individual test if compliance can be shown by rational comparative analysis using data from previous tests.

NOTE: There may be several other aspects of the standardized test procedure that need to be considered when determining the test program required to demonstrate compliance or interpret the test results. The extent of the test program will depend on the most critical case determination and its applicability to other configurations. Further information on this aspect of testing is provided in paragraph 4b.

4. TEST CONDITIONS.

a. <u>General</u>. A minimum of two dynamic tests are required to assess the performance of an airplane seat, restraints, and related interior system. The seat, the restraint, and the nearby interior are all considered to act together as a system to provide protection to the occupant during a crash. For side-facing seats, there may be additional criteria necessary to determine that these seats provide the same level of safety as is intended by the regulation. (See paragraph 9d for additional considerations regarding side-facing seats.)

(1) <u>Test 1</u> (Figure 1), as a single row seat test, determines the performance of the system in a test condition where the predominant impact force component is along the spinal column of the occupant, in combination with a forward impact force component. This test evaluates the structural adequacy of the seat, critical pelvic/lumbar column forces, and permanent deformation of the structure under downward and forward combined impact loading, and may yield data on ATD head displacement, velocity, and acceleration time histories.

(2) <u>Test 2</u> (Figure 1), as a single row seat test, determines the performance of a system in a test condition where the predominant impact force component is along the longitudinal axis of the airplane and is combined with a lateral impact force component. This test evaluates the structural adequacy of the seat, permanent deformation of the structure, and the pelvic restraint and upper torso restraint (if applicable) behavior and loads, and may yield data on ATD head displacement, velocity, and acceleration time histories, and the seat leg loads imposed on the seat tracks or attachment fittings.

This test requires simulating airplane floor deformation by deforming the test fixture, as respectively prescribed in Figures 1 and 2 for single occupant and multiple occupant seats, prior to applying the dynamic impact conditions. The purpose of providing floor deformation for the test is to demonstrate that the seat/restraint system will remain attached to the airframe and perform properly, even though the airplane and/or seat are deformed by the forces associated with a crash.

(3) For seats placed in repetitive rows, an additional test condition, using two seats in tandem placed at representative fore and aft distance between the seats (seat pitch), similar to Test 2 with or without the floor deformation directly evaluates head and femur injury criteria (the floor deformation is required if the test also demonstrates structural performance). These injury criteria are dependent on seat pitch, seat occupancy, and the effect of hard structures within the path of head

3

excursions in the ± 10 degrees yaw attitude range of the Test 2 conditions. The test procedure using the appropriate data obtained from Test 2, as described in paragraph 12d, may be an alternative to multiple row testing.

NOTE: It may be possible to evaluate the HIC using alternative tests. Specific methodologies will require acceptance for certification.





• •• • • • • •

b. <u>Consideration of test criteria</u>. The tests should be planned to achieve "most critical" conditions for the criteria that make up each test.

(1) For multiple place seats, a rational structural analysis shall be used to determine the number and seat location for the ATDs and the direction for seat yaw in Test 2 to provide the most critical seat structural test. This will usually result in unequally loaded seat legs. The floor deformation procedure shall be selected to increase the load on the highest loaded seat leg and to load the floor track or fitting in the most severe manner.

(2) If multiple-row testing is used to gather data to assess head and femur injury protection in passenger seats, the seat pitch shall be selected so that the head would be most likely to contact hard structure in the forward seat row. The effect of the 10 degree yaw in Test 2, the seat back breakover, and front seat occupancy shall be considered. Results from previous tests or rational analysis may be used to estimate the head strike path of similar seats in similar installations. The front row may be unoccupied.

(3) If non-symmetrical upper torso restraints (such as single diagonal shoulder belts) are used in a system, they shall be installed on the test fixture in a position representative of that in the airplane and which would most likely allow the ATD to move out of the restraint. For example, in a forward-facing crew seat equipped with a single diagonal shoulder belt, the seat should be yawed in Test 2 in a direction such that the belt passes over the trailing shoulder.

(4) If a seat has vertical or horizontal adjustments, it shall be tested in the position that produces the most critical loads on the seat structure (typically the highest vertical position). Positions prohibited for takeoff and landing need not be considered. Seat adjustments that do not have a significant effect on structural loading (e.g., thigh support angle, lumbar support, armrest and headrest positions) shall be tested in the design positions for the 50th-percentile male occupant, unless special requirements dictate the positions allowed for takeoff and landing.

5. <u>TEST ARTICLES</u>.

٦,

a. <u>General</u>. In all cases, the test article must be representative of the final production article in all structural elements, and shall include the seat cushions, restraints, and armrests. It must also include a functioning position adjustment mechanism and correctly adjusted breakover (if present). Food trays or any other service or accouterment that are part of the seat design must be representative of the final production item if they influence seat stiffness or head impact. Otherwise they and any other items of mass that are carried on or positioned by the seat structure e.g., weights simulating luggage carried by luggage restraint bars [90 N (20 lb) per passenger place], fire extinguishers, survival equipment, emergency equipment etc.

5

need only be representative masses. If these items of mass are placed in a position that could limit the function of an energy-absorbing feature in the test article, they should be of representative shape and stiffness, as well as weight. In addition, items of mass of any significance could become both an evacuation hazard, as well as dangerous projectiles. Nonetheless, detachment of certain items, such as an in-arm ashtray or decorative trim, can be considered inconsequential and should not be grounds for re-test (the means of restraint should be improved, however). In any case, the separation of an item of mass should not leave any sharp or injurious edges. Function of equipment or systems after the test is not required. Once an item of mass has been demonstrated to be retained in its critical loading case, subsequent tests may be conducted with the item secured for test purposes. This AC does not establish operational requirements for equipment attached to the seat system.

b. Selection of test articles. Many designs comprise a family of seats that have the same basic structural design but differ in detail. For example, a basic seat frame configuration can allow for several different seat leg locations to permit installation in different airplanes. If these differences are of a nature that their effect can be determined by rational analysis, then the analysis can determine the most critical configuration. As a minimum, the most highly stressed configuration shall be selected for the dynamic tests so that the other configurations could be accepted by comparison with that configuration. For Test 2, there are two factors that need to be considered in selecting the critical structural test configurations. First, the seat to airplane interface loads (undeformed seat) can be determined by rational analysis for all seat design and load configurations. The rational analysis can be based on static or dynamic seat/occupant analytical methods. That rational analysis can form the basis for selecting the most highly stressed critical configuration based on load. Additionally, the effects of seat deformation should also be considered. As noted, a family of seats typically includes seat models with varied seat leg locations. The effects of floor deformation are more critical for narrow spaced seat legs. Thus, a test or rational analysis of the seat model with the minimum seat leg spacing needs to be conducted to evaluate the most highly stressed critical configuration based on deformation.

The following additional items shall be considered in choosing test articles and the manner of loading:

(1) If a multiple-place seat incorporates energy-absorbing or loadlimiting features that are necessary to meet the test criteria or other requirements, a partially occupied seat may adversely affect the performance of that seat. In such a case it shall be shown, by rational analysis or additional testing, that the seat will continue to perform as intended, even with fewer occupants.

(2) If different configurations of the same basic design incorporate load-carrying members, especially joints or fasteners, that differ in detail design, the performance of each detail design should be demonstrated in a dynamic test. Experience has shown that small details in the design often cause problems in meeting the test performance criteria.

(3) Additional dynamic impact testing may be required for a seat with features that could affect its performance, even though the test may not be the most critical case based on structural performance. For example, if in one of the design configurations the restraint system attachment points are located so that the pelvic restraint is more likely to slip above the ATD's pelvis during the impact, that configuration should also be dynamically tested, even though the structural loading might be less than the critical configuration in a family of seats.

(4) Typical dress cover materials, including synthetic and natural fabrics, and leather, can be used on a seat without testing more than one material, or substituted on an already certificated seat. Evaluation of such materials has shown the effect on test results is small, particularly considering other factors such as occupant clothing. It is possible that some unusual seat surfaces such as hard plastics, which exhibit very low friction coefficients, may require some additional substantiation.

6. TEST FACILITIES.

a. <u>General</u>. There are a number of test facilities that can be used to accomplish dynamic testing. These can be grouped into categories based on the method used to generate the impact pulse (i.e., accelerators, decelerators, or impact with rebound), and whether the facility is a horizontal (sled) design or a vertical (droptower) arrangement. Each of the designs has characteristics that have advantages or disadvantages with regard to the dynamic tests discussed in this AC. One concern is the rapid sequence of acceleration and deceleration that must take place in the tests. In an airplane crash, the acceleration phase is always gradual, and usually well separated in time from the deceleration. When assessing the utility of a facility for the specific test procedures outlined in the recommendations, it is necessary to understand the possible consequences of this rapid sequence of acceleration and deceleration and deceleration and deceleration and the possible consequences of this rapid sequence of acceleration and deceleration and deceleration.

b. <u>Deceleration sled facilities</u>. In an airplane crash, the impact takes place as a deceleration, so loads are applied more naturally in test facilities that create the test impact pulse as a deceleration. Since it is simpler to design test facilities to extract energy in a controlled manner than to impart energy in a controlled manner, several different deceleration sled facilities can be found. The deceleration sled facility at the Federal Aviation Administration's Civil Aeromedical Institute (CAMI) was used in developing the test procedures discussed in this AC. The acceleration phase of the test, where sufficient velocity for the test impact pulse is acquired, can distort the test results if the acceleration is so high that the test articles or ATDs are moved from their intended pre-test position. This inability to control the initial conditions of the test would directly affect the test results. This can be avoided by using a lower acceleration for a relatively long duration and by providing a coast phase (in which the acceleration or deceleration is almost zero) prior to the impact. This allows any dynamic oscillation in the test articles or the ATD, which might be caused by the acceleration, to decay. To

guard against errors in data caused by pre-impact accelerations, data from the electronic test measurements (accelerations, loads) should be reviewed for the time period just before the test impact pulse to make sure all measurements are at the baseline (zero) level. Photometric film taken of the test should also be reviewed to make certain that the ATDs used in the test and the test articles were all in their proper position prior to the test impact pulse.

The horizontal test facility readily accommodates forward-facing seats in both tests discussed in this AC, but problems can exist in positioning the test ATDs in Test 1 if the seat is a rearward-facing or side- facing seat. In these cases, the ATDs tend to fall out of the seat due to the force of gravity and must be restrained in place using break-away tape, cords, or strings. Since each installation will present its own problems, there is no simple, generally applicable, guidance which can be given for doing this. Attention should be given to positioning the ATD against the seat back, and to proper positioning of the ATD's arms and legs. It will probably be necessary to build special supports for the break-away restraint so that they will not interfere with the function of the seat and restraint system during the test. Film taken of the test should be reviewed to make sure that the break-away restraint did break (or become slack) in a manner that did not influence the motion of the ATD or the test articles during the test.

Acceleration sled facilities. Acceleration sled facilities, usually based on C. the HYdraulically controlled Gas Energized (HYGE) accelerator device, provide the impact test pulse as a controlled acceleration at the beginning of the test. The test item and the ATDs are installed facing in the opposite direction from the velocity vector, (opposite from the direction used on a deceleration facility) to account for the change in direction of the impact. There should be no problem with the ATD or the test items being out of position due to pre-impact sled acceleration, since there is no sled movement prior to the impact test pulse. After the impact test pulse, when the sled is moving at the maximum test velocity, it must be safely brought to a stop. Most of the facilities of this design have limited track length available for deceleration, so that the deceleration levels can be relatively high and deceleration may begin immediately after the impact test pulse. Since the dynamic response of the system follows (in time) the impact test pulse, any sled deceleration that takes place during that response will affect the response and change the test results. The magnitude of change depends on the system being tested, so that no general "correction factor" can be specified. The affect can be minimized if the sled is allowed to coast, without significant deceleration, until the response is complete. If the seat or restraint system experiences a structural failure during the test pulse, the post-impact deceleration can increase the damage and perhaps result in failures of unrelated components. This will complicate the determination of the initial failure mode, and make product improvement more difficult. One other consideration is that the photometric film coverage of the response to impact test pulse must be accomplished when the sled is moving at near maximum velocity. On-board cameras or a series of track-side cameras are usually used to provide film coverage of the test. Since on-board cameras frequently use a wide angle lens placed close to the test items, it is necessary to account for the effects of distortion and parallax when analyzing the film. The acceleration sled facility faces the same

problems in accommodating rearward facing or side-facing seats in Test 1 as the deceleration sled facility, and the corrective action is the same for both facilities.

d. <u>Impact-with-rebound sled facilities</u>. One other type of horizontal test facility used is the "impact-with-rebound" sled facility. On this facility, the impact takes place as the moving sled contacts a braking system, which stores the energy of the impact, and then returns the stored energy back to the sled, causing it to rebound in the opposite direction. This facility has the advantage over acceleration or deceleration facilities in that only one half of the required velocity for the impact would need to be generated by the facility (assuming 100 percent efficiency). Thus, the track length can be shortened and the method of generating velocity is simplified. The disadvantages of this facility combine the problems mentioned above for both acceleration facilities and deceleration facilities. Since one of the reasons for this type of facility is to allow short track length to be used, it may be difficult to obtain sufficiently low acceleration just before or after the impact pulse to resolve data error problems caused by significant pre-impact and post-impact accelerations.

