REDAC / HF

Review of FY 2019 Accomplishments and Future Planned Portfolio

Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors

BLI Number: 8AA (Core)

Chuck H. Perala, Ph.D.

August 27, 2019



Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors

What are the benefits of the program

- Provides the research foundation for FAA guidelines, handbooks, Orders, Advisory Circulars (AC), Technical Standards Orders (TSO), and regulations that help ensure the safety and efficiency of aircraft operations.
- Develops human performance information that the Agency provides to the aviation industry for use in designing and operating aircraft, and training pilots and maintenance personnel.



Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors *Overview Capabilities*

Team Members:

- Program Manager Dr. Chuck Perala, ANG-C1
- Project Manager Shallu Darhele, ANG-C1

Performers:

Civil Aerospace Medical Institute (CAMI)

University Partners:

- University of Central Florida
- Auburn University



Core Human Factors Research Requirements

		Allo	cated Funds by I	ŦY
Requirement	Sponsor	FY17	FY18	FY19
Advanced Vision Systems (EFVS, EVS, SVS, and CVS), Head Up Displays (HUD), Head Mounted Displays (HMD): Certification and Operational Approval Criteria (A11G. HF.4)	Flight Standards – Flight Technologies and Procedures (AFS-410)	Х	Х	Х
Avionics & New Technologies - Certification and Operational Approval Criteria (A11G. HF.2)	Aircraft Certification (AIR-6B0)	-	Х	-
Enhancing Aviation Safety Through Advanced Procedures, Training & Checking Methods , to Include Loss of Control Detection, Avoidance, and Recovery (A11G. HF.1) Pilot Training, Qualification, Procedures, and Flight Operations (A11F.HF. 11) – starts FY20	Flight Standards – Air Carrier Training and Voluntary Safety Programs (AFS-280)	Х	-	-
General Aviation Safety Improvement Research – A Multi-Method Approach to Accident Reduction (A11G. HF.6)	Small Airplane Directorate, Standards Office - Programs and Procedures Branch (ACE- 114)	-	-	-
Human Factors R&D for Improved Rotorcraft Operational Safety (A11G. HF.7)	Flight Standards – General Aviation and Commercial Division (AFS-820)	-	-	-
Fatigue Mitigation in Flight Operations (A11G. HF.8)	Flight Standards – Air Transportation Division (AFS- 220)	-	-	Х
Maintenance Human Factors to Support Risk-Based Decision Making (RBDM) and Maintenance Safety Culture (A11G. HF.10)	Flight Standards – Aircraft Maintenance Division (AFS- 360)	Х	-	Х

Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors – FY2019 Accomplishments

Advanced Vision Systems (A11G.HF.4)

- Quantifying the contribution of HUD to Pilot Performance on Approaches Where HUD is Used, But Not Required, to Transition to Landing (visual segment of SA CAT I approach)
- Evaluation of HF & Crew Coordination Aspects of Dual HUD CAT III Operations Compared to Single HUD CAT III Operations. Evaluate Whether Active Monitoring Improves Crew Performance Over a Baseline Condition.
- Synthetic Vision (SV) Technology Comparison between Head-up & Head-down Displays in Part 121 Operations
- Procedures, Training & Checking Methods (A11G.HF.1)
 - Identifying Crew Resource Management (CRM) Training Techniques in the Airline Industry
 - Training the Emerging Pilot Workforce
 - Recommendations for Effective Air Carrier Training Metrics and Technologies, Including Distance Learning



Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors – FY2019 Accomplishments

- Maintenance Human Factors to Support Risk-Based Decision Making (RBDM) and Maintenance Safety Culture (A11G.HF.10)
 - Maintenance Human Factors to Support Risk-Based Decision Making (RBDM) and Maintenance Safety Culture
 - Human Factors Maintenance Risk Management Fatigue
- Human Factors R&D for Improved Rotorcraft Operational Safety (A11G.HF.7)
 - Scenario Based Training (SBT) for Improved Rotorcraft Operational Safety



