

# EXPLORE FLIGHT

5.5

# NASA Update

FAA REDAC E&E Subcommittee Meeting Airlines for America, Washington, DC March 20, 2019

Dr. Richard A. Wahls, Strategic Technical Advisor, Advanced Air Vehicles Program NASA Aeronautics Research Mission Directorate

## **NASA Aeronautics**

Vision for Aviation in the 21st Century





U.S. leadership for a new era of flight

## **NASA Aeronautics Research Programs**

Aligned with Strategic Thrusts



#### **Advanced** Airspace Integrated **Operations & Safety Air Vehicles Aviation Systems** € Ð $\Rightarrow$ AOSP AAVP IASP Safe, Ultra-Flight Efficient Efficient research-Growth in **Commercial** oriented. Global **Vehicles** integrated, 0 **Operations** systemlevel R&T **Innovation in Commercial** that supports all six thrusts **In-Time System-Wide Supersonic Aircraft Safety Assurance** X-planes/ test environment **Transition to Alternative Propulsion and Energy**

#### **Transformative Aeronautical Concepts**

High-risk, leap-frog ideas that

support all six thrusts

Assured Autonomy for Aviation Transformation

development

**Critical cross-cutting tool** 

SEEDLING PROGRAM

Ð



MISSION PROGRAMS

## **FY 2020 Budget Request - Aeronautics**



\$ Millions	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Aeronautics	\$690.0	\$725.0	\$666.9	\$673.6	\$680.3	\$587.1	\$587.0
Airspace Operations and Safety	118.7		121.2	130.6	133.5	136.2	138.9
Advanced Air Vehicles	237.7		188.1	203.3	212.2	219.3	224.2
Integrated Aviation Systems	221.5		233.2	209.4	202.2	97.1	87.2
Transformative Aeronautics Concepts	112.2		124.4	130.3	132.3	134.6	136.7

FY 2018 reflects funding amounts specified in Public Law 115-41, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2018, as adjusted by NASA's FY 2018 Operating Plan.

FY 2019 reflects funding as enacted under Public Law 116-06, Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2019

Note: PBR FY20 and beyond budget decrease relative to FY19 is result of AETC transfer out of ARMD



# supersonics value via speed at cruise

## **Low-Boom Flight Demonstration Phases**



#### Phase 1 - Aircraft Development

- Detailed Design
- Fabrication, Integration, Ground Test
- Checkout Flights
- Envelope Expansion

#### Phase 2 – Acoustic Validation

 Measuring and characterizing the sonic <del>boom</del> thump

#### Phase 3 – Community Response

- Initial community response overflight study
- Multiple campaigns over representative communities and weather across the U.S.



## **Overcoming the Barrier to Supersonic Overland Flight**

## Low-Boom Flight Demonstrator (LBFD) Phases



- Completed Preliminary Design Review
- Awarded Design and Build Contract to Lockheed Martin
- Completed KDP-C major review baselining project
- Initiated initial fabrication and final design



• First Flight planned for FY 2021



## Measuring Community Response to Quiet Supersonic fight

Risk Reduction for Future Community Testing with the X-59

#### Objective

- Perform risk reduction **community response flight test**
- Address risks associated with **community engagement**, **remote operations**, **noise exposure estimation**, **and social survey**

## **Preparation Activities**

- Outreach to local government and citizens
- Approvals for environment impact and use of citizens in research
- Subject recruitment (500 participants desired)
- Develop "citizen science" and educational activities for residents
- Develop F-18 dive maneuver to replicate X-59 "sonic thump"
- Prepare automated, weatherproof recording equipment
- Organize a large deployment of personnel and equipment

## Status

- Flight series conducted Nov. 5-15
- 22 Flights over 9 fight days, 52 "sonic thump" events
  - Sound exposures (estimated) 60 to 90 PLdB
- Robust survey response and public participation
  Significance
- First large-scale community based test of response to supersonic noise in over 25 years
- Large response database, and many lessons learned to be shared with the international community









# **ICAO/FAA Interaction and Technical Support**

Landing/Takeoff Noise and Emissions Procedures for Supersonic Transport

## Objective

 Analyze representative near-term commercial supersonic design space to inform CAEP regulators with LTO performance for use in Type Certification process.