Drop towers. Vertical test facilities can include both drop towers **e**. (decelerators) and vertical accelerators. Vertical accelerators that can produce the long duration/displacement impact pulse depicted in Figure 1 have not been generally available. However, drop towers are one of the easiest facilities to build and operate, and are frequently used. In these facilities, the pull of earth's gravity is used to accelerate the sled to impact velocity so that the need for a complex mechanical accelerating system is eliminated. Unfortunately, these facilities are difficult to use for conducting Test 2, particularly for typical forward-facing seats. In preparing for this test, the seat must be installed at an angle such that the ATD tends to fall from the seat due to gravity. The restraint system being tested cannot hold the ATD against the seat unless tightened excessively, and will not usually locate the head, arms, or legs in their proper position relative to the seat. Design and fabrication of an auxiliary "break-away" ATD positioning restraint system just for this test is a complex task. The auxiliary restraint must not only position the ATD against the seat (including maintaining proper seat cushion deflection) during the pre-release condition of 1g, it must also maintain the ATD in that proper position during the free fall to impact velocity when the system is exposed to 0g, and then it must release the ATD in a manner that does not interfere with the ATD response to impact. The usual sequence of 1g/0g impact, without the possibility of a useful "coast" phase, as done in horizontal facilities, causes shifts in initial conditions for the test impact pulse which can affect the response to the impact. The significance of this will depend on the dynamic characteristics of the system being tested, and these are seldom known with sufficient accuracy to enable the response to be corrected. In addition, the earth's gravity will oppose the final rebound of the ATDs into the seat back, so that an adequate test of seat back strength and support for the ATD cannot be obtained. The problems in Test 1, or with rear-facing seats in Test 2, are not as difficult because the seat will support the ATD occupant prior to the free fall. However, the 0g condition that exists prior to impact will allow the ATD to "float" in the seat restraint system, perhaps changing position and certainly changing the initial

impact conditions. Again, use of an auxiliary break-away restraint system to correct these problems is difficult.

7. ANTHROPOMORPHIC TEST DEVICES.

a. <u>General</u>. The tests discussed in this AC were developed using modified forms of the ATDs specified by the United States Code of Federal Regulations, Title 49, Part 572 Anthropomorphic Test Dummies, Subpart B - 50th Percentile Male. These "Part 572B" ATDs have been shown to be reliable test devices that are capable of providing reproducible results in repeated testing. However, since ATD development is a continuing process, provision was made for using "equivalent" ATDs. ATD types should not be mixed when completing the tests discussed in this AC.

b. Modification to measure pelvic/lumbar load.

(1) To measure the axial compressive load between the pelvis and lumbar column due to vertical impact as well as downward loads caused by upper torso restraints, a load (force) transducer shall be inserted into the ATD pelvis just below the lumbar column. This modification is shown in Figure 2. The illustration shows a commercially available femur load cell, with end plates removed, that has been adapted to measure the compression load between the pelvis and the lumbar column of the ATD.

(2) A femur load cell is selected because of its availability in most test facilities and its ability to measure the compression forces without errors due to sensitivity to shear forces and bending or twisting moments which are also generated during the test. To maintain the correct seated height of the ATD the load cell must be fixed in a rigid cup which is inserted into a hole bored in the top surface of the ATD pelvis. The interior diameter of the cup provides clearance around the outside diameter of the load cell, so that the loads are transmitted only through the ends of the cell. If necessary, ballast shall be added to the pelvis to maintain the weight of the original (unmodified) assembly.

(3) Alternative approaches to measuring the axial force transmitted to the lumbar spinal column by the pelvis are acceptable if the method:

(i) Accurately measures the axial force but is insensitive to moments and forces other than that being measured;

(ii) Maintains the intended alignment of the spinal column and the pelvis, the correct seated height, and the correct weight distribution of the ATD; and

(iii) Does not alter the other performance characteristics of the

ATD.



Figure 2. Installation of Pelvic–Lumbar Spine Load Cell in Part 572B ATD.

This illustration shows an acceptable adaptation of a femur load cell (d) at the base of the ATD lumbar spine (a). The load cell is in line with the centerline of the lumbar spine, and set below the top surface of the pelvis casting to maintain the seated height of the ATD. A rigid adapter cup (e) is fabricated to hold the load cell and a hole is bored in the ATD pelvis to accept the cup. Clearance must be provided between the walls of the adapter cup and the load cell for the wires leading from the cell. The bottom of the load cell is bolted to the adapter cup. Adapter plates having similar hold patterns in their periphery are fabricated for the lower surface of the lumbar spine (b) and the upper surface of the load cell (c). These plates are fastened to the lumbar spine and load cell with screws through holes matching threaded holes in those components, and are then joined together by bolts through the peripheral holes. The flange on the adapter cup has a bolt hole pattern that matches that on the pelvis. The cup is fastened to the pelvis using screws to the threaded holes in the pelvis. Spacers (f) may be placed under the flange of the cup to obtain the specified ATD seating height. Additional weight should be placed in the cavity below the adapter cup to compensate for any weight lost because of this modification. The instrument cavity plug (g) is cut to provide clearance for the adapter cup and added weight.

c. <u>Other ATD Modifications</u>.

(1) To prevent failure of the clavicle used in Part 572 Subpart B ATDs due to flailing, a clavicle of the same shape but of higher strength material can be substituted.

(2) Submarining indicators, such as electronic transducers, may be added on the ATD pelvis. These are located on the anterior surface of the ilium of the ATD pelvis without altering its contour, and indicate the position of the pelvic restraint as it applies loads to the pelvis. These indicators can provide a direct record that the pelvic restraint remains on the pelvis during the test, and eliminate the need for careful review of high-speed camera images to make that determination.

d. <u>Equivalent ATDs</u>. The continuing development of ATDs for dynamic testing of seating restraint/crash-injury-protection systems is guided by goals of improved biofidelity (human-like response to the impact environment) and reproducibility of test results. For the purposes of the tests discussed in this AC, these improved ATDs can be considered the equivalent of the Part 572B ATD if:

(1) They are fabricated in accordance with design and production specifications established and published by a regulatory agency that is responsible for crash injury protection systems;

(2) They are capable of providing data for the measurements discussed in this AC or of being readily altered to provide the data;

(3) They have been evaluated by comparison with the Part 572B ATD and are shown to generate similar response to the impact environment discussed in this AC; and

(4) Any deviations from the Part 572B ATD configuration or performance are representative of the occupant of a civil airplane in the impact environment discussed in this AC.

8. INSTRUMENTATION.

a. <u>General</u>.

(1) Electronic and photographic instrumentation systems shall be used to record data for qualification of seats. Electronic instrumentation shall measure the test environment, and measure and record data required for comparison of performance to pass/fail criteria.

(2) Photographic instrumentation shall be used to document the overall results of tests, confirming that the pelvic restraint remains on the ATD's pelvis, and that the upper torso restraint straps remain on the ATD's shoulder during impact,

12

and documenting that the seat does not deform as a result of the test in a manner that would impede rapid evacuation of the airplane by the occupants and that the seat remains attached at all points of attachment. For passenger seats with lap belt angles of btween 45 and 55 degrees, submarining is typically not a problem. For this reason, a second camera (e.g., an overhead camera) for evaluation of submarining is not necessary.

b. Electronic Instrumentation. Electronic instrumentation should be accomplished in accordance with the Society of Automotive Engineers Recommended Practice SAE J211, "Instrumentation for Impact Tests." In this practice, a data channel is considered to include all of the instrumentation components from the transducer through the final data measurement, including connecting cables and any analytical procedures that could alter the magnitude or frequency content of the data. Each dynamic data channel is assigned a nominal channel class that is equivalent to the high frequency limit for that channel, based on a constant output/input ratio versus frequency response plot which begins at 0.1 Hz (+1/2 to -1/2 dB) and extends to the high frequency limit (+1/2 to -1 dB). Frequency response characteristics beyond this high frequency limit are also specified. When digitizing data, the sample rate should be at least five times the -3 dB cutoff frequency of the presample analog filters. Since most facilities set all presample analog filters for Channel Class 1000, and since the -3 dB cutoff frequency for channel class 1000 is 1650 Hz, the minimum digital sampling rate would be about 8000 samples per second. For the dynamic tests discussed in this AC, the dynamic data channels shall comply with the following channel class characteristics:

(1) Sled or drop tower vehicle acceleration should be measured in accordance with the requirements of Channel Class 60, unless the acceleration is also integrated to obtain velocity or displacement, in which case it shall be measured in accordance with Channel Class 180 requirements.

(2) Belt-restraint system and seat attachment reaction loads (when measured) shall be measured in accordance with the requirements of Channel Class 60. Loads in restraint systems that attach directly to the test fixture can be measured by three-axis load cells fixed to the test fixture at the appropriate location. These commercially available load cells measure the forces in three orthogonal directions simultaneously, so that the direction as well as the magnitude of the force can be determined. If desired, similar load cells can be used to measure forces at other boundaries between the test fixture and the test item, such as the forces transmitted by the legs of the seat into the floor track. It is possible to use independent, single axis load cells arranged to provide similar data, but care should be taken to use load cells that can withstand significant cross axis loading or bending without causing errors in the test data.

(3) ATD head accelerations used for calculating the Head Injury Criterion (HIC) should be measured in accordance with the requirements of Channel Class 1000.

09/08/95

(4) ATD femur forces should be measured in accordance with Channel Class 600.

(5) ATD pelvic/lumbar column force shall be measured in accordance with the requirements of Channel Class 600.

(6) The full-scale calibration range for each channel shall provide sufficient dynamic range for the data being measured.

(7) Digital conversion of analog data shall provide sample resolution of not less than 1 percent of full-scale input.

c. <u>Photographic Instrumentation</u>. Photographic instrumentation shall be used for documenting the response of the ATDs and the test items to the dynamic test environment. Both high-speed and still image systems should be used.

(1) High speed cameras that provide data used to calculate displacement or velocity shall operate at a nominal speed of 500 frames per second. Photo instrumentation methods shall not be used for measurement of acceleration. The locations of the cameras and of targets or targeted measuring points within the field of view shall be measured and documented. Targets shall be at least 1/100 of the field width covered by the camera and shall be of contrasting colors or shall contrast with their background. The center of the target shall be easily discernible. Rectilinearity of the image shall be documented. If the image is not rectilinear, appropriate correction factors shall be used in the data analysis process. Photographic instrumentation should be in accordance with SAE J211, part 2.

(2) A description of photographic calibration boards or scales within the camera field of view, the camera lens focal length, and the make and model of each camera and lens shall be documented for each test. Appropriate digital or serial timing shall be provided on the image media. A description of the timing signal, the offset of timing signal to the image, and the means of correlating the time of the image with the time of electronic data shall be provided. A rigorous, verified analytical procedure shall be used for data analysis.

(3) Cameras operating at a nominal rate of 200 frames per second or greater may be used to document the response of ATDs and test items if measurements are not required. For example, actions such as movement of the pelvic restraint system webbing off of the ATD's pelvis can be observed by documentation cameras placed to obtain a "best view" of the anticipated event. These cameras shall be provided with appropriate timing and a means of correlating the image with the time of electronic data.

