# 2018 REDAC Fall Meeting

# Aircraft Operations for Reduced Noise

By:Chris DorbianDate:September 11, 2018





Federal Aviation Administration

### Outline

- 1. FAA-Massport MOU Update
- 2. ASCENT Research
- 3. Airport Technology Research (ATR) Operations



#### **FAA-Massport MOU Overview**

- MOU signed in September 2016 established framework for cooperation between Massport & FAA to explore operational changes to mitigate noise impacts
- MIT developed noise evaluation framework (through ASCENT-23) and is applying it (through Massport funding) to BOS to build and assess procedures
- Massport submitted Block 1 proposal to FAA in December 2017
- FAA is evaluating each change for safety and efficiency; prior to making a decision, will comply with NEPA and any other legal req'ts.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

LL-29632

#### BETWEEN THE

#### FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

AND THE

#### MASSACHUSETTS PORT AUTHORITY

1. Parties

The parties to this Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") are the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") and the Massachusetts Port Authority (the "Authority").

#### 2. Purpose

This MOU outlines the actions the Authority and the FAA intend to undertake in seeking reductions to overflight noise impacts of aircraft operations at Boston Logan International



Massachusetts Port Authority One Harborside Drive East Boston, MA 02128-2909 Telephone (617) 568-5000 www.massport.com

December 20, 2017

Ms. Amy Corbett Regional Administrator Federal Aviation Administration New England Region 1200 District Avenue Burlington, MA 01803-5299

RE: FAAIMPA RNAV MOU Block 1 Ideas: Request for FAA Review and Implementation for Boston Logan International Airport

Dear Ms. Corbett: Amy

I am writing to request that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) review and implement the Block 1 procedure recommendations by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) study team as a result of the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the FAA and the



### **Block 1 Final Recommendations**

Proc ID	Procedure	Primary Benefits	
D = Dep.			rrivals in green
A = Arr.			epartures in red
1-D1	Restrict target climb speed for jet departures from Runways 33L and 27 to 220 knots or minimum safe airspeed in clean configuration, whichever is higher.	Reduced airframe and total noise during climb below 10,000 ft (beyond immediate airport vicinity)	
1-D2	Modify RNAV SID from Runway 15R to move tracks further to the north away from populated areas.	Departure flight paths moved north away from Hull	
1-D3	Modify RNAV SID from Runway 22L and 22R to initiate turns sooner after takeoff and move tracks further to the north away from populated areas.	Departure flight paths moved north away from Hull and South Boston	
1-D3a	<i>Option A</i> : Climb to intercept course (VI-CF) procedure	•	
1-D3b	<i>Option B</i> : Climb to altitude, then direct (VA-DF) procedure		
1-D3c	<i>Option C</i> : Heading-based procedure	1	
1-A1	Implement an overwater RNAV approach procedure with RNP overlay to Runway 331 that	Arrival flight paths moved overwater instead of over the	
	follows the ground track of the jetBlue RNAV Visual procedure as closely as possible.	Hull peninsula and points further south	Track Data: ASDE-X from 12 days of operation, 2015-2016 Complaint Data: August 2015– July 2016 Each marker represents a unique complaint address
1-A1a	<i>Option A</i> : Published instrument approach procedure		Federal Aviation 4
1-A1b	Option B: Public distribution of RNAV Visual procedure		Administration

## **Current Status – Massport Block 1**

Milestone	Date
Massport Block 1 submission to FAA	December 2017
<ul> <li>PBN Full Work Group (FWG) Kickoff Design Meeting (face-to-face)</li> <li>Initiated Phase 2 (Design Activities) of 7100.41 PBN implementation process</li> <li>MIT presented recommendations and supporting research</li> <li>FWG conducted initial assessment and preliminary design work</li> </ul>	May 2018
<ul> <li>PBN FWG Second Design Meeting (face-to-face)</li> <li>FWG conducted detailed assessment and design work</li> <li>Some recommendations found to be infeasible, in which case FWG worked to come up with alternatives</li> </ul>	July 2018
<ul> <li>PBN FWG Remote Meeting</li> <li>Will seek consensus from Boston Air Route Traffic Control Center (ZBW) on newly designed Standard Terminal Arrival Routes (STARs) that tie into approach concepts</li> </ul>	August 2018
PBN FWG Face-to-Face Meeting (if needed)	October 2018



# Next Steps – Massport Block 1

Milestone	Date
Once FWG findings/recommendations finalized, PBN Co-leads brief Regional Administrator and Massport	TBD
Massport (with FAA support) briefs Massport Community Advisory Committee	TBD
<ul> <li>Once agreement reached between all parties on procedure concepts to implement, continue 7100.41 process</li> <li>Phase 3 (Development &amp; Operational Preparation) includes notification and training, implementation planning, Aeronautical Information Services (AJV-5) development, and ends with submission for publication and FWG-set implementation date</li> </ul>	TBD
<ul> <li>Repeat for Massport Block 2 submission</li> <li>Note that some Block 2 concepts (e.g. Rwy 22L overwater arrival), have been pulled into Block 1</li> </ul>	TBD
Preliminary Activities     Design Activities     Development and Operational Preparation     Implementation	Post-Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation
7100.41 PBN Implementation Process	
RAL AVIA	



### **Reduced Speed Climb Status**

- Reduced speed climb concept (Massport Rec 1-D1) being worked separately due to national implications that fall outside of normal 7100.41 FWG scope
- FAA has formed an internal work group to evaluate this concept
  - Led by ATO Eastern Service Center – Operations Support Group
  - Cross-organizational participation
  - Kickoff meeting held in August



