Subcommittee for Aviation Safety Report

FAA Research, Engineering & Development Advisory Committee October 9, 2014

Fall Meeting

- Sept 10-12, 2014
- Atlantic City, NJ
 - FAA Technical Center
- Full Subcommittee (minus 1)
 - First meeting for new chairman
- Special Tasking:
 - FY 2017 Guidance Review
 - Emerging and Future Safety Themes

Subcommittee Membership

- Ken Hylander, Chairman
- Dr. Eric Neiderman, DFO
- Mark Orr, AVS
- Chris Benich, Honeywell
- Dr. John Crowley, US Army Aeromedical
- Joe Del Balzo, JDA (past subcommittee chair)
- Walter Desrosier, GAMA
- Christopher Kmetz, Pratt & Whitney
- Andrew Lacher, MITRE
- Capt. James Mangie, Delta Air Lines
- Douglas Rohn, NASA
- Todd Sigler, Boeing
- John White, ALPA

REDAC Strategic Task

- Ensure a balanced, strategic, and well-integrated R&D portfolio
- Plan research to meet Administrator's Strategic Initiatives

Task to Subcommittees:

- FY 2017 Guidance Review
- Look ahead 10+ years and develop a list of:
 - Emerging issues (where FAA should get ahead)
 - Future opportunities (where R&D could benefit FAA)

Process

- Subcommittee homework in advance of the meeting
- Subcommittee member presentations to share their industry segment insights
- FAA Chief Scientist and Technical Advisor insights
- FAA leader presentations on research needs identification and guidance process and ongoing continuous improvement
- 18 presentations
- Much subcommittee deliberation and debate
- Summary Report submitted September 24, 2014

Meeting Summary

- FY 2017 Guidance
 - No Findings or Recommendations
- Emerging Issues
 - Real Time System-Wide Safety Assurance
 - Dependability of Increasingly Complex Systems
 - Certification of Advanced Materials and Structural technologies
 - High Density Energy Storage, Management and Use
- Future Issues for consideration
 - Commercial Space Integration with the National Space System
 - General Aviation's Role in Safety Systems Development
 - Effects of Breakthrough Medical Technologies on FAA Medical Certification Standards
 - Identification and Funding of Strategic Research and Development

Real-Time System-Wide Safety Assurance

• Why?

٠

- Ongoing advances in sensor and network technology, computation, communications and integration
- Ongoing advances in data analysis capability
- Ongoing developments in accelerated data access
- Ongoing data protection issues
- Advances in system-on-system modeling and prognostics including integrated human performance monitoring

Research Needs

Continued development of real time, continuous, safety analysis and assurance tools including;

- Data mining and analysis
- Automated prognostics
- Safety risk modeling

Integration of advanced tools into more highly automated safety assurance systems

- Development of psychological and physiological measures from the human operator that inform the automation
- Stronger understanding of how human operators and autonomous systems collaborate to improve safety
- Autonomic properties of self-protection and self-healing

Dependability of Increasingly Complex Systems

Software, Automation, and Autonomy

- Why?
 - Advances in processing, sensors, networking ongoing
 - Increasingly interconnected and more autonomous systems
 - Continued augmentation of human decision makers with sophisticated systems
 - Require advances in resiliency to design defect, missing or corrupt data and deliberate attack

- Revisions to certification processes and analytical techniques for verification, validation, test and evaluation (Analytical MoC's)
- Ensure that automation on the flight deck and ground systems are designed and implemented in a way to complement the human operator

Dependability of Increasingly Complex Systems (Continued)

Data Integrity

- Why?
 - Exponential growth in volume and distribution of operational data
 - Increased advocacy for uses of data such as expanded aircraft to ground communications
 - Expanded uses of aircraft and engine health monitoring
 - Mix of certified and uncertified (Commercial off the shelf) systems

- Ensuring the integrity of diverse data from unintentional errors, accidental corruption and deliberate spoofing
- Regulation and Means of Compliance for the use of Commercial off the Shelf software

Dependability of Increasingly Complex Systems (continued)