(4) Still image cameras shall be used to document the pre-test installation and the post-test response of the ATDs and the test items. At least four

AC 25.562-1

pictures shall be obtained from different positions around the test items in pre-test and post-test conditions. Where an upper torso restraint system is installed, post-test pictures shall be obtained before moving the ATD. For additional post-test pictures, the ATD's upper torso may be rotated to its approximate upright seated position so that the condition of the restraint systems may be better documented, but no other change to the post-test response of the test item or the ATD shall be made. The pictures shall document whether the seat remained attached at all points of attachment to the test fixture.

(5) Still pictures may also be used to document post-test yielding of the seat for the purpose of showing that it would not impede the rapid evacuation of the aircraft occupants. The ATD should be removed from the seat in preparation for still pictures used for that purpose. Targets or an appropriate target grid should be included in such pictures, and the views should be selected so that potential interference with the evacuation process can be determined. For tests where the ATD's head impacts a fixture or another seat back, pictures shall be taken to document the head contact areas.

9. <u>TEST FIXTURES</u>.

a. <u>General</u>. A test fixture is required to position the test article on the sled or drop carriage of the test facility and takes the place of the airplane's floor structure. It does not need to simulate the airplane floor flexibility. It holds the attachment fittings or floor tracks for the seat and provides the floor deformation if needed for the test; it provides anchorage points if necessary for the restraint system; it provides a floor or footrest for the ATD; and it positions instrument panels, bulkheads, or a second row of seats, if required.

b. Floor Deformation.

(1) <u>Purpose of floor deformation</u>. The purpose of providing floor deformation for the longitudinal tests is to demonstrate that the seat system will remain attached and perform properly, even though the seat or airframe may be deformed by the forces associated with the crash. Floor deformation is not required for demonstrating compliance with injury criteria.

(2) <u>Floor Deformation Fixture</u>. For the typical seat with four seat legs mounted in the aircraft on two parallel tracks, the floor deformation test fixture shall consist of two parallel beams: a pitch beam that pivots about a lateral (y) axis and a roll beam that pivots about a longitudinal (x) axis (see Figure 3 for a schematic representation). The beams can be made of any rigid structural form: box, I-beam, channel, or other appropriate cross section. The pitch beam shall be capable of rotating in the x-z plane up to ± 10 degrees relative to the longitudinal (x) axis. The roll beam should be capable of ± 10 degrees roll about the centerline of floor tracks or fittings. A means shall be provided to fasten the beams in the deformed positions.

15

The beams should have provision for installing floor track or other attachment fittings on their upper surface in a manner that does not alter the above-floor strength of the track or fitting. The track or other attachment fittings must be representative in above-floor configuration and strength to that which would be used in the airplane. Structural elements below the surface of the floor that are not considered part of the floor track or seat attach fitting need not be included in the installation. Appropriate safety precautions should be taken while imposing floor deformations.



Figure 3. Schematic Floor Deformation Fixture; Seat Legs Attached at Floor Level

AC 25.562-1

(3) <u>Airplane Floor Track or Attachment Fitting Simulation</u>. An example of the minimum required representation of a floor track is shown in Detail A of Figure 3 for one type of seat track. The track, or other attachment fittings, must be representative of those used in the airplane. Alternatively, three components of reaction forces and three components of reaction moments <u>may</u> be measured during dynamic tests. These six components <u>may</u> be applied simultaneously, by a separate static or dynamic test, to a track or attachment fitting used on an airplane, or to a more critical track or attachment fitting than that used on an airplane, to demonstrate that the loads measured in the dynamic impact test will not fail the track or attachment fitting used on an airplane.

(4) Load Transducer Installation (Optional). The pitch and roll beams should have provisions for installing individual load transducers at each seat leg attachment point capable of measuring three reaction forces and, if necessary, three reaction moments (see paragraph 9b(3)). The load transducers should have provisions to install floor track or other attachment fittings on their upper surface in a manner that does not alter the above-floor strength of the track or fitting.

c. <u>Other Mounting Configuration Constraints</u>. The preceding discussion describes the fixture and floor deformation procedure that would be used for a typical seat that uses four seat legs and four attachments to the aircraft floor. These test procedures are not intended to be restricted only to those seat configurations, but shall be adapted to seats having other designs. Special test fixtures may be necessary for those different configurations. The following methods, while not covering all possible seat designs, shall be followed for the more common alternatives:

(1) <u>Airplane seats with three legs</u> may have one central leg at the front or back of the seat, and one leg on each side of the seat. The central leg shall be held in its undeformed position as deformation is applied to the side legs.

(2) <u>Seats that have more than two pairs of legs</u> should be tested with the floor warpage condition that results in the most critically stressed condition. This typically involves warping adjacent pairs of legs. Seats that employ several pairs of legs, ganged together by common cross tubes, can be distorted so that one pair (the critical pair) of legs is rolled, while the remaining legs on one side of the critical leg are pitched in unison. The legs that are pitched should be selected to increase the load on the critical leg, and stress the floor or track fitting in the most severe manner (see Figures 4 and 5).

.

09/08/95



Figure 4.



(3) <u>Seats that are wall-mounted</u> must be evaluated individually. There are several types of mounting schemes, some of which are discussed below. As noted in the preamble to Amendment 25-64, the dynamic impact pulses defined in § 25.562 are considered compatible with existing airframe structure. The definition of the test fixture required for floor-mounted seats takes this into account, so that extensive floor structure is not necessary for test; that is, only the seat track above the floor is used. The important consideration is the retention of the seat under dynamic conditions, and the test setup should account for this in wall-mounted seats as well. The following guidance has been established with this objective in mind.

(i) <u>Seats that are mounted to primary airplane structure</u>, such as a pressure bulkhead, need only be tested with the attachment fitting mounted to rigid structure, in a manner equivalent to the production installation.

(ii) <u>Seats mounted to a structure, such as a structural bulkhead,</u> <u>galley or lavatory</u>, where no integral structural members are used for attachment, should be tested with the seat attached to segments of the mounting surface. These segments are typically eight inch by eight inch sections of the panel. These sections can, in turn, be mounted to a rigid structure.

(iii) <u>Seats that are mounted to single panel furnishings</u>, such as class dividers or windscreens, where the panel essentially fulfills the role of the legs, should be treated the same as floor mounted seats. For the purpose of conducting tests, the entire assembly, including the panel and its attachments, should be included in the test setup. In this case, floor warpage should be applied to track-mounted furnishings.

(4) <u>Seats that are attached to both the floor and a bulkhead</u> should be tested on a fixture that positions the bulkhead surface in a plane through the axis of rotation of the pitch beam. The bulkhead surface should be located perpendicular to the plane of the floor (the airplane floor surface, if one were present) in the undeformed condition, or in a manner appropriate to the intended installation. Either a rigid bulkhead simulation or an actual bulkhead panel can be used. If a test fixture with a rigid bulkhead simulation is used, the seat restraint system shall attach to fittings installed in a test panel equivalent to those used in the actual installation. The seat should be attached to the bulkhead and the floor in a manner representative of the airplane installation, and the floor shall then be deformed as described in paragraph 9b.

(5) <u>Seats that are mounted between sidewalls</u> or to the sidewall and floor of an aircraft shall be tested in a manner that simulates airplane fuselage crosssection deformation during a crash. Brackets shall be provided to attach the seat to the test fixture at the same level above the fixture floor representing the installation above the airplane floor where the inboard tracks or attachment is located. The roll axis should be approximately at the center of the outboard track.

AC 25.562-1

A sidewall bracket shall be located on the roll beam. Then, as the beams are rotated to produce the most critical loading condition (sidewall rotates outward), the combined angular and transitional deformation will simulate the deformation that could take place in a crash (see Figure 6 for a schematic representation). The seat positioning pins or locks shall be fastened in the same manner as would be used in the airplane, including the adjustment of anti-rattle mechanisms, if provided.

.

09/08/95



Figure 6. Schematic Test Fixture; Sidewall Mounted Seat

22

- ..,

.

.

09/08/95

(6) <u>Seats that are cantilevered from one sidewall without connection to</u> <u>other structure</u> are not subject to floor deformation. A determination shall be made whether sidewall deformations could be expected that could generate a condition critical for seat performance in a crash. If sidewall deformation is likely, the entire sidewall attachment plane, or the attachment points, shall be deformed in a manner to represent the sidewall deformation. Either a rigid sidewall simulation or an actual sidewall panel may be used. If a test fixture with a rigid sidewall simulation is used, the seat/restraint system shall be attached to fittings installed in a test panel equivalent to those used in the actual installation.

(7) Seats that are mounted on a plinth. Where the plinth is used to mount a single seat, and the plinth is attached to the floor, the plinth should be considered as part of the seat assembly as an adaptor and should be deformed as described in paragraph 9b. Any items of mass attached to the plinth need to be represented and included in the dynamic testing.

(8) Seats that are mounted on a pallet (e.g., multiple seat rows). The pallet is considered part of the floor structure of the airplane. The seats should be attached to the pallet in a manner representative of the airplane installation. The seat legs should be deformed as described in paragraph 9b. Any items of mass attached to the pallet and not part of the seat structure do not need to be included in the dynamic testing.

d. <u>Side-Facing Seats</u>.

(i)

(1) <u>General</u>. All seats occupiable for takeoff and landing are subject to the specified dynamic test conditions, including side-facing seats, both single occupancy and multiple place (e.g. divans). Compliance with the structural requirements should be demonstrated for side-facing seats using the same conditions for the test and pass/fail criteria as for fore- and aft-facing seats. The seat should be loaded in the most critical case structurally. Means of restraining the ATDs may need to be adapted to ensure adequate retention during the test. The application of floor distortion will need to be assessed on an individual basis, depending on the design of the fixation of the seat. The injury criteria of § 25.562 are not adequate to demonstrate equivalent safety of side-facing seats when compared to fore- and aft-facing seats. To demonstrate equivalent safety fully in the absence of such specified criteria, the applicant must use other injury criteria which may be derived from the automotive industry, which uses side impact ATDs.

(2) Assessment Criteria. Research into side-facing seats is ongoing; therefore, in the absence of specific compliance guidance, the FAA is prepared to assess side-facing seats on the following basis:

The seat must demonstrate compliance with the structural

requirement.

09/08/95

(ii) If an acceptable side impact ATD has not been used with assessment of the corresponding injury criteria, it must be shown that the occupants are restrained in such a manner that prevents substantial energy absorption by body to body contact (on a multiple occupancy seat), and which, using the best available engineering judgment, minimizes injury to the occupant(s).

(iii) As research proceeds, the FAA will work toward establishing a more definitive policy with respect to the acceptance of side-facing seats.

e. <u>Multiple Row Test Fixtures</u>. In tests of passenger seats that are normally installed in repetitive rows in the airplane, head and knee impact conditions are best evaluated through tests that use at least two rows of seats. These conditions are usually critical only in Test 2. This test allows direct measurements of the head and femur injury data (see Figure 1).

(1) The fixture shall be capable of setting the airplane longitudinal axis at a yaw angle of -10 to +10 degrees. The fixture should also allow adjustment of the seat pitch and installation angle with respect to the airplane center line.

(2) To allow direct measurement of head acceleration for the head injury assessment of a seat installation where the head of the occupant is within striking distance of structure, a representative impact surface may be attached to the test fixture in front of the seat at the orientation and distance from the seat representing the airplane installation.

f. <u>Other Fixture Applications</u>. Test fixtures should provide a flat footrest for ATDs used in tests of passenger seats and crewmember seats that are not provided with special footrests or foot-operated airplane controls. The surface of the footrest shall be covered with carpet (or other appropriate material) and be at a position representative of the floor in the airplane installation. Test fixtures used for evaluating crew seats that are normally associated with special footrests or foot-operated controls shall simulate those components. Note: A footrest is optional for test 2 (see paragraph 10f). Test fixtures may also be required to provide guides or anchors for restraint systems or for holding instrument panels or bulkheads, if necessary, for the planned tests. If these provisions are required, the installation shall represent the configuration of the airplane installation and be of adequate structural strength.

