

U.S. Department of Transportation

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION Washington, DC 20591

FINAL REGULATORY EVALUATION, REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY DETERMINATION, INTERNATIONAL TRADE IMPACT ASSESSMENT, AND UNFUNDED MANDATES ASSESSMENT

FINAL RULE

TITLE 14 CFR PARTS 121, 139

CERTIFICATION OF AIRPORTS

Office of Aviation Policy and Plans, George A. Euring, Jr. November 21, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary1		
I.	Introduction	4
II.	Background	8
III.	Summary of the Final Rule	14
IV.	Benefits of the Proposed and Final Rule	23
V.	Cost Estimates for the Proposed and Final Rules	35
VI.	Benefits and Costs Comparison	64
VII.	Final Regulatory Flexibility Determination	66
VIII.	International Trade Impact Assessment	73
IX.	Unfunded Mandates Assessment	73

ppendices

- III-1 Final Rule Class I Airports, March 2001
- III-2 Final Rule Class II Airports, March 2001
- III-3 Final Rule Class III Airports, March 2001
- III-4 Final Rule Class IV Airports, March 2001

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On June 6, 2000, the FAA published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM), entitled <u>Certification of Airports</u>, Docket No. FAA-2000-7479. The NPRM proposed to revise of Chapter 14 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 139 and part 121. The original comment period was for 120 days, but was extended at the request of commenters to November 3, 2000.

The NPRM was accompanied by the economic analysis that was entitled: INITIAL REGULATORY EVALUATION, REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY DETERMINATION, INTERNATIONAL TRADE IMPACT ASSESSMENT, AND UNFUNDED MANDATES ASSESSMENT, FOR NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING, TITLE 14 CFR PARTS 121, 139, CERTIFICATION OF AIRPORTS.

March 9, 2000

This document updates the draft Regulatory Evaluation on the basis of the comments received and modifications to the rule made by the FAA. With the exception of Chapter V, the format of this Final Regulatory Evaluation is largely the same as the Initial Regulatory Evaluation. Chapter V discusses the cost estimates that are based upon those in the original draft evaluation and in response to the comments received.

This regulatory evaluation examines the economic impacts of this rule that will affect all civilian airports currently certificated under part 139 (approximately 560 airports), those currently not certificated airports that serve scheduled air carrier operations conducted in 10 - 30 passenger seat aircraft (about 40 airports), and approximately 90 Department of Defense airports currently certificated under part 139.

In addition the final rule addresses National Transportation Board (NTSB) recommendations and petitions for rulemaking noted in the NPRM.

A section of 14 CFR part 121 will also be amended to conform with final changes to airport certification requirements as proposed in the NPRM.

Changes in the final rule principally involve the revision of existing Airport Certification Manuals (ACM's), the preparation of new ACM's, or the conversion of existing Airport Certification Specifications into ACM's as well as the addition of a new class of airports (Class III) and a reorganization of existing certificated airports into Classes I, II, and IV. Most of the cost of this final rule is associated with the final improvements to safety and operational requirements, one of which is the expansion of aircraft rescue and firefighting (ARFF) services. These services are expected to mitigate accidents at airports receiving 10 to 30 seat aircraft scheduled service at airports not currently certificated and at other airports where ARFF coverage is currently not required for this size of aircraft.

The present value of the total cost of the final rule over a ten year period is approximately \$74.5 million which includes training, additional emergency response protection, wild life management, and an updated Airport Certification Manual that better reflects current-best practices.

The expected benefit of this final rule is enhanced aviation safety, particularly with respect to airports serving scheduled passenger service in aircraft with 10 to 30 seats. The cost of two accidents of a 30-seat scheduled passenger aircraft could more than cover the total cost of this final rule. Other benefits of this final rule include multiple

provisions for snow and ice control, wildlife management, and training, to name a few, that are included in the revision of part 139.

I. Introduction

Prior to the changes to this rule, airports that desired to serve large air carrier aircraft (more than 30 seats) had to obtain an Airport Operating Certificate (AOC) by complying with certain safety requirements. The AOC permitted an airport to serve large air carriers. These requirements covered a broad range of airport operations, including the maintenance of runway pavement, markings and lighting; notification of air carriers of unsafe or changed conditions; and preparedness for aircraft accidents and other emergencies. The FAA periodically inspects these airports to ensure continued compliance with part 139 requirements.

The FAA previously issued two types of AOC's depending on the type of air carrier operations an airport served. Airports that served *scheduled* operations of large air carrier aircraft (more than 30 seats) were issued an AOC, commonly referred to as a "Full Certificate." As these airports regularly served large air carrier operations, they had to fully comply with all part 139 requirements. Of the approximately 560 certificated civil airports, approximately 430 airports held a Full Certificate. Airports serving only unscheduled operations of large air carrier aircraft required a Limited Airport Operating Certificate (LAOC), known as a "Limited Certificate." Approximately 130 airports held a Limited Certificate. Air carrier operations in large aircraft were so infrequent at these facilities that consequently they were only required to comply with portions of part 139. For example, existing § 139.213 required airports with a Limited Certificate to comply with only certain pavement, lighting, marking, and emergency response requirements. Airports that had a Limited Certificate were typically located in remote communities or supported seasonal activities, such as skiing during winter months.

This final rule comprehensively revises the airport certification process by establishing four new classes of airports including airports serving scheduled air carrier operations in aircraft with no less than 9 seats but no more than 30 seats (small air carrier aircraft) in the airport certification program to ensure that these airports meet a minimum level of safety. Airports serving small air carrier aircraft and desiring to be certificated under part 139 will be required to develop and implement an Airport Certification Manual (ACM), and to comply with certain safety and operational requirements as determined by the FAA and the airport operator. Variations in airport layout, operations, and air carrier service will require FAA to tailor compliance procedures for each airport through the ACM to ensure that they are the least costly and burdensome but still provide an improved level of safety. While airport operators that choose to be certificated under part 139 will be required to document procedures for complying with part 139 and to comply with certain safety and operational requirements, the tailoring process will permit them some flexibility in complying with the more burdensome requirements.

In addition to serving large, unscheduled air carrier aircraft, approximately 120 of the 130 airports that held a LAOC, proposed Class II airports, also served scheduled small air carrier aircraft. To address these additional operations, this final rule will require these 120 airports, if they desire an AOC, to extend coverage of existing safety measures and comply with additional safety requirements.

This final rule will further require the approximately 560 civilian airports that currently hold a Full or Limited Certificate (proposed Class I, II, and IV airports) to continue to comply with the revised part 139 requirements including their certification

manuals. Approximately 45 of these airports (Proposed Class I and II airports) also could be required to implement certain safety measures on a more frequent basis to cover any small air carrier operations that do not occur concurrently with large air carrier aircraft operations.

This final rule clarifies that airports operated by the United States government, including the Department of Defense (DOD), are not subject to part 139. Consequently, approximately 90 DOD airports currently certificated under part 139 will no longer need to be certificated under part 139.

As proposed, the final rule will provide for only one type of certificate, an AOC, and will no longer require two types of certification manuals. Instead, airport certificate holders will be required to adopt and implement an ACM, regardless of the size and type of air carrier operations.

All airports certificated under this final rule will be issued a new AOC. This will not require currently certificated airports to reapply for an Airport-Operating Certificate, but submit a revised ACM as appropriate. The FAA will convert existing certificates. However, airports that will be classified as Class III airports will need to apply for an AOC, as specified in § 139.103.

The FAA has revised the current part 139 to clarify and update several requirements to better reflect current industry practices and technology. The FAA believes these revisions will generally require an already certificated airport to only take administrative action.

Final changes to the existing regulation include updates or clarifications of:

Recordkeeping requirements;

- Personnel training requirements;
- Hazardous material storage requirements, specifically fuel dispensing and storage safety procedures;
- Aircraft rescue and firefighting (ARFF) training and hazardous material response requirements; and
- Emergency plan requirements.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Introduction

Since 1970, the FAA Administrator has had the statutory authority to issue Airport Operating Certificates to airports who desired to be certificated to serve certain air carriers and who met minimum safety standards for the operation of those airports. This authority is found in Title 49, United States Code (USC) Section 44706, (49 USC 44706) Airport Operating Certificates. The FAA has used this authority to issue regulations for the certification and operation of certain land airports. These requirements are contained in Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations, part 139 (14 CFR part 139), Certification and Operations: Land Airports Serving Certain Air Carriers, as amended.

Until 1996, the FAA's authority to certificate airports was limited to airports serving air carrier operations with more than 30 seat aircraft. However, a number of studies and accidents suggested that airport safety could be improved if the FAA's authority to certificate airports was extended to those airports that served scheduled operations of small air carrier aircraft. The following sections describe these studies. The current rulemaking is partially in response to these studies.

B. <u>General Accounting Office Report (1987)</u>

In 1987, the General Accounting Office (GAO) issued a safety report on the certification of small airports¹. The report concluded that airport safety would be enhanced if all airports serving scheduled air carriers were certificated and recommended that the FAA include such facilities in its airport certification program.

¹ Aviation Safety: Commuter Airports Should Participate in the Airport Certification Program, US General Accounting Office, GAO/RCED-88-41, November 1987.

The FAA concurred with the GAO's findings, but determined that its statutory authority was limited to airports that serve scheduled and unscheduled passenger operations of air carrier aircraft with more than 30 seats. A proposed amendment to broaden this authority was submitted to Congress, but the measure was not enacted.

C. <u>National Transportation Safety Board Study (1994)</u>

In November 1994, the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) released its findings resulting from a study of commuter airline safety.² (Note: The term commuter, when it appears in this study, refers to the use of this term before March 20, 1997. As of March 20, 1997, the term commuter refers to an aircraft or operations conducted in an aircraft, which has 9, or less, passenger seats.) This study identified several safety improvements that the NTSB felt would improve the commuter airline safety record.

While this study, and subsequent recommendations, focused on airline and aircraft operations, it was also critical of the FAA for not requiring airports serving small air carrier operations to maintain their facilities in the same manner as airports serving major air carriers.

D. <u>DOT/FAA Initiatives</u>

As discussed above, until recently the FAA's statutory authority was limited to those airports serving air carrier operations using aircraft with more than 30 passenger seats. However, this authority was broadened by the Federal Aviation Administration Reauthorization Act of 1996. Title 49, U.S.C. 44706 was amended to allow the FAA to certificate airports, with the exception of those located in the State of Alaska, that serve any scheduled passenger operation of an air carrier operating aircraft designed for more

² Safety Study: Commuter Airline Safety, National Transportation Safety Board, NTSB/SS-94/02, November, 1994.

than 9 passenger seats but less than 31 passenger seats. FAA's existing authority to certificate airports serving air carrier operations conducted in aircraft with more than 30 seats remained unchanged.

This amendment was proposed by the Secretary of Transportation in response to a recommendation made by the 1994 NTSB study described above that the FAA seek authority from Congress to issue certificates to airports serving small air carrier airlines.

The 1996 amendment to the statute did not mandate the issuance of airport certificates to airports serving small air carriers. It only provided general authority pursuant to which the FAA may promulgate appropriate regulatory standards. With this rule the FAA intends to extend airport regulatory standards to airports now being served by air carriers with scheduled passenger operations with 10 to 30 seat aircraft.

Furthermore, in response to a series of early 1990's NTSB accident findings, the FAA took steps to ensure safety in aviation commerce, by comprehensively revising regulations pertaining to air carrier operations, specifically 14 CFR parts 121 and 135, to ensure similar safety standards among air carriers of different size.

Lastly, this final rule will revise and clarify several safety and operational requirements that have become outdated. The last major revision of part 139 occurred in November 1987, and since then, industry practices and technology have changed. In the subsequent years, the FAA has gathered data on the effectiveness of part 139 requirements, (primarily through joint industry/FAA working groups, field research and periodic airport certification inspections), and proposes to use this rulemaking to update part 139 requirements.

E. <u>The Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee</u>

The FAA approached the question of the certification of airports that serve scheduled air carrier operations using small air carrier aircraft by requesting the assistance of the Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ARAC). The ARAC was established by the FAA to provide advice and recommendations to the FAA Administrator concerning a range of the FAA's rulemaking activity, including air carrier operations, airman certification, aircraft certification, airports, security, and noise.

To assist in the certification of airports serving small air carrier operations, the FAA requested the ARAC's advice and recommendations on what requirements should be applicable to airports that have scheduled service with aircraft having a seating capacity of 10-30 seats [60 FR 21582, May 2, 1995]. In developing these recommendations, the FAA asked the ARAC to consider alternatives to minimize the operational burden on smaller facilities, including options for aircraft rescue and firefighting services. The FAA also suggested the ARAC conduct a survey of affected airports to gauge the impact of any proposed requirement. At the time of this request, the FAA did not have the statutory authority to regulate airports with scheduled service by aircraft having 10-30 seats.

The ARAC accepted this task and established a Commuter Airport Certification Working Group to develop recommendations on this issue. Comprised of members of the main committee, the working group's membership included representatives from the following organizations:

- 1. Air Line Pilots Association
- 2. Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association

- 3. American Association of Airport Executives
- 4. National Air Transportation Association
- 5. National Association of State Aviation Officials
- 6. Regional Airline Association

The FAA and Landrum and Brown, an airport planning and engineering consulting firm also provided technical support.

Over the course of a year, the Commuter Airport Certification Working Group met five times to research the issue and develop recommendations for the ARAC. The working group initially endeavored to establish a voluntary industry standard consistent with the FAA's lack of authority to regulate airports serving commuter operations. However, after the passage of Public Law 104-264, the FAA requested the working group to immediately finish its report and to take a regulatory approach to the certification of airports serving smaller air carrier aircraft. This action was based on the FAA's decision to exercise its new authority to regulate airports serving small air carrier operations.

While the working group agreed on many issues, a minority disagreed with several of the group's recommendations. This minority differed on six regulatory requirements, including marking and lighting; aircraft rescue and firefighting; and handling of hazardous substances and materials. Subsequently, the working group developed both a majority and minority position at the FAA's request. Individual working group members also provided comments on issues when their respective organizations differed from the position taken by the working group.

In February 1997, both the majority and minority views of the working group, and those of individual group members, were presented to the FAA. Overall, the working group majority recommended that a non-regulatory approach to improve small air carrier airport safety could accomplish the same level of safety as regulating these airports. In light of the proposed rulemaking, the majority suggested that such a regulation should focus on accident prevention rather than accident mitigation, particularly in light of the limited public funds available to these small airports.

As requested by the FAA, the working group also conducted a survey of airports that might be affected to determine what safety practices are already being conducted and the potential operational and economic impact if these airports were to comply with existing part 139 requirements. This survey requested information on rescue and firefighting capabilities, airport staff, certification status, annual enplanements, existing marking, lighting and signs, and capital and recurring costs of certain equipment and procedures. The results of this survey are included with the ARAC final recommendations on commuter airport certification, filed in the public docket. These survey results are also are discussed in this economic analysis associated with this rulemaking.

III. SUMMARY OF THE FINAL RULE

A. <u>Introduction</u>

This final rule will affect all airports currently certificated under part 139 (approximately 560 civil airports) and those currently non-certificated airports serving scheduled, small air carrier aircraft operations (approximately 40 airports).

This final rule will comprehensively revise the airport certification process and will include airports serving small air carrier aircraft to ensure safety at all certificated airports. Airports serving scheduled small air carrier aircraft with 10 or more passenger seats (proposed Class III airports) and currently not regulated under part 139, who desire a certificate, will be required to develop and implement a Airport Certification Manual (ACM), and to comply with certain safety and operational requirements. All airports, however, may request relaxation of requirements of the final rule. Variations in airport layout, operations, and air carrier service will require FAA to tailor compliance procedures for each airport through the ACM to ensure that they are the least costly and burdensome but still provide an improved level of safety. While airport operators that choose to be certificated under part 139 will be required to document procedures for complying with part 139 and to comply with certain safety and operational requirements, the tailoring process will permit them some flexibility in complying with the more burdensome requirements.

As noted above, in addition to serving large, unscheduled air carrier aircraft, approximately 120 of the 130 airports holding a LAOC (Proposed Class II airports) also serve scheduled small air carrier aircraft. To address these additional operations, this final rule will require these 120 airports to implement existing safety measures (such as

aircraft rescue and firefighting) on a more frequent basis and comply with additional safety requirements.

This final rule will require the remaining 430 certificated civilian airports (Proposed Class I airports) to continue to comply with all existing part 139 requirements. In addition, these airports will be required to revise their certification manuals and comply with final modifications to existing requirements. Approximately 45 of these airports also could be required to implement certain safety measures on a more frequent basis to cover any small air carrier operations that do not occur concurrently with large air carrier aircraft operations.

Also, this final rule will clarify that airports operated by the United States government, including DOD, are not subject to part 139.

The FAA believes the current classification of Airport Operating Certificates and manuals should be simplified. Instead of differentiating between an AOC and a LAOC and creating additional types of Airport Operating Certificates, this final rule will provide for only one type of certificate, an AOC, and no longer make a distinction between ACM or ACS. All airport certificate holders will be required to adopt and implement an ACM, regardless of size and type of air carrier operations. But all certificated airports will be divided into classes, as described below.

Consequently, all airports certificated under this final rule will be issued new Airport Operating Certificates. This will not require currently certificated airports to reapply for an Airport Operating Certificate. When this final rule is adopted, the FAA will convert existing certificates, as appropriate.

B. <u>Airport Certification Classification</u>

This change to the certification process will still distinguish between airports that serve different sizes or types of air carriers, and establish requirements appropriate for each type of airport. Under this final rule, similar airports will be grouped together into four new categories, Classes I-IV, and a separate set of requirements is required for each new airport class, as follows:

1. <u>Class I Airport</u> Airports serving all types of scheduled operations of large air carrier aircraft, and any other type of air carrier operations, will be known as Class I airports. Essentially, all airports with an existing AOC will become Class I airports.

2. <u>Class II Airports</u> Class II airports will be those airports that serve scheduled operations of small air carrier aircraft (10-30 seats) and unscheduled operations of larger air carrier aircraft (more than 30 seats). Airports that will be classified as Class II will be airports with an existing LAOC that serve scheduled operations by small air carrier aircraft.

3. <u>Class III Airports</u> Class III airports will be those airports that serve only scheduled operations of air carrier aircraft with 10-30 seats. Class III airports will be those facilities newly certificated as the result of this rulemaking.

4. <u>Class IV Airports</u> Class IV airports will be those airports currently with a LAOC that serve only unscheduled air carrier operations in aircraft with more than 30 seats.

C. <u>Airport Certification Manual (ACM) Requirements By Class</u>

The FAA currently requires airports to develop an ACM or ACS, depending on the type of certification, to detail how the airport will comply with the requirements of part 139. As every airport is unique, the final requirements have sufficient flexibility to allow the tailoring of the final requirements to the unique circumstances of each airport. The FAA sets forth performance-based standards that airports implement, through the ACM, in the manner best suited to their facilities. In this manner, the FAA can vary and tailor airport requirements to accommodate local conditions.

Under this final rule, the requirements for manual content will vary among the categories, with the most comprehensive manual being required of Class I airports. Class I airports will have to comply with more safety requirements than Class II, III, and IV airports as they serve more complex and varied air carrier operations.

D. <u>Airports Affected</u>

All currently certificated airports will be affected by the final rule. In addition, an estimated 37 currently uncertificated airports that serve scheduled operations of small air carrier aircraft, will be affected. In the future, any airport operator wishing to serve scheduled air carrier service conducted in small air carrier aircraft, or both scheduled and unscheduled service of large air carrier aircraft, must be certificated.

An estimated total of approximately 600 civil airports will be affected by the final rule. The total number of certificated airports varies during the course of the year due to seasonal activities or fluctuations in air carrier service.

A list of certificated airports by new airport classes, is shown in Appendices III-1 through III-4. These appendices categorize airports that currently hold an Airport Operating Certificate, or will be newly certificated under this final rule, as follows.

- Appendix III-1 shows a list of the Class I airports by state. There is an estimated total of 436 proposed Class I airports.
- Appendix III-2 shows a list of the Class II airports by state. There are an estimated total of 112 proposed Class II airports.
- Appendix III-3 shows a list of the Class III airports by state. There are an estimated total of 37 Class III airports.
- 4. Appendix III-4 shows a list of the Class IV airports by state. There are an estimated total of 18 proposed Class IV airports.

E. <u>Comparison of Existing and Final Airport Requirements</u>

Tables III-1 through III-4 show the existing and final airport certification requirements for each final airport class.

Table III-1 Current and Final Requirements for Proposed Class I Airports

Class I Airports are existing certificated airports holding an Airport Operating Certificate that serve scheduled operations of large air carrier aircraft (more than 30 seats), and any other type of air carrier operation.

	Current Requirements	Final Additional Requirements
1.	Personnel provisions	A recordkeeping system and new personnel training standards
2.	Paved and unpaved surfaces	
3.	Safety areas	
4.	Marking, lighting and signs	
5.	Snow and ice control plan	
6.	ARFF	New recurrency training, fire extinguishing agent and HAZMAT response standards, and increase in frequency of ARFF coverage (where ARFF is not provided for small air carrier operations)
7.	HAZMAT handling/ Storage	Air carrier fueling operations, and new fuel safety and personnel training standards
8.	Traffic/wind indicators	New supplemental wind cone/segmented circle standards
9.	Airport emergency plan (AEP)	New requirement to plan for fuel storage fires
10.	Self-inspections	New training requirements for inspection personnel
11.	Ground vehicle operations	
12.	Obstructions	
13.	Navaids	
14.	Public protection	
15.	Wildlife hazard management	New wildlife strike reporting, hazard assessment and management plan standards
16.	Airport condition reporting	New notification standard
17.	Construction/unserviceable areas	

Table III-2 Current and Final Requirements for Proposed Class II Airports

Class II Airports are existing certificated airports holding a Limited Airport Operating Certificate that serve scheduled operations using small air carrier aircraft (10-30 seat), in addition to serving unscheduled large air carrier aircraft (more than 30 passenger seats).

	Current Requirements	Final Additional Requirements		
1.	Personnel provisions	New requirement for recordkeeping system		
		and personnel training		
2.	Paved and unpaved			
	surfaces			
3.	Safety areas			
4.	Marking, lighting and signs			
5.		New requirement for snow and ice control		
		plan		
6.	ARFF	New ARFF standards (per § 139.315319)		
7.	HAZMAT handling/storage	New HAZMAT handling/storage standard		
		(per § 139.321)		
8.	Traffic/wind indicators	New traffic/wind indicators standard(per		
		§ 139.323)		
9.		New requirement for AEP (no triennial		
		exercise required)		
10.	Self-inspections	New self-inspection standard (per § 139.327)		
11.		New requirement for ground vehicle		
		operations		
12.		New requirement for obstructions		
13.		New requirement for Navaids		
14.		New requirement for public protection		
15.		New requirement for wildlife hazard		
		management		
16.	Airport condition reporting	New notification standard		
		(per § 139.339)		
17.		New requirement for construction/		
		unserviceable areas		

Table III-3 Current and Final Requirements for Class III Airports

Class III Airports will be newly certificated under this rule, and will serve scheduled operations of small air carrier aircraft (10-30 seats). These airports can not serve scheduled or unscheduled operations of large air carrier aircraft (more than 30 seats).

