
From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Maureen Schriber
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:28 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Maureen Schriber

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eileen Kreisle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eileen Kreisle

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jaymison Arnold
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jaymison Arnold

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Linda Grabe
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Linda Grabe

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cheryl Folkes
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:20 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Folkes

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of ANTONIO FONTAINE [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
ANTONIO FONTAINE
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lorrie Groh <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lorrie Groh

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nan Kelly <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nan Kelly

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Amanda Hackney [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:18 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Amanda Hackney

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carol Leuenberger
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carol Leuenberger

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rosemary delPino [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:20 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rosemary delPino
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Olga Zoller <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Olga Zoller

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jacob Hamer
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:17 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jacob Hamer

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jill Brothers <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jill Brothers

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennifer Messina
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:20 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Messina

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jill Lee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jill Lee

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pampus Cameron
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:15 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pampus Cameron

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Maria Rosenberger
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Maria Rosenberger

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Diana Young
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:19 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Diana Young

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Caroline ap Rees
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Caroline ap Rees

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lyra Brennan
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:14 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Why should Space x Operations in Boca chica be exempt from all of the other projects and people who must complete a full environmental assessment under similar circumstances? You have a responsibility to protect people and wildlife in this area, and I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife. Please consider alternative plans and complete the full environmental assessment -EIS-for the super heavy project.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lyra Brennan

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Edward and Beatrice Simpson
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Boca Chica birds and wildlife are gravely threatened.
YOU can do something to save them.

Space X operations must not increase the destruction of species which we see all over the country.

We support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. We must have a THOROUGH EIS so we know the full impact.

Thank you for recognizing and acknowledging this serious issue.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Edward and Beatrice Simpson

[Redacted signature line]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Debra Poscharscky
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Debra Poscharscky

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Thomas Boswell
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Thomas Boswell

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rachel Goonan
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:14 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rachel Goonan

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Edith Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:47 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Edith Smith

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nancy Ward [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:19 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nancy Ward

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lynn Pascente
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lynn Pascente

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Heather Hill [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:11 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Heather Hill

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sheila Magee
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:47 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sheila Magee

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of ANDREA ANDERSON
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
ANDREA ANDERSON

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bitá Rezvani
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bitá Rezvani

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lois Hemm [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:08 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lois Hemm

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Heather Schlichter
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Heather Schlichter

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dini DiNatale [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Their facility is surrounded by federal and state public lands used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year, as well as, several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act.

What's needed from the FAA is quick action and to reject the current assessment and, instead, require a full-scale Environmental Impact Study of SpaceX's plans.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.


These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dini DiNatale

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of Dini DiNatale.

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Valerie Lovejoy [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Valerie Lovejoy

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lori Daniells <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:07 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lori Daniells

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Linda Prostko <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Linda Prostko

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carolyn Bartholomew [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:19 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bartholomew
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sharon Meyers [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sharon Meyers

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of M Rute Correia <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:06 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
M Rute Correia

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bethanne Portala
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bethanne Portala

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Laurie Brinkle [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Laurie Brinkle

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Grundy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Grundy

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Elizabeth Diener
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:02 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Please please hold them accountable for their actions.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Diener

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rose McCormick [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rose McCormick

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alida Bockino
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I am sure with some careful adjustments, both the wildlife habitat and SpaceX can coexist without destroying either one. Please take the time to make this essential adjustment.

Thank you,
Alida Bockino
Moscow, Idaho

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alida Bockino

[Redacted signature line]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Diane Shaughnessy [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Diane Shaughnessy

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dianne Hudson [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 6:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dianne Hudson

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Margaret Ford <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Margaret Ford

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Becky Page <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Becky Page

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Grace Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Having worked on federal projects requiring NEPA documentation for over 25 years, I believe SpaceX activities have changed enough to warrant subsequent and more comprehensive environmental review. The onus is on the FAA to ensure the intent of NEPA is followed, and should do so by requiring an EIS for SpaceX activities at Boca Chica.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Grace Smith

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Silling Celeste
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:58 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Silling Celeste

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Marla Crites <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Marla Crites

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Karen MCloskey
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:18 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Karen MCloskey

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Joy Wolfe [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Our land and its people are more important than the whims of corporations.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Joy Wolfe

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Phyllis Elmo [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:55 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

We only have one planet and as we destroy natural habitats we are destroying our children's futures. It is time to provided oversight and put an end to the environmental damage done by this project.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Elmo

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jonah Blaustein
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jonah Blaustein

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eleanor Navarro [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Navarro

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kimberly Dillbeck
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. Because the size and impact has changed there needs to be further studies done before any more activity occurs. This new construction is already impacting the wildlife in the region and the region contains critically important habitat for endangered birds and mammals. In particular the population of Piping Plovers, a federally threatened bird has decreased by 54% in the last three years since Space X has been present and has been launching rockets.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Dillbeck



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of JESSICA HOWELL
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:54 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
JESSICA HOWELL

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patti Edwards [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patti Edwards

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Collin Dvorak <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Collin Dvorak

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Debra Wontor [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Debra Wontor

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of KAREN McGUINNESS
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:49 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
KAREN McGUINNESS

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Elizabeth York
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth York

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sarah Coddington [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:17 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sarah Coddington

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rebecca Froggatt [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I love SpaceX and their incredible innovations in space flight. I love the reusable rockets, and I even love the avian names of their products. However, Boca Chica is a crucial environment for hundreds of bird species. SpaceX must ensure their impact on wildlife is minimal to protect these irreplaceable earthlings.