10. Test Preparation.

a. Preparation for the tests will involve positioning and securing the ATD, the ATD restraint system, the seat, and the instrumentation. This will be done for the specific critical condition being tested. Preparations that pertain to the normal operation of the test facility, such as safety provisions and the actual procedures for accomplishment of the tests, are specific to the test facility and will not be addressed in this AC.

b. Use of anthropomorphic dummies. Anthropomorphic dummies used in the tests discussed in this AC should be maintained to perform in accordance with the requirements described in their specification. Periodic teardown and inspection of the ATD should be accomplished to identify and correct any worn or damaged components, and appropriate ATD calibration tests (as described in their specification) should be accomplished if major components are replaced. For the tests discussed in this AC, the following procedures have been found to be adequate:

(1) Since extremes of temperature and humidity can affect ATD performance, the ATDs should be maintained at a temperature range between 66 to 78 degrees F (19 to 26 degrees C) and at a relative humidity from 10 to 70 percent for a minimum of 4 hours prior to the test.

(2) Each ATD should be clothed in form-fitting cotton stretch garments with short sleeves, mid-calf length pants, and shoes (size 11E) weighing about 2.5 pounds. The color of the clothing should be in contrast to the color of the restraint system.

(3) For tests where the ATD's head is expected to impact a fixture or another seat back, the head and face of the ATD may be treated with a suitable material to mark head contact areas. The material used must not reduce the resulting HIC values.

(4) The friction in limb joints should be set so that they barely restrain the weight of the limb when extended horizontally.

(5) The ATD should be placed in the center of the seat, in as nearly a symmetrical position as possible. The ATD should be placed in the seat in a uniform manner so as to obtain reproducible test results.

(6) The ATD's back should be against the seat back without clearance. This condition can be achieved if the ATD's legs are lifted as it is lowered into the seat. Then, the ATD is pushed back into the seat back as it is lowered the last few inches into the seat pan. Once all lifting devices have been removed from the ATD, the ATD should be "rocked" slightly to settle it in the seat.

(7) The ATD's knees should be separated about four inches.

(8) The ATD's hands should be placed on the top of it's upper legs, just behind the knees. If tests on crew seats are conducted in a mockup that has airplane controls, the ATD's hands should be lightly tied to the controls.

(9) The feet should be in the appropriate position for the type of seat tested (flat on the floor for a passenger seat, on control pedals or on a 45 degree footrest for flightcrew systems). The feet should be placed so that the centerlines of

the lower legs are approximately parallel, unless the need for placing the feet on airplane controls dictates otherwise.

c. <u>Seat adjustment</u>. To the extent that they influence the injury criteria, all seat adjustments and controls should be in the design position intended for the 50th percentile male occupant. If seat restraint systems are being tested that are to be used in applications where special requirements dictate their position for landing or takeoff, those positions should be used in the tests.

d. <u>Installation of instrumentation</u>. Professional practice should be followed when installing instrumentation. Care should be taken when installing the transducers to prevent deformation of the transducer body that could cause errors in data. Leadwires should be routed to avoid entanglement with the ATD or test article, and sufficient slack should be provided to allow motion of the ATD or test article without breaking the lead-wires or disconnecting the transducer. Calibration procedures should consider the effect of long transducer lead-wires. Head accelerometers and femur load cells should be installed in the ATD in accordance with the ATD specification and the instructions of the transducer manufacturer. The load cell between the pelvis and the lumbar column should be installed in accordance with the approach shown in Figure 2 of this AC, or in a manner that will provide equivalent data (see paragraph 7b).

(1) If an upper torso restraint is used, the tension load should be measured in a segment of webbing between the ATD's shoulders and the first contact of the webbing with hard structure (the anchor point or a webbing guide). Restraint webbing should not be cut to insert a load cell in series with the webbing, since that will change the characteristics of the restraint system. Load cells that can be placed over the webbing without cutting are commercially available. They should be placed on free webbing to minimize contact with hard structure, seat upholstery, or the ATD during the test. They should not be used on double-reeved webbing, multiple-layered webbing, locally stitched webbing, or folded webbing, unless it can be demonstrated that these conditions do not cause errors in the data. These load cells should be calibrated using a length of webbing of the type used in the restraint system. If the placement of the load cell on the webbing causes the restraint system to sag, the weight of the load cell can be supported by light string or tape that will break away during the test.

(2) Since load cells are sensitive to the inertial forces of their own internal mass and to the mass of fixtures located between them and the test article, as well as to forces applied by the test article, it may be necessary to compensate the test data for that inaccuracy if the error is significant. Data for such compensation will usually be obtained from an additional dynamic test that replicates the load cell installation, but does not include the test item.

e. <u>Restraint system adjustment</u>. The restraint system adjustment should be made as follows: The restraint system shall not be tightened beyond the level that could reasonably be expected in use, and the emergency locking device (inertia reel) shall not be locked prior to the impact. Automatic locking retractors shall be allowed to

26
perform the webbing retraction and automatic locking function without assistance. Care shall be taken that emergency locking retractors that are sensitive to acceleration do not lock prior to the impact test because of preimpact acceleration applied by the test facility. If comfort zone retractors are used, they shall be adjusted in accordance with instructions given to the user of the restraint system.

(1) If manual adjustment of the restraint system is required, slack shall be removed, and the restraint system should be snug about the ATD. For test 2, this can normally be determined when two fingers will fit snugly between the belt and the pelvis of the ATD. The restraint system shall be checked and adjusted just prior to the floor deformation phase of the test.

If the system is tested in other than a "horizontal floor" position, the (2) restraint should be properly adjusted with the seat in the "horizontal floor" position and the webbing transducers installed (if required). After sufficient time has elapsed to allow the cushion to reach an equilibrium position, the webbing should be marked to indicate the correct adjustment point. The seat and ATD should then be installed on the fixture in the appropriate dynamic test orientation and the restraint system again adjusted to that same point.

An alternate method to impose a 1-g preload is to measure the (3) position of the ATD hip joints relative to the floor as shown in Figure 7 below. The ATD is then depressed into the cushion to reproduce this relative position after the ATD and seat have been installed on the fixture, as shown in Figure 8. The lap belt may be tightened to maintain this position. This load may make it impossible to insert two fingers between the lap belt and the pelvis of the ATD, but it should not produce a cushion displacement in excess of that measured by placing the ATD on the seat in a 1-g orientation.

A floor is not required for Test 2, but if a floor is installed, it should not f. influence the behavior of the seat, or unduly restrict the movement of the ATD's feet. This is a concern especially when floor distortion is applied.



Figure 7.



AC 25.562-1

09/08/95

11. <u>DATA REQUIREMENTS</u>. The data should include charts, listings, and/or tabulated results, and copies of any photo instrumentation used to support the results. The following should be recorded:

a. Impact pulse shape

b. Head Injury Criterion (HIC) results for all ATD's exposed to head impact with interior components of the airplane or head strike paths and velocities if head impact is likely but could not be evaluated by these tests

- c. Total velocity change
- d. Upper torso restraint system load, if applicable
- e. Compressive load between the pelvis and the lumbar column
- f. Retention of upper torso restraint straps, if applicable
- g. Retention of pelvic restraint
- h. Femur loads, if applicable
- i. Seat attachment (including structural damage)
- j. Seat deformation
- k. Seat attachment reaction time histories
- I. Retention of items of mass
- m. Post test retrieval of life vest
- n. Evaluation of seat egress

12. DATA ANALYSIS.

a. <u>General</u>. All data obtained in the dynamic tests should be reviewed for errors. Baseline drift, ringing, and other common electronic instrumentation problems should be detected and corrected before the tests. Loss of data during the test is readily observed in a plot of the data versus time and is typically indicated by sharp discontinuities in the data, often exceeding the amplitude limits of the data collection system. If these occur early in the test in essential data channels, the data should be rejected and the test repeated. If they occur late in the test after the maximum data in each channel has been recorded, the validity of the data should be carefully evaluated, and the maximum values of the data may still be acceptable for the tests described in this AC. The HIC does not represent simply a maximum data value, but an integration

30

of data over a varying time base. The head acceleration measurements used for that computation are not acceptable if errors or loss of data are apparent in the data at any time, from the beginning of the test until the ATD and all test articles are at rest after the test.

b. <u>Impact pulse shape</u>. Data for evaluating the impact pulse shape is obtained from an accelerometer that measures the acceleration in the direction parallel to the inertial response shown in Figure 1 of this AC. The impact pulses intended for the tests discussed in this AC have an isosceles triangle shape. These ideal pulses are considered minimum test conditions. Since the actual acquired test pulses will differ from the ideal, it is necessary to evaluate the acquired test pulses to insure the minimum requirements are satisfied. The five properties of the ideal pulse that must be satisfied by the acquired test pulse are as follows (see Figure 1):

Pulse shape: isosceles triangle

G _{rea} :	 peak deceleration required by test condition
T _{rea} :	rise time required by test condition
V:	total velocity change required by test condition
V _{tr} :	velocity change required during T_{req} (V _{tr} = V/2)

A graphical technique can be used to evaluate pulse shapes that are not precise isosceles triangles. Appendix 1 of this AC presents the graphical method of evaluating the acquired pulse (the recorded test sled acceleration versus time). For the acquired pulse to be acceptable, the following five criteria must be met:

(1) The magnitude of the peak value for the acquired pulse, G_{pk}, must be greater than or equal to G_{reg}.

T_{req}.

(2) The actual rise time, $Tr = T_2 - T_1$, must be less than or equal to

(3) The result of integrating the acquired pulse during the interval from $t = T_1$ to $t = T_3$ must be equal to or greater than V_{tr} , one-half of the required velocity change for the specified test. If the magnitude of the acquired pulse is greater than the ideal pulse during the entire interval from T_1 to T_3 , this requirement is automatically met.

(4) The result of integrating the acquired pulse during the interval from $t = T_1$ to $t = T_1 + 2.3$ (T_{req}) must equal or exceed the required test velocity change, V, of the test condition. If the acquired pulse returns to zero g's at $t = T_4 < (T_1 + 2.3 (T_{req}))$, the end of the interval of integration is reduced to $t = T_4$;

(5) If the magnitude of the acquired pulse is greater than the ideal pulse during the entire interval of $t = T_1$ to T_2 , and the parameters of paragraphs (1) through (4) above are satisfied, then the acquired pulse is acceptable.

AC 25.562-1

09/08/95

(6) If the magnitude of the acquired pulse is not greater than the ideal pulse during the entire interval $t = T_1$ to T_2 , the difference between acquired pulse and the ideal must be no greater than 2.0 g's at those times when the acquired pulse is less than the ideal. The parameters of paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) above must also be satisfied for the acquired pulse to be acceptable.

c. <u>Total Velocity Change</u>. Impact velocity can be obtained by measurement of a time interval and a corresponding sled displacement that occurs just before or after (if appropriate) the test impact, and then dividing the displacement by the time interval. When making such a computation, the possible errors of the time and displacement measurements shall be used to calculate a possible velocity measurement error, and the test impact velocity should exceed the velocity shown in Figure 1 by at least the velocity measurement error. If the sled is not changing velocity during the immediate preimpact or postimpact interval, the impact velocity is the total velocity change. If the sled is changing velocity during the immediate pre-impact or post-impact interval, or if the facility produces significant rebound of the sled, the total velocity change can be determined by integrating the plot of sled acceleration versus time, as described in Appendix 1. If this method is used, the sled acceleration shall be measured in accordance with Channel Class 180 requirements.

d. <u>Head Injury Criterion (HIC)</u>.

(1) Data for determining the Head Injury Criterion (HIC) need to be collected during the tests discussed in this AC only if the ATD's head is exposed to an impact on airplane interior features (not including the floor or the ATD's own leg) during the test. The HIC is calculated according to the following equation:

HIC =
$$[(t_2 - t_1) [\frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} a(t) dt]^{23}]_{max}$$

Where: t1 and t2 are any two points in time (in seconds) during the head impact, and a(t) is the resultant head acceleration (expressed in g's) during the head impact.