	Current Requirements	Final Additional Requirements
1.		A recordkeeping system and personnel
		training
2.		Paved and unpaved surfaces
3.		Safety areas
4.		Marking, lighting and signs
5.		Snow and ice control plan
6.		ARFF
7.		HAZMAT handling/storage
8.		Traffic/wind indicators
9.		AEP (no triennial exercise required)
10.		Self-inspections
11.		Ground vehicle operations
12.		Obstructions
13.		Navaids
14.		Public protection
15.		Wildlife hazard management
16.		Airport condition reporting
17.		Construction/unserviceable areas

Table III-4 Current and Final Requirements for Class IV Airports

Final Class IV Airports are existing certificated airports holding a Limited Airport Operating Certificate that serve <u>unscheduled</u> operations of large air carrier aircraft (more than 30 seats). These airports can not serve <u>scheduled</u> large, or <u>scheduled</u> small (10-30 seats) air carrier aircraft.

	Current Requirements	Final Additional Requirements		
1.		New requirement for a recordkeeping system and personnel training		
2.	Paved and unpaved surfaces			
3.	Safety areas			
4.	Marking, lighting and signs			
5.	ARFF (negotiated standard)	New requirement to comply with ARFF per Subpart D		
6.	HAZMAT handling/storage	New HAZMAT handling/storage standard (per § 139.321)		
7.	Traffic/wind indicators	New traffic/wind indicators standard (per § 139.323)		
8.		New requirement for an AEP (triennial exercise not required)		
9.	Self-inspections (Negotiated standard)	New self-inspection standard (per § 139.327)		
10.	Airport condition reporting	New notification standard (per § 139.339)		

IV. BENEFITS OF THE FINAL RULE

A. <u>Introduction</u>

The expected benefit of this final rule is improved aviation safety resulting in reduced fatalities, injuries, and property damage at airports with scheduled air carrier operations, particularly operations in aircraft designed for10 to 30 passenger seats.

In 1995, the FAA issued regulations aimed at ensuring safety in scheduled air carrier operations in aircraft with 10 or more passenger seats. Since then, Congress has authorized the FAA to regulate airports serving 10 to 30 seat aircraft to further help ensure safety at airports certificated by the FAA. The FAA has now established standards for these airports. The agency has made these standards sufficiently flexible to be tailored to each airport, while providing the maximum possible safety improvements.

B. <u>General Discussion Of Expected Benefits</u>

This final rule affects all currently certificated airports and the estimated 37 additional airports that may choose to obtain certificates. Accordingly, benefits are expected to accrue at all four final classes of certificated airports. Several different types of safety improvements are expected. These involve:

- Prevention of accidents or collisions because of non-standard and/or inadequate signs and traffic and wind direction indicators;
- Mitigation of accidents by improvements to runway safety areas at certain airports;
- Mitigation of accidents by extending ARFF services to additional air carrier operations;

- 4. Prevention and mitigation of fires at airport fuel farms;
- Prevention and mitigation of accidents caused by snow and ice accumulation, and
- 6. Prevention and mitigation of wildlife hazards.

As will be discussed in the following section, while airport accidents that the rule is intended to prevent or mitigate do occur, they have been rare and random events. This was particularly true of small air carrier aircraft, in large part, because they have comprised a small portion of commercial air passenger activity. However, small air carrier airline activity is growing and is projected to continue to grow at much higher rates than major airlines. For example, small-air-carrier revenue passenger miles are projected to increase an average of 7.5 percent per year, for the next several years, compared to 4 percent for major airlines. As a result, prior history may not be predictive of the future. If provisions of the rule prevent or mitigate the consequences of one catastrophic accident involving an aircraft with 30 passenger seats, the potential benefit of lives saved and property damage avoided is as much as \$99 million. If it prevents an accident associated with the collision of two such aircraft, the benefit will double to as much as \$198 million. Potential safety improvements are not limited to situations involving small air carrier aircraft, but encompass larger aircraft and facilities at the airports that also use smaller aircraft.

Therefore, the FAA concludes that the expected benefits of the rule justify the costs as described in the succeeding sections.

C. Specific Discussion of Expected Benefits

1. Markings, Signs and Lighting, and Traffic and Wind Indicators

Increased safety will result from the requirement of this final rule for uniform standards of installations of runway and taxiway markings, signs and lighting, and for traffic and wind direction indicators. All classes of certificated airports will need to comply with these requirements. Although most airports affected by the rule currently meet these standards, a few (approximately 9) will need to be upgraded. The FAA believes this will make a significant contribution to safety, for example, by helping to reduce the persistent problem of runway incursions.

2. Runway Safety Areas

A second example of a safety benefit expected as a result of this final rule relates to runway safety areas. On May 8, 1999, a SAAB 340 overran a runway at New York's John F. Kennedy International Airport. However, the airport had recently installed arresting material in order to comply with part 139 safety area requirements and the airplane stopped 50 feet short of Thurston Bay. The incident resulted in very little damage to the aircraft and one minor passenger injury. A previous incident on the same runway in 1984, before the new safety area was installed, resulted in an SAS DC-10 running into the bay, resulting in passenger injuries and extensive airplane damage.

This final rule will impose the safety areas requirements of part 139 on Class III airports for the first time. These airports have been encouraged to install safety areas for over 10 years, and many have done so. Although the final rule will not mandate immediate installation of these safety facilities at any class of airports, the FAA believes

that, over time, the eventual installation or improvement of safety areas at certificated airports will result in safer airports.

3. <u>Emergency Response Services and Equipment</u>

Another important safety benefit of this final rule is more widespread availability of emergency response services and equipment. There is evidence that such equipment can save lives and reduce injuries. Perhaps the clearest example of that was an accident that occurred at Los Angeles International Airport on February 1, 1991. This tragedy involved the collision of a US AIR 737-300 and a Skywest Metro on runway 24L. The crew and 10 passengers on the Metro were killed as were some of the crew and 20 passengers on the 737-300. However, the part 139-required emergency response equipment was credited in the NTSB investigation for saving lives.

The following are other examples where the actions of emergency response services and equipment mitigated accident damage:

- <u>Lawton Ft Sill Regional Airport (5/24/1988)</u>. An Embraer Bandeirante in air carrier service lost an engine on takeoff. Immediately after takeoff, the aircraft began losing altitude, struck the ground, and came to rest 1,600 feet from the runway. Passengers and rescue personnel removed the pilot and one passenger from the airplane, and ARFF personnel extinguished the post crash fire.
- <u>Miami International Airport (12/1/1998)</u>. A fire broke out while a Boeing 747-200F was being refueled. Responding ARFF personnel extinguished the fire.
- <u>Bradley International Airport (1/21/1998)</u>. An ATR 42-300 experienced an engine fire during the landing rollout. Responding ARFF personnel extinguished the fire.

- <u>Nashville International Airport 7/8/1996</u>. A Boeing 737-200 aborted takeoff after the left engine ingested a bird, and came to rest beyond the runway.
 Responding ARFF personnel extinguished a fire that erupted in the right brake assembly.
- <u>Miami International Airport (10/23/1995)</u>. A Boeing 747-121 experienced an uncontained failure of No. 4 engine during takeoff roll. The takeoff was rejected and the airplane was stopped on the remaining runway. Responding ARFF personnel extinguished a fire that subsequently erupted in the failed engine.
- <u>Philadelphia International Airport (8/17/1995)</u>. A SAAB SF-340-A experienced a fire near the left engine while waiting to take off. Responding ARFF personnel extinguished the fire.
- <u>Greater Peoria Memorial Airport (7/17/1991)</u>. An ATR-42-300 experienced a failure of the left engine followed by engine fire while on final approach. The pilot made a normal landing and conducted an evacuation on the runway. Responding ARFF personnel extinguished the fire.

These examples may give the impression that ARFF personnel and equipment are the only emergency response provided by the certificate holder. However, this is not the case. Although ARFF services are the most immediate help available, they are but one element of required accident mitigation measures. These measures provide a comprehensive response to aircraft accidents, and other emergencies, and are dependent on one another. For example, required alarm and communication systems ensure that both ARFF and airport personnel are notified promptly of an accident, and alert other necessary emergency service providers in the local community (i.e.,

paramedic, police, ambulance service and hospitals.) Similarly, accident mitigation measures ensure other needed emergency services are provided, including security and crowd control, removal of disabled aircraft and other debris from movement areas, transportation and facilities for uninjured and injured persons, and storage of deceased persons. All of these measures ensure that a certificate holder provides for a comprehensive emergency response that mitigates the loss of passenger lives and property, prevents injury to responding personnel, and protects air carrier aircraft and the public from unsafe conditions.

A major safety provision of the final rule is that it will require the availability of emergency response services and equipment, including ARFF equipment at every landing and takeoff of scheduled air carrier aircraft with 10 to 30 seats. This capability is required now for air carrier operators with more than 30 seats, and, as discussed earlier, there is evidence that lives have been saved and injuries prevented or reduced as a result. In some cases, this protection may not currently be available for small aircraft operations at airports served by large aircraft. For example, the accident that occurred at Quincy, Illinois (A proposed Class I Airport) on November 19, 1996 might have been mitigated had ARFF been on site at the arrival of a small air carrier aircraft.

This accident involved the collision of a United Express Beech 1900C (a small air carrier aircraft) and a Beech King Air (a general aviation aircraft) during the ground operations of the two aircraft -- mistakenly operating simultaneously on the same runway. At the time of the accident, there were no large air carrier aircraft operations in progress or imminent, and, consequently, the airport operator was not required to provide emergency response services, and they were not on the site. When required,

emergency response services, including ARFF, were provided by the Quincy Fire Department, whose personnel would come to the airport from an offsite location to staff emergency equipment during the operations of large air carrier aircraft. All 10 passengers and 2 crew members aboard the United Express Beech 1900C and the two occupants aboard the King Air were killed as a result of post crash fires. The NTSB found that the speed with which the fire enveloped the King Air, and the intensity of the fire, precluded the survivability of the occupants. However, the occupants of the Beech 1900C did have the opportunity to escape, but could not open external doors that might have been damaged. The NTSB concluded that..."if on-airport ARFF protection had been required for this operation at Quincy Airport, lives might have been saved." (NTSB Aircraft Accident Report—*Runway Collision United Express Flight 5925 and Beechcraft King Air A90-Quincy Municipal Airport, Illinois-November 19, 1996 –NTSB AAR-97/04, P.51.*)

The U.S. air carrier transportation system is very safe, and accidents requiring emergency response action are rare. The risk of death or injury to a passenger, based on current emergency response requirements, is very small; however, many incidents occur where the perceived risk of an accident was great enough that ARFF units were alerted. The FAA has tracked those incidents at currently certificated airports, and notes that over 1,200 such occurrences took place during an 18-month period.

These incidents, of course, took place at airports where emergency response services and equipment are currently available and usually involved large aircraft. Nevertheless, the FAA concludes that a proportionate number of similar incidents occur involving small air carrier aircraft when and where ARFF is not available. Thus, the FAA

concludes that the provision of ARFF at all certificated airports is necessary to ensure safety in air transportation.

An important aspect of this final rule is that the amount of additional ARFF protection that will be required at each Class I, II, or III airport will be individually evaluated and determined for each airport. This evaluation will take all relevant factors into account, such as the number of air carrier operations, available nearby fire fighting services, cost to airport operators, affordability, etc. The goal is, however, to ensure that an appropriate level of ARFF service is available for each airport operation by small air carrier aircraft with 10 to 30 passenger seats.

4. Fuel Storage Fires

An expected benefit of the final rule is the prevention/mitigation of fuel storage fires. The final rule requires all classes of airports to address such fires in their disaster plans. This will better prepare airports to prevent and/or extinguish the kind of fire that occurred at Stapleton International Airport, Denver, Colorado, on November 25, 1990. That fire erupted in a fuel farm fire about 1.8 miles from the main terminal and burned for 48 hours, destroying about 3 million gallons of fuel. Flight operations of a major air carrier were disrupted for lack of fuel and the carrier estimated total damage to have reached between \$15 and \$20 million.

Airport firefighters and the Denver Fire Department promptly responded to the fire and attacked it immediately. However, because the firefighters were unable to maintain a continuous flow of foam on the fire, it reignited and quickly intensified. Airport and local firefighters did not have, nor could they have been expected to have, a sufficient supply of foam concentrate to fight a full fire of such magnitude. The Denver

fire burned for about 48 hours before being extinguished by a coordinated attack using resources and materials brought in from long distances.

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) concluded that the City and County of Denver (the airport certificate holder), and the fire department in particular, apparently had not considered the possibility of a fire of this type since no procedures or contingency plans were in place for dealing with one. The FAA believes that a requirement to have effective contingency plans could have resulted in the fire being extinguished much sooner, resulting in considerably less damage.

This final rule will require several improvements to the already existing requirement for airport emergency plans. Final Class II, III, and IV certificated airports will be required to develop and implement such plans, and all classes will be required to include provisions for responding to fuel farm fires. The costs of this final rule requirement are low—a few hundred dollars, annually, total for all airports. Although the risk of fire is always present at fuel facilities, required precautions make the probability of a fire very low. The probability is not zero, however, as demonstrated by the 1990 Denver fire. The FAA concludes that this low-cost provision of the final rule has a high probability of significantly mitigating damage if a fire comparable to Denver's occurs in the future.

5. Snow and Ice Control

Another safety benefit is expected from improved snow and ice control, which will reduce the potential for the following kind of accidents. On March 17, 1993, a BAC-BA-Jetstream 3101 was making a night instrument approach to the Raleigh County Memorial Airport in Beckley, West Virginia, a proposed Class II airport. Because the

runway was not properly plowed, and berms of snow concealed the runway lights at ground level, the captain lost control after touchdown, and the airplane sustained substantial damage.

This final rule will require Class II and III airports to develop snow and ice control plans. Although some of these airports already have individually-developed procedures for snow and ice removal, this final rule will formalize consistent plans across all airports with scheduled air carrier services. The FAA concludes that this low-cost requirement to standardize response to snow and ice will significantly help prevent the kind of accident discussed above.

6. Wildlife Hazard Management

Finally, benefits are expected at all classes of certificated airports as a result of final actions to reduce wildlife hazards (bird strikes and other damaging collisions with wildlife). A FAA study of civil aircraft wildlife strikes in the US ("Wildlife Strikes to Civil Aircraft in the United States, 1990 – 1999") found a significant and growing hazard of wildlife contact with aircraft in the vicinity of airports. The study determined that 92 percent of all wildlife strikes occur while arriving or departing from an airport. Birds were involved in 97 percent of the reported strikes, mammals (primarily deer and coyotes) in 3 percent and reptiles, such as turtles, in less than 1 percent. The number of annual reported strikes increased 181 percent from 1990 to in 1999, and, according to the FAA report, is now causing about \$391 million per year in direct costs.

The report further found that there were 4,528 wildlife-aircraft strikes reported during the period 1991-1997 that had an adverse effect on the aircraft and/or flight. The report estimated that the report rate was about 20 percent of what actually occurred.

Based on its findings, the report concludes that airport managers need to be aware of the wildlife hazards on their airports and take appropriate actions, under the guidance of professional biologists trained in wildlife damage management, to minimize the problems.

The expected benefit of this section of this final rule is that wildlife strikes will be reduced in air carrier operations. Some operators of proposed Class II and III airports will be required to conduct wildlife hazard assessments, as well as formulate and implement wildlife hazard management plans for their airports. This final requirement will be responsive to the findings of the FAA study and will bring consistency across all airports with scheduled air carrier operations with aircraft having 10 or more passenger seats. It is intended to make airport certificate holders more aware of effective measures that can be taken to reduce the risk of wildlife strikes. Ultimately, it is expected to actually reduce the number of strikes that will otherwise occur.

The FAA is unable to quantify the annual benefit that may result from this component of the final rule. The FAA report does estimate that wildlife strikes, at the present time, result in 471,867 hours per year of aircraft down time, \$255 million per year in direct monetary losses, and \$136 million per year in associated costs. The FAA believes that this final rule will significantly reduce these losses.

Two examples of problems related to wildlife were an Embraer 120RT, that hit two deer while landing at Yeager Airport (CRW) (a proposed Class I Airport) at Charleston, WV and the crash of a Learjet owned by Jerry Jones that hit two deer on a runway at Troy, AL (a general aviation airport).

According to the NTSB Aviation/Incident Database Report (NYC01LA054, 12/06/2000):

On December 6, 2000, at 2038 Eastern Standard Time, an Embraer 120RT, N504AS, operating as Atlantic Southeast Airways flight 71, was substantially damaged when it collided with deer, just after landing at Yeager Airport (CRW), Charleston, West Virginia. The 3person crew and 15 passengers were uninjured, and 1 passenger received serious injuries. The accident occurred at night, while visual meteorological conditions prevailed. An instrument flight rules flight plan was filed for the flight, between The William B. Hartsfield Atlanta International Airport (ATL), Atlanta, Georgia, and Yeager Airport. The scheduled passenger flight was conducted under 14 CFR Part 121. According to the captain, within seconds of landing on Runway 23, the airplane struck two deer. The flight attendant then contacted the cockpit crew, and informed them that there was an injured passenger. After parking at the gate, a walk-around inspection revealed that the tip of a propeller blade from the number 2 engine had separated, and had punctured the airplane's fuselage. According to a Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) inspector, one of the deer was hit by the nose landing gear, and the other deer was hit by the right engine propeller. The separated blade tip was about 4 inches long and 3 inches wide. It had entered the cabin just aft of frame 21, between stringers 14R and 15R. The passenger was sitting in seat 3C.
According to the Avweb News Wire of 18-January-2001 and NTSB ATL01FA021:

Two pilots employed by Dallas Cowboys owner Jerry Jones were seriously hurt Sunday (January 14, 2001) when their Learjet crashed after hitting two deer on a runway in Troy, AL. The jet's thrust reversers apparently were damaged by the impact with the deer, and it overran the 5,000-foot runway, flipped, and burst into flames on an embankment. The pilots, who were alone on board, were pulled out of the burning wreckage by witnesses who rushed to their aid. The two pilots, Max McVicker, 31 of Irving, Texas, and Eddie Collins, 51, of Jacksonville, AR, remain hospitalized.

These two accidents illustrate the fact that a serious wildlife accident can occur in any type of airplane at any type of airport. Therefore, it is important to prevent this type of accident.

CHAPTER V – COST ESTIMATES FOR THE FINAL RULE

A. Introduction

The cost estimates for the final rule are based on those presented in the initial regulatory evaluation (IRE) for the NPRM adjusted for the changes resulting from an updated count of airports and from comments received on the NPRM. The documentation of the earlier cost estimates, data sources, and methodology per section of the NPRM are fully discussed in the IRE. This section presents the changes in the IRE cost estimates, the reason for those changes, and the resulting total cost estimate for the final rule.

While changes were made throughout part 139, most costs are the result of changes to ARFF requirements. These changes require all certificated airports to provide ARFF coverage. In addition, the final rule clarifies the procedures that airports must follow to apply for an ARFF exemption beyond the tailoring through the ACM and, if granted, what the exemption would probably require. The cost-estimation approach taken herein assumes that all airports fully comply with the rule requirement and does not assume that exemptions are granted. The FAA also extended the time period required to submit a new or revised ACM. A time extension does not change the cost of the requirement and since the extension does not extend beyond a year, the time extension does not effect the present value cost of future compliance. Thus while both these changes, in addition to the tailoring of requirements through the ACM, are likely to reduce the compliance burden, the FAA takes the conservative position that neither change reduces the expected cost of the rule.

The IRE cost estimates updated for the final rule reflect the different count of effected airports. For every airport class the estimated number of airports in that class changed from that specified in the IRE. The criterion that Class III airports provide scheduled passenger service only for aircraft with 10 to 30 seats and the fluid nature of this service to communities resulted in airports added to and deleted from this Class.

The IRE estimated the average cost of compliance per requirement for each of the proposed four airport classes. The reason the FAA used an average cost per rule provision by airport class is that each of the approximately 700 affected airports is unique in geography, facilities, and service provided. Based on the few comments received regarding the IRE, the average cost methodology apparently provided reasonable estimates for nearly all airports with the notable exception of the proposed Class III airports.

In contrast to the average cost estimates for the NPRM, most of the regulatoryevaluation comments received were airport specific. The FAA followed two approaches to modifying the estimated cost for the final rule whenever the FAA agreed with a commenter's cost estimate. Given the limited number of comments received from Class I and II airports, especially considering the total number of Class I and II airports, the FAA largely accepted and adjusted the estimated costs <u>only</u> for the individual airport referenced in these comments. After reviewing the comments received regarding Class III airports, the FAA generally accepted and revised the estimated compliance cost for <u>all</u> of these airports. Some comments were received from airports that might want to qualify as a Class III airport. The FAA did not incorporate these commenters'

estimates because the airports currently do not have the necessary scheduled service and the estimates were generally substantially higher than the other estimates received.

While the cost estimate changes were relatively minor for Class I, II, and IV airports, the cost estimates changed significantly for Class III airports. Nearly all of the change to Class III airports can be attributed to the FAA assumption regarding existing airport personnel availability to implement the rule requirements. For Class III airports, the FAA response to comments and a minor adjustment in the count of airports resulted in an increase of the initial/capital mitigation costs for all Class III airports from \$1,236,928 to \$2,098,360 and a significant increase in annual recurring mitigation costs for all Class III airports of \$971,842 to \$4,153,005. This significant change, plus the other cost adjustments result in an increase in the ten-year present-value total cost of the final rule from \$45,351,102 to \$74,467,688.

The remainder of this chapter discusses the changes to the NPRM cost estimates by risk-reduction and mitigation cost categories for Class I, II, and IV airports and by Class III airports. Tables V-I and V-II completely account for all of the changes in costs for Class I, II, and IV airports and provide final cost estimates for each of these airport classes. Both tables have two column entries identifying initial and recurring costs for Class I, II, and IV airports. In addition the rows identify significant elements of the NPRM, cost adjustments, and final rule total costs. For Class III airports, the FAA not only changed the cost estimates, but also attempted to provide airport specific cost estimates based on limited available data. The FAA believes these cost estimates provide a reasonable overall cost picture for these airports. Class III airport specific cost estimates are required to provide a transparent set of accounts which could then

be an input into a separate analysis of the potential impact of this rule on air service to Class III airports.

