
From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Close Mary Ann
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Close Mary Ann
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Malgorzata Schmidt
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:23 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Having exterminated most other species, *H. sapiens* must give priority to birds and other wildlife over SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded to the detriment of nature.

Put Aerospace company SpaceX on the Moon, not the coastal region of Boca Chica, Texas. Thank you.

In the name of my grandsons,
With best wishes and kind regards
I remain faithfully yours

Malgorzata Schmidt, MD, PhD

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Malgorzata Schmidt

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Claire Farrell [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Claire Farrell

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alison Dean
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:23 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I hope you understand that SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded, will result in declines in populations of endangered species and potentially lead to extinctions. This is not acceptable in public land management and definitely not for a private-profit venture. I demand that you honor the law and require a full environmental impact assessment, or else shut down the project in anticipation of its foregone conclusion.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alison Dean

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Maggie Davidson
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Maggie Davidson
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Shelley Ottenbrite
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:25 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Shelley Ottenbrite

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Medora Van Denburgh
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Medora Van Denburgh
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Yolanda Revilla <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:24 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Yolanda Revilla
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Annie Anthony [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Annie Anthony
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Allan Sander
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:25 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Allan Sander
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mary ann Gajda [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary ann Gajda



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patricia Robinson
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patricia Robinson
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gail Giacomini
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gail Giacomini
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bebe Anderson
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:27 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. Our natural wildlife, including birds, are a national treasure. I think it is our ethical duty as humans to minimize harms to our environment and the other creatures with which we share it.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bebe Anderson

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Keith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Keith

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rose Rivera <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:27 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rose Rivera

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Peterson Nicole <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Peterson Nicole

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patricia Whitesides
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:28 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patricia Whitesides
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sharon Friedman [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sharon Friedman
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gail Brown <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:28 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gail Brown

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leigh Novak
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leigh Novak
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Williston Renee [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:28 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Williston Renee
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Severino [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Severino
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Candice Engolia
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:28 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. & if you have any kind of compassion & heart please stop this ! If you could please just give these little guys a chance to continue on living it would be greatly appreciated 🙏🙏🙏

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Candice Engolia
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Raquel Pidal <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:54 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. We should not allow the absurd whims of a billionaire to ruin the environment.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Raquel Pidal

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Marcia Halfmann
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:29 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Marcia Halfmann

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Denise Judd
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Denise Judd
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Fredriksen Maria [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:29 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Fredriksen Maria
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susana Cardenas [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susana Cardenas

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Richard Wightman
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:30 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Wightman
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michelle Walthour [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:57 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michelle Walthour
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dawn Hufton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:31 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dawn Hufton

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Benjamin Yeung
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Benjamin Yeung
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Christine Yancy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:33 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Christine Yancy

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennifer Hayes
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 12:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Hayes
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Ciera Preston
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:33 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Ciera Preston
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of yvonne Tacoronte [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:00 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
yvonne Tacoronte
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michele Augeri
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:35 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

There must be greater oversight of the SpaceX operations. The current assessment should be rejected and a full-scale Environmental Impact Study should be undertaken before SpaceX is allowed to continue their operations.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michele Augeri
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Virginia Patrick [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Virginia Patrick
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lisa Hughes [REDACTED]

Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:37 PM

To: SpaceXBocaChica

Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lisa Hughes

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mary Tullock
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Mary Tullock
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dee Sifuentes
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:37 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dee Sifuentes
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennie Schaibly
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:06 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennie Schaibly
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rita Castillo
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am extremely concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rita Castillo
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jane Brody <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:06 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jane Brody

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dixie Searway
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:39 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dixie Searway

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michael Laird <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:06 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michael Laird

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Deborah Conant
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:39 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Deborah Conant
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Holly Graham <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

The earth is not a toy to be disrespected and abused. It is a sacred being supporting life on earth. All life on earth. Stop this continual criminal behavior against the being upon which we all depend.

Just plain stop before it is later than it already is.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Holly Graham

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of A.Lurski <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:40 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
A. Lurski

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Broughton Carol
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Broughton Carol
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of STACIE CHARLEBOIS
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:41 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
STACIE CHARLEBOIS
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bruce Gagnon
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I am also aware that storage of rocket fuel has been problematic across the US with major ground water contamination from leakage. This contamination then gets into the food supply - such as lettuce, milk and other food products.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bruce Gagnon



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eva Gassner <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eva Gassner

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of lollie ragana <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
lollie ragana
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Peter D'Esposito <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Peter D'Esposito
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mildred Tielman
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX has already altered the night sky. When will the madness stop? Wildlife and wild places are being squeezed to the brink of no return.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Mildred Tielman



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alix Novack <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alix Novack

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Karin Gluth <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Karin Gluth
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Ledford Pat <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Ledford Pat

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Worden
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

How can you even consider these actions when every plant, animal, insect and human is at risk? Where is your humanity and compassion for the life of the earth?