(2) The HIC is a method for defining an acceptable degree of occupant head injury. The HIC should not exceed 1000, for head impact against interior surfaces in a crash.

(3) The HIC is invariably calculated by computer based data analysis systems, and the discussion that follows outlines the basic method for computation. The HIC is based on data obtained from three mutually perpendicular accelerometers installed in the head of the ATD in accordance with the ATD specification. Data from these accelerometers are obtained using a data system conforming to Channel Class

1000, as described in SAE Recommended Practice J211. Only the data taken during head impact with the airplane interior need be considered; this is usually indicated in the data by a rapid change in the magnitude of the acceleration. Film of the test may show head impact that can be correlated with the acceleration data by using the time base common to both electronic and photographic instrumentation. Simple contact switches that do not significantly alter the surface profile could also be used to define the initial contact time.

(4) The magnitude of the resultant acceleration vector obtained from the three accelerometers is represented as a function of time. Then, beginning at the time of initial head contact (t1), the average value of the resultant acceleration is found for each increasing increment of time (t2-t1), by integrating the curve between t1 and t2 and then dividing the integral value by the time (t2-t1). This calculation should use all data points provided by the minimum 8000 samples per second digital sampling rate for the integration. However, the maximizing time intervals need be no more precise than 0.001 seconds. The average values are then raised to the 2.5 power and multiplied by the corresponding increment of time (t2-t1).

(5) This procedure is then repeated, increasing t1 by 0.001 seconds for each repetition. The maximum value of the set of computations obtained from this procedure is the HIC. The procedure may be simplified by noting that the maximum value will only occur in intervals where the resultant magnitude of acceleration at t1 is equal to the resultant magnitude of acceleration at t2, and when the average resultant acceleration in that interval is equal to 5/3 times the acceleration at t1 or t2. The HIC is usually reported as the maximum value, and the time interval during which the maximum value occurs is also given.

(6) In many cases, a full system sled test to evaluate specific occupant injury conditions may not be needed to evaluate a redesign of the seat system that affects only HIC. In such cases, the photometric head path data can be gathered and used to ensure no contact will occur, or to define the head angle and velocity at impact. These data can used in a component test of severity comparable to the whole system sled test. Other factors, such as the inertial response of the impact target, must be accounted for in the component test condition so that the impact condition is representative. Component testing methods must be demonstrably comparable to whole system sled tests as a HIC measure, and the specific methodology used will require approval by the FAA.

Additionally, a seat may be designed for use in multiple locations where head contact against a range on unknown bulkhead targets is anticipated (e.g., front row seats). For these seats, HIC may be measured using a representative impact target mounted in front of the seat at the installation setback, or range of setbacks. This target will represent typical fixtures such as galleys, partitions, lavatories, and closets, and its stiffness will be representative for those monuments. If contact occurs, the HIC must be ≤ 1000 .

33

AC 25.562-1

09/08/95

e Upper torso restraint system load. The maximum load in the upper torso restraint system webbing can be obtained directly from a plot or listing of webbing load transducer output. If a three-axis load transducer, fixed to the test fixture, is used to obtain these data, the data from each axis shall be combined to provide the resultant vector magnitude. If necessary, corrections shall be made for the internal mass of the transducer and the fixture weight it supports. This correction will usually be necessary only when the inertial mass or fixture weight is high, or when the correction becomes critical to demonstrate that the measurements fall below the specified limits.

f. <u>Compressive load between the pelvis and lumbar column</u>. The maximum compressive load between the pelvis and the lumbar column of the ATD can be obtained directly from a plot or listing of the output of the load transducer at that location. Since most load cells will indicate tension as well as compression, care should be taken that the polarity of the data has been correctly identified.

g. <u>Retention of upper torso restraint straps</u>. Retention of the upper torso restraint straps on the ATD's shoulders can be verified by observation of photometric or documentary camera coverage. The straps must remain on the ATD's shoulder until the ATD rebounds after the test impact and the upper torso restraint straps are no longer carrying any load. The straps must not bear on the neck or side of the head and must not slip to the upper rounded portion of the upper arm during that time period.

h. <u>Retention of pelvic restraint</u>. Retention of the pelvic restraint on the ATD's pelvis can be verified by observation of photometric or documentary camera coverage. The pelvic restraint shall remain on the ATD's pelvis, bearing on or below each prominence representing the anterior superior iliac spine, until the ATD rebounds after the test impact and the pelvic restraint becomes slack. Provided that the pelvic restraint remains on the ATD's pelvis, traping of the belt between the leg and the pelvis is acceptable.

Movement of the pelvic restraint above the prominence is usually indicated by an abrupt displacement of the belt onto the ATD's soft abdominal insert which can be seen by careful observation of photo data from a camera located to provide a close view of the belt as it passes over the ATD's pelvis. This movement of the belt is sometimes indicated in measurements of pelvic restraint load (if such measurement are made) by a transient decrease or plateau in the belt force, as the belt slips over the prominence, followed by a gradual increase in belt force as the abdominal insert is loaded by the belt.

i. <u>Femur load</u>. Data for measuring femur loads can be collected in the tests discussed in this AC if the ATD's legs contact seats or other structure. Data need not be recorded in each individual test, if rational comparitive analysis is available for showing compliance.

13. <u>PASS/FAIL CRITERIA</u>. The dynamic impact tests shall demonstrate that:

AC 25.562-1

a. Since the test methods described in this AC are ultimate load conditions, damage to the seat and restraint is expected. The regulation specifically accounts for yielding as an acceptable form of permanent damage. In addition, the following should be considered:

(1) The seat system remains attached to the test fixture at all points of attachment, the occupant restraint system remains attached at all points of attachment and the primary load path remains intact. For the purpose of showing compliance with the structural requirements of § 25.562, acceptable damage to the load-carrying structural elements include: bending deformation, tension deformation, compression crippling, and shear buckling. Cracking of structural elements and the shearing or separation of rivets and minor delamination of composite panels is allowed provided a continuous load path remains between the occupant and the seat attachments.

(2) Damage to seat belts, such as scuffing, fraying and breakage of fibers is considered acceptable. The seat belt should not be cut, or torn by features of the seat or the belt adjuster mechanism. Cuts or tears should be investigated as to their cause, and appropriate corrective action taken, although a retest may not be necessary.

b. If the ATD's head is exposed to impact with interior features during the test, a HIC of 1,000 is not exceeded.

c. Where upper torso restraint straps are used, tension loads in individual straps do not exceed 1,750 lbs.(7.78 kN). If dual straps are used for restraining the upper torso, the total strap tension load does not exceed 2,000 lbs.(8.90 kN).

d. The maximum compressive load measured between the pelvis and the lumbar column of the ATD does not exceed 1,500 lbs. (6.67 kN).

e. The upper torso restraint straps (where installed) remain on the ATD's shoulder during impact.

f. The pelvic restraint remains on the ATD's pelvis during impact.

g. Where leg contact with seats or other structure occurs, the axial compressive load in each femur does not exceed 2,250 lbs. (10.0 kN).

h. The seat permanent deformations are within the quantitative limits of Appendix 2 of this AC and will not significantly impede an occupant from releasing his restraints, standing, and exiting the seat. In no case should deformation of the seat cause entrapment of the occupant, whether or not the defined limits referenced in Appendix 2 are exceeded.

<u>NOTE</u>: It is assumed that the maximum seat deformation will result from the structural evaluation (i.e., single row Type 2 test). Once this is accomplished, it would not,

35

AC 25.562-1

09/08/95

therefore, be considered necessary to repeat deformation measurements after the injury criteria (multiple row) tests, unless the structural and injury criteria tests were combined into one test.

i. All deployable items must remain stowed, unless it can be shown that they do not impede egress or cause serious injury (see appendix 2).

14. TEST DOCUMENTATION.

a. <u>General</u>. The tests should be documented in reports that describe the procedures, limitations, results, and deviations to the tests discussed in this AC. In addition to the specific data requirements specified in paragraph 11 of this AC, the documentation should include the following:

(1) Facility data.

(i) The name and address of the test facility performing the tests.

(ii) The name and telephone number of the individual at the test facility responsible for conducting the tests.

(iii) A brief description and/or photograph of each test fixture.

(iv) The date of the last instrumentation system calibration and the name and telephone number of the person responsible for instrumentation system calibration.

(v) A statement confirming that the data collection was done in accordance with the recommendations in this AC, or a detailed description of the actual calibration procedure used and technical analysis showing equivalence to the recommendations of this AC.

(vi) The manufacturer, governing specification, serial number, and test weight of the ATDs used in the tests, and a description of any modifications or repairs performed on the ATDs that could cause them to deviate from the specification.

(vii) A description of the photographic-instrumentation system used in the tests.

(2) <u>Seat restraint system data</u>.

(i) The manufacturer's name and identifying model numbers of the seat restraint system used in the tests, with a brief description of the system, including identification and a functional description of all major components and

٠,

photographs or drawings, as applicable. Qualifying approvals, such as Technical Standard Order (TSO) authorizations, should be included.

(ii) For systems that are not symmetrical, an analysis supporting the selection of most critical conditions used in the tests.

b. <u>Test Description</u>. The description of the test should be documented in sufficient detail so that the tests could be reproduced simply by following the guidance given in the report. The procedures outlined in this AC can be referenced in the report, but should be supplemented by such details as are necessary to describe the unique conditions of the tests. For example:

(1) Pertinent dimensions and other details of the installation that are not included in the drawings of the test items should be provided. This can include footrests, restraint system webbing guides and restraint anchorages, "interior surface" simulations, bulkhead or sidewall attachments for seats or restraints, etc.

(2) The floor deformation procedure, guided by goals of most critical loading for the test articles, should be documented.

(3) The placement and characteristics of electronic and photographic instrumentation chosen for the test, beyond that information provided by the facility, should be documented. This can include special targets, grids, or marking used for interpretation of photo documentation, transducers, restraint system loads, floor reaction forces, or other measurements beyond those discussed in this AC.

(4) Any unusual or unique activity or event pertinent to conducting the test should be documented. This could include use of special "break away" restraints or support for the ATDs, test items or transducers, operational conditions or activities such as delayed or aborted test procedures, and failures of test fixtures, instrumentation system components, or ATDs.

(5) Any energy-absorbing features that are intended as part of the design, and the expected structural behavior that will result should be documented.

15. <u>COMPUTER MODELS</u>. Several computer models have been developed to represent the seat restraint/occupant system in a crash. Some of these models include representation of the vehicle interior as well. These models can vary in complexity from simple spring-mass dynamic models to exceedingly complex models, which can be of help in designing an entire work station. Validation of these models also varies, from no validation at all to complex validation efforts based on controlled testing and field experience. The use of these models during the design phase of seat restraint/interior systems for civil airplanes is encouraged. They can be of great assistance in predicting "most critical" conditions, in understanding the performance of systems when used by various sized occupants, in estimating head strike paths and velocities, and for many other uses of interest to the designer. The Federal Aviation

37

AC 25.562-1

09/08/95

Administration will continue to assess the performance of dynamic computer models, and will issue appropriate advisory material should any of these techniques be found to be useful alternatives to the tests discussed in this AC.

12/01/94

AC 25.562-1 Appendix

APPENDIX 1 PROCEDURE FOR EVALUATING PULSE SHAPES

A.1

This graphical procedure may be used to evaluate the impact pulse shape acquired from a test. While this procedure is based on graphical concepts, an accurate evaluation of the pulse parameters should be obtained using the digitized data and computer algorithms that provide the analysis illustrated in the following steps:



FIGURE A1

AC 25.562-1 Appendix

A.2 STEP 1:

On the plot of the acquire pulse, identify the peak deceleration point, Gpk, and points on the onset of the pulse equal to 0.1 Gpk and 0.9 Gpk. Construct an onset line through the points 0.1 Gpk and 0.9 Gpk. Extend the constructed onset line to the base line of the data plot, G=0. Identify the intersection of the constructed onset line and baseline as the start of the acquired pulse, T1. For the acquired pulse to be acceptable, the magnitude of Gpk must equal or exceed the minimum required pulse, Greq for the specified test condition.