Quantifying the contribution of HUD to Pilot Performance on Approaches Where HUD is Used, But Not Required, to Transition to Landing (visual segment of SA CAT I approach)

Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10	Improved Rotorcraft A11.G.HF.7	Op Safety		
Task Profile Program Manager: Chuck Perala/ANG-C1 Research Performer: Daniela Kratchounova, Collee AVS Sponsor: Chris Hope/AFS-410	Benefits – Results of this resear technologies, such as which may reduce he awareness and pilot o	HUD, during lov ad-down time, l	v visibilit ^v	y flight operatio	ons	
Human Factors Task Description – How does the use of HUD during the visual segm approach impact pilot performance?	Research to Reality – Flight Standards will of HUD during times wh Information to develo provided to Flight Sta	en HUD is not re op job aids & eva	quired d	uring CAT I ope	erations.	
Recent Accomplishments – Completed project kick-off meeting with AVS spo – Initiated a literature review on pilot performance		Deliverable Document Literature Revie Task Analysis Results, Inclu Potential Research Gaps			vised Due Date	Status G
 Completed project kick-off meeting with AVS spo 	e while using HUD	Document Literature Revie Task Analysis Results, Inclu Potential Research Gaps Experiment Test Plan Final Report	ew & 8/1/19 3/31/2 9/30/2 Track/Low Risk _ D) D		G G G

Evaluation of HF & Crew Coordination Aspects of Dual HUD CAT III Operations Compared to Single HUD CAT III Operations. Evaluate Whether Active Monitoring Improves Crew

Performance Over a Baseline Condition.

	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10		Rotorcraft Op Saf A11.G.HF.7	fety		
Task Profile Program Manager: Chuck Perala/ANG-C1 Research Performer: Daniela Kratchounova, David Newton (CAMI) AVS Sponsor: Chris Hope/AFS-410			Benefits – Results of this resear technologies, such as which may improve of awareness and pilot meteorlogical condit	s HUD, du crew coor confiden	uring low visi rdination, lea	bility flight operation of the second s	ons situation	
 Does the use of dual l active monitoring cap changes) during CAT I How does the use of e 	 Human Factors Task Description Does the use of dual HUD provide the pilot monitoring (PM) with active monitoring capabilities (e.g. early detection of flightpath changes) during CAT III flight operations? How does the use of dual HUD impact pilot performance and crew coordination compared to single HUD operations? 			ual HUD c lop job aid	during CAT II	p new requirement I flight operations. ion tools will also b		
Recent Accomplishmer	nts		Deliverable		Due Date	Revised Due Date	Status	
 Completed project kid 	ck-off meeting with AVS	sponsors	Task Analysis Technical Re	eport	8/1/19		G	
Planned Work Activitie	S		Experiment Test Plan		3/31/20		G	
	-	se of dual HUD during	Final Report 9/30/22 G					
 Conduct a task analysis to evaluate how the use of dual HUD during CAT III flight operations will change pilot monitoring tasks and crew coordination versus single HUD operations (baseline condition) 			Complete On Risks, Issues, Opportur – CAMI is negotiating si introduced by the shu	im sched	D) ules w/ AFS	•	acts	

Synthetic Vision (SV) Technology Comparison between Head-up & Head-down Displays in Part 121 Operations