B. <u>Risk Reduction Costs by Class I, II, and IV Airports</u>

1. Derivation of Class I Airports Risk Reduction Costs – Final Rule

Introduction

The FAA identified 432 Class I airports in the IRE. These airports ranged in size from the very largest airports, such as Hartsfield International in Atlanta, Georgia; O'Hare International in Chicago, Illinois; and Los Angeles International in Los Angeles, California, to much smaller airports such as, Cortez Municipal in Cortez, Colorado; Hulman Regional in Terre Haute, Indiana; and Pierre Regional in Pierre, South Dakota.

FAA used an average cost per requirement per airport to develop the estimated compliance cost of the proposed rule for class I airports. Of the four classes of airports, the FAA expected that Class I airports would have the widest variation around the average cost estimate. Variation in expected average compliance cost is natural given the large number of Class I airports, the many different sizes and facilities of these airports, the different geographic locations, and air carrier service provided.

From the estimated 432Class I airports, FAA received just five comments regarding the economic evaluation and only three of these comments provided alternative estimates. Given the limited number of comments, the FAA takes the position that the estimated compliance costs for Class I airports are reasonably accurate. However, FAA also accepts reasonable airport compliance estimates and replaced the IRE average cost estimate with the commenter's estimate where appropriate.

The derivation of risk reduction costs for Class I airports is fully accounted for in Table V-1. While the estimated average cost per airport is considered generically accurate for Class I airports, two adjustments were made to the cost estimates published in the IRE. First, the IRE total cost estimate is adjusted for the change in the number of Class I airports. Second, the cost estimate is then adjusted to reflect quantified estimates from comments received. Finally Table V - 1 provides the resulting risk reduction cost estimates of the final rule for Class I Airports. These adjustments are explained in the following discussion.

<u>NPRM</u>

The left-hand column on Table V-1 details the items that were used to adjust the IRE costs to obtain the cost estimates for the final rule. In Table V–1, the first row under NPRM, the number of Class I airports (432) from the IRE is reproduced. The second row identifies the total risk-reduction initial cost estimate of \$225,677 and the total recurring cost estimate of \$996,192 for Class I airports reported in the IRE for the proposed rule. Dividing the total costs by the number of Class I airports results in an average per airport cost of \$522 for initial costs and \$2,306 for annual recurring costs.

Adjustments:

1. For the Number of Airports

As the number of Class I airports increased from 432 airports in the IRD to 436 airports in the final rule, the estimated initial and recurring costs are increased accordingly. Multiplying the final count of airports (436) by the average costs per airport of \$522 (initial cost) and \$2,306 (recurring cost) resulted in this interim adjustment. The

change in the number of Class I airports resulted in a cost adjusted for the additional Class I Airports of \$227,767 for initial Costs and \$1,005,416 for recurring costs.

2. For Comments

The NPRM cost adjusted for additional airports was then further refined to account for comments received. Only one Class I airport provided a comment with an alternative risk reduction cost estimate and this estimate was airport specific. For this airport, the estimate contained in the comments was substituted in place of the average cost estimate.

Final Rule Total Cost

The adjustments for the change in the number of Class I airports and for the incorporation of the commenter's estimates result in an estimated final rule total cost for risk reduction costs for Class I Airports of \$232,244 for initial costs and \$1,008,110 for recurring costs.

2. Derivation of Class II Airports Risk Reduction Costs – Final Rule

Introduction

The FAA identified 121 Class II airports in the IRE. While the differences in Class II airports are not as broad as those for Class I Airports, there still remains a wide size range of the Class II airports. The FAA received two economic comments from the 121 Class II airports. Just as in the case of Class I airports, given the limited number of comments, the FAA takes the position that the estimated compliance costs for Class II airports are relatively accurate. However, the FAA also accepts reasonable airport compliance estimates and replaced the IRE average cost estimate with the

commenter's estimate where appropriate. The same process to adjust the IRE estimates used above for Class I airports is repeated and discussed below.

The derivation of risk reduction costs for Class II airports is fully accounted for in Table V-1. While the average cost per airport is considered generically accurate for these airports, two adjustments were made to the cost estimates published in the initial economic evaluation. First, the total cost estimate is adjusted for the change in the number of Class II airports. Second, the cost estimate is adjusted to reflect quantified estimates from comments received. Finally Table V - 1 provides the resulting risk reduction costs estimates of the final rule for Class II airports. These adjustments are explained as follows.

<u>NPRM</u>

The left-hand column on Table V-1 details the items that were used to adjust the IRE costs of the NPRM to obtain the cost estimates for the final rule. In the first row under the column entitled NPRM, the IRE number of Class II airports (121) is reproduced. The second row identifies total risk-reduction initial cost estimate of \$331,377 and total recurring cost estimate of \$184,053 for Class II airports as reported in the IRE for the proposed rule. Dividing these total costs by the number of Class II airports results in an average per airport cost of \$2,739 for initial costs and \$1,521 for annual recurring costs.

Adjustments:

1. For the Number of Airports

As the estimated number of Class II airports decreased from 121 to 113 airports, the estimated initial and recurring costs are decreased accordingly. This interim

adjustment was done by multiplying the final count of Class II airports (113) by the average costs per airport of \$2,739 (initial cost) and \$1,521 (recurring cost). The reduction in the number of Class II airports reduced the cost adjusted for additional Class II airports to \$309,468 for initial costs and \$171,884 for recurring costs.

2. For Comments

The NPRM cost adjusted for additional airports was then further refined to account for comments received. Only one Class II airport provided a comment with an alternative risk reduction cost estimate and this estimate was airport specific. For this airport, the estimated contained in the comments was substituted for the average cost estimate.

Final Rule Total Cost

The adjustments for the change in the number of Class II airports and for the incorporation of the commenter's estimates result in an estimated risk reduction cost for Class II Airports of \$325,729 for initial costs and \$198,920 for recurring costs.

3. Derivation of Class IV Airports Risk Reduction Costs – Final Rule

Only one comment was received from a Class IV airport which supported the proposal. As in the case of Class I and II airports, FAA takes the position that the estimated compliance costs for Class IV Airports are relatively accurate. FAA did adjust the estimated risk reduction costs for Class IV airports because the number of these airports increased from 15 to 18. Both the initial capital cost and the recurring cost increased by the addition of three airports multiplied by the associated average cost.

The estimated final rule cost for Class IV airports risk reduction for initial capital cost increased from \$13,422 to \$16,106 and for recurring costs from \$5,595 to \$6,714 (see Table V-1).

C. <u>Mitigation Costs Class I, II, and IV Airports</u>

The methodology to estimate the mitigation costs of the rule for Class I, II, and IV airports follows that discussed above for Risk Assessment Costs. As noted above, given the limited number of comments regarding the IRE estimates, the FAA takes the position that the IRE mitigation cost estimates are reasonably accurate. The mitigation cost estimate for the final rule begins with the IRE estimated mitigation costs. The FAA made two general adjustments to the IRE costs. First, the IRE mitigation cost estimates for each class of airports is adjusted to account for a different number of airports in each class. Secondly, the FAA incorporates commenters' airport specific mitigation cost estimates. While the FAA accepts and incorporates the five Class I and II Airport mitigation cost estimates, the FAA believes that the IRE average cost is reasonably accurate and thus, changes the mitigation cost to fully reflect the Class I and II comments <u>only</u> for these five airports. As a result of the adjustments to the IRE mitigation cost estimates to the IRE mitigation cost estimates, the TAA believes for the final rule are increased by slightly less than 15 percent above that of the IRE.

Table V - 2 fully accounts for the derivation of the final rule mitigation costs. The table format is identical with Table V -1.

1. Mitigation Costs - Class I Airports

Introduction

In the IRE, FAA used an average cost per requirement per airport to develop mitigation costs estimates for the proposed Class I airports. Of the four airport classes FAA expected that Class I airports mitigation cost would have the widest deviation around the average cost estimate. With only three comments providing alternative estimates from the estimated 432 airports, FAA takes the position that the IRE estimates are reasonably accurate. Despite reasonably accurate IRE estimates, FAA cost-adjustment approach taken herein increases the Class I mitigation costs by nearly 25 percent. Thus FAA believes that the resulting Class I mitigation costs may overstate the actual compliance cost.

The derivation of mitigation costs for Class I airports is fully accounted for in Table V-2. While the average cost per airport is considered reasonably accurate for Class I Airports, two adjustments were made to the IRE mitigation cost estimates. First, the IRE cost estimate is adjusted for the change in the number of Class I airports. Second the cost estimate is then adjusted to reflect the quantified alternative estimates based on the comments received. Lastly Table V-2 provides the resulting mitigation cost estimate for the final rule.

<u>NPRM</u>

The left-hand column on Table V-2 details the items that were used to adjust the IRE costs of the NPRM to obtain the mitigation cost estimates for the final rule. In the first row under NPRM, the IRE number of Class I airports (432) is reproduced. The second row identifies Class I Airports initial mitigation cost estimate of \$290,040 and

total recurring cost estimate of \$2,172,500 as reported in the IRE. Dividing these total costs by the number of Class I airports results in an average per airport cost of \$671 for initial costs and \$5,029 for recurring costs.

Adjustments:

1. For the Number of Airports

The estimated number of Class I airports increased from 432 to 436 airports; the estimated initial and recurring costs are increased accordingly. Multiplying the final count of 436 Class I airports by the average costs per airport of \$671 (initial cost) and \$5,029 (recurring cost) performed this interim adjustment. This adjustment increased the NPRM cost adjusted for additional Class I airports to \$292,726 for initial costs and \$2,192,616 for recurring costs.

2. For Comments

The NPRM cost adjusted for additional airports was then further refined to account for comments received. Only three Class I airports provided a comment with an alternative risk reduction cost estimate and these estimates were airport specific. A two step procedure removes the average cost estimate for these airports and then adds the specific costs identified in the comments to the total. The average cost for three Class I airports were first subtracted from the NPRM cost adjusted for additional Class I airports discussed above. Finally, the total alternative estimates for the three airports of \$70,000 for the initial costs and \$511,316 for recurring costs of the final Rule is added.

Final Rule Total Cost

The adjustments for the change in the number of Class I airports and for the incorporation of the commenters' alternative estimates result in an estimated final rule

total cost for mitigation costs for Class I airports of \$360,711 for initial costs and \$2,688,847 for recurring costs.

Mitigation Costs - Class II Airports

Introduction

The FAA identified 121 Class II airports in the IRE. While the differences in Class II airports are not as broad as those for Class I Airports, there still remains a wide size range of the Class II Airports. The FAA received two economic comments from the 121 Class II Airports. Just as in the case of Class I Airports, given the limited number of comments, the FAA takes the position that the estimated compliance costs for Class II Airports are relatively accurate. However, the FAA also accepts reasonable airport compliance estimates and replaced the IRE average cost estimate with the commenters' estimate where appropriate. The same process to adjust the IRE estimates used above for Class I airports is repeated and discussed below.

The derivation of risk reduction costs for Class II airports is fully accounted for in Table V-2. While the average cost per airport is considered reasonably accurate for these airports, two adjustments were made to the cost estimates published in the initial economic evaluation. First, the total cost estimate is adjusted for the change in the number of Class II airports. Second, the cost estimate is adjusted to reflect quantified estimates from comments received. Finally Table V-2 provides the resulting risk reduction costs estimates of the final rule for Class II airports. These adjustments are explained as follows.

<u>NPRM</u>

The left-hand column on Table V-2 details the items that were used to adjust the IRE costs of the NPRM to obtain the mitigation cost estimates for the final rule. In the first row under NPRM, the IRE number of Class II airports (121 airports) are reproduced. The second row identifies IRE mitigation initial cost estimate of \$707,520 and recurring cost estimate of \$1,448,512 for Class II airports. Dividing these costs by the number of Class II airports results in an average per airport cost of \$5,847 for initial costs and \$11,971 for recurring costs.

Adjustments:

1. For the Number of Airports

As the estimated number of Class II airports decreased from 121 airports to 113 airports, the estimated initial and recurring costs are decreased accordingly. This interim adjustment multiplies the final count of Class II of (113 airports) airports by the average costs per airport of \$5,847 (initial cost) and \$11,971 (recurring cost). The reduction in the number of Class II airports reduced the NPRM Cost Adjusted for the number of airports to \$660,742 for initial mitigation costs and \$1,352,743 for recurring mitigation costs.

2. For Comments

No Class II airports provided comments on the IRE initial mitigation costs. Therefore, the initial costs as adjusted for the number of airports of \$660,742 is the estimated Class II mitigation cost for the rule.

Two Class II airports provided comments on recurring mitigation costs. A two step procedure removes the average cost estimate for these airports and then adds the

specific comments to the total. The average cost for two Class II airports were first subtracted from the NPRM cost adjusted for the reduced number of Class II airports as discussed above. Finally, the total of the two alternative estimates of \$224,760 for recurring costs of the final rule is added.

Final Rule Total Cost

The adjustments for the change in the number of Class II airports and for the incorporation of the commenters' alternative estimates result in an estimated final rule total cost for mitigation costs for Class II airports of \$660,742 for initial costs and \$1,553,560 for recurring costs.

1.3 Mitigation Costs - Class IV Airports

Only one comment was received from a Class IV airport and this airport operator supported the proposal. As in the case of Class I and II Airports, the FAA takes the position that the estimated compliance costs for Class IV Airports are relatively accurate. The FAA did adjust the estimated mitigation costs for Class IV airports because the number of these airports increased from 15 to 18. Both the initial capital cost and the recurring cost increased by the addition of three airports multiplied by the associated average cost.

The estimated final rule cost for Class IV airports initial mitigation cost increased from \$13,440 to \$16,128 and for annual recurring costs from \$8,064 to \$9,677 (see Table V - 2).

D. <u>Class III Airports Costs</u>

Introduction

For the final rule the compliance costs for Class III airports are presented on a per airport basis. The estimated compliance cost of this rule for Class III airports are the basis for a separate FAA study to be submitted separately as a Report to Congress as required by 49 USC 44706(c) on the expected economic impact of the rule on air service to Class III airports. The FAA did not have sufficient data to accurately estimate costs at each Class III airport, but combined average airport costs and commenters' cost estimates to provide a modified generic cost for each Class III airport. Thus, every requirement of the rule is expected to result in additional costs for Class III airports. These costs are conservative (i.e. on the high side) because they do not take into account alternative means of compliance which are designed to accommodate local conditions. Nor do these costs include assistance that may be provided to the airport by airport grant programs such as the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) or air carrier subsidy programs such as the Essential Air Service Program (EAS).

The methodology to develop the expected additional cost resulting from the requirements of this rule is explained in the IRE. The FAA requested comments, but received comments from only nine Class III airports. Without comments to the contrary, the FAA believes the estimates provided in the IRE are reasonably accurate. Except for the cases where the FAA has prior knowledge, or an airport provided an alternative estimate, these are generic cost estimates, not onsite estimates.

Comments Received

Despite the relatively small number of proposed Class III airports, the FAA received the most comments regarding the IRE analysis regarding these airports. Of the estimated NPRM total of 38 Class III airports, nine Class III airports commented on economic aspects of the NPRM. Of these responses, five provided numerical estimates accepted by FAA. In addition, the States of Maine, Michigan, Montana, and Vermont commented in support of airports in their states. Vermont commented on a proposed Class II airport, however, this airport may eventually become a Class III airport.

The most common theme of these comments was that the airports and or the air carriers utilizing the airport could not afford the costs of the proposed ARFF requirements. A related common theme was that the airports personnel were all fully employed with their existing duties and could not assume additional ARFF duties. Therefore, even though the rule allows cross utilization of employees, these comments indicate that it would not be possible for the airport to spare an existing employee for additional ARFF duties. Since FAA had assumed that one airport person could assist in providing ARFF duties, the IRE estimated ARFF mitigation costs were substantially below the expected compliance costs as provided by these commenters.

Tables V - 3, V - 4, and V- 5 account for the estimated Class III airports incremental risk reduction and mitigation costs as a result of full compliance with the rule. Each column identifies the section of the rule that will result in additional cost for Class III airports. The row entries identify individual Class III airports. Thus reading across each airport row, each expected incremental cost per part 139 requirements specified for that airport. The total expected cost per airport for each part 139

requirement identified in each table is listed in the far right column. Lastly, the totals per part 139 requirement are listed in the bottom row of each table. A more detailed discussion of Class III airport expected compliance cost is discussed below.

Risk Reduction Costs

Table V-3 shows the estimated one-time/capital risk reduction costs and Table V-4 shows the recurring risk reduction cost estimates. For both tables, the column entries are broadly divided by Certification, Airport Certification Manual, and Operations Subparts. For each of these subparts, the section of part 139 which may require additional compliance cost is specified. Thus, the structure of these tables permits easy reference for the estimated airport cost of each section of the final rule.

Generally, the cost estimates for the final rule are the IRE average cost estimate adjusted to be Class III airport specific. When available, FAA substituted the estimated costs for an individual airport as provided in the comments provided by that airport. FAA expects that some of the cost estimates provided will exceed the actual compliance cost. For instance, FAA accepted the Bar Harbor Airport (BHB) snow and ice control operational expense of \$5,000, even though FAA estimated this expense to be no more than \$180 per airport (Table V - 4). FAA estimate is for the preparation of a snow plan, which generally will start as a documentation of what the airport is currently doing in terms of snow removal. The results of the snow plan may require expense, possibly considerable expense, however, it is not possible to determine this amount until the snow plan is prepared. BHB's estimate assumed that the snow plan was completed and provided an estimate for supplies and equipment that would be needed for actually

providing snow and ice control. Thus, FAA incorporated some high, but not unreasonable, alternative estimates so as not to under-estimate the cost of the rule.

The one significant change from the IRE risk-reduction cost estimates was for the increase in preparation cost of the Airport Certification Manual (ACM). While FAA has an advisory circular explaining how to prepare the manual and is willing to work with airports in the manual preparation, several commenters indicated that they would have a consultant prepare the manual. FAA used the consulting estimate provided in the comments for the referenced individual airports. For each of the remaining Class III airports, the FAA used a median of the commenters' estimates of \$12,500 for a consultant to assist in the preparation of the ACM, even though FAA believes this estimated cost will far exceed actual.

The total cost of the one-time/capital costs of the Class III airport risk reduction items is estimated to be \$1,514,108, an increase of \$811,560 over the IRE estimate. This increase reflects cost estimates provided by Class III airport commenters. The total annual recurring costs of the Class III airport risk reduction items is estimated to be \$240,147, a decrease of \$3,395 over the NPRM estimate. This decrease is due to a reduction of the number of Class III airports from 38 to 37 airports.

Mitigation Costs

Most of the increased estimated compliance cost of the final rule is the result of an increase in mitigation costs for Class III airports. (See Table V-5). While there are modest adjustments to the estimated initial capital cost requirements and to ARFF maintenance and supply costs, the single largest adjustment to the IRE estimated cost is the increase in ARFF personnel expense.

At Class III airports, the final rule will require ARFF personnel and equipment appropriate for the type of aircraft served for scheduled air carrier operations conducted in 10 to 30 passenger seat aircraft. Class III airports are expected to be able to afford the capital purchase costs of the necessary truck and equipment, especially in light of the availability of state and federal grants to assist with these capital expenses. The larger expense is that of providing the necessary staffing and training to comply with ARFF requirements. Most Commenters disagreed with the assumption in the IRE regarding Class III airport ARFF personnel. FAA had assumed that existing airport personnel could provide the equivalent of one ARFF staff person. Commenters responded that all staff is fully employed with their existing duties. The FAA accepts those comments and increased the number of additional ARFF personnel required by the rule from one to two for the purposes of estimating costs.

One additional ARFF staff person, per Class III airport, will increase annual compliance costs by nearly a million dollars. There were several exceptions to the general condition of two ARFF staff persons per Class III Airport. Three Class III Airports (IMP, CGX and VEL) have been identified as having sufficient ARFF resources to meet the final rule requirements. FAA recognizes that these commenters estimates are likely to be high and expects that actual circumstances, including the tailoring of the ACM, to result in actual costs that are lower than are estimated in this document.

Five Class III airports provided estimates of ARFF personnel costs. These airports were Show Low Airport (SOW), Augusta State Airport (AUG), Bar Harbor Airport (BHB), Alamagordo Airport (ALM), and Silver City Airport (SVC).

SOW estimated that to provide two ARFF shifts per day with one person per crew, including training, would cost \$207,500 per year. The FAA accepted this estimate because it was based on a one-person crew.

AUG provided estimates that were designed to provide 18 hours per day ARFF coverage and cover staff vacation time, sick time, etc. The airport estimated that this would require four, two person crews. The concept of two person firefighting crews is entirely reasonable and may be required by some State and local laws. However, the final rule does not specify the number of ARFF personnel required, only the type of equipment and vessels to be used. Therefore, the AUG estimate for ARFF personnel was adjusted by dividing the Airport's estimate of crewmembers salaries and benefits in half. FAA believes that this approximates the costs of four one-person crews.

BHB estimated that it would need to provide ARFF/EMS (Note: this is from their comment) services from 0500 to 2200 hours daily with provisions for late arrivals. (FAA note: This is, essentially, a 24-hour operation.) The airport estimated that this would require 4 Full-Time and 1 Part-Time ARFF/EMT persons and one Captain. The airport estimated that the annual costs, including training for these personnel, would be \$239,450. In this case, because the airport appeared to be using one-person crews, the Airport's cost estimate was accepted without adjustment. FAA accepts estimates, based on the assumption that all Class III airports will only need one ARFF person per shift.

ALM provided a total cost estimate for recurring annual expenses of \$250,000. The estimate was not broken down and no information was provided about the hours of

coverage to be provided, etc. The FAA accepted this estimate because it is in line with the estimates provided by the other similar airports that provided comments.

SVC estimated that it would cost \$113,400 per year for ARFF personnel and training. This included the hiring of three people to provide ARFF coverage for seven days per week. Based on the assumption that all Class III airports will only need one ARFF person per shift, FAA accepted this estimate without adjustment because it seemed reasonable compared to FAA's basic estimate of two people per airport.

Even though FAA expects that grants will significantly reduce the initial and capital mitigation expenses, FAA accepts the, in some cases, substantially higher alternative estimates provided by specific Class III airports. For most of the Class III airports, the IRE average mitigation cost estimates are the expected compliance cost for each airport.