## Approach

- Use conceptual supersonic derivative mixed flow turbofan based on CFM56-7B and down-sized Boeing N+2 vehicle.
- Assess certification margins using conventional & advanced procedures for noise (WG-1).
- Assess certification margins using current Rich-Burn & advanced Lean-Burn combustor emissions for both conventional & advanced takeoff procedures (WG-3)
- Interact with industry for consensus on methods/assumptions

## Status

- Advanced takeoff procedures will be helpful in achieving Chapter 4 noise levels, but will require departures from subsonic standards/ reference procedures
- Existing subsonic CAEP/4 levels of LTO emissions appear achievable near-term, depending on regulation times-in-mode for take-off, climbout, approach, taxi.

## Significance

NASA results are key to moving forward with CAEP gap analysis



55t STCA EPNL predictions (with wing shielding) compared to Chapter 4 data.

## **ICAO/FAA WG-3 Interaction and Technical Support**

Supersonic and Subsonic Emissions







# Subsonics (transports) the 24/7 global backbone of air transportation now and into the foreseeable future

## **Electrified Aircraft Propulsion Industry Day**

December 6, 2018 Tysons, VA



**Objective:** Conduct a focused market assessment with U.S. Industry regarding the potential for electrified aircraft to include electric drive train, power distribution, and energy storage concepts to be used, in whole or in part, as primary propulsion for fixed-wing, passenger-carrying transport aircraft. Identify overlapping interests for potential NASA/Industry/University collaboration

**NASA POC:** Fayette Collier, Ph.D.

Associate Director for Flight Strategy Integrated Aviation System Program, ARMD, NASA fayette.s.collier@nasa.gov

## OUTCOME:

Digesting information and assessing options in context of several budget scenarios



# vertical flight value through accessibility

# **UAM Market Studies**

- ARMD has funded two Urban Air Mobility market studies that included several air taxi/metro models, air ambulance, and last-mile package delivery
- Studies include:
  - A range of urban areas and business models, technology requirements, legal and regulatory barriers, social acceptance issues
  - Assumptions for issues such as autonomy, batteries, weather, infrastructure, operating costs, passenger adoption rates, etc.
- Generally speaking, UAM markets were found to have viable and profitable use cases.
  - By ~2028 "air metro" could be profitable and by ~2030 result in ~750M annual passenger trips in 15 metro areas
  - Air ambulance model may not be profitable, but have high impact on public good
  - By ~2030 "last mile package delivery" could be profitable and result in ~500M deliveries annually
  - Large variability across studies based on differences in assumptions, e.g., infrastructure

## presentations available @ https://www.nasa.gov/uamgc







# **UAM Reference Missions**



#### Non-Passenger Carrying Reference Missions



#### **INITIAL STATE**

#### **INTERMEDIATE STATE**

#### **MATURE STATE**

#### Passenger Carrying Reference Missions



## **NASA UAM Vision and Framework**

Policy, Certification, and Technical Challenges For Operating in the National Airspace System





www.nasa.gov

## **NASA UAM Framework and Barriers**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

- The UAM "Grand Challenge" Series
- Challenging the industry to execute ecosystem-wide systems level safety and integration scenarios
- Raises the water level for all
- Builds knowledge base for requirements and standards
- No purse or prize money

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Grand Challenge (GC) Series Overview

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Safety and Integration Scenarios

airworthiness processes and realistic UML-4 scenarios designed in concert with the FAA, with range(s) and Testbeds as a UA M proving ground

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Stakeholder Integration**

societal integration and acceptance of UAM Operations including public acceptance, supporting infrastructure, operational integration, standards organizations, the local regulatory environment, etc.

![](_page_18_Picture_8.jpeg)

NASA Provided

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Shutdown has a major impact (more than day for day)
- Overall support from key Stakeholders is strong
- On the verge of completing several projects
  - Advanced Composites
  - UAS in the NAS
  - Airspace Demonstrations
- Focusing smaller vehicle research around the urban mobility mission (multiple projects)
- Continued Agency support for our larger testing facilities

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)