	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10		Rotorcraft Op Safe 11.G.HF.7	ety	
Task Profile Program Manager: Chuck Perala/ANG-C1 Research Performer: Dennis Berringer (CAMI) AVS Sponsor: Chris Hope, Janet Greenwood / AFS-410 Human Factors Task Description - Manufacturers are developing & seeking operational credit for new SV HUD & HMD applications not covered by existing rules & guidance (e.g. alerting, color-coding, symbology, installation, etc.)			 Benefits Results of this research technologies, such as which may reduce he awareness and pilot of Research to Reality Flight Standards will of guidelines for evaluated displays for use in Paraids & evaluation too inspectors. 	HUD, dui ead-down confidenc develop o ting head- rt 121 ope	ring low visit time, leadin e. perational c -up, head-do erations. Info	bility flight operation g to increased situa redit approval criter own, and head-mour ormation to develop	ns tion ria and nted o job
Recent Accomplishmer	atc.						
•	preliminary report on 1	st phase of comparative	Deliverable		Due Date	Revised Due Date	Status
research:			Literature Review		9/30/18		В
	chnologies planned for d		Market Survey		9/30/18		В
-	g enhancements, featur	-	Preliminary Report		6/30/19		G
	pilot-system interface iss h new SV applications	ues & potential HF	Executive report - SVS hum performance impacts (SA C		12/30/19		G
		identified system issues	Executive Report - SV Com	parison	12/30/19		G
	idance & procedures to		of HDD/HUD Differences		12/30/19		
-	ork can sufficiently addr ng their use during singl s		Executive report- minimun training, recent flight expe & proficiency requirement SVS on SA CAT I approache	rience, s for	12/30/19		G
	ation to evaluate propos nterface issues and broad			n Track/Low Risk		/Medium Risk	h Risk

– None at this time

Identifying Crew Resource Management (CRM) Training Techniques in the Airline Industry

Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10				
Benefits				
 CRM training methods 	will evolve o	n the basi	s of human factor	s data
Research to Reality				
be used by the FAA to procedures, and tools training and assessme	augment spe that have bee nt across US a	cific CRM en develop irlines, in	guidelines, princip ped to improve CF cluding the updat	oles, RM e to
Deliverable	Due	Date R	Revised Due Date	Status
			Revised Due Date	Status B
Deliverable Task 2 Survey Draft Task 1 Interim Report	Due 11/2		Revised Due Date	В
Task 2 Survey Draft		8/17	Revised Due Date	
Task 2 Survey Draft Task 1 Interim Report (Highlights Report) Task 1 and Task 2 Techr	11/2 5/3 nical	8/17 /18	Revised Due Date	В
Task 2 Survey Draft Task 1 Interim Report (Highlights Report)	<u> </u>	8/17 /18	Revised Due Date	B B B
Task 2 Survey Draft Task 1 Interim Report (Highlights Report) Task 1 and Task 2 Techr	11/2 5/3 nical 9/30	8/17 /18	Revised Due Date	B
Task 2 Survey Draft Task 1 Interim Report (Highlights Report) Task 1 and Task 2 Techr Report	11/2 5/3 nical 9/30	8/17 /18)/18)/18	Revised Due Date	B B B
Task 2 Survey Draft Task 1 Interim Report (Highlights Report) Task 1 and Task 2 Techr Report Survey Draft	11/2 5/3 nical 9/30	8/17 /18 0/18 0/18 8/19	Revised Due Date	B B B B
R	A11G.HF.10 enefits CRM training methods esearch to Reality The results of this rese be used by the FAA to procedures, and tools training and assessme	A11G.HF.10 A11.G.HF enefits CRM training methods will evolve of esearch to Reality The results of this research will serv be used by the FAA to augment spec procedures, and tools that have bee training and assessment across US a	A11G.HF.10 A11.G.HF.7 enefits CRM training methods will evolve on the basi esearch to Reality The results of this research will serve to provi be used by the FAA to augment specific CRM procedures, and tools that have been develop training and assessment across US airlines, in	enefits CRM training methods will evolve on the basis of human factor