A.3 Step 2:

Using T_1 as the start time, construct the ideal pulse required for the test condition. Draw a vertical line and a horizontal line through the peak of the ideal pulse, Greq. The vertical line through Greq will intersect the time axis at the maximum allowed rise time, T₃. Draw another vertical line at the first intersection of the horizontal line through Greq and the acquired pulse after T_1 . This vertical line will intersect the time axis at T₂. The actual rise time, $Tr = T_2 - T_1$, must be less than or equal to Treq for the acquired pulse to be acceptable.

AC 25.562-1 Appendix

12/01/94

A.4 Step 3:

Compute the velocity change, Vra, of the acquired pulse during the interval T_1 to T_3 . Note that T_3 will usually occur after the peak, Gpk, of the acquired pulse. For the acquired pulse to be acceptable, Vra must be at least one-half the total velocity V, required for the specified test condition.

A.5 Step 4:

If the total velocity change for the test is calculated from the acquired pulse, use the interval starting at T_1 and ending:

a. at the point T4, where the acquired pulse first intersects the baseline, G = 0, after the time of Gpk or

b. at the time equal to: $T_1+2.3xTreq$ whichever occurs first.



FIGURE A3

ЧI 34 12/09/94

.

AC 25.562-1 Appendix

4



FIGURE 4A4

AC 25.562-1 Appendix 12/09/94



FIGURE A5

A.6 Step 5:

Construct a line parallel to the ideal pulse and offset by 2 G's in magnitude less than the ideal during the time interval between T1 and T2. If the magnitude of the acquired pulse is 2 G's less than the ideal at any point during the interval between T1 and T2, the pulse is not acceptable. Figure A2 is an example of an acceptable pulse shape. The acquired pulse shown in Figure A5 is unacceptable.

Appendix 2 - SEAT DEFORMATION

1. <u>General</u>. Seats that are evaluated in accordance with the tests discussed in this AC may deform either due to the action of discrete energy absorber systems included in the design or due to residual plastic deformation of their structural components. If this deformation is excessive, it could impede the airplane emergency evacuation process. Each seat design may differ in this regard and should be evaluated according to its unique deformation characteristics. If floor deformations are applicable, consistency in pre and post-test measurements shall be maintained. If the pretest measurements are made before floor deformations are applied, the post-test measurements shall be made after floor deformations have been removed. Conversely, if the pretest measurements shall be made after floor deformations are applied, the post-test measurements shall be made after floor deformations are applied, the post-test measurements shall be made after floor deformations are applied, the post-test measurements shall be made after floor deformations are applied, the post-test measurements shall be made after floor deformations are applied, the post-test measurements shall be made before removal of floor deformations.

2. <u>Fixed Seats</u>. The following post-test deformations and limitations regarding emergency exit egress may be used for showing compliance with §§ 25.561(d) and 25.562(c)(8). Dimensions specified for undeformed seat rows assume the maximum permanent deformation discussed below, and are given to enable evaluation of an installation without having to make reference to test reports. In those cases where the actual permanent deformations are less than maximum, the specified dimensions for undeformed seat rows could be correspondingly decreased.

а. Forward or Rearward Directions. Seats that exhibit forward or rearward deformations should not exceed a maximum of 3.0 inches (75 mm). In this case, the clearance between undeformed seat rows, measured as shown in Figure 1, Dimension A, of this appendix, should be 9.0 inches (228 mm) or alternatively, 6.0 inches (150 mm), plus the actual fore/aft deformation. Seat rows that lead to Type III exits are subject to the specific access requirements for those exits. This will result in greater spacing at those seat rows in the undeformed case. For seats with deformations that exceed 3.0 inches, the undeformed clearances should be increased accordingly. In addition, at seat rows leading to Type III or IV exits, 20 inches (508 mm) minimum clearance, measured above the arm rests, shall be maintained between adjacent seat rows. This measurement may be made with the seat backs returned to their upright position, using no more than original seat back breakover forces, typically 25-35 pounds (111-155 N). At other seat rows, the most forward surface of the seat back shall not deform to a distance greater than one half the original distance to the forwardmost hard structure on the seat (see Figure 2 of this appendix).

b. <u>Downward Direction</u>. There is no limitation on downward permanent deformation, provided it can be demonstrated that the feet or legs of occupants will not be entrapped by the deformation.

c. <u>Seat Rotation</u>. The seat bottom rotational permanent deformation shall not result in an angle that exceeds 20 degrees pitch down or 35 degrees pitch up from the horizontal plane. This rotational deformation shall be measured between the fore

and aft extremities of the seat pan at the centerline of each seat bottom (Figure 3 of this appendix). Rotation of the seat pan shall not cause entrapment of the occupant.

d. <u>Sideward Direction</u>.

(1) The deformed seat should not encroach more than 1.5 inches (38 mm) into the required longitudinal aisle space at heights up to 25 inches (635 mm)above the floor. The determination of which parts of the seat are at what heights is determined prior to testing.

(2) The deformed seat should not encroach more than 2.0 inches (50 mm)into the longitudinal aisle space at heights 25 inches (635 mm) or more above the floor.

e. <u>Additional Considerations</u>. In addition, none of the above deformations shall permit the seat to:

(1) Affect the operation of any emergency exit or encroach into an emergency exit opening for a distance from the exit not less than the width of the narrowest passenger seat installed in the airplane.

(2) Encroach into any required passageway.

(3) Encroach more than 1.5 inches (38 mm) into any cross-aisle or flight attendant assist space.

f. <u>Deployable Items</u>. Certain items on the seat, such as food trays, legrests, arm caps over in-arm tray tables, etc., are used by passengers in flight and are required to be stowed for taxi, takeoff and landing. Deployment of such items should be treated as "permanent deformation" if the item deploys into an area that must be used by multiple passengers (in addition to the occupant of the seat) for egress. Such deployments can be considered acceptable, even if they exceed the dimensions specified above, if they are readily pushed out of the way by normal passenger movement, and remain in a position that does not affect egress. 1

3. <u>Stowable Seats</u>. Stowable seats, that may impede egress, must stow post-test and remain stowed to the extent necessary in order to satisfy the above criteria.

a. <u>Seats that are Stowed Manually</u>. A post-test stowage force no greater than 10 pounds (45 N) above the original stowage force may be used to stow the seat.

b. <u>Seats that Stow Automatically</u>. For a seat that may interfere with the opening of any exit, it must automatically retract to a position where it will not interfere with the exit. For determining encroachment into passageways, cross-aisles, and assist

¹ The underlined and bracketed section is my proposal. I think the wording "agreed" at the meeting is too loose. JG

AC 25.562-1

09/08/95

spaces, a post-test stowage force no greater than 10 pounds (45 N), applied at a single point, may be used to assist automatic retraction.

46 42



Federal Aviation Administration

Advisory Circular

Subject: FLIGHT ATTENDANT SEAT AND TORSO RESTRAINT SYSTEM INSTALLATIONS **Date:** 05/11/10 **Initiated by**: ANM-100 AC No. 25.785-1B

1. PURPOSE. This advisory circular (AC) provides information and guidance regarding an acceptable means, but not the only means, of compliance with the portions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 25.785 and 14 CFR 121.311, which deal with flight attendant seats. This material is for guidance purposes; it is not mandatory and does not constitute a regulation. The guidelines incorporated in this AC are intended to address the adequacy of new designs and are not intended to require that in-service airplanes be modified solely for the purpose of meeting them. Voluntary modifications to existing cabins are not required to meet the new criteria but modifiers should incorporate them to the extent practical considering the scope and intent of the voluntary modification.

2. APPLICABILITY.

a. The guidance provided in this document is directed to airplane manufacturers, modifiers, foreign regulatory authorities, and Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) transport airplane type certification engineers and their designees.

b. Like all advisory material, this AC is not, in itself, mandatory, and does not constitute a regulation. It describes an acceptable means, but not the only means, for showing compliance with the requirements for transport category airplanes. The FAA will consider other methods of demonstrating compliance that an applicant may elect to present. While these guidelines are not mandatory, they are derived from extensive FAA and industry experience in determining compliance with the relevant regulations. On the other hand, if we become aware of circumstances that convince us that following this AC would not result in compliance with the applicable regulations, we will not be bound by the terms of this AC, and we may require additional substantiation or design changes as a basis for finding compliance.

c. This material does not change, create any additional, authorize changes in, or permit deviations from, regulatory requirements.

3. CANCELLATION. Advisory Circular 25.785-1A, Flight Attendant Seat and Torso Restraint System Installations, dated January 6, 1994, is canceled.

4. RELATED DOCUMENTS.

- a. Section 25.785 of 14 CFR part 25, Seats, berths, safety belts, and harnesses.
- b. Section 121.311 of 14 CFR part 25, Seats, safety belts, and shoulder harnesses.
- c. Technical Standard Order (TSO)-C39, Aircraft Seats and Berths.
- d. Technical Standard Order TSO-C114, Torso Restraint Systems.

5. BACKGROUND.

a. Advisory Circular 25.785-1, Flight Attendant Seat Requirements, was issued on December 4, 1981, in order to clarify changes made to §§ 25.785 and 121.311 by Amendments 25-51 and 121-155, respectively. (With certain specified exceptions, § 121.311(g) incorporates the provisions of § 25.785 by reference.) Advisory Circular 25.785-1A was issued on January 6, 1994 (canceling AC 25.785-1), and incorporated additional provisions as noted in the following paragraphs.

b. In September 1985, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) held a Public Technical Conference on Emergency Evacuation of Transport Airplanes, in Seattle, Washington. As a result of the conference, it was recommended that AC 25.785-1 be revised to provide guidance relative to the close proximity of aft-facing flight attendant seats and forward-facing passenger seats. Advisory Circular 25.785-1A described positioning of forward facing passenger seats with respect to aft facing flight attendant seats.

c. Other recommendations pertained to the width of single and double flight attendant seats, and the proper installation of torso restraint systems. Advisory Circular 25.785-1A also included guidance relating to these two areas of flight attendant seat installation.

d. Anthropometric dimensions were taken from <u>Humanscale 1a</u>, by Henry Dreyfuss Associates. This document was cited, at a Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) S-9 Cabin Safety Committee meeting in Seattle, Washington, by industry representatives as the source of anthropometric data used by industry in designing airplane interiors.

e. In late 1992, the FAA tasked the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC) to review the guidance material in AC 25.785-1 for finding compliance with the cabin attendant direct view requirements of § 25.785 and make a recommendation for new or revised guidance. Paragraph 9 of AC 25.785-1A (Flight Attendant Direct View) was marked "Reserved" in anticipation of future incorporation of that material, which would be established by the harmonization process within ARAC. This AC incorporates the direct view guidance recommended to the FAA by ARAC.

f. Section references apply to the regulations in effect at the date of publication of this AC; however, because the AC is addressing requirements adopted by Amendment 25-51, cross

reference is also made in brackets to the section applicable at that amendment, when the requirement may have moved due to editorial modifications.

6. DEFINITION OF TERMS.

a. Terms used in §§ 25.785 and 121.311.

(1) <u>Near</u>. As used in § 25.785(h)(1) [§ 25.785(h) at Amendment 25-51], "near" means sufficiently close to the exit to permit required flight attendants to reach required floor level emergency exits in a timely manner to execute their emergency evacuation duties. A longitudinal distance measured fore or aft from each seat to its associated exit equal to not more than three rows of seats is acceptable. When approved flight attendant seats are installed at more than one location within a three-row longitudinal distance from a required floor level emergency exit, and the operating rules require the location of a flight attendant(s) in the vicinity of that exit, the required flight attendant(s) should be located in the seat(s) closest to that exit, unless the design of the seat(s) further from the exit has increased occupant protection features over the seat(s) closest to the exit.

(2) <u>Extent Possible</u>. As used in § 25.785(h)(2) [§ 25.785(h)(1)], with respect to "direct view" of the cabin area for which the flight attendant is individually responsible, "extent possible" means to the degree practicable without compromising proximity to required floor level emergency exits. In the current fleet, the intent is not to require changes to existing approved designs to increase direct view only; however, during any cabin alterations to existing airplanes in the current fleet, every practical effort should be made to eliminate obstructions to direct view.