The estimated total initial/capital cost for Class III Airports Mitigation Costs is \$2,098,360 (see Table V-5). The estimated annual recurring mitigating expenses are estimated to be \$4,135,005 (see Table V-5).

E. Estimated Total Present Value Cost of the NPRM and Final Rules

The FAA estimates that the ten-year, present value of the total compliance cost of this final rule is \$74,467,688. The changes to the IRE cost estimate changes were relatively minor for initial/capital costs for both the risk reduction and mitigation cost requirements of the rule. Nearly all of the increase in the estimated compliance costs can be attributed to the expense of needed ARFF personnel for Class III airports. The FAA had assumed that the existing Class III airport personnel would provide the equivalent of one ARFF staff person. After reviewing the comments, the FAA re-

estimated Class III compliance cost under the assumption that all existing personnel are fully occupied with existing duties.

Table V–6, Estimated Total Incremental Costs of the NPRM and Final Rules, documents, by airport class, the NPRM and final rule compliance costs by the two subcategories, Risk Reduction and Mitigation Costs. Just as in the IRE FAA recognizes that the average cost estimates methodology only approximates the compliance cost of the rule. FAA provided a 25 percent upper and lower bound for the IRE cost estimates. Even with the significant cost increase for the final rule cost estimate, applying the same range estimate to the final rule costs results in a lower bound estimate below the high estimate of the IRE.

With the exception of Class III airports, the ten-year present value cost of the rule by airport class is proportionate with the number of airports in each class. The approximate present value cost for Class I airports is \$26,560,000, for Class II airports the cost is \$13,290,000 and for Class IV airports the cost is \$150,000. For Class III airports, the approximate present value cost is \$34,470,000. The reason the estimated costs are much higher for Class III airports is that with this rule, for the first time, these airports are subject to <u>all</u> of part 139 regulations. (See Table V-7 for the Present Value Cost by Airport Class by One-Time and Recurring Costs).

Table V-1 part 139 Final Rule Regulatory	Evaluation						
Derivation of Total Risk Reduction Costs	of Final Rule	Class I, II, &	IV Airports	- August 28	, 2001		
Adjustments To Table V-3 In NPRM RegE	val for Comm	ents & Char	nges in the	Number of A	lirports		
	Class I A	Airports -	Class II /	Airports -		Airports -	
		ction Costs		ction Costs			
	Initial	Recurring	Initial	Recurring	Initial	Recurring	
NPRM							
No. of Airports	432	432	121	121	15	15	
Total Costs	\$225,677	\$996,192	\$331,377	\$184,053	\$13,422	\$5,595	
Average Cost Per Airport	\$522	\$2,306	\$2,739	\$1,521	\$895	\$373	
Adjustments							
1. For Changes in the No. of Airports	_						
No. of Final Rule Airports	436	436	113	113	18	18	
Average Cost / A/P	\$522	\$2,306		\$1,521	\$895	\$373	
NPRM Cost Adjusted for New A/P's	\$227,767	\$1,005,416	\$309,468	\$171,884	\$16,106	\$6,714	
2. For Comments:							
No. of Airports Commenting	1	1	1	1	0	0	
Average Cost / Airport	\$522	\$2,306	\$2,739	\$1,521	\$895	\$373	
To be Removed From NPRM Total Cost	\$522	\$2,306	\$2,739	\$1,521	\$0	\$0	
Subtotal	\$227,244	\$1,003,110	\$306,729	\$170,363	\$16,106	\$6,714	
Total Comments To Be Added	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$19,000	\$28,557	\$0	\$0	
Final Rule Total Cost	\$232,244	\$1,008,110	\$325,729	\$198,920	\$16,106	\$6,714	
Final Rule Average Cost Per Airport	\$533	\$2,312	\$2,883	\$1,760	\$895	\$373	
						08/28/200 ⁷	

Table V-2 part 139 Final Rule Regulator						
Derivation of Total Mitigation Costs of F	inal Rule Cl	ass I, II, & IV	Airports - A	August 28, 2	001	
Adjustments To Table V-3 In NPRM Reg	Eval for Co	mments & C	hanges in t	the Number	of Airports	
			nangesin			
	Class I A	Airports -	Class II /	Airports -	Class IV A	irports -
	Mitigati	on Costs		on Costs	Mitigatio	n Costs
	Initial	Recurring	Initial	Recurring	Initial	Recurring
NPRM						
No. of Airports	432	432	121	121	15	15
Total Costs	\$290,040	\$2,172,500		\$1,448,512	\$13,440	\$8,064
Average Cost Per Airport	\$671	\$5,029	\$5,847	\$11,971	\$896	\$538
Adjustments						
1. For Changes in the No. of Airports						
No. of Final Rule Airports	436	436	113	113	18	18
Average Cost / A/P	\$671				\$896	\$538
NPRM Cost Adjusted for New A/P's	\$292,726	\$2,192,616	\$660,742	\$1,352,743	\$16,128	\$9,677
2. For Comments:						
No. of Airports Commenting	3	3	0	2	0	(
Average Cost / Airport	\$671		\$5,847	\$11,971	\$896	\$538
To be Removed From NPRM Total Cost	\$2,014	\$15,087	\$0	\$23,942	\$0	\$0
3. Subtotal	\$290,711	\$2,177,529	\$660,742	\$1,328,800	\$16,128	\$9,677
4. Total Comments To Be Added	\$70,000	\$511,318	\$0	\$224,760	\$0	\$0
5. Final Rule Total Cost	\$360,711	\$2,688,847	\$660,742	\$1,553,560	\$16,128	\$9,677
6. Final Rule Average Cost Per Airport	\$827	\$6,167	\$5,847	\$13,748	\$896	\$538
			L			
						08/28/2001

Table V-3 - Class III .	ports	Louin							6		1	J	K	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	
Column			A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	1	J	к	L	IVI	N	0	P		R
Subpart:					(C=A+B)				(G=D+E+F)										(Q=Sum H-P)	(R=C+G+Q)
•			B - Certificati		Cubertal B		Certification Ma		Culture C	D - Operatio	i	311	242	224	222	227	220	220	Cubbraul D	Cound Total
Section 139.	1	1	103	113	Subtotal - B	201	203	205	Subtotal - C	301	303	311	313	321	323	327	329	339	Subtotal - D	Grand Total
Associated City	State	ю	AOC App.	Deviations	Sub-Total - Certification	General Requireme nts	Content	Amendment	Subtotal Airport Certification Manual	Records	Personnel	Merking, Signs, and Lighting	Snow & Ice Control (2)	Handling & Storage of Hazardous Substances & Materials	Traffic & Wind Direction Indicators	Self- Inspection Program	Access to Movement Areas (3)	Wildlife Hazard Management(4)	Subtotal - Operations	Grand Total - Risk Reduction
Lake Havasu City	AZ	Н	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0	\$12.500	\$14	\$112	50 £	\$0		\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,286
Show Low	AZ	sow	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0 \$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0 \$0	\$0		\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$369,286
El Dorado	AR	ELD	\$179	\$0 \$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14		\$0 \$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Harrison	AR	HRO	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$33,000	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$52,824
Jonesboro	AR	JBR	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$33,000	\$538		\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$52,824
Mountain Home	AR	BPK	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,824
Imperial	CA	IPL	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$0	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$6,607	\$19,286
Inyokern	CA	IYK	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$0	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$6,607	\$19,286
Chicago	L L	CGX	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$7,145	\$19,824
Spencer	IA	SPW	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$7,145	\$19,824
Augusta	ME	AUG	\$179	\$0	\$179	· · · ·	\$10,000	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$8,000	\$15,145	\$25,324
Bar Harbor	ME	BHB	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$15,000	\$0	\$15,000	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$105,000	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$25,000	\$136,607	\$151,786
Rockland	ME	RKD	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$7,145	\$19,824
Cumberland	MD	CBE	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,824
Manistee	MI	MBL	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$33,000	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358				\$52,824
Glasgow	MT	GGW	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0			\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Glendive	MT	GDV	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0			\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,286
Havre	MT	HVR	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0			\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,824
Lewistown	MT MT	LVVT MLS	\$179 \$179	\$0 \$0	\$179 \$179	\$0 \$0	\$12,500 \$12,500	\$0 \$0		\$14 \$14	\$112 \$112	\$0 \$0		\$208 \$208	\$3,500 \$3,500	\$358 \$358	\$2,415 \$2,415			\$19,824 \$19,824
Miles City Sidnev	MT	MLS SDY	\$179	\$U \$0	\$179	· · · · ·	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112 \$112	\$0 \$0		\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,824
Wolf Point	MT	OLF	\$179	\$0 \$0	\$179	\$0 \$0	\$12,500	\$0 \$0		\$14	\$112				\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,286
Chadron	NE	CDR	\$179	\$0	\$179	1	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14		\$0			\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,286
Kearnev	NE	EAR	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14		\$0			\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Alamogordo	NM	ALM	\$179	\$0	· · · ·	· · · ·	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	•••=	\$0		+=	\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Carlsbad	NM	CNM	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0		\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$19,824
Gallup	NM	GUP	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14		\$83,000		\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$102,824
Santa Fe	NM	SAF	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14		\$0			\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Silver City	NM	svc	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14		\$0	\$538		\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Dickinson	ND	DIK	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0		\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$19,824
Ponca City	ок	PNC	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0			\$3,500	\$358				\$19,824
Brownwood	ТX	BWD	\$179	\$0	\$179		\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$83,000		\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415			\$102,824
Moab	UT	CNY	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$7,145	\$19,824
Vernal (3)	UT	VEL	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$7,145	\$19,824
Bluefield	wv	BLF	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$33,000	\$538	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$40,145	\$52,824
Fitiuta ∀illage	AS	FAQ	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0		\$14	\$112	\$0	\$0	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$6,607	\$19,286
Ofu Village	AS	Z08	\$179	\$0	\$179	\$0	\$12,500	\$0	\$12,500	\$14	\$112	\$0	\$0	\$208	\$3,500	\$358	\$2,415	\$0	\$6,607	\$19,286
		Totals	\$6,623	\$0	\$6,623	\$0	\$462,500	\$0	\$462,500	\$518	\$4,144	\$298,000	\$119,526	\$7,696	\$129,500	\$13,246	\$89,355	\$383,000	\$1,044,985	\$1,514,108
Notes:																				
1. Tables V-3 an V-	4 are laio	l out ide	entically for eas	se of reference	e. In some case	es, there is no) initial cost, bu	It there is a recu	rring cost. In thi	s case, this	Table will sh	ow a column o	of zeros.	•	1		1	1		
2. A zero in this co																				
3. Weighted Averag																				
4. Wildlife Hazard M	lanagem	ent is a	n event depen	dent cost. The	ere are no initial	costs involv	ed with Wildlife	e Hazard Manage	ment. However,	if an incide	nt occurs cos	ts start accrui	ing. The cost	ts start with stu	dies and as	sessments wi	hich lead to r	ecommendation	ns.	
Recommendati	ons can	be very	simple, and in	expensive or v	ery complicate	d and expens	ive. The three	e airports that rep	oorted costs we	re in variou:	s stages of th	e Rule which a	accounts for	the wide differe	ences in rep	orted costs.				
	-																			
		1																		08/28/2001

Table V-4 - Class III /	Airports	. Estima	ated Annual R	Recurring Incre	mental Risk Re	duction Cost	s . Aug 28, 20	01(1).(2)												
Column	in porto		A	B	с	D	F	F	G	н	1	J	к	L	м	N	0	Р	0	R
column											•									
Subpart:			B - Certificat	tion	(C=A+B)	C Airport (Certification M	anual	(G=D+E+F)	D - Operations									(Q=Sum H-P)	(R=C+G+Q)
Section 139.	-		103	113	Subtotal - B	201	203	205	Subtotal - C	301	303	311	313 (3)	321	323	327	329	337	Subtotal - D	Grand Total
Associated City	State		AOC App.	Deviations	Sub-Total - Certification	General Requireme nts	Content	Amendment	Subtotal Airport Certification Manual	Records	Personnel	Merking, Signs, and Lighting	Snow & Ice Control	Handling & Storage of Hazardous Substances & Materials	Traffic & Wind Direction Indicators	Self- Inspection Program	Access to Movement Areas (4)	Wildlife Hazard Manageme nt	Subtotal - Operations	Grand Total - Risk Reduction
Lake Havasu City	AZ	н	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358		\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	-	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
Show Low	AZ	sow	\$0	\$130	\$130				\$1,075	\$168	1	\$0		\$104	• • •	1-1-1-1	\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
El Dorado	AR	ELD	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0		\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Harrison	AR	HRO	\$0	\$130	\$130			\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104			\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Jonesboro	AR	JBR	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Mountain Home	AR	BPK	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Imperial	CA	IPL D.07	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
Inyokern Chiogra	CA	IYK CGX	\$0 \$0	\$130 \$130	\$130 \$130			\$717 \$717	\$1,075 \$1,075	\$168 \$168	\$946 \$946	\$0 \$0		\$104 \$104	\$45 \$45		\$450 \$450	\$538 \$538	\$4,955	\$6,160 \$6,339
Chicago Spencer	IA	SPW	\$U \$0	\$13U \$130	\$130		\$U \$0	\$717 \$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946 \$946	\$U \$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134 \$5,134	\$6,339 \$6,339
Augusta	ME	AUG	\$0 \$0	\$130					\$1,075	\$168		\$0 \$0		\$104			\$450 \$450			
	ME		\$0 \$0		\$130				\$1,075	\$168	1			* · · · ·	• • •	1-1-1		\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Bar Harbor		внв				-						\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$2,500	\$12,349	\$13,554
Rockland	ME	RKD	\$0	\$130	\$130			\$717	\$1,075	\$168		\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Cumberland	MD MI	CBE MBL	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717 \$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0 \$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Manistee	MI	GGVV	\$0 \$0	\$130 \$130	\$130 \$130		\$0 \$0	\$717 \$717	\$1,075 \$1,075	\$168 \$168	\$946 \$946	\$U \$0		\$104 \$104	\$45 \$45		\$450 \$450	\$538 \$538	\$5,134 \$5,134	\$6,339 \$6,339
Glasgow Glendive	MT	GDV	\$0 \$0	\$130	\$130		\$0 \$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0 \$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
Havre	MT	HVR	\$0 \$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0 \$0		\$104			\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Lewistown	MT	LVVT	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Miles City	MT	MLS	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104			\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Sidney	MT	SDY	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Wolf Point	MT	OLF	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
Chadron	NE	CDR	\$0	\$130	\$130			\$717	\$1,075	\$168		\$0		\$104			\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
Kearney	NE	EAR	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Alamogordo	NM	ALM	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Carlsbad	NM	CNM	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Gallup	NM	GUP	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Santa Fe	NM	SAF	\$0	\$130	\$130			\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45		\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Silver City	NM	svc	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Dickinson	ND	DIK	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Ponca City	ок	PNC	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0		\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Brownwood	тх	BWD	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Moab	UT	CNY	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Vernal (3)	UT	VEL	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Bluefield	~~~	BLF	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358	\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$179	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$5,134	\$6,339
Fitiuta ∀illage	AS	FAQ	\$0	\$130	\$130		\$0	\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	\$0	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
Ofu Village	AS	Z08	\$0	\$130	\$130	\$358		\$717	\$1,075	\$168	\$946	\$0	-	\$104	\$45	\$2,704	\$450	\$538	\$4,955	\$6,160
		Totals	\$0	\$4,810	\$4,810	\$13,246	\$0	\$26,529	\$39,775	\$6,648	\$35,002	\$0	\$9,833	\$3,848	\$1,665	\$100,048	\$16,650	\$21,868	\$195,562	\$240,147
Notes:																				
1. Tables V-X and V	-X1 are l	aid out i	dentically. In	some cases th	ere there is no	no recurring	cost, but ther	e is an initial cost	. In this case, t	his Table will show	a column of 74	eroes.	1			1			1	
2. If an Airport did r																				
3. In this Column, a									· · · ·		plan, the Airpo	ort Estimate in	cludes purch	nases of supplie	s.					
4. Weighted Averag																				

	B(2) Init ARRF Truck/ Equipment \$50,000 \$130,000 \$50,0	C ial/Capital Cos Total \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0 0	D (AEP) Airport Emergency Plan (AEP) \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896	E Total \$50,896 \$380,896 \$380,896 \$50,896	F Personnel (B) \$100,000 \$207,500 \$100,000	G Recurr ARFF Maintenance, Equipment And Supplies \$7,000 \$7,000	H ing Annual Expens Total \$107,000 \$214,500	Airport Emergency Plan (AEP) \$538 \$538	ل Total \$107,538
ilding Ed \$250,000 \$250,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	ARRF Truck/ Equipment \$50,000 \$130,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00	Total \$50,000 \$380,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0 \$0	(AEP) Airport Emergency Plan (AEP) \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896	\$50,896 \$380,896 \$50,896 \$50,896	\$100,000 \$2 07,500 \$100,000	ARFF Maintenance, Equipment And Supplies \$7,000 \$7,000	Total \$107,000 \$214,500	(AEP) Airport Emergency Plan (AEP) \$538	\$107,53
ilding Ed \$250,000 \$250,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Truck/ Equipment \$50,000 \$130,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$0	\$50,000 \$380,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0	Airport Emergency Plan (AEP) \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896	\$50,896 \$380,896 \$50,896 \$50,896	\$100,000 \$2 07,500 \$100,000	Maintenance, Equipment And Supplies \$7,000 \$7,000	\$107,000 \$214,500	Airport Emergency Plan (AEP) \$538	\$107,53
\$0 \$250,000 50 \$0	\$50,000 \$130,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$50,000	\$50,000 \$380,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0	\$896 \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896	\$50,896 \$380,896 \$50,896 \$50,896	\$100,000 \$2 07,500 \$100,000	\$7,000 \$7,000	\$107,000 \$214,500	\$538	\$107,53
\$250,000	\$130,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50,000	\$380,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0	\$896 \$896 \$896 \$896 \$896	\$380,896 \$50,896 \$50,896	\$207,500 \$100,000	\$7,000	\$214,500		\$107,538 \$215,038
\$0 \$0	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$00 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0	\$896 \$896 \$896	\$50,896 \$50,896	\$100,000			\$538	\$215.03
\$0	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50,000	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0	\$896 \$896	\$50,896		· \$7,0001		0500	
\$0	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50,000	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0	\$896		\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000 \$107,000	\$538 \$538	\$107,53 \$107,53
\$0	\$50,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$50,000	\$50,000 \$0 \$0		SELL SOE	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
\$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$50,000	\$0 \$0	4030	\$50,896 \$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000 \$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
\$0	\$0 \$0 \$50,000	\$0	\$896	\$896	\$0	¢۲,000 \$0	\$0	\$538	\$53
	\$0 \$50,000		\$896	\$896	↓~ \$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$0	\$896	\$896	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$538	\$53
		\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
		\$2,000	\$4,000	\$6,000	\$172,099	\$15,650	\$187,749	\$538	\$188,28
	\$316,000	\$316,000	\$15,000	\$331,000	\$239,450	\$18,000	\$257,450	\$538	\$257,98
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,538
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,538
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,538
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$0	\$0	\$896	\$896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896			\$250,000	\$538	\$250,53
\$0	\$0	\$0	\$896	\$896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$113,400	\$7,000	\$120,400	\$538	\$120,93
	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$896	\$50,896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
	\$0	\$0	\$896	\$896	\$100,000	\$7,000	\$107,000	\$538	\$107,53
									\$107,53
									\$107,53
\$0									\$53
									\$107,53
									\$107,53
								i	\$107,53 \$4,153,00
250,0	\$0	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$0 \$0 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000	\$\$0,000 \$\$0,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$0,000 \$\$896 \$\$0 \$\$0 \$\$0 \$\$0,000 \$\$0,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$0,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$50,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$50,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$50,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$50,000 \$\$896 \$\$0,000 \$\$50,000 \$\$896	\$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$896 \$896 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$896 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896	\$0 \$0 \$896 \$896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$696 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$696 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$696 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$0 \$0 \$696 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$886 \$896 \$00 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$886 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$886 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000	\$0 \$0 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$0 \$0 \$896 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000	\$0 \$0 \$896 \$100,00 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$0 \$0 \$50,000 \$896 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$107	\$0 \$0 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$896 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,000 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538 \$50,000 \$50,896 \$100,000 \$7,000 \$107,000 \$538

Table V-6 - Estimated Total Increme	ental Costs of	NPF	RM and Fina	al F	Rules-Augu	st	28, 2001								
	Tota	l Co	sts of NPRM	Rule	e			. <u> </u>			Tota	l Co	sts of Final F	Rule	
Airports												. <u> </u>			
									Initial/Cap	oital	Costs		Annual Rec	urrin	g Costs
Proposed Airport Class	Number of Airports	In	itial/Capital Costs	F	Annual Recurring Costs		Number of Airports		Numerical hange From NPRM		Total		Numerical hange From NPRM		Total
1. Risk Reduction Items															
Class I	432	\$	225,677	\$	996,192	F	436		\$6,567	\$	232,244	\$	11,918	\$	1,008,110
Class II	121	\$	331,377	\$	184,053	Π	113		(\$5,648)	<u> </u>	325,729	\$	14,867	\$	198,920
Class III	38	\$	702,548	\$	243,542		37		\$811,560	\$	1,514,108	\$	(3,395)		240,147
Class IV	15	\$	13,422	\$	5,595		18		\$2,684	\$	16,106	\$	1,119	\$	6,714
Totals	606	\$	1,273,024	\$	1,429,382		604		\$815,163	\$	2,088,187	\$	24,509	\$	1,453,891
2. Mitigation Items															
Class I	432	\$	290,040	\$	2,172,500		436		\$70,671	\$	360,711	\$	516,347	\$	2,688,847
Class II	121	\$	707,520	\$	1,448,512		113		(\$46,778)	\$	660,742	\$	105,048	\$	1,553,560
Class III	38	\$	1,236,928	\$	971,842		37		\$861,432	\$	2,098,360	\$	3,181,163	\$	4,153,005
Class IV	15	\$	13,440	\$	8,064		18		\$2,688	\$	16,128	\$	1,613	\$	9,677
Totals	606	\$	2,247,928	\$	4,600,918	Π	604		\$888,013	\$	3,135,941	\$	3,804,171	\$	8,405,089
Program Total - Current Dollars		\$	3,520,952	\$	6,030,300			\$	1,703,176	\$	5,224,128	\$	3,828,680	\$	9,858,980
		_						_		_		_		_	
3. Program Total - Present Value Costs (*	10 Years @ 7%)														
			NPRM								Final Rule				
Initial/Capital Costs		\$	3,520,952							\$	5,224,128				
Recurring Costs (Over a ten year period	d)	\$	42,351,102							\$	69,243,560				
		_									74,467,688				
Program Total - Present Value Costs		\$	45,872,054							\$, ,				
Program Total - Present Value Costs		\$	45,872,054						Numerical	\$					
Program Total - Present Value Costs 4. Estimated Present Value Cost Range		\$	45,872,054						Numerical Change	\$,,				
	Low	\$ \$	45,872,054					\$		\$	55,851,000				
	Low								Change						
		\$	34,404,000					\$	Change 21,447,000	\$	55,851,000				
	Mid	\$	34,404,000 45,872,000					\$	Change 21,447,000 28,596,000	\$	55,851,000 74,468,000				
	Mid	\$	34,404,000 45,872,000					\$	Change 21,447,000 28,596,000	\$	55,851,000 74,468,000				
4. Estimated Present Value Cost Range	Mid	\$	34,404,000 45,872,000					\$	Change 21,447,000 28,596,000	\$	55,851,000 74,468,000				
4. Estimated Present Value Cost Range	Mid	\$	34,404,000 45,872,000					\$	Change 21,447,000 28,596,000	\$	55,851,000 74,468,000				

Table V- 7													
Total Discounted Costs	s By Airp	ort Class - Au	gust 30, 2001	·									
			Airport Class										
		I	I		IV	Total							
One Time Costs		\$592,955	\$986,471	\$3,612,468	\$32,234	\$5,224,128							
Discounted Recurring (Costs	\$25,965,208	\$12,308,368	\$30,854,864	\$115,121	\$69,243,561							
Total Costs		\$26,558,163	\$13,294,839	\$34,467,332	\$147,355	\$74,467,689							
						08/30/2001							

VI. BENEFIT-COST COMPARISON

Some of the requirements of this final rule that will impose costs, such as improved snow and ice control, marking, signing and lighting, and wildlife hazard management are intended to prevent accidents. Others, such as emergency planning and improved emergency response capability are intended to mitigate accidents should they occur. In both cases, the final rule is expected to save lives and reduce injuries and property damage. Without this rule FAA believes that some of the accidents and many near accidents that have occurred in the past are likely to be repeated in the future.