Training the Emerging Pilot Workforce

	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10		Rotorcraft Op Safe A11.G.HF.7	ty	
Task Profile Program Manager: Shallu Darhele/ANG-C1 Research Performer: Auburn University AVS Sponsor: Kathy Abbott/AVS Human Factors Task Description - How should training and checking methods for the future pilot workforce evolve to best include information management, aeronautical decision making, and pilot judgment?			 Benefits Training and checking factors data Research to Reality Data will support upor FAA inspectors and o Updates to 14 CFR Pa Qualification Program AC 120-51 Crew Reso Operational Simulation 	dates to t operators art 121, S n, AC 120 ource Ma	raining and c ubparts N, Oa -71 Standard nagement Tra	hecking guidance us & Y, AC 120-54 Adva Operating Procedu aining, AC 120-35 Li	sed by anced ires,
Recent Accomplishmer	nts		Deliverable		Due Date	Revised Due Date	State
•	Group (AAQ-610) is work and approval of this gra s	-	Draft Recommendations - learning science & best pra on effective training for millennials & future gener	actices	11/1/18		R
 Grant award Project kick-off meeting Initiate literature review of learning science and best practice 		nd best practices for	Examine emerging workfo issues & trends; Identify tr programs to address issue	aining	3/1/19		R
training millennials ar – Apply literature revie	nd future generations w findings to develop dra	aft recommendations	Field test new methods fo training with select cert. h		2/1/20		Y
			Summarize results & properties of the second		6/1/20		Y
			Final Technical Report		9/1/20		Y
			Complete O	n Track/Low Ris		Medium Risk Late/Hig	gh Risk

The length of the new grants process has put project funding at-risk

่งร

Recommendations for Effective Air Carrier Training Metrics and Technologies, Including Distance Learning

		Distance	Learning				
	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10		torcraft Op Safet 1.G.HF.7	У	
Task Profile			Benefits				
Program Manager: Shallu Darhele/ANG-C1 Research Performer: Florian Jentsch (UCF) AVS Sponsor: Kathy Abbott/AVS		 The Agency's knowle effectiveness will kee learning applications 	ep pace wit	h the prolife	eration of distance		
 Human Factors Task Description Examine the effectiveness & appropriateness of distance learning, particularly with respect to tablet technologies, for pilots and flight attendants in current operations 			Research to Reality – Recommendations w scientific and technic guidelines for FAA fie	cal data to r	evise trainir	ng and checking	
Recent Accomplishmer	nts		Deliverable		Due Date	Revised Due Date	Statu
 Acquisition & Grants accelerate the review 	Group (AAQ-610) is work / and approval of this gra	-	Report summarizing curren distance learning programs research, & recommended pilot training effectiveness	, current	10/1/18		R
Planned Work Activitie	25		ID training techniques & te	chnologies	2/1/19		R
 Grant award Project kick-off meeting Initiate literature review of current airline distance learning 		-	Collect data from airlines - methods & metrics for eval training effectiveness		6/1/19		R
programs, current res training effectiveness		d practices on pilot	Study - Compare task traini technologies like virtual/au reality & traditional FTDs fo transfer evaluated in full-fli	gmented or skill	5/1/20		Y
			Summarize findings, recom	mendations	10/1/20		Y
			Final distance learning tech	. report	6/1/21		Y
			Complete O Risks, Issues, Opportur	n Track/Low Risk	Delayed/N	Aedium Risk Late/High	ו Risk

Risks, Issues, Opportunities (RIO)

