
From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Faith Hutton
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:44 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

It's true, progressive innovative thinking is important to advance society.

Why can't thinking begin with consideration for others trying to make a life and living among humans. We are not the only hard workers loving and caring for our young and trying to provide home and food. Wildlife has nothing beyond habitat disruption and destruction of their food sources.

It is sad and upsetting that daily I read about another attack on the natural world.

It's not enough to claim to care. Open up and become aware of what damage is done daily with just a small inconsequential decision....or what was thought to be.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act.

The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Faith Hutton

[Redacted signature]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sandra Kuschel
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 2:02 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sandra Kuschel

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sheila Barr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:45 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

As thrilled as I am about space exploration (I watched and read anything available as a teenager in the Apollo era), I now know there are more important and intriguing facets of life here on Earth—birds and other wildlife.

Please require a full EIS before further "progress" is made at this site.

Sheila Barr

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sheila Barr

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rob Wilson
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:30 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rob Wilson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carrie Darling
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carrie Darling

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bud Morel <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bud Morel

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Anna Buckardt
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:38 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Anna Buckardt

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Roberta Chan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:30 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Roberta Chan

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Maggie Topalian [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Maggie Topalian

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Debby Peine
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Debby Peine

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kelly Taylor [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:30 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kelly Taylor

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Megan Ickler <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:29 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Megan Ickler
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Megan Wood <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Megan Wood

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Julie Schroader
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Julie Schroader

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Connie Curnow
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:25 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Connie Curnow

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alan Citron
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:27 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alan Citron

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Thomasin Kellermann [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:08 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Thomasin Kellermann

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Janae Bailie
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Janae Bailie

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leslie Valentine <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:21 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leslie Valentine

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Heather Hale [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:27 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Heather Hale

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Holly Crawford
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:08 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Holly Crawford

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Karen Spradlin [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Karen Spradlin

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Erica Anderson
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:09 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Erica Anderson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Stephanie Hill Alexander
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Hill Alexander
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Maryke Petruzzi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Maryke Petruzzi

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lanna Melko
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lanna Melko

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sandra Hering
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 4:06 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sandra Hering

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patric Kearns <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patric Kearns

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sheryl Post
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:59 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sheryl Post

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jacky Westoby <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:59 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jacky Westoby
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Stephanie Levinson [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Levinson

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Tonya Wheeler
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. I am also concerned about the impacts in other locations caused by ANY spaceflight/regular flight operations.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Tonya Wheeler

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gonzalez Noelia
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:57 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gonzalez Noelia

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rita Meuer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:25 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rita Meuer

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pamela Vangiessen
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I am also concerned that a) there has been little or no oversight from the Federal Administration and, b) that it appears there has not been any mention of an environmental impact study of the surrounding area. Was an environmental impact study even performed or proposed?

And, what about the people that live in or near the area? Have they even been consulted or been part of the decision to build and expand

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pamela Vangiessen

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennifer Atkins [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Atkins

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Molly Harris
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:51 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Molly Harris

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sandra Martocchia
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:24 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sandra Martocchia

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Frederick Hamilton [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Frederick Hamilton

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Margaret Patridge
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. Please conduct an in-depth study before allowing operations to continue.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Margaret Patridge

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alexandra Daniells
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:47 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Daniells

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Deborah Thomas [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:23 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Deborah Thomas

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Laura Newton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Please implement Environmental Impact Studies immediately.

Please be an example of a "Good Steward" for the only planet we currently have!

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Laura Newton

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gail Frost <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gail Frost

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eileen Byhower <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:37 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eileen Byhower
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Soria Adibi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:23 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Soria Adibi

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sylvia Ehrler [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Ehrler
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michelle Krueger <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:57 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michelle Krueger

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Herb Lowrance [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:30 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Herb Lowrance

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Beverly Fannin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:23 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Beverly Fannin

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Tom Soden <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Tom Soden

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Miller Sharon
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:57 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Miller Sharon

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Halpern Patricia [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:22 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Halpern Patricia

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nancy Post [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:22 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am *deeply concerned* about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I have volunteered on the NJ shore to protect the endangered Piper Plovers and their young. I deeply care about them. Humans have caused unconscionable destruction to God's Creation including all creatures great and small. SpaceX is producing many kinds of pollution including debris, chemical, water, air and noise. Noise pollution is frequently overlooked but is also very bad for human and critter health and well-being.