FAA estimates that the present value of the 10-year cost of this final rule is about \$74.5 million. This estimate is likely to be high because it is based on assumed average costs across all airports in each airport class. In the application of this rule, each airport (particularly Class III airports) may already be in compliance with this rule, or may receive relief from certain aspects of the rule through alternate means of compliance or the exemption process.

Although FAA did not quantify the benefits of this final rule, for the reasons discussed earlier, some useful observations can be made. First, a single accident could easily equal, or exceed the estimated total cost of this final rule. A single accident involving two 30-seat airplanes with an industry standard load factor could result in a loss of as much as \$108 million (using \$3.0 million to represent a fatality avoided). With modern yield management techniques, a fully loaded airplane is not uncommon. An accident with a single, fully loaded 30-passenger airplane, and a crew of three could result in a loss of as much as \$99

million. For example, the accident at the Quincy, Illinois Airport is estimated to have had a cost of as much as \$44 million. In addition, the final rule should reduce the risk of, as well as mitigate, fuel storage fires, wildlife strikes, runway incursions, and snow /ice related accidents.

Thus, FAA believes that numerous safety benefits will occur from the multiple provisions in the final rule. One of these benefits is the reduction of the risk of and the mitigation of another accident, such as the one at Quincy, Illinois, where potential survivors might have been helped. The FAA believes it is necessary to take action that could reduce the potential for such an accident or mitigate a reoccurrence of such an accident. Consequently, and in view of the moderate costs and potential benefits, the FAA concludes that this final rule is cost justified.

VII FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS (FRFA)

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA) establishes "as a principle of regulatory issuance that agencies shall endeavor, consistent with the objective of the rule and of applicable statutes, to fit regulatory and informational requirements to the scale of the business, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to regulation." To achieve that principle, the RFA requires agencies to solicit and consider flexible regulatory proposals, and to consider the rationale for their actions. The RFA covers a wide range of small entities, including small businesses, not-for-profit organizations and small governmental jurisdictions.

Agencies must perform a review to determine whether a proposed or final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (SEIOSNSE). If the determination is that it will have such an impact, the agency must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis as described in the RFA. However, if an agency determines that a proposed, or final, rule is not expected to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, section 605(b) of the RFA provides that the head of the agency may so certify and a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required. The certification must include a statement providing the factual basis for this determination, and the reasoning should be clear.

This final rule will affect publicly owned airports. When the population of a public airport-owning entity is less than 50,000, it is considered a small entity. Based upon the above review, FAA concludes that the final rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, the following final regulatory flexibility assessment was prepared, as required by the RFA.

Issues To Be Addressed In A Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The central focus of a final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA), like the initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA), is the requirement that agencies evaluate the impact of a rule on small entities and analyze regulatory alternatives that minimize the impact when there will be a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The requirements, outlined in section 604(a)(1- 5), are listed and discussed below:

1) A succinct statement of the need for, and objectives of, the rule;

Prior to 1996, the FAA's statutory authority to certificate airports was limited to those airports serving air carrier operations using aircraft with more than 30 passenger seats. However, this authority was broadened by the Federal Aviation Administration Reauthorization Act of 1996. Title 49 USC 44706 was amended to allow the FAA to certificate airports, with the exception of those located in the State of Alaska, that serve any scheduled passenger operation of an air carrier operating aircraft designed for more than 9 passenger seats but less than 31 passenger seats. FAA's existing authority to certificate airports serving air carrier operations conducted in aircraft with more than 30 seats remained unchanged.

With this rule, the FAA intends to extend airport regulatory standards to airports now being served by air carriers with scheduled passenger operations in aircraft designed for at least nine seats but no more than 30 seats.

The primary objective of this final rule is to ensure safety in air transportation by regulating the operation and maintenance of airports serving certain scheduled air

carrier operations. The rule is necessary to reduce the risk of future accidents similar to those that have recently occurred, and to mitigate fatalities and injuries if those accidents do occur.

2) A summary of the significant issues raised by the public comments in response to the IRFA, a summary of the assessment of the agency of such issues, and a statement of any changes made in the proposed rule as a result of such comments;

There were a substantial number of comments from small airports concerned about the financial burden that the proposed rule would place on them, particularly the personnel costs associated with ARFF requirements.

In response to public comments, FAA made the following changes to the proposed rule in developing the final rule:

One of the changes is that the sections of the proposed rule that dealt with obtaining an exemption from the ARFF requirements have been clarified for the final rule. The final rule is more explicit in describing how to apply for an exemption. FAA believes that allowing alternate means of compliance to accommodate local conditions through the exemption process will result in actual compliance costs that are substantially less than those estimated in the final regulatory evaluation because both these processes will vary from airport to airport. FAA was not able to quantify the resulting reduction in compliance cost.

The time period to accomplish some requirements, such as the preparation of the ACM, was extended, especially for the smaller airports.

3) A description of, and an estimate of the number of, small entities to which the rule will apply or an explanation of why no such estimate is available;

The Small Business Administration (SBA) classifies all airports that are operated under the airport ownership of a public entity with 50,000 or less population as small entities.

Using the SBA's definition of a "small" public entity, there are approximately 200 small entity airports that will be affected by this rule. Most of the small entities are expected to be proposed Class 1 airports (approximately 100 proposed Class I airports), with the largest economic impact expected to occur to the proposed Class III airports (approximately 25 proposed Class III airports).

4) A description of the projected reporting, record-keeping, and other compliance requirements of the rule, including an estimate of the classes of small entities which will be subject to the requirement and the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record; and

The final rule will create additional reporting or recordkeeping beyond those already specified in existing part 139. For each airport, the preparation of this documentation may involve the airport manager, operation and maintenance personnel, and clerical staff. The FAA estimates the average initial hours to set up a recordkeeping system per small entity will be approximately 70 hours, and expects a continuing additional paperwork requirement of about 90 hours annually.

5) A description of the steps the agency has taken to minimize the significant economic impact on small entities consistent with the stated objectives of applicable statutes, including a statement of the factual, policy, and
legal reasons for selecting the alternative adopted in the final rule and why each one of the other significant alternatives to the rule considered by the agency which affect the impact on small entities was rejected.

The FAA extensively considered several alternatives, described in the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA), and determined that the alternative chosen for the NPRM was the only alternative that was relatively affordable and also achieved the safety objectives of the proposed rule. This initial alternative was subjected to public scrutiny during the comment period of the NPRM process. The comments received were responded to, as described above, and this initial alternative, as modified into the final rule is the selected alternative.

Extended Discussion Of The Rule, Comments On Affordability And Safety

The last major revision of part 139 occurred in November 1987, and since then, industry practices and technology have changed significantly. Subsequently the FAA has monitored the effectiveness of part 139 and has taken this opportunity to update part 139 requirements.

The FAA initiated this rulemaking to improve safety at airports serving small air carrier operations, fully appreciating the financial limitations of these airports. In 1996, Congress authorized FAA to certificate airports serving scheduled air carrier operations conducted in 10 to 30 seat aircraft to further ensure safety in air transportation. This was the same year that all occupants died in a collision of a United Express Beech 1900C (under 30 seat air carrier aircraft) and a Beech King Air aircraft (a general aviation aircraft). The National Transportation Safety Board concluded that..."if on-

71

airport ARFF protection had been required for this operation at Quincy Airport, lives might have been saved."

An industry/FAA evaluation of possible regulatory alternatives for the certification of airports serving small air carrier aircraft concluded that there exists a need to require at least some minimum level of both risk reduction and accident mitigation measures at airports during operations of smaller air carrier airplanes. However, FAA recognizes the need to provide some flexibility in the implementation of certain safety measures at airports with infrequent air carrier service or where local resources are severely limited. Airports in smaller communities do not always have the resources to support their airports at the same level as large metropolitan areas without adversely affecting other community services and infrastructure.

A final mitigating factor is the FAA's statutory authority to exempt certain airports from part 139 requirements. In some instances, the cost to comply with certain part 139 requirements could be too burdensome for some airport operators serving small air carrier operations. In such cases, FAA will work with the airport operator in developing and tailoring an Airport Certification Manual to achieve safety in air transportation at that airport, and will assist the airport operator to obtain Federal funds, as appropriate. Also, FAA has the statutory authority to grant exemptions from part 139 requirements that would be too costly, burdensome, or impractical, including ARFF requirements.

There are several avenues available to small-entity airports to mitigate the economic impact of this rule. One is that the Airport Improvement Program (AIP) funding (often supplemented by state grants) is available for certain capital expenditures that may be required by the rule such as fire fighting equipment, airport marking and

72

signs, to name two. Another avenue is the Essential Air Service (EAS) program. For Class III airports that are owned by small communities, serve a limited number of passengers, and operate at a loss, it is likely that much of the final actual costs to the airport would be passed through to the air carriers. At airports where carriers receive EAS subsidies, approximately two-thirds of all Class III airports – the Federal government will probably absorb most, if not all of the cost of the rule through increased subsidies.

Summary

After considering the alternatives for the certification of airports serving small air carrier operations and alternatives for updating part 139 (as specified in the IFRA), the FAA determined that this rule amending part 139 is necessary to ensure safety in air transportation. However, to accommodate variations in airport size and operations, FAA may allow alternative means of compliance with part 139 requirements. This will allow the most cost effective and flexible method of ensuring safety to be employed at all covered airports while providing for the special needs of small entities.

VIII. INTERNATIONAL TRADE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The Trade Agreement Act of 1979 prohibits Federal agencies from engaging in any standards or related activities that create unnecessary obstacles to the foreign commerce of the United States. Legitimate domestic objectives, such as safety, are not considered unnecessary obstacles. The statute also requires consideration of international standards and where appropriate, that they be the basis for U.S. standards.

73

In accordance with the above statute, the FAA has assessed the potential effect of this final rule and determined that the rule's airport certification requirements will have little or no impact on trade for U.S. firms doing business in foreign countries and for foreign firms doing business in the United States.

IX. UNFUNDED MANDATES REFORM ACT

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (the Act), enacted as Pub. L. 104-4 on March 22, 1995, is intended, among other things, to curb the practice of imposing unfunded Federal mandates on State, local, and tribal governments.

Title II of the Act requires each Federal agency to prepare a written statement assessing the effects of any Federal mandate in a proposed or final agency rule that may result in a \$100 million or more expenditure (adjusted annually for inflation) in any one year by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector; such a mandate is deemed to be a "significant regulatory action."

This final rule does not contain such a mandate. Therefore, the requirements of Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 do not apply.

Appendices

Appe	ndi>	(III - 1 - Final Rule - (Class I Airports, March	2001-	Page 1						Page	1 of '
					Certificatio	on Status	CY - 99	<10,000				<u> </u>
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	Entity
AL	1	Anniston	Anniston Metro	ANB	Full	I	216	Y		City of Anniston	25,774	Y
AL	2	Birmingham	Birmingham Int'l	BHM	Full		1,525,654			Birmingham Airport Authority	258,543	
AL	3	Dothan	Dothan	DHN	Full	1	66,025			Dothan-Houston Co. Airport Auth.	85,163	
AL	4	Huntsville	Huntsville Int'l	HSV	Full	1	514,221			Huntsville/Madison County	272,293	
AL	5	Mobile	Mobile Regional	MOB	Full		354,459			Mobile A/P Auth.	202,581	I
AL	6	Montgomery	Montgomery Regional	MGM	Full		231,061		I	Montgomery A/P Authority	196,363	
	-	Mussla Oheela	Northwest Alabama		E		0.770				407.000	
AL		Muscle Shoals Tuscaloosa	Regional Tuscaloosa Municipal	MSL	Full		8,770	Y Y		Colbert & Lauderdale Counties City of Tuscaloosa	137,288	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TCL	Full		1,491	ř			82,379	
AK	1	Anchorage	Anchorage Int'l Wélov Poot Wéll Pogoro	ANC	Full		2,536,319			State of AK	609,311	
AK	- I	Borrow	Wiley Post-Will Rogers		E		40.764			State of AV	600.244	
_	2	Barrow Bethel	Memorial Bethel	BRW BET	Full Full		40,751			State of AK State of AK	609,311 609,311	╢──
AK Ak	3	Bethei Cold Bay	Bethei Cold Bay	CDB	Full		125,885 9,909	Y		State of AK State of AK	609,311	╟──
An	4	Соювау		CDB	Fuii	1	9,909	ř		State of AK	609,311	–
AK	F	Cordova	Merle K. (Mudhole) Smith	CDV	Entr		049.00			State of AK	600.244	1
AK AK	5	Cordova Deadhorse	Smith Deadhorse	SCC	Full Full		20,648			State of AK State of AK	609,311 609,311	╢───
AK	7	Dillingham	Dillingham	DLG	Full	1	45,173			State of AK	609,311	╟──
AK	8	Fairbanks	Fairbanks Int'l	FAI	Full		393,381			State of AK	609,311	
AK	9	Gustavus	Gustavus	GST	Full	1	11,570			State of AK	609,311	╟──
AK	9	Homer	Homer	HOM	Full		32,859			State of AK	609,311	
AN AK	11	Iliamna	Iliamna	ILI	Full		13,806			State of AK	609,311	╟──
AK AK	12	Juneau	Juneau	JNU	Full	1	377,559			City of Juneau	29,756	
AN AK	13	Suneau Kenai	Kenai Municipal	ENA	Full		106,530			City of Kenai	<10,000	
4n AK	14	Ketchikan	Ketchikan Int'i	KTN	Full		132,451			State of AK	609,311	-
		Keitrikan King Salmon								State of AK		
AK	15	King Saimon Kodiak	King Salmon	AKN ADQ	Full Full	1	48,743			State of AK	609,311	–
AK Ak	16 17	Kotzebue	Kodiak Dolph Wion Momorial	OTZ	Full		80,107			State of AK	609,311	
AK	18	Nome	Ralph Wien Memorial Nome	OME	Full		59,351 56,911			State of AK	609,311 609,311	╟──
AK		Petersburg	Petersburg James Johnson	PSG	Full		21,047			State of AK	609,311	
AK		Port Heiden	Port Heiden	PTH	Full	1	1,694	Y		State of AK	609,311	
AK		St Paul Island	St Paul Island	SNP	Full	1	4,712	Ý		State of AK	609,311	╟──
AK		Sand Point	Sand Point	SDP	Full	1	4,712	Ý		State of AK	609,311	1
AK	23	Sitka	Sitka Rocky Gutierrez	SIT	Full	1	68,659			State of AK	609,311	1-
AK	24	Unalaska	Unalaska	DUT	Full	1	31,988			State of AK	609,311	1
AK		Valdez	Valdez	VDZ	Full	1	21,536			State of AK	609,311	1-
AK		Wrangell	Wrangell	WRG	Full	i	13,895			State of AK	609,311	
AK		Yakutat	Yakutat	YAK	Full	1	14,702			State of AK	609,311	1
AZ		Bullhead City	Laughlin/Bullhead Int'l	IFP	Full		39,931			Mohave County	128,884	-
AZ	2	Flagstaff	Flagstaff Pulliam	FLG			33,978			City of Flagstaff	118,011	
	-	r ragotan	Grand Canyon National	0		<u>'</u>	33,370			ony off fugotum	110,011	1-
AZ	3	Grand Canyon	Park	GCN	Full	.	582,388			State of AZ	4,554,966	
AZ	4	Phoenix	Phoenix Sky Harbor Int'l	PHX	Full		16,781,835			City of Phoenix	1,159,014	
AZ	5	Tucson	Tucson Int'l	TUS	Full		1,781,091			Tucson A/P Auth.	449,002	
AR		Fayetteville	Drake Field	FYV	Full		20,213			City of Fayetteville	52,360	
AR		Fort Smith	Fort Smith Regional	FSM	Full		102,583			Fort Smith A/P Comm	75,776	
AR I	3	Little Rock	Adams Field	LIT	Full	1	1,292,507			City of Little Rock	175,752	
	-	LILIE IVOCK	Texarkana Regional -		1.011		1,232,307				110,102	1-
٩R	4	Texarkana	Webb Field	тхк	Full	1	46,049			Texarkana Airport Authority	22,918	
220	<u>1</u>	Totals:										-
ay												
). of Airports:				44						-
		 of Airports with < 10 of Airports that are 	,			7						-
			1		1				1		1	-
	tes:		utificate (AOC)	<u> </u>	┨────							╟
(A	y: Fu	ll = Airport Operating Ce	runcate (AOC)		l							╟—
\neg												⊪—
		L	1		JL	1	1	L			IL	

Арре	ndi	(III - 1 - Final Rule -	Class I Airports, March	2001-	Page 2						Page	2 of 1
					Certificatio	on Status	CY - 99	<10,000				<u> </u>
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	Entity
CA	1	Arcata - Eureka	Arcata	ACV	Full	I	111,071			Humboldt County	123,389	
CA	2	Bakersfield	Meadows Field	BFL	Full	I	147,142			Kern County	628,605	
~		Developed to	Burbank/Glendale/Pasa		5		0.070.046			Burkensk Olenskele Bernskere A/B		
CA CA	3	Burbank Carlsbad	dena McClellan-Palomar	BUR CRQ	Full Full		2,376,645 78,364			Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena A/P San Diego County	415,016 2,722,650	
CA	5	Chico	Chico Municipal	CIC	Full		30,004			City of Chico	45,965	
CA	6	Concord	Buchanan Field	CCR	Full	I	236	Y		Contra Costa County	899,258	
CA	7	Fresno	Fresno Yosemite Int'l	FAT	Full	1	520,303			City of Fresno	396,011	_
CA	8	Long Beach	Long Beach/Daugherty Field	LGB	Full		455,927			City of Long Beach	421,904	
CA	9	Los Angeles	Los Angeles Int'l	LAX	Full	1	30,830,915			City of Los Angeles	3,553,638	
CA	10		Modesto/Harry Sham	MOD	Full	I	28,314			City of Modesto	178,559	
CA	11	Monterey	Monterey Peninsula	MRY	Full	1	258,605			Monterey Penin A/P District	27,722	Y
CA	12	Oakland Ontorio	Metro Oakland Int'l	OAK ONT	Full		4,850,517			Port of Oakland	367,230	
CA CA	13 14	Ontario Oxnard	Ontario Int'l Oxnard	OXR	Full Full		3,125,592 50,722			City of Los Angeles Ventura County	3,553,638 725,968	
CA	15		Palm Springs Regional	PSP	Full	i	645,926			City of Palm Springs	43,347	Y
CA	16		Redding Municipal	RDD	Full	I	74,606			City of Redding	76,616	
CA	17	Sacramento	Sacramento Int'l	SMF	Full	1	3,783,566			County of Sacramento	1,125,976	
CA	18	San Bernardino	San Bernardino Int'l	SBD	Full	1	1,363	Y		USAF	183,474	_
CA	19	San Diego	San Diego Int'l - Lindbergh Field	SAN	Full		7,636,623			San Diego Unified Port District	1,171,121	
CA	20		San Francisco Int'l	SFO	Full	i	19,249,988			City & County of SF	732,307	
CA	21	San Jose	San Jose Int'l	SJC	Full	I	5,582,359			City of San Jose	838,744	
CA	22	San Luis Obispo	San Luis Obispo Cty	SBP	Full	1	147,028			San Luis Obispo Cty	233,291	
CA	23	Santa Ana	John Wayne - Orange County	SNA	Full	1	3,739,968			Orange County	2,674,091	L
СА	24	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara Municipal	SBA	Full		407,737			City of Santa Barbara	86,154	
CA	25		Santa Maria Public	SMX	Full	i i	44,591			Santa Maria Public Airort District	67,012	
CA	26	Santa Rosa	Sonoma County	STS	Full	1	30,066			Sonoma County	428,609	
CA	27	South Lake Tahoe Stockton	Lake Tahoe Stockton Metro	TVL	Full	1	12,843	Y		South Lake Tahoe	23,301	
CA	28	SIUCKION	San Luis Valley	SCK	Full		187	Ť	<u> </u>	San Joaquin County	542,504	
со	1	Alamosa	Regional/Bergm	ALS	Full	1	4,298	Y		City & County of Alamosa	14,374	Y
CO	2	Aspen	Aspen-Pitkin Co/Sardy	ASE	Full	I	215,685			Pitkin County	13,577	Y
CO	3	Colorado Springs	Colorado Springs Muni.	COS	Full	1	1,223,324			City of Colorado Springs	345,127	
C0	4	Cortez	Cortez Municipal	CEZ	Full	1	8,220	Y		City of Cortez	<10000	Y
CO	<u>⊢</u> ∍	Denver	Denver Int'l Durango - LaPlata	DEN	Full	1	18,039,836			City & Cty of Denver	498,985	──
со	6	Durango	County	DRO	Full	1	96,647			City/La Plata County	40,145	Y
CO	7	Eagle	Eagle City Regional	EGE	Full	I	175,457			Eagle County	31,950	
			Fort Collins/Loveland									
CO	8	Fort Collins/Loveland	Municipal	FNL	Full	1	855	Y		Fort Collins & Loveland Walker Field Public Airport	149,119	—
со	9	Grand Junction	Walker Field	GJT	Full		137,793			Authority	34,540	Y
CO	10	Gunnison	Gunnison County	GUC	Full	i i	57,953			County of Gunnison	12,198	
		Steamboat Springs -										
C0	11	Hayden Montroco	Yampa Valley	HDN	Full		108,797		┣—	Routt County Montropo County	17,230	Y
C0 C0	12	Montrose Pueblo	Montrose Regional Pueblo Municipal	MTJ PUB	Full Full		70,799 5,656	Y	-	Montrose County City of Pueblo	30,278 99,406	
00	<u> </u>	1 33010	Garfield County	- 08			5,000	<u> </u>			55,400	
со		Rifle	Regional Steamboat	RIL	Full	1	138	Y	-	Garfield County	37,267	
co	15	Steamboat Springs	Springs/Adams Field	???	Full	1	-	Y	-	City of Steamboat Springs Telluride Regional Airport	<10,000	Y
CO		Telluride	Telluride Regional	TEX	Full	1	22,483			Authority	<10,000	Y
CT		Bridgeport	Sikorsky Memorial	BDR	Full	I	5,523	Y		City of Bridgeport	137,990	
CT	2	Groton - New London	Groton - New London Tweed-New Haven	GON	Full		12,292		-	State of CT City of New House	3,269,858	
CT CT	3	New Haven Windsor Locks	Bradley Int'l	HVN BDL	Full Full		44,883 3,148,196		╟──	City of New Haven State of CT	124,665 3,269,858	
DE	1	Wilmington	New Castle County	ILG	Full	I	44,551			Delaware River and Bay Auth.	474,838	
Pag		Totals:										
		o. of Airports:				49						
			0,000 Enplanements:			11						
	- N(of Airports that are 	Small Entities:			16			-			