I also disagree with the launching of so many Starlink satellites. They cause unnecessary lights in the sky, which are confusing to animals including migratory birds. Additionally, they contribute to the existing problem of space junk.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Froggatt



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lisa Yntema
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:46 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lisa Yntema

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jeremy Carpenter [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Carpenter

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jes File <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jes File

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Theresa Hebron <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Theresa Hebron

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Ruthann McCoy [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:43 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Ruthann McCoy

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Joyce Rohr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. I would greatly appreciate your attention to this matter.

Thank you,
Joyce Rohr
[REDACTED]

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Joyce Rohr



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rosa Lucas [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rosa Lucas

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Hickey
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Hickey

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kaylee McIntyre
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:41 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kaylee McIntyre

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Donna Hart [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Donna Hart

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Italia Pierfederici
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:47 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Italia Pierfederici

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Keitha Farney <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Keitha Farney

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dagmar Bergan
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:39 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dagmar Bergan

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Renate Ingelsheim <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Renate Ingelsheim
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Orchid Ra <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:17 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

You don't need to destroy the ozone layer and the planet just because you have money. Calm down!

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Orchid Ra

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Linda Olson [REDACTED]
>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Linda Olson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of John Ruhl <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:39 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
John Ruhl

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nancy Sacco
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nancy Sacco

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Clevenger Amy
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Clevenger Amy

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lorraine Johnson
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lorraine Johnson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carly Critchfield
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:39 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Good morning,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Please use your position of influence to analyze the sheer weight of the environmental impact of this decision. The birds, wildlife, and natural habitat of this area will be forcefully removed and will be unable to relocate and continue contributing to our country's already suffering ecosystem.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica. Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely, Carly Critchfield

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carly Critchfield

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Elizabeth Brensinger
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I was appalled to learn that the FAA has basically turned a blind eye to SpaceX's operations in Boca Chica, an area of critical ecological importance. Specifically, I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations, particularly the building / expansion of the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project. I strongly urge the FAA to reject the draft Programmatic Environmental Assessment and instead require a full-scale Environmental Impact Study of SpaceX's plans. THAT is what is in the best interests of the public and the planet.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Brensinger

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sally Hinshaw
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sally Hinshaw

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kathy Marshall
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kathy Marshall

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Molly Engelman [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:37 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. The Piping Plover and other threatened wildlife are sensitive species impacted by SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, and it is against federal law to threaten their populations which have had a history of declining to dangerously low numbers from human impacts.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Molly Engelman

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Barbara Letsom
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Letsom

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michael Carney
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michael Carney

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kristin Nam <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:08 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kristin Nam

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Anderson Tammie <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:33 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Anderson Tammie

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cristen McConville [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cristen McConville

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leslie Liddle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leslie Liddle

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gerald Tiffin
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:08 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gerald Tiffin

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rachel Partney
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:33 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. There has to be a better way to do this rather than destroying wetlands and littering the habitat with rocket debris. If you can't figure out how to go to space or develop rockets without harming the surrounding wildlife then you do not deserve to travel to space. The planet you are trying to leave still matters, and the animals here matter.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rachel Partney

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Janice Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:41 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Janice Williams

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Frankhouser Kathi
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Frankhouser Kathi

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alexandra Saunders
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Saunders

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Maureen Swiss <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:31 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Maureen Swiss

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan James <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:41 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan James

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Peter Edmonds
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Peter Edmonds

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennifer Castner <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Castner

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Monica Murray [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:30 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Monica Murray

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jeff Shenot <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:41 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other 222 from Spafro2 operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch m

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jeff Shenot

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bronwen Hartranft
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bronwen Hartranft

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mary Faye Bennett
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Mary Faye Bennett

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sarah Carr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:30 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sarah Carr

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Donna Donaldson
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:40 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Donna Donaldson

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Karla Devine [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Karla Devine

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Zita Worley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:06 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Zita Worley

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eedie Cuminale
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:29 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eedie Cuminale

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Florence Litton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:39 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Florence Litton

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan McTigue
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan McTigue

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of David Brown
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:06 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
David Brown

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED], on behalf of Federbush Laurel
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:27 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Federbush Laurel

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Orr Mary
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:39 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

This has recently come to my attention and I'm deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Orr Mary

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennifer Willis
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am highly concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. It's devastating to their existence.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Willis