<https://www.nationalgeographic.org/encyclopedia/noise-pollution/>

It is beyond comprehension that a full-scale Environmental Impact Study of SpaceX's operations has not been conducted by an independent resource.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nancy Post

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jennifer Tozzi
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:08 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Tozzi

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patricia Hunter
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patricia Hunter

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of M Kelly <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 3:14 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
M Kelly

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of C Lambert [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:21 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
C Lambert

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Emily Danielson [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Emily Danielson
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Patricia Gehring [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Patricia Gehring

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carol Drabin
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:52 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carol Drabin

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of DIANN HARRIS
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:20 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
DIANN HARRIS

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Steve Clough
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:58 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Steve Clough

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rob Rondanini
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rob Rondanini

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of mark blandford [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:39 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
mark blandford

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Peggy Beckelheimer [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:19 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Peggy Beckelheimer

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Milva DeLuca <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Milva DeLuca

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sellers Kenneth
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sellers Kenneth

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alicia Frecker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:36 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alicia Frecker

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Morgan Smith
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:20 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Morgan Smith

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Terry Sailer [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. All of God's creatures deserve unthreatened life

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Terry Sailer

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Megan Ahlgren
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

As a naturalist and an interpreter I have devoted my life to studying the wildlife around me, and see every day the important role it plays in our world.

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Megan Ahlgren

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alexandra Frey [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:15 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Ich bin zutiefst besorgt über die Auswirkungen auf Vögel und andere Wildtiere von SpaceX-Operationen in Boca Chica, wo das Starship / Super Heavy-Projekt und der Startplatz des Unternehmens gebaut und erweitert werden.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Frey
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jane Flowers
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:19 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. Our birds are disappearing to fast already. We need to stop this process any way possible.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jane Flowers

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of ilene Stevens <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
ilene Stevens

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gisele Berbel
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:54 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gisele Berbel

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Janet Duke <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 2:08 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Janet Duke

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Julia Robertson
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Julia Robertson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Ellyn Musser <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Ellyn Musser

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Renee Bellemare [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:54 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Renee Bellemare

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sandy Ellis
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:42 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sandy Ellis

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Drew Martin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Drew Martin

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rita Glasscock <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rita Glasscock

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cindy Canas [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cindy Canas

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Laurie Eisler <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:39 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Laurie Eisler

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Chris Rose [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Chris Rose

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Diane Schaffer
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:57 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Diane Schaffer

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of JOHANNA JARA <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:54 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
JOHANNA JARA

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Margaret Mccoy
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:25 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Margaret Mccoy

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carole Chowen
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

A full Environmental Impact Study needs to be completed before this project moves forward.

There is too much to loose! Bolsa Chica is home to endangered and federally threatend species.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carole Chowen



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carolyn Antman <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I believe that a full Environmental Impact Study should be conducted and the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica should be held to all of the environmental regulations concerning critically important and sensitive bird habitat as well as regulations concerning other wildlife, especially in regards to the Endangered Species Act.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Antman



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dotson Cora
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dotson Cora

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jessica Kam <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jessica Kam

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Bonnie Hackett
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Hackett

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Elaine Stachera Simon
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Elaine Stachera Simon

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of E Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Please take the wellbeing into consideration during your continued operations.