(3) <u>Direct View</u>. As used in § 25.785(h)(2) [§ 25.785(h)(1)], "direct view" means direct (line of sight) visual contact with cabin area/main aisle(s), which enables the flight attendant to be made aware of passenger needs relative to safety when the flight attendant is seated with torso restraint (safety belt and shoulder harness) fastened. Mirrors or other such devices are not acceptable equivalents to direct view, except in those cases where flight attendant proximity to the floor level emergency exit takes precedence over direct view. Video systems may be an acceptable means of direct view, if the level of conspicuity is equivalent to that provided by line of sight visibility.

(4) <u>Means to Secure</u>. As used in § 25.785(h)(6) [§ 25.785(h)], this term requires that methods be provided to stow the torso restraint (shoulder harness and safety belt) when not in use. Such methods include an automatic retractor, a pocket near the seat, and a design which permits the straps to be held out of the way by a folding seat. In any case, the torso restraint (safety belt and shoulder harness), when released quickly, should not impede rapid egress during an emergency.

(5) <u>Required Floor Level Emergency Exits</u>. As used in § 25.785(h)(2) [§ 25.785(h)(1)], this term refers to the type and location of exits which were used to establish approved seating configurations for type certification of an airplane.

(6) <u>Torso Restraint System</u>. A torso restraint system consists of any strap, webbing, or similar device designed to secure a person in an airplane with the intention of minimizing injury. This includes all buckles or other fasteners, and all integral hardware.

(7) <u>Pelvic Restraint</u>. A pelvic restraint is that portion of a torso restraint system intended to restrain movement of the pelvis. This is commonly referred to as a lap belt, safety belt, or seat belt.

(8) <u>Upper Torso Restraint</u>. An upper torso restraint is that portion of a torso restraint system intended to restrain movement of the chest and shoulder region. This is commonly referred to as a shoulder harness. This should be a double strap design, with one strap over each shoulder.

b. Other terms.

(1) <u>Passenger Zone</u>. A passenger zone is a portion of the passenger cabin that is separated from the remainder of the cabin by interior features. These features may be class dividers, galleys, exit passageways or other items that interrupt continuous passenger seating, and potentially, line of sight.

(2) <u>Visually Divided Zone</u>. A visually divided zone is an area of the cabin forward or aft of floor-to-ceiling (or lowest overhead feature) partitions on both sides of the main aisle.

(3) <u>Seat Reference Point</u>. As used in this AC, the seat reference point (SRP) is defined as the intersection of the plane of the seat cushion with the plane perpendicular to the seat pan which touches the forward-most surface of the uncompressed center of the seat back. This point is also known as the cushion reference point (CRP).

7. FLIGHT ATTENDANT SEAT GEOMETRY AND TORSO RESTRAINT INSTALLATION.

a. Design changes to interiors of an in-service airplane or newly manufactured airplane of an existing model should not result in flight attendant seats being narrower than the seats presently approved as part of the airplane type design when those seats do not meet the minimum dimensions in this AC. Where design conditions permit, the minimum width of a single occupant flight attendant seat should be increased to provide at least 17.25 inches of shoulder clearance, and the width of a double occupant flight attendant seat should be increased to provide at least 34.5 inches of shoulder clearance. These seat widths apply for both forward and aft-facing seats.

(1) This increase in width is desirable in order to accommodate the larger size of male flight attendants who have been employed since the designs of most flight attendant seats were established. The recommended seat width of 34.5 inches is based on two 50th percentile males (17.7 inches wide at the shoulder, minus .25-inch soft tissue compression on each side, equals 17.2 inches times 2 equals 34.4 inches.)

(2) As an illustration, the remaining space on a 34.5-inch wide double seat occupied by a 95th percentile male (19.2 inches shoulder width minus .50-inch soft tissue compression equals 18.7 inches) is 15.8 inches remaining. This 15.8 inches seat width, plus .50-inch soft tissue compression, means that a female that is 16.3 inches wide at the shoulder could occupy the seat together with a 95th percentile male. This shoulder width is slightly larger than a 50th percentile female (16.0 inches).

(3) Additional clearance should be provided to enable rapid donning and adjustment of the restraint system if lateral clearance is limited by sidewalls adjacent to the seat.

b. With regard to aft-facing seat back heights, TSO-C39 specifies that the seat back be sufficient to provide 36.5 inches of support for the occupant, as measured from the point of maximum seat cushion depression to the top of the seat back. Section 25.785(h)(5) [25.785(h)(2)(i)] requires that forward and aft-facing seats be designed to provide the same occupant support. Thus, all flight attendant seats providing at least 36.5 inches of vertical energy absorbing support for the occupant will meet § 121.311(g). This support need not be continuous and either a single seat back or a segmented seat back plus headrest complies with the requirement. Unpadded bulkheads do not provide adequate energy absorbing support for arms, shoulders, head and spine as required by § 25.785(h)(5).

c. In accordance with § 121.311(g), each seat occupied by a flight attendant required by § 121.391(a) must have torso restraint (a combined pelvic restraint and double strap upper torso restraint with a single point release) that meets the requirements of § 25.785, except that any combined safety belt and shoulder harness approved and installed before March 6, 1980, may continue to be used. A single point release is one that requires only one action to mechanically unlatch the restraint system. This may involve the use of a multi-strap design connected at one point, or a design where the upper torso straps are indirectly released by unlatching the lap belt. Acceptable design and test procedures relating to torso restraint system construction, strength, attachment, performance, and flammability are contained in TSO-C114.

(1) Pelvic Restraint. A pelvic restraint is commonly referred to as a safety belt, a lap belt, or a seat belt. Pelvic restraints perform best when they act at an angle of about 45 degrees to the airplane longitudinal axis; however, a belt angle of between 45 and 55 degrees generally provides sufficient occupant restraint. (See Figure 1.)

(a) Shallow angle. If the pelvic restraint is installed so that it acts along a shallow angle (approaching the horizontal), it is likely to slip off the skeletal pelvis of the occupant, and apply loads to the abdomen, with a likelihood of injury to internal organs.

(b) Steep angle. If the pelvic restraint is installed at too steep an angle (approaching the vertical), it will be ineffective in resisting movement of the occupant away from the seatback. Since the belt can carry tension loads only, the occupant will move away from the seatback (forward in a forward-facing seat, and aft in an aft-facing seat) until the belt geometry is reoriented to an angle which generates enough tension in the belt to resist further movement.

(c) Effect of upper torso restraint on pelvic restraint. The dual upper torso restraint configuration allows quick egress from the restraint by releasing only one buckle; however, a system installed with a shallow pelvic restraint angle permits the upper torso restraint to pull the pelvic restraint up off the pelvic area into the abdominal region. In addition to the injury potential discussed in paragraph 7c(1)(a) above, this action also introduces slack in the upper torso restraint which tends to defeat the purpose of the upper torso restraint system installation. Correct installation for the dual belt, single point release system uses pelvic restraint attachment points which provide a pelvic restraint angle sufficient to minimize upward movement of the pelvic restraint (lap belt). As stated above, a 45 to 55 degree pelvic restraint angle relative to the longitudinal axis of the airplane is most appropriate. This angle permits the pelvic restraint to react to the upper torso restraint.

(2) Upper torso restraints.

(a) Certain precautions are warranted in the selection of the upper end attachment point for the upper torso restraint (shoulder harness), to avoid critical problems associated with variations in occupant size. It is possible for the upper torso restraint to bear against the neck or the side of the head of a short occupant. A similar situation can be expected even for an average size occupant, when the upper attachment point is located too near the vertical centerline plane of the seat. This installation geometry is aggravating, and may restrict free movement of the occupant. An excessive elevation angle (more than 30 degrees) on the trailing length of the upper torso restraint straps may have the same effect, or the upper torso restraint straps may tend to fall off the shoulder of a tall occupant when the upper attachment point is too far outboard or too low with respect to the midpoint of the occupant's shoulder. An additional concern is to avoid compression of the spinal column by the upper torso restraint. Spinal compression can generally be avoided if the upper attachment point of the upper torso restraint is selected such that the trailing length of the shoulder straps behind the occupant does not fall below an angle of 5 degrees below the horizontal. A proper range of elevation angles for the trailing length of the upper torso restraint straps would thus be -5 degrees to +30 degrees, measured from the horizontal at the midpoint of the shoulder. (See Figure 2.) The lateral placement of the upper attach point should be approximately 3 to 5 inches from the seat centerline, based on anthropometric data relating to average shoulder and neck width for both male and female occupants.

(b) Upper torso restraint systems should pass through anchorage points fixed to the pelvic restraint anchorages. In practice, these systems should be adjustable to provide consistent, adequate restraint to the occupant, and tend to prevent submarining (sliding down and out from under the pelvic restraint belt). This is a particular problem if the seat bottom deflects due to vertical loading during an emergency landing.

(3) Occupant height. General anthropometric data indicates that adult occupant sitting height to the mid-shoulder can range from about 20 inches for a small female (2.5 percentile) to 25 inches for a large male (97.5 percentile). A mid-shoulder height of 23.3 inches approximates a large female and an average male. Locating the shoulder strap attach point at this height, allowing for seat cushion compression, would accommodate both the large and small occupant, and allow the trailing length of upper torso restraint straps to remain close to the +30, -5 degree

guidelines. It should be noted that it may be impossible to accommodate extremely large and extremely small people in a given seat location. Installation of upper torso restraint may require certain tradeoffs to assure the best installation, given the limitations of the space available.

d. As specified in § 121.311(g)(2), the combined safety belt and shoulder harness restraint system with a single point release (torso restraint) may be designed to the inertia loads established by the certification basis of the airplane. This means that for airplanes whose type certification basis includes Civil Air Regulations (CAR) 4b in effect prior to March 5, 1952, a forward load factor as low as 6g may be used. Safety belt and shoulder harness installations on airplanes whose type certification basis includes CAR 04 or Aeronautics Bulletin No. 7A, should be designed to a forward load factor of no less than 6g. Load factors in all other directions should be as specified in § 25.561, which contains load factors identical to those of CAR 4b.260.

e. Passenger seats occupied by <u>required</u> flight attendants must fully comply with § 25.785(h), including the combined pelvic restraint and upper torso restraint. Passenger seats occupied by flight attendants in excess of the number required by § 121.391 need not comply with § 25.785(h).

f. If flight attendant seats are located too close to airplane interior structure, the flight attendants may have to sit "twisted" in their seats, because there is insufficient room for their legs in front of the seat. Not only is this uncomfortable, it reduces human tolerance to impact injury because the spinal column is twisted. Anthropometric data indicates that the buttock to knee length for a 95th percentile male is about 25.4 inches. About 4 inches more should be provided for forward clearance so that the knees will not be injured in an emergency landing when the body deforms and the restraint system stretches. Design changes to interiors of an in-service airplane or newly manufactured airplane of an existing model should not result in reduction of leg clearance in front of flight attendant seats less than that presently approved for that airplane. Where design conditions permit, however, at least 29.5 inches clearance, measured from the seat back to any obstruction in front of the seat, should be provided for the legs of the flight attendant. This clearance should be provided along the full width of the seat. In addition, projections within striking distance of a seat occupant should be padded in accordance with § 25.785(h)(5) [§ 25.785(h)(2)(i)].

g. The seat design should prevent collapse while occupied when subjected to the transient positive and negative forces associated with turbulence in flight. Specifically, if the seat incorporates features that enable it to retract when not occupied, the seat should not retract when it is occupied. The flight loads pertinent to the airplane as well as the emergency landing loads specified in the regulation should be considered.