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lauren Ferreri
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lauren Ferreri

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Corey Lange
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:25 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I completed my Masters Degree in south Texas studying the impacts of wind energy on redhead ducks. We found large-scale wind farms were impacting redheads and they were avoiding using habitat they otherwise wild have because of the turbines. I can only imagine the impacts an insanely loud rocket are having on the wildlife and migratory birds of this area. This area is an incredibly important migratory stop-over site for numerous rare and endangered species. Analysis should be taken on what behavioral impacts are being done to the birds and other native wildlife of the area.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Corey Lange

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dwayne Longenbaugh
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

The birds and wildlife in this coastal area have used this stretch of beach for perhaps thousands of years. A sudden impact, such as is occurring now, may cause great damage to the breeding and survival prospects of these birds and animals.

Please conduct a serious study of the impacts to this area to determine what, if any, additional measures need to be taken to protect the birds and animals along this stretch of coast.

Thank you for your attention to this problem.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dwayne Longenbaugh

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Joseph Modugno
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Environmental impacts on wildlife and the human community must be seriously and adequately considered.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Joseph Modugno

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Diane Hilman
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Diane Hilman

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Marlo Mytty <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:23 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Marlo Mytty

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patricia Ross <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patricia Ross

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nicole Hoekstra [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nicole Hoekstra

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of patricia browne
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
patricia browne

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eugenie Jenkins
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:22 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eugenie Jenkins

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jacqueline Palumbo
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Palumbo

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Amanda McMurry [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Amanda McMurry

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of edna anderson
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
edna anderson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Emily Rodway
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:22 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. Their impact has expanded greatly from their start and a full EIS should be performed. Please don't let their power and money convince state DEQ to allow them a less comprehensive evaluation (PEA). I believe innovation is important but it has to be done appropriately. That's what EIS does.

Sincerely,
Emily Rodway

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,

Emily Rodway



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Helen Depasquale
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Helen Depasquale

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carole ORLANDI [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carole ORLANDI

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Barbara Daniels [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Daniels

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Teresa Roach
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:20 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Teresa Roach

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kjersti Moline
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kjersti Moline

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jane Gold <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:45 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jane Gold

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Melissa Koltos
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Melissa Koltos

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Linda Schiffman
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:17 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Linda Schiffman

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Beth Prudden [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:38 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Beth Prudden

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of G.Paxton [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
G. Paxton

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pat Lang <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pat Lang

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nason Fox <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:15 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nason Fox

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Valerie Zachary <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:37 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Valerie Zachary

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rodolfo Sanchez
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rodolfo Sanchez

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Denise Hosta [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Denise Hosta

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kayla Niner
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:14 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

While I am always enthusiastic about space exploration and the potential to travel to other planets, I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. The risks of harming the inhabitants of the Earth have much outweighed the benefits of these environmentally dangerous projects.

Please make sure that our wildlife and our land is protected and not just the "rights" of those corporations that hold the most money.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kayla Niner



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of sanja dimitrijevic [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:37 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
sanja dimitrijevic

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sean Long <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sean Long

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cheryl Militello
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Militello

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nancy Howard
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:10 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nancy Howard
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michael Sobkowiak
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:36 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Please take the time to do a FULL Environmental Impact review on the development of the SpaceX facility.

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

What's the sense of exploring space when we can't even manage to live in harmony with the nature all around us?

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michael Sobkowiak



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Monica Essenmacher
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Monica Essenmacher

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Loredana Raimonda [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Loredana Raimonda

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cheryl Kirby
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 5:09 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Kirby

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sherry Clark [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:36 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sherry Clark

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Shreyas Pulluri
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Shreyas Pulluri

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Chemeka Miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Chemeka Miller

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of April Bahen
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:58 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
April Bahen

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bourns Lorna
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:35 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bourns Lorna

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Joan Ellen McCoy [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Joan Ellen McCoy

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of James Brock <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
James Brock

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rodolfo Villalpando [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:55 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rodolfo Villalpando

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jean Strelka <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:35 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jean Strelka

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Robert Vanderkamp
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Robert Vanderkamp
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Peter Wood [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Peter Wood

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Somerville-Franz
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:51 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Somerville-Franz

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Charmaine Henriques
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:35 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Charmaine Henriques

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gianina Graham
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gianina Graham

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kathy Mason [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:02 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kathy Mason

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Robyn Newman
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:50 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Robyn Newman

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leah Knapp <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:34 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leah Knapp

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of David Worley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
David Worley

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Allison McGee [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:02 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Allison McGee

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lynnette Yoder [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:46 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lynnette Yoder

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jeff Bilsky <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:33 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jeff Bilsky

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pauline Doucet <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pauline Doucet

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sara Blacklock [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:02 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sara Blacklock

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cross Kim <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:46 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cross Kim

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sherry Gerszberg [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:31 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sherry Gerszberg

[REDACTED]