The length of the new grants process has put project funding at-risk

us

Maintenance Human Factors to Support Risk-Based Decision Making (RBDM) and

		Indiffeendatiee	Surcey culture				
	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10	Improve	d Rotorcraft Op Safe A11.G.HF.7	ety	
Task Profile			Benefits				
Program Manager: Chuc	k Perala/ANG-C1		 Research products w 	ill furthe	er the implem	entation and consis	stent
Research Performer: Ky	ie Key (CAMI)		use of the RBDM con	ncept in a	aviation main	tenance safety prop	grams.
AVS Sponsor: Bill Johnso	on/AIR-100, Time Shaver/	/AFS-430					
			Research to Reality				
 Human Factors Task Description What scalable tools and interventions can be developed to assess, monitor, and improve human factors in maintenance applications such as Safety Management System (SMS) Programs, Safety Culture, General Aviation, and RBDM? 			 Apply science-based proactively develop i maintenance safety r The target user for th engineering departm (ASI). End products w general aviation main 	interven risk. ne resea nents, an vill be us	rch results are d FAA Airwort	tifying and managir e corporate safety a thiness Safety Insp es, repair stations,	ng and ectors
Recent Accomplishmer			Deliverable		Due Date	Revised Due Date	Status
•	aft Report and Sponsor B tegration and GA Mainte	U ()	RBDM Draft Report and Sp Briefing	oonsor	12/30/18	3/30/19	G
reports to AVS for review (December 2018)		SMS – HF Integration Draft and Sponsor Briefing	t Report	12/30/18		G	
Planned Work Activities – Incorporate technical feedback provided by AVS into the RBDM,		GA Maintenance Error Dra Report and Sponsor Briefin		12/30/18		G	
•	and GA Maintenance Erro		Complete Or	n Track/Low F	Risk Delayed/	/Medium Risk Late/H	igh Risk

Risks, Issues, Opportunities (RIO)

- None

Human Factors Maintenance Risk Management - Fatigue

	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10	Improved	d Rotorcraft Op Sa A11.G.HF.7	fety	
Task Profile Program Manager: Chuck Perala/ANG-C1 Research Performer: Katrina Avers, Kylie Key (CAMI) AVS Sponsor: Bill Johnson/AIR-100, Tim Shaver/AFS-430			 Benefits Research products w tools, methods, and aviation maintenance programs 	interven	tions to addr	ress the unique nee	ds of
 Human Factors Task Description How can existing tools, methods, and interventions be tailored and used to manage the risk of fatigue in aviation maintenance? 			 Research to Reality – FAA will update guida managing fatigue risk – Industry may use res organization's monita 	k in main earch pr	ntenance ope oducts to ev	erations olve how their	
Recent Accomplishme – Airlines 4 America (A4	nts 4A) approved the 'Best P	ractices Report'	Deliverable Best Practices Report for F Operations for Fatigue Ris Management Implementa	k	Due Date 09/30/18	Revised Due Date	Status B
 Airlines 4 America (A Planned Work Activitie 	4A) approved the 'Best P		Best Practices Report for F Operations for Fatigue Ris Management Implementa	k	09/30/18	Revised Due Date	В
 Airlines 4 America (A Planned Work Activitie 	4A) approved the 'Best P es		Best Practices Report for F Operations for Fatigue Ris Management Implementa	k tion Track/Low Ris	09/30/18 sk Delayed/		В