			ass I Airports, March 20	, 1	ayes						Page	3 of 1
					Certificatio		CY - 99	<10,000			Population of	— ,
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Airport Owning Entity	Entity
FL	1	Daytona Beach	Daytona Beach Int'i	DAB	Full		275,231			Volusia County	429,797	
			Fort									
FL		Fort Lauderdale	Lauderdale/Hollywood	FLL	Full	1	6,932,142			Broward County	1,470,758	
FL FL		Fort Myers Gainesville	Southwest Florida Int'l Gainesville Regional	RSW GNV	Full Full		2,418,587 152,087			Lee County Port Auth City/Alachua Cty Auth	387,091 198,326	<u> </u>
FL FL		Jacksonville	Jacksonville Int'l	JAX	Full		2,445,231			Jacksonville Port Auth	679,792	
FL	6	Key West	Key West Int'l	EYW	Full		275,909			Monroe County	81,919	
FL		Marathon	Marathon	MTH	Full	i	20,169			Monroe County	81,919	
FL	8	Melbourne	Melbourne Int'l	MLB	Full		273,813			City of Melbourne	67,631	
FL	9	Miami	Miami Int'I	MIA	Full	I	16,531,295			Dade County	2,044,600	
FL		Naples	Naples Municipal	APF	Full	I	54,494			City Airport Authority	19,777	
FL		Orlando	Orlando Int'l	MCO	Full		14,026,868			Orlando Av. Auth.	173,902	
FL	12	Orlando	Orlando - Sanford	SFB	Full		426,570		 	Sanford A/P Auth.	35,559	Y
FL	13	Panama City	Panama City Bay Ca Inte	DEN	E		164 400			City/Boy County A/B District	146.222	
FL FL		Panama City Pensacola	Panama City-Bay Co Int'l Pensacola Regional	PFN PNS	Full Full		164,426 544,979			City/Bay County A/P District City of Pensacola	146,223 59,162	
FL		St. Petersburg - Clearwater	St. Petersburg/Clearwater Int'l	PIE	Full		381,730			Pinellas Cty	871,766	
_										Sarasota/Manatee Airport		
FL		Sarasota - Bradenton	Sarasota/Bradenton Int'l	SRQ	Full		763,215			Authority	538,803	
FL		Tallahassee -	Tallahassee Regional	TLH	Full		454,624			City of Tallahassee	138,612	
FL		Tampa Vero Beach	Tampa Int'i Vara Dasah Munisipal	TPA VRB	Full Full	1	7,490,117	v		Hillsborough Cty Avition Authority	909,444	
FL FL		West Palm Beach	Vero Beach Municipal Palm Beach Int'l	PBI	Full		105 2,877,039	Y		City of Vero Beach Palm Beach County	16,458 1,018,524	
GA		Albany	Southwest Georgia Reg.	ABY	Full		44,339			City/Dougherty County	95,800	
GA		Athens	Athens/Ben Epps	AHN	Full		11,234			Clarke County	91,042	
GA		Atlanta	Hartsfield Atlanta Int'l	ATL	Full		38,136,866			City of Atlanta	401,907	
GA	4	Augusta	Bush Field	AGS	Full	I	215,556			City of Augusta	41,783	
GA	5	Brunswick	Glynco Jetport	BQK	Full	1	24,492			Glynn County	66,650	
GA	6	Columbus	Columbus Metro	CSG	Full		93,512			Columbus A/P Comm.	182,828	
~	-		Middle Georgia	Luck.	F		20.207			Other of Manage	442.252	
GA GA	7	Macon Savannah	Regional Savannah Int'l	MCN SAV	Full Full		30,207 763,905			City of Macon Savannah A/P Comm.	113,352 136,262	
GA	9	Valdosta	Valdosta Regional	VLD	Full	1	32,695			City/Lowndes County A/P Auth.	83,980	
Н		Hilo	Hilo Int'l	ITO	Full		735,668			State of HI	1,186,602	
HI	2	Honolulu	Honolulu Int'i	HNL	Full	•	10,974,390			State of HI	1,186,602	
HI	3	Kahului	Kahului	OGG	Full	i	2,886,173			State of HI	1,186,602	
HI	4	Kailua/ Kona	Kona Int'i at Keahole	KOA	Full	i	1,271,744			State of HI	1,186,602	
HI	5	Kaunakakai	Molokai	MKK	Full	I	133,877		1	State of HI	1,186,602	
HI	6	Lahaina	Kapalua	JHM	Full		66,531			State of HI	1,186,602	
н	7	Lanai City	Lanai	LNY	Full	I	82,639			State of HI	1,186,602	
HI	8	Lihue	Lihue	LIH	Full		1,345,733			State of HI	1,186,602	
ID		Boise	Boise Air Terminal	BOI	Full	I	1,420,073			City of Boise	152,737	
ID	2	Hailey (Sun Valley)	Friedman Memorial	SUN	Full		67,632			City of Hailey	<10,000	
		Idaho Falls	Fanning Field	IDA	Full		120,699		<u> </u>	City of Idaho Falls	48,079	
		Lewiston Desetation	Lewiston-Nez Perce Co.				67,041			City/Nez Perce County	36,819	
		Pocatello Twin Falls	Pocatello Regional Magic Valley Regional	PIH TWF	Full Full		46,679			City of Pocatello City & County of Twin Falls	51,344	
U I	U	i will Falls	imagic valley Regional	IVVE			36,425			City & County of TWIN Falls	61,298	-
										J	<u> </u>	
Pag		Totals:						1		1		_
×	- No), of Airports:				43						
	- No - No), of Airports:	0,000 Enplanements:			43 1 7						

ppe	ndiə	c III - 6 Final Rule Cla	ass I Airports, March 20	001, P	age 4						Page	4 c
					Certificatio	on Status	CY - 99	<10,000				T
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	Entity
					<u> </u>							╞
L	1	Alton - St. Louis Regional	St. Louis Regional	ALN	Full	1	6	Y		St. Louis Regional	31,562	
L		Belleville	Scott AFB/Midamerica	BLV	Full		1,818	Ý		St. Clair County/USAF	233,866	
_	-		oronin bininganionita							Bloomington/Normal Airport		
L	3	Bloomington/Normal	Central Illinois Regional	BMI	Full	1	217,596			Authority	100,020	
_	4	Champaign/Urbana	University of Illinois	CMI	Full	1	133,845			University of Illinois	11,895,849	厂
_	5	Chicago	Midway	MDW	Full		6,218,667			City of Chicago	2,721,547	
L	6	Chicago	O'Hare Int'I	ORD	Full		34,050,083			City of Chicago	2,721,547	
_	7	Decatur	Decatur	DEC	Full	1	24,989			Decatur Park District	81,368	
-	8	Mattoon/Charleston	Coles County Memorial	MTO	Full	1	903			Coles County A/P Authority	51,312	
-	9	Moline - Quad Cities	Quad City Int'l	MLI	Full		378,616			Metropolitan A/P Auth.	102,650	4
	40	D vi -	Our stan De suis De sien st	I	E		24.0 704				443.300	
-		Peoria Outropy	Greater Peoria Regional	PIA	Full		219,791		╟──	Greater Peoria A/P Auth.	112,306	
-		Quincy Rockford	Quincy Greater Rockford	UIN RFD	Full Full		11,415 32,608			City of Quincy Greater Rockford A/P Auth.	39,681 148,531	
		Springfield	Capital	SPI	Full		80,755			Springfield A/P Auth.	112,921	
I		Evansville	Evansville Regional	EVV	Full		257,966		┢	City/Vanderburgh County	166,837	
	2	Fort Wayne	Fort Wayne Int'l	FWA	Full		346,784			Ft. Wayne/Allen Cty AA	312,091	
	3	Indianapolis	Indianapolis Int'l	IND	Full		3,736,811			Indianopolis A/P Auth	746,737	
	4	Lafavette	Purdue University	LAF	Full	i i	19,228			Purdue University	44,344	
	5	Muncie	Delaware County	MIE	Full	i	232	Y		Delaware County A/P Auth.	117,625	
	6	South Bend	Michiana Reg Trans Ctr	SBN	Full		485,602			St. Joseph County A/P Auth.	258,056	
		Terre Haute	Hulman Regional	HUF	Full	1	3,949	Y		Hulman Reg. Arpt. Auth	54,585	
١	1	Burlington	Burlington Regional	BRL	Full		18,828			SE lowa Reg A/P Auth	26,853	╡┝═
١	2	Cedar Rapids	Eastern Iowa Airport	CID	Full	1	464,277			Eastern IA A/P Comm.	113,472	
١	3	Des Moines	Des Moines Int'l	DSM	Full	1	849,603			City of Des Moines	193,422	1
	4	Dubuque	Dubuque Regional	DBQ	Full	1	55,555			City of Dubuque	57,312	1
	5	Ft. Dodge	Ft. Dodge Regional	FOD	Full		11,801			City of Fort Dodge	24,755	ίΓ
	6	Mason City	Mason City Municipal	MCW	Full		13,477			City of Mason City	28,972	1
			Sioux Gateway	SUX	Full		89,563			Airport Auth.	83,791	
1		Waterloo	Waterloo Municipal	ALO	Full		58,904			Waterloo Municipal A/P Comm.	66,467	
s	1	Dodge City	Dodge Regional	DDC	Full	I	5,818	Y		City of Dodge City	22,430	
s	2	Garden City	Garden City Regional	GCK	Full	1	10,943			City of Garden City	25,366	
s		Salina	Salina Municipal	SLN	Full		15,978			Salina A/P Auth.	44,176	
S	4	Topeka	Forbes Field	FOE	Full	1	11,157			Met. Topeka A/P Auth.	119,658	
s	5	Wichita	Mid-Continent Cincinnatti/Northern		Full		595,316			Wichita A/P Auth.	320,395	╞
r	1	Cincinnatti/Northern Kentucky	Kentucky Intnational Airport	CVG	Full	I	10,863,290			Kenton County Airport Board	364,040	
										Lexington/ Fayette County A/P		Г
Y_	2	Lexington	Blue Grass	LEX	Full	1	523,457			Auth.	239,874	
Y	3	Louisville	Louisville Int'l	SDF	Full		1,908,829			Regional A/P Auth.	260,689	4
			Owensboro - Daviess									
Y_	4	Owensboro	County	OWB		1	10,229			City/Daviess County	91,011	╀
Y	5	Paducah	Barkley Regional	PAH	Full		26,300			City of Paducah	26,601	⊥
A	1	Alexandria	Alexandria Int'l	AEX	Full	I	116,006			England Auth./Esler Indus. Devel.Dist.	46,051	
4	2	Alexandria	Alexandria Esler Reg.	ESF	Full	1	-	Y		Esler Industrial Development District	46,051	
			Baton Rouge Metro-		L							
A		Baton Rouge	Ryan Lafavatta Banianal	BTR	Full		410,386		I	East Baton Rouge Parish	394,249	
١	4	Lafayette	Lafayette Regional	LFT	Full		189,772		-	City/Parish of Lafayette	184,102	1
A	5	Lake Charles Pegional	Lake Charles Regional	LCH	Full	.	76,263			Calcasieu Parish	178,874	
A A	6	Monroe	Monroe Regional	MLU	Full		122,412			Calcasieu Parisri City of Monroe	54,588	
A	7	New Orleans	New Orleans Int'l	MSY	Full		4,735,571			City of New Orleans	476,625	
Ā	8	Shreveport	Shreveport Regional	SHV	Full		375,785			City of Shreveport	191,558	
E		Bangor	Bangor Int'l	BGR	Full	1	349,412			City of Bangor	31,649	≓⊨
E	2	Portland	Portland Int'l Jetport	PWM			678,852			City of Portland	63,123	
E	3	Presque Isle	No. Maine Regional	POI	Full	I	28,626			City of Presque Isle	<10,000	
D		Baltimore	Baltimore-Washinton Int'l	вмі	Full		8,681,738			State of MD	5.094.289	T
D		Salisbury	Salisbury-Ocean City-									T
			Wicomico Regional	SBY	Full		73,124			Wicomico County	79,318	
ag		Totals: o. of Airports:				51						T
			0,000 Enplanements:			6						+
	_ N4											
		 of Airports that are 				13						T

pe	ndix	(III-6 Proposed Cla	ass I Airports, March 20	01, Pa	ige 5						Page	5 o
Ct-to	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Certificatio Current (A)	on Status Proposed Class	CY - 99 Enplaned Passengers	<10,000 Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	IΞ
A	1	Boston	Logan Int'i	BOS	Full		13,183,145			Mass Port Auth.	558,394	
A	2		Barnstable Municipal	HYA	Full	•	208,508			Town of Barnstable	43,699	
Ā	3	Nantucket	Nantucket Memorial	ACK	Full		289,655		╟──	Town of Nantucket	7,508	
A	4	Vineyard Haven	Martha's Vineyard	MVY	Full	-	73,461		┣──	Dukes County	13,578	
A	5	Worcester	Worcester Regional	ORH	Full		24,758		╟──	City of Worcester	166,350	
								X	┢──			
		Benton Harbor	Southwest MI Reg	BEH	Full		5,513	Y		Benton Harbor/St Joseph Ct	61,234	
	2	Detroit	Detroit City	DET	Full		222,571			City of Detroit	1,000,272	
	3	Detroit	Detroit Wayne County	DTW	Full		16,982,496		┣—	Wayne County	2,127,087	
	4	Escanaba	Delta County	ESC	Full		20,550		┣──	Delta County	38,801	
	5	Flint	Bishop Int'l	FNT	Full		322,927			Bishop Intl A/P Auth.	134,881	
	6	Grand Rapids	Kent County Int'l	GRR	Full		907,773			Kent County	539,425	
	7	Hancock	Houghton County Int'l	CMX	Full	1	27,998			Houghton County	35,810	
	8	Kalamazoo	Kalamazoo/Btl Crk Int'l	AZO	Full		278,212			Kalamazoo County	229,192	
	9	Lansing	Capital City	LAN	Full		370,081			Capital Region A/P Auth.	125,736	i 📃
11	10	Marquette	Marquette County	SAW	Full		43,200			Marquette County	61,792	
1		Muskegon	Muskegon County	MKG	Full		46,241			Muskegon County	165,882	
		_	Pellston Regional									
u	12	Pellston	Airport of Emmet	PLN	Full	1	31,977			Emmet County	28,339	9
		Saginaw	MBS Int'l	MBS	Full		294,483			MBS Int'l	65,014	
		Traverse City	Cherry Capital	тус	Full		189,809			Grand Traverse & Leelanau Counties	91,916	
			Bemidji-Beltrami					-				1
N	1	Bemidji	County Brainerd-Crow Wing	BJI	Full	I	29,457			City/Beltrami County	38,709	
ΝΪ	2	Brainerd	Regional	BRD	Full	1	19,190			City/Crow Wing County	51,105	; I
N	3	Duluth - Superior	Duluth Int'l	DLH		i	140,835			City of Duluth	83,699	
	5	Dalati - Sapenoi			1.011	,	140,033				03,033	-
и	4	Grand Rapids	Grand Rapids/Itasca Cty	GPZ	Full		10,367			City/Itasca County	43,555	5
_		Hibbing				1			┣──	Chisholm-Hibbing Arprt.		
N	5		Chisholm-Hibbing	HIB	Full		15,709		┣──		17,600	
N	6	International Falls	Falls Int'l	INL	Full		22,460		╟──	City of International Falls	<10,000	╢
	-	Minnoonolio	Minneenelie Ct. Deul Intil	MSP	Full		45 600 000			Matra I/B Camm	640.004	
N	7	Minneapolis	Minneapolis-St. Paul Int'l			1	15,683,399		╟───	Metro A/P Comm.	618,391	
N	8	Rochester	Rochester Int'l	RST	Full	1	152,492		┣──	City of Rochester	75,638	
Ν	9	Thief River Falls	Thief River Falls Reg	TVF	Full		8,854	Y		City of Thief River Falls	<10,000	
			Golden Triangle									
s	1	Columbus/W Point	Regional	GTR	Full	I	44,976			Golden Regional Auth.	22,724	
s	2	Greenville	Mid Delta Regional	GLH	Full		13,265			City of Greenville	42,933	
s	3	Gulfport - Biloxi	Gulfport - Biloxi Reg	GPT	Full	I	400,976			G-B Regional A/P Auth.	113,243	3
s	4	Hattiesburg - Laurel	Hattiesburg-Laurel Reg	PIB	Full		12,331			Regional Authority	66,389	
s	5	Jackson	Jackson Int'i	JAN	Full	1	670,251			City of Jackson	192,923	
s	6	Meridian	Key Field	MEI	Full		30,991			Meridian A/P Auth.	40,835	
	-		Tupelo Muni-CD								1	1
s	7	Tupelo	Lemons	TUP	Full		15,494			A/P Auth.	35,194	ı II
5		Columbia	Columbia Reg	COU	Full		26,268			City of Columbia	76,756	
5	2	Joplin	Jopliin Regional	JLN	Full		28,877			City of Joplin	43,698	
;		Jopin Kansas City			Full							
	3	Kansas City Point Lookout	Kansas City Int'l	MCI PLK			5,760,037	N N	╟──	City of Kansas City	441,259	
)	4	St. Louis	M Graham Clark	STL	Full Full		71 15,075,992	Y	┣──	College of the Ozarks City of St. Louis	<10,000 351,565	
4	5		Lambert-St. Louis Int's Springfield-Branson	SIL	Full	1	15,075,992				351,565	╢
)		Springfield	Regional	SGF	Full		349,320			City of Springfield	143,407	
r	1	Billings	Billings Logan Int'l	BIL	Full	I	338,769			City of Billings	91,195	j 🗋
1		Bozeman	Gallatin Field	BZN	Full		223,006			Gallatin A/P Auth.	28,522	
-		Butte	Bert Mooney	BTM	Full		47,963			Bert Mooney A/P Auth.	34,051	
٦		Great Falls	Great Falls Int'l	GTF	Full		138,705			GTF A/P Auth.	55,758	
	5	Helena	Helena Regional	HLN	Full		79,166			Helena Regional A/P Auth	27,982	
r	6	Kalispell	Glacier Park Int'l	FCA	Full		146,942			Flathead Mun. A/P Auth	15,678	
r	7	Missoula	Missoula Int'i	MSO	Full	· ·	221,292			Missoula Cty. A/P Auth.	88,818	
												t
ıg		Totals:							_			
). of Airports:	10,000 Enplanements:			48						+
	- NO	. or Airports with < `				3			-			+-
-), of Airports that are	Consell Factor									