- Updated Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs) and Means of Compliance
 - Why?
 - Pace of innovation
 - Existing FARs developed long ago and need reconsideration in light of modern design, development and testing techniques
 - Some prescriptive Means of Compliance may not be producing the desired results
 - Subsystem testing can not always be reliably repeated in full up testing or in flight
 - Blurred lines between aircraft and engine system responsibility

- Ensure a proactive framework for timely and flexible certification requirements and Means of Compliance to handle near term engine and aircraft architectural advancements
- Review current and near-term validation technologies and approved methods to ensure use of all adequate techniques and technologies as acceptable Means of Compliance

Certification of Advanced Materials and Structural Technologies

Why?

- New material systems and structural concepts continue to be introduced
 - Integrated engine and airframe designs
- New manufacturing techniques continue to evolve which drive issues of standardization, process variation and uncertainties in failure modes which need to be understood
 - Additive manufacturing
- Some traditional inspection processes fall short of reliably being able to catch flaws driving additional work to ensure safety margins

- Technical methods to stay abreast of changes
- Continued evolution towards more performance-based standards
- Application of computational material methods (ICME) to streamline the certification process in lieu of physical testing and inspection

High-Energy Density Storage, Management, and Use

• Why?

- Continued evolution away from traditional electrical systems to improve performance and decrease operating costs
- Steady increase in electrical components
- More integrated systems and avionics

- Understand and assess the applicability of various high-energy generation and storage technologies in aviation products and operations
- Emphasize developing safe power technology
- Provide data for appropriate:
 - Standards and safeguards
 - Implementation, certification and maintenance

Commercial Space Integration into the NAS

• Why?

•

- Worldwide expansion
- Growing US industry of both human and cargo space flights
- Current mechanisms for NAS safety focus on setting up restricted airspace for launch and recovery
- Current focus on Space Vehicle Occupant safety vs public safety

- Establish necessary guidelines for operational procedures, policies and regulations to protect both vehicle occupants, the public and other aviation operations
- Increased medical certification standards for passengers and crew of space vehicles
- Cabin safety and emergency procedures/egress/survival

General Aviation's Role in Safety Systems Development

• Why?

- Significant community size
- Pipeline for qualified pilots and mechanics
- Unique incubator for introduction of new safety technologies in a timely manner
- Build on continued emphasis on GA Joint Steering Committee recommendations and preventing loss of control
 - Situation awareness
 - Aircraft operational protection
 - Automation and autonomy that makes it easier to fly in the NAS

- Coordinate with other FAA R&D initiatives and identify safety applications for GA aircraft using safety risk continuum principles to ensure:
 - Appropriate level of rigor
 - Applicability to retrofit and new aircraft applications
 - Rapid installation

Effects of Breakthrough Medical Technologies on FAA Medical Certification Standards

Why?

٠

- Medical science advancing at an unprecedented rate
- Ongoing approvals of novel drug therapies and revolutionary surgeries
- Historic medical conditions may not now need to end flying careers
- Some new medical treatments may pose yet unknown risks to safe flight

- Support aerospace medicine program to examine effects of emerging medical technologies including:
 - Surveillance of medical research
 - Re-examination of existing medical standards
 - Understanding the effects of new medical developments on performance, safety and certification

Identification and Segregation of Strategic R&D Needs

Why?

.

- In the view of the subcommittee, the current research prioritization environment is prone to be:
 - Dominated by known, near-term needs
 - Reactive to unforeseen forces: pop-ups and budget
 - Lacking a consistent, cross-cutting, multi-disciplinary approach to address the remaining known and emerging new safety risks
 - Limiting on true long-term R&D focus and funding

- FAA conduct research to support the development of a consistent, sustainable, process that is:
 - Rooted in an enterprise view of FAA mandate to promote safety
 - Inclusive of a funding approach that protects the long term R&D needs

Conclusions

- The Subcommittee for Aircraft Safety appreciates the FAA's efforts to keep Research, Engineering & Development focused on the emerging and future needs
- The subcommittee also appreciates the opportunity to contribute to the process
- We stand ready to assist in the future
- Questions?