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Worden



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Chaz Huffman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Chaz Huffman

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leslie Kuhn <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leslie Kuhn

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Karen Eskesen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Karen Eskesen

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pamela Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

The SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, must be reevaluated as they are increasing their operations in ways not addresses in their 2014 Environmental Impact Assessment. The birds, humans and other wildlife need protections from profit motivated compsnies that do not understand their impacts.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pamela Smith

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of alice slater <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
alice slater

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of FRANCES REWERS [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
FRANCES REWERS

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gail Northcott [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gail Northcott

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Constance Knudsen
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Constance Knudsen
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Anne Craven <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Why is our nation allowing Elon Musk to exterminate species so he can play in space? And what will the impact on humans be when he rules that realm?

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Anne Craven

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carla McCammon
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carla McCammon
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of C Watman
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:54 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
C Watman
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Global Network Spaceforpeace
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

The Global Network Against Weapons & Nuclear Power in Space (space4peace.org) is deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Global Network Spaceforpeace
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jane Perkins
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

THIS AREA IS SURROUNDED BY FEDERAL AND STATE LANDS CRITICAL TO BIRDS AND BIRD MIGRATORY HABITATS. THE FAA MUST CONDUCT A FULL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDY AND NOT JUST A WEAK ASSESSMENT! BIRDS ARE BEING LOST BY THE BILLIONS IN OUR COUNTRY AND AROUND THE WORLD! WHAT DOES IT TAKE TO GET HUMAN BEINGS -- ESPECIALLY THOSE WITH GOBS OF MONEY AND INVOLVED IN A SPACEX PROGRAM THAT IS CAUSING EVEN MORE FOSSIL FUELS POLLUTION --TO LISTEN... AND TO CARE?I THE FAA MUST DO A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY AND START CARING ABOUT OUR BIRDS, WILDLIFE, AND THEIR HABITAT!

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that -- according to the National Environmental Policy Act -- the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year -- from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jane Perkins

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sharon Emery [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. I think our environment is more important than money why destroy the habitats of these endangered species

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sharon Emery
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of John Dunning <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. My understanding is that the project has not been required to complete a full environmental review prior to approval. I believe that such a review is necessary given the amount of public land in the vicinity of the project and our commitment to safeguarding vulnerable species and habitats. I urge that the project in Boca Chica at a minimum complete a formal Environmental Impact Statement review prior to receiving full authorization for their proposed expansion.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
John Dunning



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cary Meister
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I recently read about the potential impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX's expanded operations. A project of this magnitude with all its impacts demands a full environmental impact statement. South Texas is an extremely important location for many birds, including imperiled species. Please do the right thing and require a full EIS for this proposed project.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cary Meister
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Margarita Gonzalez [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Margarita Gonzalez
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kathleen Hall
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Hall
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gustafsen April
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gustafsen April
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gregord June [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gregord June

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mary Reilly <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Mary Reilly

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pamela Strasser
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pamela Strasser
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lou McMullen
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 4:57 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lou McMullen
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Charlene Hakes
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:20 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Charlene Hakes
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lisa Gee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:21 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lisa Gee

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rochelle Chambliss
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:22 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rochelle Chambliss
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dendy Seaton [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:22 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. Stop 🚫 the dump on our world!

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dendy Seaton

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jacqui Deveneau [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:23 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jacqui Deveneau
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Frank Hartig <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:25 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Frank Hartig

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jocelynn Marriott
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:25 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Allowing space exploration projects to bring harm to wildlife that has called this planet home for millions of years is adding salt to the wound of the global biodiversity crisis.

Given the exorbitant amount funds being pumped into such projects (that remedy humanity's own issues only in the most dubious ways), it is not unreasonable to expect that they be held accountable to all life on Earth.

A comprehensive environmental impact study is not only the proper first steps given the scope of SpaceX's projects, but it is the right thing to do. It will reflect positively on the reputation of space exploration as a whole.

Do the right thing.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jocelynn Marriott

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Warren Woodward [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Warren Woodward
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alana Willroth
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alana Willroth

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dayna Corrigan [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dayna Corrigan
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Geraldine Quirk
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Quirk
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nancy Fohn <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:27 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nancy Fohn
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bill Mecham
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bill Mecham
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Ann Tessier <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:28 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Ann Tessier

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kerry Dockery <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:29 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kerry Dockery

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dave Green
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:29 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Environmental impact statements should be required for an operation of this magnitude. Please stop this now.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dave Green
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of William Slavick
Sent: Sunday, October 31, 2021 1:29 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. It is time for such projects to recognize that we live on a fragile planet where species incapable of defense against our depredations are lost to short-term objectives.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
William Slavick
[REDACTED]