Seat Reference Point and Lap Belt Angle

Figure 1



8. GALLEY RESTRAINT REQUIREMENTS.

a. Section 25.785(h)(4) [25.785(j)] requires that each flight attendant seat be located to minimize the probability of its occupant suffering injury by being struck by items dislodged from a galley, stowage compartment, or serving cart. Service experience with galleys, stowage compartments, and serving carts has shown that some of the presently designed latches or locks, of themselves, may not adequately minimize the probability of items being dislodged under operational and emergency load conditions.

b. Flight attendant seats that are located within a longitudinal distance equal to three rows of seats measured fore or aft from the center of a galley or stowage compartment area, with the exception of underseat and overhead stowage bins, are not in compliance with § 25.785(h)(4) unless additional restraint devices (dual latching devices or equivalent) are incorporated to retain all items of mass in the galley or stowage compartment under the inertia loads specified as part of the airplane type certification basis.

c. Doors on galleys, stowage compartments, or serving carts located near flight attendant seats, as defined in paragraph 8b above, should incorporate additional restraint devices that are demonstrated to be reliable and that secure in a positive manner. If the primary latching devices fail, the additional restraint devices should be designed to retain all items of mass under the inertia loads specified as part of the airplane type certification basis. In addition, the relative stiffness of the door structure should be evaluated for possible adverse effects on the latch engagement. Engineering drawings should specify a minimum latch engagement that is compatible with the flexibility of the structure. Items such as coffee pots and brew cups, for which a traditional secondary restraint is not feasible, may be acceptable if the restraint means is of a highly reliable nature. For example, a restraint that captures the brew cup, or locks a plunger into the coffee pot would be a highly reliable restraint, that would not require supplemental straps, or other additional restraint.

d. Nets, straps, bars, thumb latches on individual doors, and doors completely closing off galleys or stowage compartments are examples of acceptable additional restraint devices, provided they are demonstrated to be reliable and are designed for easy verification of engagement. A thumb latch having a colored stripe on a door at the latch locked position is an example of a design which will enable the flight attendant to determine quickly when the door is properly secured. As used herein, a thumb latch is a bar, not completely traversing a door, mounted externally to structure between a galley or stowage compartment door, which can be rotated over the galley or compartment door and locked in place, usually by spring loading the latch, to retain the door or items of mass.

e. Where a serving cart is secured during takeoff and landing outside of a galley or within a compartment in a galley, without additional doors closing off the cart, the criteria in paragraphs 8c and d above, applicable to doors, are also applicable to the serving cart itself, if the location is near a flight attendant seat, as defined in paragraph 8b above.

f. Each air carrier should assure that its FAA-approved maintenance or inspection program includes adequate procedures and standards for maintaining galleys and service units with special emphasis placed on restraint devices.

9. CLOSE PROXIMITY OF FLIGHT ATTENDANT AND PASSENGER SEATS.

a. Published test data on the radius of movement (strike envelopes) of a person's torso, head, hands, and feet during an emergency landing are available from a variety of sources. These previous tests were conducted with various size human subjects and anthropomorphic dummies, seated in standard forward-facing seats, secured by standard pelvic restraints (seat belts). The current criteria for seat placement is to assure that an occupant's head will not swing forward and strike an unpadded bulkhead or other hard surface. Thirty-five inches from the seat reference point has been used for a number of years as a minimum acceptable head strike radius. (See Figure 3.) A larger head strike radius may be appropriate for the specific situation involving the aft-facing flight attendant and the forward-facing passenger seated directly across from each other. A larger distance may be more appropriate in this situation because of conflicting movement of the two occupants due to the "g" forces which may be present during an emergency landing. Data currently available is only sufficient to specify distances at which contact will not occur. Due to the lack of data in this area and the economic significance of the resulting seat spacing, further research needs to be conducted to establish if such increases are warranted and what they should be.

b. The definition of SRP in paragraph 6b(3) allows for seat backs which are not vertical, and which contain a curvature across the seat back ("wings" or a lateral torso support at the vertical edges of the seat back). As stated in paragraph a 7(f) the buttock to knee length for a 95th percentile male is about 25 inches. Adding this distance for an aft-facing attendant to the head strike radius for a forward-facing passenger listed above, would result in a minimum distance between seat reference points of approximately 60 inches.

NOTE: The definition of SRP above is for the purposes of establishing a 35-inch arc. Other definitions may exist which pertain to other measurements and should be used where appropriate. (See Figure 1.)

c. Section 25.785(b) [§ 25.785(a)] requires that a person not suffer serious injury in an emergency landing. The head strike radius noted above will help prevent a passenger's head from striking the seated flight attendant's torso, knees, or legs, and should be considered to be a minimum allowable distance under any circumstances (Ref. § 25.785(d)(2) [§ 25.785(c)(2)]). This distance may be reduced if the seats are laterally offset, provided a 60-inch radius is maintained between SRPs.

d. There has been no uniform application in the past of the use of a minimum distance to assure that the passenger's hands or feet will also not reach out and strike the seated attendant. Application of these other strike radii would therefore require an analysis of the particular seat installation or interior arrangement under consideration, and a determination if the hands or feet of the forward-facing passenger would, in that situation, pose a hazard to either the aft-facing flight attendant or the passenger.

(1) There is at present no medical data which could be used to determine the degree of injury following a passenger's hands or feet striking a flight attendant's lower legs or knees during an emergency landing. It is possible that the resulting injury would be serious, however. For this reason, it is recommended that the seats be sufficiently offset to prevent contact between the passenger and the flight attendant wherever possible.

(2) The FAA will conduct further research into the need for specific strike dimensions for hands and feet, and if the results indicate a need, rulemaking will be proposed.



Headstrike Envelope

Figure 3

10. FLIGHT ATTENDANT DIRECT VIEW.

a. As defined earlier in this AC, flight attendant direct view pertains to direct visual contact between the flight attendant and the passenger cabin. The regulation requires direct view for all flight attendant seats, unless proximity to floor level exits would be compromised. While the regulation gives proximity to floor level exits higher priority, every effort should be made to accommodate both requirements. It is possible though, that not all flight attendants will have a direct view of the cabin. It is the intention of the requirements, however, that no less than a majority of the required flight attendants contribute to direct view, even considering that some seat locations may be dictated by proximity to floor level exits. These flight attendants need not share equally in direct view, however, a majority of the required flight attendants should contribute to paragraph 10a(3) below. In the case where there are only two required flight attendants, it is acceptable that proximity to floor level exits dictates the location of one of the seats, if the criteria below can be satisfied by one flight attendant. The following should be visible to flight attendants:

(1) The length of each main aisle adjacent to all passenger seats,

(2) Each floor level exit,

(3) Using the criteria in Appendix A, at least 50 percent (i.e., with zero head movement) of the total number of passenger seats in the cabin, and

(4) Using the criteria in Appendix A, at least 25 percent (i.e., with zero head movement) of the passenger seats in each visually divided zone.

(5) For non-floor level exits not equipped with flightdeck annunciation of the open condition, the seat adjacent to each such exit.

(6) The criteria in paragraphs 10a(1), (2), and (5) should be satisfied with flight attendants seated with restraint systems fastened, as would be the case for takeoff and landing. No lateral movement or head rotation should be utilized to meet the above criteria. Peripheral vision is assumed to be 180 degrees as referenced in Society of Automotive Engineering (SAE) J985.

(7) The criteria of paragraphs 10a(3) and (4) may be satisfied using the figures in Appendix A and applying the guidance noted.

b. The following guidance can be used to determine whether aisles, exits or seats are within direct view:

(1) An aisle is considered to be in direct view if, from a flight attendant seat, any part of a standing person is visible at each point in the minimum required aisle.

(2) A floor level exit is considered to be in direct view if the exit itself is directly visible, or if a person approaching the exit or entering the passageway to the exit is visible from a flight attendant seat.

(3) A seat is considered to be in direct view if any portion of the vertically projected plan view of the seat (up to a height of 5 feet above the floor) is within the line of sight of a flight attendant, seating as noted in paragraph 10c below. Alternatively:

(a) A person seated in the seat is visible when they raise their arm over their head, or

(b) A five foot high pole may be placed in front of the seat; if the pole is visible, the seat is considered to be in direct view.

NOTE: Assessments of seats are made assuming an unoccupied airplane.

c. Persons used to determine acceptability of the arrangement should be 5^{th} percentile females and also, in the case of flight attendants, 95^{th} percentile males (where such evaluation could produce more critical results). For the purpose of establishing sight lines, heights above the seat pan representing the seated height of a 5^{th} percentile female and 95^{th} percentile male, may be established using a current, recognized source. See paragraph 5d for anthropometric reference source.

d. Acceptability of the arrangement should be determined under the minimum lighting levels to be allowed for takeoff and landing.

e. Cabin features, such as movable panels in partitions, should be in the taxi, takeoff and landing position.

NOTE: The airframe manufacturer should identify on the type design the flight attendant seats that are required to comply with the direct view requirements, and that therefore need to be occupied in service, and provide this information to the operator.

/s/ Ali Bahrami

Ali Bahrami Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate Aircraft Certification Service Appendix A

Direct View Determination for Visually Divided Zones and Airplane Cabins

In order to permit a more performance based assessment, the following relationship of direct view and flight attendant head movement has been constructed. Figure A-1 shows an envelope of cabin view as a function of the amount of head movement utilized. The curves depicted are based on previously accepted methods of compliance.

<u>Cabin Zone Acceptance</u>: For each zone in the cabin, any combination of lateral head movement and percentage of seats in view that is above the lower curve is considered acceptable. The airplane cabin zone direct view may be established using head movement as necessary at each fight attendant seat to achieve the total percentage, provided such movement is permitted by the restraint system when fastened.





NOTE: Measured head movement is from common reference point, such as the eye reference point of the normally seated occupant.

<u>Total Cabin Acceptance</u>: The simplest method to determine *total* cabin view is to utilize the same amount of head movement at each flight attendant position and determine the total number of seats in view, based on the curves. In this case, the zonal criteria and the total airplane criteria may be treated independently. The airplane satisfies the criteria as long as the total number of seats in view is above the "total cabin" curve at some point (i.e., using the same amount of head movement at each flight attendant seat). The total cabin view should be established in 5 percent increments (rounded up), since the curves are not refined enough to be more precise. An
applicant may simply choose to select a fixed amount of head movement and assess the total cabin view at that point. Alternatively, the curves as shown in Figure A-2 may be developed and the point on the curve where maximum cabin viewing occurs may be established. As noted above, *each zone* may be assessed to determine its maximum direct view even if the assessment criteria are different among the specific zones (different amount of head movement at each flight attendant seat).

The following example illustrates one way to do this.

Example: An airplane with three divided zones, with a total passenger capacity of 375, and zone capacities of 50, 175 and 150. Five flight attendants (out of a required 8) participate in direct view as follows: Flight attendants 1 and 2 view zone 1, flight attendants 3 and 4 view zone 2 and flight attendant 5 views zone 3.

Each flight attendant's actual direct view coverage as a function of head movement is shown on Figure A-2 (note that the movement is limited by the restraint system):



Figure A-2

In Figure A-2, flight attendants 1,3 and 5 have direct view that is unaffected by head movement. Flight attendants 2 & 4 can improve their view with a limited amount of head movement, although for flight attendant 4 the view diminishes beyond a certain point. The overall assessment of acceptability can be made as follows: 05/11/10

a. All flight attendants have adequate zone viewing since each line is above the zone viewing curve at some point.

Peak zone viewing is as follows: Flight attendant 1 ~40 percent of zone 1 or 20 seats. Flight attendant 2 ~58 percent of zone 1 or 29 seats. Flight attendant 3 ~81 percent of zone 2 or 142 seats. Flight attendant 4 ~72 percent of zone 2 or 126 seats. Flight attendant 5 ~81 percent of zone 3 or 121 seats.

b. The total cabin viewing can be established by a point on the zone curve at which the sum of the individual zone views exceeds the total cabin view curve, for a given amount of head movement. For this example arrangement, a large portion of the cabin is visible at 4" of head movement. With 4" of head movement, the corresponding total cabin view is read at ~70 percent. As long as a total of 70 percent of the cabin is in direct view of the combined 5 flight attendants using no more than 4" head movement, the arrangement is acceptable. For this arrangement, that would be 263 seats.

c. For this airplane, total coverage is no worse than the sum of the maximum zone coverages, or 29??+142+121 or 292 seats, so the arrangement satisfies the criteria.

d. Figure A-3 illustrates the amount of viewing coverage provided in each zone by each flight attendant seat position (note that the figure is representative only, and cannot be used to estimate, or extrapolate direct view). As can be seen, there is some degree of redundancy in the zone viewing among flight attendants, but in this case no flight attendant's view is completely redundant with any other. In actuality, the total cabin direct view is greater than shown above since zones 1 and 2 are viewed by more than one flight attendant.