- 1,000' AGL followed by an acceleration to 250 kt climb speed and flap retraction
- Recommended procedure: Thrust reduction at 1,000' AGL followed by an acceleration to 220 kt climb speed or minimum safe airspeed in clean configuration, whichever is greater until a TBD altitude (i.e. 6,000' or 10,000')



### Reduced Speed Climb – Modeling Inputs/Assumptions Sensitivity



- MIT working with NASA/Boeing to validate modeling inputs/assumptions
- Modeled noise benefit for reduced speed climb dependent on wing cleanliness assumption, for which there is very little validation data



### ASCENT Project 44 – Aircraft Noise Abatement Procedure Modeling and Validation

- <u>Objective</u>: Develop test plans and conduct a measurement campaign of aircraft operations to assess the impact of aircraft speed and configuration on noise
- Validate/improve both noise concepts and noise modeling methods
- Awarded to MIT; grant processing ongoing
- Discussions ongoing with NASA, operators, and manufacturers on potential collaboration



Image Source: DLR



### **ASCENT Project 23 – Dispersion Analysis**

- MIT exploring multiple mechanisms for introducing systemic dispersion, including:
  - Open SIDs (in operation at CLT, LAX)
  - ATC instruction (e.g., vectoring, direct-to based on altitude, etc.)
  - Divergent headings off runway
- Discussing with local ATC facilities
- Also exploring ways to assess and communicate the noise impact of dispersion





### **Controller-Based Dispersion**

- Peak day track comparison: 9/10/2010 and 5/18/2017
- 2010 counts normalized to 2017 data by aircraft type / flow direction bin
  - 2010 tracks either double-counted or dropped to match 2017 counts



2010		2017			
Northbound	Total	Northbound	Day	Night	Total
ТА	8	TA	6	2	8
B757	13	B757	2	0	2
A320	31	A320	40	6	46
B737	23	B737	32	7	39
OJ	2	OJ	3	0	3
LRJ	20	LRJ	35	2	37
SRJ	43	SRJ	26	0	26
Southbound	Total	Southbound	Day	Night	Total
ТА	9	ТА	8	1	9
TA B757	9 25	TA B757	8 5	1 0	9 5
TA B757 A320	9 25 62	TA B757 A320	8 5 87	1 0 11	9 5 98
TA B757 A320 B737	9 25 62 41	TA B757 A320 B737	8 5 87 46	1 0 11 11	9 5 98 57
TA B757 A320 B737 OJ	9 25 62 41 19	TA B757 A320 B737 OJ	8 5 87 46 6	1 0 11 11 1	9 5 98 57 7
TA B757 A320 B737 OJ LRJ	9 25 62 41 19 73	TA B757 A320 B737 OJ LRJ	8 5 87 46 6 87	1 0 11 11 1 1 7	9 5 98 57 7 94

# Change in N<sub>Above</sub> Exposure



50dB L <sub>A,max</sub> Day 50dB L <sub>A,max</sub> Night						
	Population Exposure					
	Change In N Above	Population Exposure				
	+200x	290				
	+150x	2,070				
	+100x	20,566				
	+50x	77,428				
	-50x	65,338				
	-100x	19,167				
	-150x	4,914				
	-200x	1,844				

N<sub>Above</sub> Thresholds:

Greatest increase +202 overflights Greatest reduction -231 overflights

-<sub>200</sub> viation ration

200

150

100

50

0

-50

-100

-150

Change in Number of Overflights

12

# **Change in N<sub>Above</sub> Contours**

#### **Population Exposure**

N Above	50x	100x	200x
Baseline	336,643	204,039	146,522
Dispersion	356,960	240,225	121,301
Baseline - Dispersion	-20,317	-36,186	25,221

N<sub>Above</sub> Thresholds: 60dB L<sub>A,max</sub> Day 50dB L<sub>A,max</sub> Night

Wakefield

1

Saudus

Revere.

1

N Above 60dB LAmax Day, 50dB LAmax Night

Baseline NAbove Contours

200 N Above Areas Benefited

Dispersion NAbove Contours

200 N Above Areas Disenefited

Dispersed Flight Tracks

/Lynn/Woods

inthrop

#### 50 N Above



#### 100 N Above

200 N Above

Stoneham

ambridge

Brookline

Boston

BACK BAY



Preliminary example to evaluate methodology only. Should not be considered representative case.

Federal Aviation Administration

2.7 nmi

Builington

2

Arlingto

Lexington

Waltham

13

# ATR – Noise Abatement Procedure Usage and Effectiveness

<u>Objective</u>: Develop recommendations and best practices for more effective and frequently-used noise abatement procedures



**Runway Use** 

- Preferential
- Nighttime
- Opposite Direction
- Rotational



#### **Departures**

- Initial Heading
- Routing Downstream
- Nighttime



#### Arrivals

- Arrival Procedures
- Nighttime
- Analyzing data for these concepts at select locations
- Determining appropriate level of investigation



### ATR – Steeper Noise Abatement Operational Feasibility

• <u>Objective</u>: Gain better understanding of the operational constraints on implementing steeper approaches for noise mitigation in the US





# **Closing Observations**

- Despite considerable reductions in overall population exposure, aviation noise remains a concern in many areas
- FAA is exploring operational opportunities to reduce the noise from the current fleet
- Addressing noise concerns requires a balanced approach
- Key Questions:
  - How do we operationalize research outcomes?
  - How do we better incorporate noise considerations into procedure design process?
  - How do we engage with all stakeholders?



purces: FAA pilot safety reports, pilot interviews, Register archives Reporting by DOUG IRVING; Graphic by SCOTT BROWN / The Register







#### **Chris Dorbian**

Federal Aviation Administration Office of Environment and Energy

Email: christopher.dorbian@faa.gov