ppe	ndi	k III - 6 Final Rule - (Class I Airports, March 2	2001,	Page 6						Page	6 of
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Certificatio Current (A)	on Status Proposed Class	CY - 99 Enplaned Passengers	<10,000 Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	Entity
NE	1	Lincoln	Lincoln Municipal	LNK	Full	I	281,169			Lincoln A/P Auth.	209,192	
NE	2	Omaha	Eppley Airfield	OMA	Full		1,836,457			Omaha A/P Auth.	364,253	
w	1	Elko	Elko Muni-JC Harris Fld	ЕКО	Full	1	119,295			City of Elko	19,372	Υ
NV		Ely	Yelland Field	ELY	Full		1,763	Y		City of Liko	13,312	╟╌
V	3		McCarran Int'l	LAS	Full	I	16,055,319			Clark County	1,106,047	
NV	4		Renoe/Tahoe Int'l	RNO	Full	1	2,912,801			A/P of Washoe Cty.	305,792	
NV	5	Winnemucca	Winnemucca Municipal	WMC	Full		94	Y		City/Cty of Winnemucca	<10,000	
NH NH	1 2	Lebanon Manchester	Lebanon Municipal Manchester	LEB MHT	Full Full		20,152 1,397,024			City of Lebanon City of Manchester	12,571 100,967	
NH	3		Pease Int'l Tradeport	PSM	Full		72			Pease Development Auth.	25,034	
NJ	1	Atlantic City	Atlantic City Int'l	ACY	Full	I	481,998			FAA	265,283,783	
NJ	2	Newark	Newark Int'l	EWR	Full		16,927,048			NY/NJ Port Auth.	19,938,492	
NJ	3	Teterboro Trenton	Teterboro Trenton Mercer	TEB TTN	Full Full		10,433			NY/NJ Port Auth. Mercer County	19,938,492	
NJ MM		Albuquerque	Albuquerque Int'i	ABQ	Full		81,001 3,137,931			City of Albuquerque	329,786 429,681	
IM	2	Farmington	Four Corners Regional	FMN	Full	1	53,538			City of Farmington	37,936	
MM	3	Hobbs	Lea County/Hobbs	HOB	Full	1	2,512	Y		Lea County	56,387	
		Desurg	Roswell Industrial Air	Dette	_					Other of Decement		
MM	4	Roswell	Center	ROW			18,832			City of Roswell	47,559	
NY	1	Albany	Albany County Binghampton Reg/E A	ALB	Full	1	1,140,518			Albany County	294,312	-
NY	2	Binghamton	Link	BGM	Full	1	136,305			Broome County	198,734	
٩Y	3	Buffalo	Buffalo Niagara Int'I	BUF	Full	I	1,827,466			Niagra Frontier Transp. Auth.	310,548	
	Ι.		Elmira/Corning									
4Y 4Y	4	Elmira Islip	Regional Long Island MacArthur	ELM ISP	Full Full		108,124 942,379			Chemung County Town of Islip	93,088	
NY N	6	Ithaca	Tompkins County	ITH	Full		101,945			Tompkins County	96,646	-
	-		Chautauqa									
NY	7	Jamestown	Co/Jamestown	JHW	Full	1	20,827			Chautauqua County	140,015	
NY	8	Monticello	Sullivan County Int'l	MSV	Full	1	31	Y		Sullivan County	70,355	
NY NY		Newburgh New York	Stewart Int'l (Private) JFK Int'l	SWF JFK	Full Full		307,685 15,375,183			State of NY Port Auth. Of NY & NJ	18,137,226	+
NY		New York	La Guardia	LGA	Full		11,968,030			City of New York	7,380,906	
NY	12	Niagara Falls	Niagara Falls Int'l	IAG	Full		2,253	Y		Niagra Frontier Transp. Auth.	310,548	
NY		Ogdensburg	Ogdensburg Int'l	OGS	Full	1	2,659	Y		Ogdensburg Bridge & Port A	12,993	
NY NY		Plattsburgh Poughkeepsie	Clinton County Dutchess County	PLB POU	Full Full		12,138 5,905	Y		Clinton County Dutchess County	80,659 264,687	
NY NY		Rochester	Greater Rochester Int'l	ROC	Full		1,227,154	1	┢──	Monroe County	717,780	
NY		Saranac Lake	Adironack Reg	SLK	Full	i	5,272	Y		Town of Harrietstown	<10,000	
N/		Syracuse	Syracuse Hancock Int'l	SYR	Full	1	1,088,456			City of Syracuse	155,865	
NY NY	19		Oneida County	UCA	Full		10,901			Oneida County City of Wotortown	233,187	
NY NY	20	Watertown White Plains	Watertown Int'l Westchester County	ART HPN	Full Full		3,598 508,011	Y		City of Watertown Westchester County	28,700 896,221	
NC		Asheville	Asheville Regional	AVL	Full		283,144			City of Asheville	64,067	
IC I	2		Charlotte/Douglas Int'l	CLT	Full	-	10,618,589			City of Charlotte	441,297	
			Fayetteville Regional	_	_							
NC		Fayetteville Greensboro	/Grannis Field	FAY GSO	Full Full		157,906			City of Fayetteville	79,361	-
IC IC		Greensboro Greenville	Piedmont Triad Int'l Pitt-Greenville	PGV			1,382,198 43,756		┢──	GSO A/P Auth. Citv/Pitt Countv	195,426	
IC IC	6	Hickory	Hickory Regional	HKY	Full	i	21,532			City of Hickory	30,523	
IC	7	Jacksonville	Albert J Ellis	OAJ	Full		54,722			Onslow County	143,013	
		LCs at a	Kinston Regional							011/1		
IC IC		Kinston New Bern	Jetport Craven Cty Regional	ISO EWN	Full Full		13,057 73,882			City/Lenoir County Craven County	59,631 87,367	
IC IC		Raleigh/Durham	Raleigh-Durham Int'l	RDU	Full		4,394,220			RDU A/P Auth.	393,634	
IC I	11	Rocky Mount	Rocky Mount-Wilson	RWI	Full	1	7,678	Y		RWI A/P Auth.	92,566	
С	12	Southern Pines	Moore County	SOP	Full	1	20,238			Moore County	70,174	
C		Wilmington	New Hanover Int'l	ILM	Full		246,790			New Hanover County	147,642	
С	14	Winston Salem	Smith Reynolds	INT	Full		7,242	Y		A/P Comm of Forsyth Cty	285,807	╟
20	<u> </u>	Totals:][L						
ay		o. of Airports:		_		53			_			-
			0,000 Enplanements:			11						-
		o. of Airports that are				11						
		-										

ppe	ndi>	cIII - 6 Final Rule Cl	ass I Airports, March 20	01, P	age 7						Page	7 of
					Certificatio	on Status	CY - 99	<10,000				
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	Entity
ND	1	Bismarck	Bismarck Municipal	BIS	Full	I	129,327			City of Bismarck	53,514	
ND	2	Fargo	Hector Int'l	FAR	Full	I	226,385			City of Fargo Muncipal AA	83,778	
ND	3	Grand Forks	Grand Forks Int'l	GFK	Full	I	88,281			Grand Forks Regional AA	50,675	
ND	4	Minot	Minot Int'i	MOT	Full	I	74,333			City of Minot	35,926	\ ا
										Akron Canton Regional A/P		
OH	1	Akron - Canton	Akron-Canton Reg	CAK	Full		369,965			Auth.	297,961	1
OH	2	Cleveland	Hopkins Int'l	CLE	Full	1	6,089,380		<u> </u>	City of Cleveland	498,246	╟—
ЭН	3	Cleveland	Cuyahoga County	CGF	Full		67	Y		Cuyohoga County	1,386,803	╟—
ЭН	4	Cleveland	Burke Lakefront	BKL	Full	1	829	Y	<u> </u>	City of Cleveland	498,246	4
ЭН	5	Columbus	Ohio State University	OSU	Full	1	67	Y	<u> </u>	Ohio State University	11,186,331	╟—
ЭН	6	Columbus	Port Columbus Int'l	CMH	Full	1	3,366,430		<u> </u>	Columbus A/P Auth.	657,053	4
ЭН	7	Dayton	Cox Dayton Int'l	DAY	Full		1,115,756			City of Dayton	172,947	╟
OH	8	Toledo	Toledo Express	TOL	Full		248,017		I	Toledo-Lucas Co. A/P Auth.	451,325	╟
ЭН	9	Youngstown - Warren	Youngston-Warren Reg	YNG	Full		40,274			Western Reserve A/P Auth.	135,752	
ЭК	1	Lawton	Lawton-Ft Still Regional	LAW	Full	I	62,335			City of Lawton	82,582	
ж	2	Oklahoma City	Will Rogers World	OKC	Full	I	1,749,450			OK City Airport Trust	469,852	
0K	3	Tulsa	Tulsa Int'l	TUL	Full	1	1,711,539			City of Tulsa	378,491	
DR	1	Eugene	Mahlon Sweet Field	EUG	Full	I	359,388			City of Eugene	123,718	
)R	2	Klamath Falls	Klamath Falls Int'l	LMT	Full	I	33,729			City of Klamath Falls	18,580	
)R	3	Medford	Rogue Valley Int'l	MFR	Full	I	224,699			Jackson County	170,960	
)R	4	Pendleton	Eastern Oregon Reg.	PDT	Full	I	14,019			City of Pendleton	15,893	
)R	5	Portland	Portland Int'l	PDX	Full	1	6,749,174			The Port of Portland	480,824	
DR	6	Redmond	Roberts Field	RDM	Full	1	140,915			City of Redmond	10,618	
0R	7	Salem	McNary Field	SLE	Full	I	127	Y		City of Salem	122,566	
PA	1	Allentown	Lehigh Valley Int'l	ABE	Full	I	474.462			Lehigh-Northhampton AA	102.211	
PA	2	Altoona	Altoona-Blair County	A00	Full	-	16,969			Blair County A/P Auth.	130,923	
PA	3	Bradford	Bradford Regional	BFD	Full	1	13,131			Bradford A/P Auth.	10,577	
	-									Clearfield and Jefferson		
PA	4	Du Bois	Du Bois-Jefferson Co.	DUJ	Full	1	17,355			Counties	127,223	1
PA	5	Erie	Erie Int'l	ERI	Full	i	167,507			Erie Municipal A/P Auth.	105,270	┢
PA	6	Franklin	Venango Int'i	FKL	Full		7,077	Y		Venango County	58,067	
Ā	7	Harrisburg	Harrisburg Int'l	MDT	Full		715,924	-		Susquehanna Reg. A/P Auth.	50,886	1
Ā	8	Johnstown	Johnstown-Cambria Co	JST	Full	1	20,899			Johnstown/Cambria Cty AA	157,419	1
PA	9	Lancaster	Lancaster	LNS	Full	1	19,342			Lancaster A/P Auth.	53,597	1
PA	9 10	Latrobe	Westmoreland Co	LBE	Full	1	27,929			Westmoreland County A/P Auth.	374,673	1
	11	Philadelphia	Philadelphia Int'l	PHL	Full	1	11.762.140			City of Philadelphia	1,478,002	1
PA	12	Pittsburgh	Allegheny County	AGC	Full	1	322	Y		Allegheny County	1,280,624	1
PA	13		Pittsburgh Int'l	PIT	Full	1	9,302,650			Allegheny County	1,280,624	-
- A	13	Pittsburgh	Reading Reg/C A Spatz	FII	Full		9,302,050		 	Anegneny County	1,200,024	╟─
PA	4	Deading	Field	nne.	E		63.640			Deading Deg. #/D Juth	363.363	
_	14		University Park	RDG UNV	Full Full		52,519 126,945			Reading Reg. A/P Auth. Penn State University	352,353	╟—
PA	15	State College	University Park Wilkes-Barre/Scranton	UNV	FUII		120,945			Luzerne and Lackawanna	12,019,001	╟—
	4.6	William Derre	Wilkes-Barre/Scranton	0.VD	E		224.202				£20.024	
PA		Wilkes-Barre Williamsport	Williamsport Regional	AVP IPT	Full		234,292 46,519			Counties Williamsport Muni A/P Auth.	528,024	╟—
PA			· - ·		Full		· · ·				119,083	┢
RI	1	Providence	Green State	PVD	Full	I	2,556,183			State of RI	987,429	⊢
220	e 7 '	Totals:	<u> </u>		I						<u></u>	<u> </u>
). of Airports:				41						
			0,000 Enplanements:			6						
). of Airports that are				5						-
									-			-

ppe	ndix	III - 6 Final Rule Cli Clinic Clinic Clin	ass I Airports, March 20	01, P	age 8						Page	8 0
					Certificatio	on Status	CY - 99	<10,000				Т
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	lΞ
SC	1	Columbia	Columbia Metro	CAE	Full	I	563,577			City/Lexington County	200,371	Í
SC	2	Florence	Florence Regional	FLO	Full	1	57,123			City & County of Florence	124,379	J_
										Greenville-Spartanburg A/P		
SC	3	Greer	Greenville - Spartanburg	GSP	Full		753,892			Commission	593,503	
C	4	Hilton Head Island	Hilton Head	HXD	Full	1	100,194		I	Beaufort County	106,582	4
с	5	Myrtle Beach	Myrtle Beach International	MYR	Full		630,655			Horry County	144.053	
D			Aberdeen Regional	ABR			25,365		-	City of Aberdeen	25.088	
D	1	Aberdeen Pierre	Pierre Regional	PIR	Full Full		18,228			City of Pierre	13,422	
D	2	Rapid City	Rapid City Regional	RAP	Full		195,209			City of Rapid City	57,642	
D	3	Sioux Falls	Joe Foss Field	FSD	Full	1	357,227			Sioux Falls Regnl. A/P Auth.	113,223	
D		Watertown	Watertown Municipal	ATY	Full	1	9,324	Y		City of Watertown	19,619	
-		Bristol - Johnson City -										╧
Ν		Kingsport	TN/VA	TRI	Full	1	221,228			Three Cities	120,152	
i I	2	Chattanooga	Lovell Field	CHA	Full	1	303,689			Chattanooga Metro A/P Auth.	150,425	
N	3	Jackson	McKellar-Sipes Reg.	MKL	Full	1	6,671	Y		City/Madison Cty	84,795	
N	4	Knoxville	McGhee Tyson	TYS	Full	I	878,737			Metro Knoxville A/P Auth.	167,535	i
N	5	Memphis	Memphis Int'l	MEM	Full	I	5,211,305			Memphis/Shelby Cty A/P Auth.	596,725	
1	6	Nashville	Nashville Int'l	BNA	Full		4,207,731			Metro Nashville A/P Auth.	511,263	}
ĸ		Abilene	Abilene Regional	ABI	Full	1	47,984			City of Abilene	108,476	
K	2	Amarillo	Amarillo Int'i	AMA	Full	1	437,506			City of Amarillo	169,588	
(Austin	Austin-Bergstrom Int'l	AUS	Full	1	3,305,073			City of Austin	541,278	
(4	Beaumont/Port Arthur	Jefferson County	BPT	Full	1	97,537			Jefferson County	241,940	4
			Brownsville/South Padre									
ĸ		Brownsville	Island Easterwood Field	BRO BPT	Full Full		71,949			City of Brownsville Texas A&M University	132,091 58,757	
Â	6	College Station Corpus Christi	Corpus Christi	CRP	Full		97,537 449,672		╟──	City of Corpus Christi	280,260	
x	8	Dallas-Fort Worth	Dallas/Ft. Worth Int'l	DFW	Full	1	27,990,212		┣──	Cities of Dallas & Ft. Worth	1,533,008	
x		Dallas	Dallas Love Field	DAL	Full		3,415,478		-	City of Dallas	1,053,292	
x		El Paso	El Paso Int'l	ELP	Full	I	1,688,927			City of El Paso	599,865	
-		211 000					1,000,021			0.1, 0.1211 0.00		╟
x	11	Fort Worth	Fort Worth Meacham Int'l	FTW	Full	1	1,389	Y		City of Fort Worth	479,716	i i
х	12	Harlingen	Valley Int'i	HRL	Full	I	470,170			City of Harlingen	56,893	j 🗖
х		Houston	Ellington Field	EFD	Full		46,223			City of Houston	1,744,058	
х		Houston	William Hobby	HOU	Full	1	4,243,907			City of Houston	1,744,058	
x		Houston	Bush Intercontinental	IAH	Full	1	15,267,294			City of Houston	1,744,058	
X	16	Killeen	Killeen Municipal	ILE	Full	1	90,418		-	City of Killeen	78,022	
X	17	Laredo	Laredo Int'l	LRD	Full	1	87,739		I	City of Laredo	164,899	
x x	18	Longview	Gregg County	GGG	Full		30,497		┣──	Gregg County City of Lybbook	113,147	
x	19	Lubbock McAllen	Lubbock Int'l McAllen Miller Int'l	MFE	Full Full	1	565,547 311,237		-	City of Lubbock City of McAllen	193,565	
Â		Midland - Odessa	Midland Int'l	MAE	Full		485,623		-	City of Midland	97,162	
x	22	San Angelo	Mathis Field	SJT	Full		39,411			City of San Angelo	88,098	
x	23	San Antonio	San Antonio Int'i	SAT	Full	İ	3,403,544			City of San Antonio	1,067,816	
	-		Draughon-Miller Central	<u> </u>	1							1
x		Temple	Texas	TPL	Full	1	136	Y		City of Temple	51,394	
Х			Tyler Pounds Field	TYR	Full		74,233			City of Tyler	82,185	jΓ
x		Waco	Waco Regional	ACT	Full	1	67,045			City of Waco	108,412	
T		Salt Lake City	Salt Lake City Int'l	SLC	Full	1	9,453,726			Salt Lake City	172,575	
T		Wendover	Wendover	ENV	Full	I				Tooele County	26,601	
T	1	Burlington	Burlington Int'l	BTV	Full	1	434,111			City of Burlington	39,004	١L
												L
ag		Totals:							_			
		o. of Airports:	0.000 []			45						+
		 of Airports with < 1 of Airports that are 				4			-			+
	- NO	5. of Allports that are	Small Endues:			1			-			+

_	ndi>	x III - 1 Final Rule Cl	ass I Airports, March 20	001, P	age 9						Page	9 0
					Certificatio	on Status	CY - 99	<10,000				
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	
/A	1	Charlottesville	Charlottesville- Albemarle	сно	Full	1	171,150			Charlottesville-Albemarle AA	40,767	,
/A	2	Danville	Danville Regional	DAN	Full		49	Y		City of Danville	53,472	
			Lynchburg Regional /								· · · · ·	┢
/A	3	Lynchburg	Glenn Field	LYH	Full	I.	85,822			City of Lynchburg	67,250	
		Newport News -	Newport News -									
/A		Williasmburg	Williamsburg	PHF	Full	1	217,047			Peninsula A/P Comm.	189,044	
/A		Norfolk	Norfolk Int'l	ORF	Full	1	1,494,396			Norfolk A/P Auth.	233,430	
/A	6	Richmond	Richmond Int'l	RIC	Full	1	1,318,137			Capital Region A/P Comm.	198,267	
/A	7	Roanoke	Roanoke Regional	ROA	Full	1	346,365			Roanoke Regional A/P Comm	95,548	4
A/	8	Ctounton Milounoohoro	Shenandoah Valley	знр	Full		16 404			Shenandoah Valley Regional A/P	40.700	
/A /A		Staunton/Waynesboro Washington, DC	Regional Dulles International	IAD	Full		16,494 9,400,078			Comm. USA	43,728 273,230,855	
/A		Washington, DC	Reagan National	DCA	Full		7,166,772			USA	273,230,855	
IA		Bellingham	Bellingham Intl	BLI	Full		97,406			Port of Bellingham	152,512	=
IA IA	2	Bremerton	Bremerton National	PWT	Full	•	553	Y		Port of Bremerton	231,741	
A	3	Everett	Snohomish County	PAE	Full	i	136	Ý		Snohomish County	564,610	
A	4	Moses Lake	Grant County	MWH	Full	i	11,861			Port of Moses Lake	13,984	
A	5	Pasco	Tri-Cities	PSC	Full	I	206,105			Port of Pasco	103,836	
А	6	Port Angeles	William B. Fairchild Int'l	CLM	Full	I	28,201			Port of Port Angeles	18,674	
		_								Pullman/Moscow Regional		
A	7	Pullman/Moscow	Pullman/Moscow Reg	PUW	Full	I	34,887			Airport Board	44,744	۱L
A	8	Seattle	Seattle-Tacoma Int'l	SEA	Full	I	13,610,469			Port of Seattle	524,704	
A	9	Seattle	Boeing Field	BFI	Full	1	11,536			King County	1,632,852	
A	10	Spokane	Spokane Int'l	GEG	Full	1	1,516,688			City & County of Spokane	404,650	
A	11	Spokane	Fairchild AFB	SKA	Full	1	-	Y		USAF	265,283,783	
A -	12	Walla Walla	Walla Walla Regional	ALW	Full		31,166			Port of Walla Walla	53,501	
A		Wenatchee Yakima	Pangborn Memorial Yakima Air Terminal	EAT YKM	Full		52,855			Ports of Chelan & Douglas Ct City & County of Yakima	93,201	
A	4			TKIW	Full		89,569				218,318	1
v		Beckley	Raleigh City Memorial	вкw	Full	1	3,212	Y		Raleigh County Airport Authority	76,819	
V	2	Charleston	Yeager	CRW	Full	1	266,679			Central WV Reg A/P Auth.	56,098	
v	3	Clarksburg	Benedum	CKB	Full		16,276			Benedum Arpt. Auth.	17,410	
V	4	Huntington	Tri-State/Ferguson Field	HTS	Full		62,609			Tri-State A/P Auth.	53,941	
	l - l	Lewisburg - Greenbrier	Greenbrien Valley	LWB	Full	I	12,771			Greenbrier County A/P Auth.	35,502	2
v	5			110101			21,561			City of Morgantown	26,919	3
v	5 6	Morgantown	Morgantown Municipal	MGW	Full	1	21,001					
V	6	Morgantown	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb			1						
v	6 7	Morgantown Parkersburg	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson	РКВ	Full		25,677			Wood County A/P Auth.	87,029	
v v	6 7 1	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County	PKB ATW	Full Full		25,677 266,629			Outagamie County	154,175	
/	6 7 1 2	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg.	PKB ATW EAU	Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611			Outagamie County Eau Claire County	154,175 89,237	
/ / 1	6 7 1 2 3	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'I	PKB ATW EAU GRB	Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886			Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County	154,175 89,237 214,244	7
V 1 1	6 7 1 2 3 4	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'I La Crosse Muni	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE	Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640			Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212	5 7 1
V 1 1 1	6 7 1 2 3 4 5	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN	Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272			Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511	
V V 11 11 11 11	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'l	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE	Full Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677			Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940	
V 1 1 1 1	6 7 2 3 4 5 6 7	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int ¹ La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int ¹ Central Wisconsin	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA	Full Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980			Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198	
V 1 1 1	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'I La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'I Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH	Full Full Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebage County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934	
/ / 1 1 1 1 1	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'l Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co.	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHI	Full Full Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'I La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'I Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH	Full Full Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebage County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'i La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'i Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'i	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHI CPR	Full Full Full Full Full Full Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'l Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'l Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHI CPR CYS COD GCC	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 9 1 2 3 4 5	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'l Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'l Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHI CPR CYS COD GCC JAC	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 165,595	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County Othy of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int ¹ La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int ¹ Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Matrona Cty Int ¹ Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHI CYS COD GCC JAC LAR	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 165,595 11,589	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board City/Albary County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 9 1 2 3 4 5	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int1 La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int1 Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int1 Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHI CPR CYS COD GCC JAC	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 165,595	Y		Outagamie County Eau Claire County Brown County Othy of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'i La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'i Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'i Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional Riverton Regional Rock Springs-	PKB EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RHR CVSD COD GCC JAC RIW	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 165,595 11,589 13,327	Y		Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board CityAlbany County City of Riverton	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton Rock Springs	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'l Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'l Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional Riverton Regional Rock Springs- Sweetwaer	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RH CYS COD GCC JAC LAR RIW RKS	Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 15,356 15,555 11,589 13,327 10,311	Y		Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board City/Albany County City of Riverton City of Rock Springs	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton Rock Springs Sheridan	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'i La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'i Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'i Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional Riverton Regional Rock Springs-	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CCWA OSH RHI CYS COD GCC CVS COD GCC LAR RIW RKS SHR	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 15,355 11,589 13,327 10,311 15,052	Y		Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebago County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board CityAlbany County City of Riverton	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton Rock Springs Sheridan	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int ¹¹ La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int ¹¹ Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int¹¹ Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional Riverton Regional Rock Springs- Sweetwaer Sheridan County	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CWA OSH RH CYS COD GCC JAC LAR RIW RKS	Full Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 15,356 15,555 11,589 13,327 10,311			Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebage County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board City/Albany County City of Riverton City of Rock Springs Sheridan County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 e 9	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton Rock Springs Sheridan Worland Totals:	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int ¹¹ La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int ¹¹ Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int¹¹ Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional Riverton Regional Rock Springs- Sweetwaer Sheridan County	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CCWA OSH RHI CYS COD GCC CVS COD GCC LAR RIW RKS SHR	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 165,595 11,589 13,327 10,311 15,052 2,747			Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebage County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board City/Albany County City of Riverton City of Rock Springs Sheridan County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 0 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 7 8 9 1 7 8 9 1 7 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 7 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 7 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 9 1 8 7 8 9 1 8 1 8	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cody - Yellowstone Goillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton Rock Springs Sheridan Worland Totals: o. of Airports:	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'l La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'l Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Natrona Cty Int'l Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Riverton Regional Riverton Regional Rock Springs- Sweetwaer Sheridan County Worland Municipal	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CCWA OSH RHI CYS COD GCC CVS COD GCC LAR RIW RKS SHR	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 15,356 15,555 11,589 13,327 10,311 15,052 2,747			Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebage County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board City/Albany County City of Riverton City of Rock Springs Sheridan County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	
	6 7 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 8 9 1 0 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Morgantown Parkersburg Appleton Eau Claire Green Bay La Crosse Madison Milwaukee Mosinee Oshkosh Rhinelander Casper Cheyenne Cody - Yellowstone Gillette Jackson Hole Laramie Riverton Rock Springs Sheridan Worland Totals:	Wood Co Arpt Gill Robb Wilson Outagamie County Chippewa Valley Reg. Austin Straubel Int'i La Crosse Muni Dane Cty Regional General Mitchell Int'i Central Wisconsin Wiiman Regional Rhinelander-Oneida Co. Matrona Cty Int'i Cheyenne Yellowstone Regional Gillette-Campbell Cty Jackson Hole Laramie Regional Rivetton Regional Rock Springs- Sweetwaer Sheridan County Worland Municipal	PKB ATW EAU GRB LSE MSN MKE CCWA OSH RHI CYS COD GCC CVS COD GCC LAR RIW RKS SHR	Full		25,677 266,629 20,611 352,886 113,640 681,272 2,962,677 142,980 4,382 38,651 66,184 20,520 28,326 15,356 165,595 11,589 13,327 10,311 15,052 2,747			Outragamie County Eau Claire County Brown County Brown County City of La Crosse Dane County Milwaukee County Marathon & Portage Counties Winnebage County Rhinelander and Oneida County Natrona County Natrona County Cheyenne Airport Board City of Cody Campbell County Jackson Hole Airport Board City/Albany County City of Riverton City of Rock Springs Sheridan County	154,175 89,237 214,244 50,212 397,511 908,940 187,198 149,934 35,697 63,638 79,175 <10,000	