Thank you, Sandy Smith

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
E Smith

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gabriele Naumann
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gabriele Naumann

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Eva Duggins <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:15 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Eva Duggins

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Frances Bell <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Frances Bell

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cynthia Hagerty <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Hagerty

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Daniel Carrillo [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Daniel Carrillo

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sarah Watson [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sarah Watson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lori White <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lori White

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Wayne Davison [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Wayne Davison

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leslie Sayre <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leslie Sayre

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Irene Hilgers
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:14 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Irene Hilgers

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Daisy Cobb [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Daisy Cobb

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Karl Koessel Karl Koessel
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Karl Koessel Karl Koessel

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Wilma Stouten [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Wilma Stouten

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Hutner <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Hutner

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Phillip Matthews [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Phillip Matthews

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Denise Morris [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Denise Morris

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Thomas Talbot [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am very concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. I believe a full environmental impact study needs to be done since substantial changes in activities necessitates.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Thomas Talbot

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Martha Mallett [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

A FULL environmental assessment needs to be done right away not the Programmatic Environmental Assessment. There are too many endangered and threatened species that need to be protected.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Martha Mallett

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jean Sinclair
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Environmental studies are the backbone of any disturbance of a natural area! Why does the American public have to keep losing our precious natural areas so the very wealthy can do whatever they want to the environment? This is exactly why the planet is in the mess of global warming! Tell SpaceX to go build on land that humans have already destroyed!

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jean Sinclair



From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Heather LeBlanc
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:53 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Heather LeBlanc

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lise Gijzen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lise Gijzen

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susan Michetti
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:13 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

I am deeply concerned about all impacts on the environment, not just harming wildlife but also those harming humans

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan Michetti

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Peggy Hughes [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:42 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Peggy Hughes

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of David Soto <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
David Soto

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Steve Uyenishi
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:01 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Steve Uyenishi

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Shirley Evans
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Shirley Evans
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Barbara Gardner [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds, especially Piping Plovers, and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Barbara Gardner

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rebecca Hernandez
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Hernandez

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kate Holland <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:00 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kate Holland

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nanci A <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nanci A

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sharon Stanke [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 4:07 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sharon Stanke

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Virginia Griffith
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Virginia Griffith

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Deirdre Gately [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, October 28, 2021 1:00 AM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Deirdre Gately

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gisela Drysdale <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

It is vital that people and animals, especially endangered and threatened animals, are not even more negatively impacted by SpaceX.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gisela Drysdale
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Clara Kubisiak
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Clara Kubisiak

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Tressa Reisberg
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Tressa Reisberg

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Geri Hambrock [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Geri Hambrock

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jill Bohr Jacob <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jill Bohr Jacob

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rachel Krucoff <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rachel Krucoff

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Adrea Lee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:52 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Adrea Lee

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Deb Filion <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:46 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Deb Filion

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rosemary OConnell [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:12 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rosemary OConnell

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kathleen Beddow [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Beddow

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cynthia Arneson [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Arneson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michele White [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michele White

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Joshua Able
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Joshua Able

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Richard Lee <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:41 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Richard Lee

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kathy Kirkham
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kathy Kirkham

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of S.Andregg
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
S. Andregg

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Elaine HAMILTON
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Elaine HAMILTON

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Judith Schenck
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded. The presence, or absence, of birds and wildlife are a strong indicator of the health of the area and the planet in total.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Judith Schenck

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leigh McBride [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leigh McBride

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Tamara McCready
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:26 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Tamara McCready

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Pamela Conley <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Pamela Conley

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michele Koskinen
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michele Koskinen

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Susie Lopez [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:51 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Susie Lopez

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Amy Dalporto <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:24 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Amy Dalporto

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Hania Accary
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Hania Accary

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Larisa Long <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Larisa Long

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Judy Martinez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Judy Martinez

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Amy Dalporto <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:22 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Amy Dalporto

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Krista Lohr
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Krista Lohr

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Dawn Marlowe [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:56 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dawn Marlowe

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of S.Repp <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
S. Repp

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Kathy Smith
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:19 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Kathy Smith
GARWOOD Ct Surprise, AZ 85387
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jill Tarleton <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jill Tarleton

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Esmeralda Sandoval
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Esmeralda Sandoval

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Elizabeth Puntillo-Schreiber
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:50 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Puntillo-Schreiber

8 [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mikalson Cara
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:16 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Mikalson Cara

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Deborah Brown-Ridley
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Deborah Brown-Ridley

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Steven Cook
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:40 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Steven Cook

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Catherine Mizerany
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Catherine Mizerany

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cat Motycka
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cat Motycka