Scenario Based Training (SBT) for Improved Rotorcraft Operational Safety

	Advanced Vision Systems A11.G.HF.4	Training & Checking Methods A11.G.HF.1	Maintenance / RBDM A11G.HF.10		orcraft Op Safety G.HF.7		
Task Profile			Benefits			-	
Program Manager: Ch			 Results of this resear 			•	
Research Performer: K	Cevin Williams (CAMI)		implementation of hu			ns that aim to re	duce
AVS Sponsor: John Drago, AFS-800			the recent spike in ro		idents.		
91, 141, 135) in off-r into IMC, LOC prever /brown-out recovery	escription esult in improved pilot pe nominal conditions includi ntion & emergency procee (), and scenarios with sud ruise speed, auto-rotation	ng inadvertent flight dures (e.g. white- den or gradual onset	Research to Reality – Flight Standards will a evaluating equipmen applicable regulation hazards. These criteri generate guidance, a safety.	it and proce s, to addres ia will help F	dures not pro s proper fund Flight Standa	esently contained ction, use, and po rds to define poli	l in otential cies,
Recent Accomplishmer	nts		Deliverable	D	Due Date	Revised Due Date	Status
	light deck display technol re intended to increase av	-	Identification of potential coc technologies to be evaluated		4/30/18	7/31/19	G
	hazards to navigation/flig k Alarms & Alerts (e.g. mo		Document threats, hazards, e consequences, & barriers	vents,	4/30/18	7/31/19	G
 Documented operation consequences, & barr 	onal rotorcraft threats, ha riers.	zards, events,	Lit. review & survey of curren implementations in Part 91, 6		7/20/18	7/31/19	G
 Completed a literature review and survey of SBT implementations across aviation and non-aviation domains (e.g. training for off nominal 		Develop generalizable framevent the evaluation of technologie procedures, and training mether	s, 2	1/30/19	8/31/19 (est.)	G	
conditions).			Creation of SBT lesson plans	-	1/30/19	8/31/19 (est.)	G
Planned Work Activitie	-		Proof-of-concept evaluation of		1/30/20		G
	feedback into deliverables		effectiveness of SBT lesson pla	ans		1	
•	for the evaluation of rote ing methods/interventior	•	Complete Or	n Track/Low Risk	Delayed/Mec	lium Risk Late/Hig	n Kisk

– None

- Create operational rotorcraft SBT lesson plans
- Conduct HITL simulation(s) to evaluate SBT lesson plan effectiveness

Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors – Future Planned Work

Avionics & New Technologies- Certification and Operational Approval Criteria

Task 1: General Guidance Document Update. Planned Period of Performance: 04/2019 – 02/2021.

Task 2: Pilot Distraction Due to the Information Automation, Phase II. Planned Period of Performance: 04/2019 – 12/2019.

Task 3: EFB ALPA Safety Survey. Planned Period of Performance: 05/2019 – 08/2019.

Task 4: HF Visual Scanning Study. Planned Period of Performance: Under development.

Advanced Vision Systems (EFVS, EVS, SVS, CVS), Head-Up Displays (HUD), and Head Mounted Displays (HMD): Op. Standards & Approval Criteria

HUD Task 1: Pilot Performance Using Flight Director, HUD, and SVGS in the Instrument Segment to Inform Lowering Standard CAT I Minima. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 03/2021.

HUD Task 2: Identify Potential Pilot Performance and Operational Impacts Associated with Using HUD to Conduct CAT II and CAT III Approaches Using Other than ALSF I or ALSF II Approach Lighting System. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 03/2021.

SVGS Task 1: Pilot Performance and Human Factors Considerations Using SVGS on an SA CAT I Approach with Less than a MALSR Approach Lighting System. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 03/2021.



Flight Deck/Maintenance/System Integration Human Factors – Future Planned Work

Fatigue Mitigation in Flight Operations

Fatigue Task 1: Analyze the fatigue risk management programs' databases on day-to-day operational fatigue to evaluate the effectiveness of fatigue mitigation outcomes both before and after implementation of 14 CFR Part 117. Provide recommendations for updating relevant AC guidance and educational materials. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 09/2020.

Fatigue Task 2: Document the development of research studies to investigate the impact of short, long, and ultra long-range flight operations on pilot performance and human factors under 14 CFR Part 117 and 117.7. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 09/2020

Human Factors to Support Risk-Based Decision Making (RBDM) and Maintenance Safety Culture

Mx Safety Culture Task 1: Document methods used to develop, evaluate, and enhance safety culture in aviation and other industries. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 09/2020.

Mx RBDM Task 1: Document the review and categorization of support tools for risk-based decision making. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 09/2020.

Mx SMS Task 1: Document which human performance issues should be integrated into safety management systems (SMS). Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 09/2020.

Mx GA Maintenance Task 1: Document the types of maintenance human errors involved in general aviation accidents and incidents. Planned Period of Performance: 09/2019 – 09/2020.





Chuck Perala, ANG-C1 Core Flight Deck Human Factors BLI Manager Chuck.Perala@faa.gov 202.267.0768

