

**Instrument Procedures Group
Meeting 18-02 – October 23, 2018**

RECOMMENDATION DOCUMENT

FAA Control #18-02-336

Subject: Add multiple identifier to certain HI procedures.

Background/Discussion:

Procedure that share Final Approach Guidance, Landing Runway, and have similar titles will have the same ARINC approach identifier.

Example: KHIF TACAN RWY 14 and HI-TACAN RWY 14. In cases like this, the ARINC approach identifier is the same – T14.

Example: KACY ILS OR LOC RWY 13 and COPTER ILS OR LOC/DME 13. In cases like this, the ARINC approach identifier is the same – I13.

Recommendations:

Garmin recommends that approach charts should have multiple indicators when they share (1) Final Approach Guidance (VOR, NDB, RNAV, etc.), (2) Same Landing Runway, and (3) Similar titles.

Comments:

[Email chain](#) discussing subject attached. Excel spreadsheet with list of duplicate [approaches](#) is attached.

<u>Submitted by:</u>	Andrew Lewis
<u>Organization:</u>	Garmin
<u>Phone:</u>	913-440-5845
<u>E-mail:</u>	Andrew.Lewis@garmin.com
<u>Date:</u>	10/1/2018

Initial Discussion – Meeting 18-02: Andrew Lewis (Garmin) briefed a new [RD issue](#), showing examples at Hill Air Force Base (AFB) where there is a TACAN RWY 14 and a HI-TACAN RWY 14. Because these procedures are similar and are not differentiated with a suffix, the ARINC identifier is the same. Andrew suggested procedures such as these be amended to have an alphabetical suffix (e.g., X and Y) added to them to differentiate them within both the procedure titles and the ARINC titles. Andrew also mentioned that similar issues exist for “Copter” procedures and non-copter procedures (using same navigational guidance) when they are established to the same runway. John Bordy (Flight Procedures and Airspace Group) stated policy within FAA Order 8260.3 exists to mandate the addition of an alphabetical suffix to a procedure name whenever two procedures exist to the same runway using the same lateral navigation guidance. John did mention; however, that policy could be improved concerning naming “Copter” procedures. Kevin Keszler, Air Force Flight Standards Agency (AFFSA) agreed to look into the naming of the procedures at Hill AFB.

Action Items:

- Kevin Keszler will research the naming convention used at Hill AFB for the TACAN procedures.
- John Bordy will research if improvements to procedure naming policy are needed for “Copter” procedures.

Status: Item open.

Meeting 19-01: John Bordy, Flight Procedures and Airspace Group, briefed the issue directly from the [slide](#): discussing a summary and current status. John Bordy indicated current policy already requires the addition of a unique alphabetical suffix to a procedure name when procedures to the same runway use the same guidance for lateral navigation (e.g., RNAV, TACAN, ILS, etc). Kevin Kessler, AFFSA mentioned that he spoke to the procedure developer for the Hill AFB procedures, and that they will take action to bring those procedures into compliance. John Bordy indicated he had yet to forward the other examples listed in the original spreadsheet to the appropriate agencies, but would do so after the ACM. John Bordy indicated he spoke to the OPR for Order 8260.3 where copter naming policy is also contained; the OPR is amendable to adding clarifying language to ensure copter procedures abide by the same requirement. Valerie Watson, AJV-A, asked if the proposed change to copter naming results in a new format since it may require changes to chart specification. John Bordy didn’t believe so, but agreed to send Valerie Watson the draft policy (once complete) so she could review it.

Action Items:

- John Bordy will report on status of the open procedures from the spreadsheet presented at the last meeting.
- John Bordy will report on status of adding additional copter-naming policy within Order 8260.3.
- John Bordy will forward changes to Copter-naming procedures to Valerie Watson, AJV.

Status: Item open.

Meeting 19-02: John Bordy, FAA Flight Procedures and Airspace Group, briefed the issue summary and current status from the [slide](#). The issue regards certain procedures that have the same final guidance but no alphabetical identifier to discriminate between them. This could occur with high-altitude approaches or helicopter approaches. Garmin identified 21 procedures, and at this time three have been corrected. Flight Procedures and Airspace Group took an action to provide greater clarity to helicopter procedure naming. Jeff Rawdon, Flight Procedures and Airspace Group, has added text in Order 8260.3E to require alphabetical suffixes for helicopter procedures. The issue should be resolved as procedures are amended.

Action Items:

- Flight Procedures and Airspace Group will advise progress at next meeting

Status: Item open.

Meeting 20-02: Jeff Rawdon, FAA Flight Procedures and Airspace Group (FPAG), briefed the issue summary and current status from the [slide](#). The revised language was published in Order 8260.3E, and this should resolve the issue. Andrew Lewis, Garmin, likes the add-on language. Dan Wacker, FPAG, inquired about the original focus of the issue, and Jeff said that was fixed earlier, adding this was an additional issue that had arisen.

Status: Item closed.