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Marie Pfierman
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:09 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Marie Pfierman

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Nancy Nice [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:40 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Nancy Nice

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Constance Jackson
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Constance Jackson

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Jo Kirsch
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:11 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Jo Kirsch

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Rhonda Rosser
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:08 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Rhonda Rosser

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Gary Overby
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Gary Overby

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of John Adams [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
John Adams

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Lawrence Wright
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:02 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Lawrence Wright

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Teresa Delaney
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Teresa Delaney

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Cynthia Florenzen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Florenzen

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Emily Gibbons [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:49 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Emily Gibbons
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Mary Smith
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 11:03 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Mary Smith

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alice Petersen
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alice Petersen

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Martaun Middleton [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Martaun Middleton

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sheila Fetterhoff [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sheila Fetterhoff

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Petra Stadtmueller [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 10:47 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Petra Stadtmueller

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Leda Ebert <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Leda Ebert

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Wendt Eileen
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:40 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Wendt Eileen

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Margo Kligman
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:48 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Margo Kligman

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Erin Suyehara <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 10:43 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Erin Suyehara

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Carper Lori <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:05 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

Hello, I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Carper Lori

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Alfina Badolato
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:10 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Alfina Badolato

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Sarah Singer [REDACTED]

To: SpaceXBocaChica

PM

Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Sarah Singer

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Michael Doll <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 10:37 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Michael Doll

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Martha Warschau
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Martha Warschau

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Ronan O'Carra <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:55 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Ronan O'Carra

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Hannah King
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 1:47 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Hannah King

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Logan Thomas <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 10:33 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Study (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Logan Thomas

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Adrian Burke [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, October 30, 2021 4:04 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

Please do not allow unnecessarily extensive development of Space X infrastructure that will negatively impact a highly ecologically (and therefore culturally) important area.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Adrian Burke

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Wellman Pansy <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 29, 2021 3:40 PM
To: SpaceXBocaChica
Subject: Comment on SpaceX Starship/Super Heavy project

Dear Federal Aviation Administration,

I am deeply concerned about the impacts on birds and other wildlife from SpaceX operations in Boca Chica, where the company's Starship/Super Heavy Project and launch site are being built and expanded.

SpaceX operations have significantly changed since 2014, when the company was authorized to test and launch much smaller rockets than those being proposed today. The company is also now developing a natural gas facility to extract and deliver fuel to the site. These changes to SpaceX's activities in Boca Chica are substantial enough that – according to the National Environmental Policy Act – the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) should require a full Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), rather than the faster, less comprehensive Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA) that has now been produced.

Construction at the facility, launch activities, fires, and rocket debris are already impacting the wildlife and people of the Boca Chica region. This region contains critically important and sensitive bird habitat used by hundreds of thousands of individual birds of many different species throughout the year – from the federally Threatened Piping Plover and Red Knot to the Endangered Northern Aplomado Falcon. According to an analysis by Coastal Bend Bays & Estuaries Program in Corpus Christi, the Piping Plover population in the Boca Chica region has decreased by 54% over the past 3 years (2018-2021) since SpaceX started testing and launching rockets.

These lands also support several species of sea turtle and mammals listed under the Endangered Species Act. The current assessment fails to adequately analyze the impact of operations on wildlife, the environment, and surrounding communities. The assessment presents only two alternatives to be considered: a Preferred Alternative and a No Action Alternative. At this time, the No Action Alternative is recommended by conservation organizations given the lack of an in-depth EIS.

Various individual components of the SpaceX Starship Super Heavy Project are massive and complex, and it is reasonable to foresee that they could result in significant environmental impacts. Elements of the project, such as liquefied natural gas processing plants, seawater desalination plants, and solar farms, are of sufficient complexity that they typically warrant analysis through an EIS as stand-alone projects, let alone as components of a larger project. Yet, no EIS has been required.

I am submitting my support of the No Action Alternative in the current assessment. I further reiterate my request that the Administration conduct a comprehensive EIS to more fully assess environmental impacts of the SpaceX operations in Boca Chica.

Thank you for your attention on this matter.

Sincerely,
Wellman Pansy