Appe	ndix	c III - 1 Final Rule Classical Stress of the second sec	ass I Airports, March 20)01, P	age 10						Page 1	10 of 10
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Certificatio Current (A)	on Status Proposed Class	CY - 99 Enplaned Passengers	<10,000 Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population of Airport Owning Entity	Small Entity
Othe	er U	S Airports										
Ame		an Samoa										
AQ	1	Pago Pago	Pago Pago Int'i	PPG	Full		57,625			Govt. of American Samoa	56,911	
Gua	m											
GU		Agana	Guam International	GUM	Full		1,550,245			U.S. Navy	248,709,873	
Mid												
	1	Sand Island	Hendersen Field	MDY	Full		N.A.			N.A.	N.A.	
Nort	th M	ariana Islands										
СМ		Rota Island	Rota Island	GRO	Full		36,762			Commonwealth Ports Authority	56,157	
СМ	2	Saipan Island	Saipan Int'l	GSN	Full	1	576,989			Commonwealth Ports Authority	56,157	
СМ		Tinian Island	West Tinian	TNI	Full		39,173			Commonwealth Ports Authority	56,157	
Pue												
PR	1	Aguadilla	Rafael Hernandez	BQN	Full		6,052	Y		Puerto Rico Ports Authority	3,731,000	
			Eugenio Maria De		F					Russia Rise Resta Authority		
PR PR	4	Mayaguez Ponce	Hostos Mercedita	MAZ PSE	Full Full		26,093 9,234	Y		Puerto Rico Ports Authority Puerto Rico Ports Authority	3,731,000 3,731,000	
PR		San Juan	Luis Munoz Marin Int'l	SJU	Full		4,760,643			Puerto Rico Ports Authority	3,731,000	
		gin Islands		000		<u> </u>	4,700,043				3,731,000	
VI		Charlotte Amalie	Cyril E. King	SΠ	Full	1	529,705		┢──	VI Port Authority	113,897	
VI		Christiansted	Henry E. Rohlsen	STX	Full		233,647			VI Port Authority	113,897	-
	ب				1 011		200,041		┢──		110,001	
Pag	e 10	Totals:	Л	I <u> </u>	JL	1	Л			<u>n</u>		
		o. of Airports:				12			-			
			0,000 Enplanements:			2			-			
		o. of Airports that are				0						
Clas	s I A	Airport Totals:										
									-			
				Airpo	rts							
					<10,000	Small						
		Page	Number		Enpax	Entity						
		1	44		7	4						
		2	49		11							
		3	43		1							
		4	51		6							
		5	48		3							
		6	53		11							
		7	41		6							
		8	45		4							
		9	50		7							
		10	12		2		1		-			
		Totals:	436		58	101						
									-			-
		ata Provided by:										
1. FA		noue Purequ							-			
2. 0.	э. Ce	ensus Bureau							-			
		1								1		

A	pp	endix III - 2	2 Final Rule	Clas	ss II Ai	rports,	March 20	01			Page 1	0
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	Ю	Certifica Current (A)	tion Status Proposed Class	CY - 99 Enplaned Passengers	<10,000 Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population - 1990	chuty
								-	1			╘
L	1	Mobi e Talladago	Mobile Downtown	3FM	L mited		1/12	Y	-	Mobile A/P Auth.	378,6/13	
L	2	Talladega	Talladega Municipal	ASN	I mited		41	Y	┢	City of Talladega	26,179	
Z Z	1	Kingman	Kingmar Page Municipal	IGM	L mited		2,574 23,979	Y	-	City or Kingman City of Page	12,722	
<u> </u>	2	Page	raye Municipai	⊃GA	L mited	Ш	20,819			Williams Gatewav	6,598	
z	3	Phoenix	Williams Gateway	IVVA	L mited	Ш	_	Y		Airport Authority	319,946	
2	4	Prescott	Ernest Love Field	PRC	L mited		5,543	Ý	╞	City of Prescott	26,455	
_	<u> </u>				2 11120 0				1	Cosnell Reg. Arpt.	20,100	ϯ
R	1	Bytheville	Arkansas Int'l	BYH	L mited	Ш	23	Y		Auth.	22,906	
2	2	Hot Springs	Memorial Field	нэт	L mited	11	2,411	Y		City	32,462	
	í								Ī			T
Ą	1	Crescent City	Jack McNamara Field	CEC	L mited	Ш	12,289			Del Norte County	23,460	
À	2	Paso Robles	Paso Robles Munic.	PRB	L mited		260	Y		City of Pasc Robles	18,583	
À	3	V ctorv lle	Southerr CA Intl	VCV	L mited		45,251		1	VVEDA	10,674	
ł	4	V sal a	Visal a Municipal	VIS	L mited		10,255			City of Visalia	/5,636	
)	1	Akron	Akron-Washington Cty	AKO	L mited		222	Y		Town of Akron	2,559	
)	2	Lamar	Lamar Municipal	LAA	L mited		1,433	Ý		City of Lamar	8,343	
Γ	1	Danbury	Danbury Municipal	DXR	L mited	=	113	Y		City of Danbury	35,585	
	2	Hartford	Hartford - Brainard	HFD	L mited		2,475	Y		State of CT	3 237,116	
	1	Lake and	Lake and Linder Reg.	I AI	l mited		30	Y		City of Lakeland	70,576	
	2	Ocala	Ocala Regional	COCF	L mited		62	Y		City of Ccala	42,045	
	3	Punta Gorda	Charlotte County	PCD	L mited	11	51	Y		Charlotte County	110,975	
	4	St. Augustine	St. Augustine	SGJ	L mited	11	23	Y		St. Augustine AA	49,229	
	5	Titusville	Space Coast Regional	ТΙΧ	L mited	Ш	Э	Y		Titusville Cocoa Eeach A/P Auth	39,394	
		Titusville	NASA Shuttle Landing	1000	1					NASA	205 222 702	
_	6			X68	L mited		-	Y	┢		265 283,783	╬
ł	1	Rome	Richard B. Russe	RMG	l mited		2	Y	⊢	Hoyd County	81,251	╬
	1	Carbondale - Murphysboro	Southerr Iliros	мон	L mited	Ш	103	Y		Southern III. Act. Auth.	42,508	
	2	Marion	Wiliamson Cty Reg	MWA	L mited	Ш	10,108			Williamson County A/P Auth	57,733	
	3	Mourt Venicri	Mourt Venion	MVN	L mited		305	Ý	-	ML Venori AA	16,988	
_		Storling - Rock	Nogre Vomen	IVIVIN	Linited		303		╟─	THE. YO HOILYNY	10,300	╉
	4	Falls	Whiteside Courty	sai	L mited	Ш	231	Y		Whiteside County	60,186	
	1	Anderson	Anderson Municipal	AID	I mited		10	Y	1	City of Anderson	59,459	┛╘
_	2	Bloomington	Monroe County	BMG	L mited	1	1,408	Y		Monroe County	108,978	
	3	Columbus	Columbus Municipal	BAK	L mited		29	Y		City of Columbus	31,802	T
	4	Ekhart	Elkhart Municipal	EKM	L mited		180	Y		City of Elkhart	43,627	
	5	Gary	Gary/Chicago	GYY	L mited	1	2,475	Y		Gary AA District	116,646	
	6	Indianapolis	Mt. Comfort	VQJ	L mited	Ш	51	Y		Indianapolis AA Porter County, Muni	731,278	
	1	Valoaraiso	Porter Co. Municipal	VZ	L mited	Ш	165	Y		A/P Auth.	128,932	
	1	Clinton	Clinton Municipal	CWI	L mited		100	Y	┢	City of Clinton	29,201	ϯ
	2	Ottumwa	Ottumwa Industrial	OTM	L mited		1,317	Y		City of Ctturnwa	29,201	
		Goodland	Ronnor Field / Goodland Municipal	GLD	L mited		1,079	Y		City of Goodland	4,983	T
;		Great Bend	Great Bend Municipal				3.029	Ý	1	City of Great Bend	1.976	
}		Hays	Hays Municipal	HYS	L mited		7,143	Y	1	City of Hays	8,364	┉
;		Hutchinson	Hutchinson Munic pal	TLH	L mited		97	Ŷ	1	City of Hutchinson	39,308	
6	5	Liberal	Libəral Municipal	LBL	L mited		7,318	Ý	1	City of L beral	16,573	
3	6	Manhattan	Manhatan Regional	M IK	L mited		19,903			City of Manhattan	9,191	
3	7	Olathe	New Century Aircenter	IXD	L mitəd		63	Y		Johnson County	35,054	ſ
	1	Bowling Green	Bowling Green/Warren County Regional	BWG	L mited	11	311	Y		City/Warren County	117,314	
		Lalia Chailea	Channes It Is-II							Chennault Industria	70 500	
((Lake Charles New bena	Chennault In:'l Acadiana Regional	CW=	L mited		55	Y Y	-	Airoark Iberia Parish	70,580	
	2	New Orleans	Lakeiront		L mited		277	Y		Orleans Levee Distric:	31,828	╏
_				NEW	L mitod		2,217	Ť			436,938	t
2		Totals:										
		 Of Airports: Of Airports with 	< 10,000 enplanement			47			-			+
		o. Of Airports with o. of Airports that :		.ອ.		41 28						
	es:											T
λ.	Lim	ited – Limited Airoc	it Operating Certificate (LAOC)				1			

A	pp	endix III - 2	2 Final Rule C	Clas	s II Air	rports, N	Aarch 200	1			Page 2	of
S	7					tion Status	CY - 99	<10,000	m			Щ
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population - 1990	Entity
ИD	1	Hagerstown	Washington Co. Reg.	HGR	Limited		27,050			Washington County	121,393	
			Laurence Hanscom									
٨N	1	Bedford	Field	BED	Limited		14,743			Mass Port Auth.	574,283	
1A	2	Westfield	Barnes Municipal	BAF	Limited		21	Y		City of Westfield	38,372	
NI	1	Alpena	Alpena Co. Regional	APN	Limited		10,263			Alpena County	30,605	ľ
NI I	2	Battle Creek	W K Kellog	BTL	Limited		452	Y		City of Battle Creek	53,540	+
/I	3	Bellaire Detroit	Antrim County Willow Run	ACB YIP	Limited			Y		Antrim County	18,185	
NI NI	4	Gaylord	Otsego County	GLR	Limited Limited		3,046	Y Y		Wayne County Otsego County	2,111,687 17,957	
11	0	Iron Mountain/	Olsego County	GLR	Liniteu	11	3	Ť	-	Olsego County	11,951	╟
NI I	6	Kingsford	Ford	IMT	Limited	1	9,220	Y		Dickinson County	26,831	
MI	7	Ironwood	Gogebic-Iron Co.	IWD	Limited		1.943	Ý		Gogebic County	18,052	
		Menominee-	Menominee-Marinette							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		╞
NI.	8	Marinette	Twin Co.	MNM	Limited		66	Y		Both Counties	65,468	
/1	9	Pontiac	Oakland Co. Int'l	PTK	Limited		8,585	Y		Oakland County	1,083,592	
										Chippewa County		
/1	10	Sault Ste. Marie	Chippewa Int'l	CIU	Limited		14,937			EDC	34,604	
IN	1	Fairmont	Fairmont Municipal	FRM	Limited		768	Y		City of Fairmont	277	
Ν	2	Mankato	Mankato Municipal	MKT	Limited		12	Y		City of Mankato	41,632	
Ν	3	St. Cloud	St. Cloud Regional	STC	Limited	I	25,439			City of St. Cloud	48,812	Ļ
s	1	Bay St. Louis	Stennis Int'l	HSA	Limited	1	_	Y		Port & Harbour Commission	<10.000	
			Hardy-Anders Field		Ennitod							T
s	2	Natchez	Natchez	HEZ	Limited		45	Y	-	City/Adams County University of	54,816	
s	3	Oxford	University-Oxford	UOX	Limited	Ш	266	Y		Mississippi	2,575,475	
s	4	Pascagoula	Trent Lott Int'l	PQL	Limited		3	Y		Jackson County	115,243	
Т	1	West Yellowstone	Yellowstone	WYS	Limited		4,998	Y		State of MT	799,065	1
Е	1	Alliance	Alliance Municipal	AIA	Limited		1,497	Y		Alliance AA	9,765	Ť
Е	2	Grand Island	Central NE Regional	GRI	Limited		13,063			Hall County AA	48,925	┮
Е	3	Hastings	Hastings Municipal	HSI	Limited		44	Y		Hastings AA	22,837	
Е	4	McCook	McCook Municipal	MCK	Limited		2,307	Y		City of McCook	8,112	Γ
Е	5	Norfolk	Karl Stefan Municipal	OFK	Limited		1,903	Y		City of Norfolk	21,476	
Ε	6	North Platte	North Platte Regional	LBF	Limited		8,094	Y		North Platte AA	22,605	
Ε	7	Scottsbluff	William Heilig Field	BFF	Limited		12,219			Scottsbluff County	13,711	
N	1	Las Cruces	Las Cruces Int'l	LRU	Limited		2,995	Y		City of Las Cruces	62,126	
М	2	Ruidoso	Sierra Blanca Reg.	SRR	Limited		297	Y		Village of Ruidoso	7,323	
Y	1	Farmingdale	Republic	FRG	Limited		2,147	Y		State	17,990,778	
Y	2	Glens Falls	Warren County	GFL	Limited		21	Y		Warren County	59,209	
			Massena Int'l -							T		
Y	-	Massena	Richards Field	MSS	Limited		4,110	Y		Town of Massena	13,826	
D	1	Devils Lake	Devils Lake Municipal	DVL	Limited		3,194	Y		Devils Lake AA	7,782	
D	2	Jamestown	Jamestown Municipal	JMS	Limited		3,003	Y		Jamestown AA	15,571	┢
D	3	Williston	Sloulin Field Int'l	ISN	Limited	I	5,613	Y	<u> </u>	City of Williston	13,131	<u> </u>
н	1	Cincinnati	Cincinnati Municipal - Lunken Field	LUK	Limited	П	448	Y		City of Cincinnati	345,818	
и Н	2	Columbus	Rickenbacker Int'l	LCK	Limited		663	ř Y		DOD/RPA	265,283,783	
							003			Lorain County		1
н	3	Lorain/Elyria	Lorain County Reg.	22G	Limited	I	-	Y		Regional AA	271,126	
н	4	Mansfield	Mansfield Lahm Munic.	MFD	Limited	I	162	Y		City of Mansfield	50,627	
н	5	Springfield	Springfield-Beckley Municipal	SGH	Limited	1	11	Y		City of Springfield	70.487	
н	6	Wilmington	Airborne Airpark	ILN	Limited			Y		ABX Air, Inc.	11,199	-
ĸ	1	Stillwater	Stillwater Municipal	SWO	Limited		1,382	Y		City	36,676	
												L
Pa		2 Totals:				42						
_		o of Airports:	10,000 enplanements			43 36			-			-
			are Small Entities:	•		25			-			+
			as shian Endice.			20						

Ар	ре	ndix III - 2	Final Rule C	lass	ll Airp	orts, M	arch 2001				Page 3	of 3
					Certifica	tion Status	CY - 99	<10,000				
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ID	Current (A)	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population - 1990	Entity
OR	1	Astoria	Astoria Regional	AST	Limited		94	Y	┢──	Port of Astoria	10,069	Y
0R	2	Corvallis	Corvallis Municipal	CVO	Limited		1,120	Y		City of Corvallis	44,757	Y
0R	3	McMinnville	McMinnville Municipal	MMV	Limited		34	Y		City of McMinnville	17,894	Y
0R	4	Newport	Newport Municipal	ONP	Limited		2,699	Y		City of Newport	8,437	Y
0R	5	North Bend	North Bend Municipal	OTH	Limited		29,886			City of North Bend	9,614	Y
sc	1	Anderson	Anderson County	AND	Limited		69	Y		Anderson County	145,196	
sc	2	Greenville	Donaldson Center	GYH	Limited		35	Y		City/County	320,167	
SD	1	Brookings	Brookings Municipal	BKX	Limited		1,623	Y		City of Brookings	16,270	Y
SD	2	Huron	Huron Regional	HON	Limited		3,480	Y		City of Huron	12,448	Y
SD	3	Mitchell	Mitchell Municipal	MHE	Limited		18	Y		City of Mitchell	13,798	Y
SD	4	Yankton	Chan Gurney Mun.	YKN	Limited		1,311	Y		City of Yankton	12,703	Y
ТΧ	1	Fort Worth	Fort Worth Alliance	AFW	Limited		42	Y		City of Fort Worth	447,619	
ТΧ	2	Galveston	Scholes Field	GLS	Limited		2,965	Y		City of Galveston	60,054	
ТΧ	3	Paris	Cox Field	PRX	Limited		10	Y		City of Paris	24,694	Y
ТΧ	4	Victoria	Victoria Regional	VCT	Limited		20,016			Victoria County	74,361	
UT	1	Cedar City	Cedar City Regional	CDC	Limited		8,398	Y	1	Cedar City Corp.	17,309	Y
UT	2	Ogden	Ogden-Hinckley	OGD	Limited		199	Ý		Ogden City Corp.	63,909	
UT	3	St. George	St. George Municipal	SGU	Limited		33,707			City of St. George	38,227	ΤY
VT	1	Rutland	Rutland State	RUT	Limited		3,628	Y		State of VT	562,758	1
WA	1	Olympia	Olympia	OLM	Limited	 	147	Ý	╆──	Port of Olympia	33,840	Υ
WI	1	Janesville	Rock County	JVL	Limited		147	I		Rock County	139,510	┼╌
WI	2	Kenosha	Kenosha Regional	ENW	Limited					City of Kenosha	80,352	
												1
Pag		Totals:										
		o of Airports:				22						
			10,000 enplanements	s:		17						
	- No	o. of Airports that a	re Small Entities:			13						
Cla	ISS	l Airport Totals	:				1					_
						Airport						
							.5					
						<10,000 Enplaned						
				Pg.	No.	Pax	Small Entity					
				1	47	41	28					
				2	43	36	25					
				3	22	18	13					
				Totals	112	95	66					
Sourc	e:D	ata Provided by:										
1. F/	٩A								-			-
		ensus Bureau							1			
2. U.	S. C	ciisus Duicau										

pen	dix III-3	3 - Class III Airpo T	rts, Proposed Final List, July '	1,2001							Page 1 of 1	┢
State	No.	Associated City	Airport Name	ю	Certifica Current	tion Status Proposed Class	CY - 1999 Enplaned Passengers	< 10,000 Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population - 1990	enuy
z	1	Lake Havasu City	Lake Havasu City	ніі	None		9,119	Y		City	24,363	ſ
z	2	Show Low	Show Low Municipal	sow	None		3,905	Y		City	5,019	╋
R	1	El Dorado	South AR Regional	ELD	None		1,519	Ŷ	Y	City	23,146	┢
R	2	Harrison	Boone County	HRO	None		1,900	Υ	Y	Boone County	28,297	╋
R	3	Jonesboro	Jonesboro Municipal	JBR	None		2,345	Y	Y	City	46,535	┢
R	4	Mountain Home	Baxter Co. Regional	BPK	None	 	4,340	Y	-	Baxter County	31,186	╀
A	1			IPL			24,834	•		Imperial County	109,303	┢
A	2	Imperial Inyokern	Imperial County Inyokern	IYK	None None		9,089	Υ		Indian Wells Apt. Dist.	2,647	╋
							10,175	•		City		╓
-	1	Chicago	Merrill Meigs	CGX	None 	<u> </u>					2,783,726	╬
1	1	Spencer	Spencer Municipal	SPW	None		6,258	Ŷ	Y	City	11,066	╬
E	1	Augusta	Augusta State	AUG	None	III	6,101	Y	Υ	State	1,227,928	╀
E	2	Bar Harbor	Hancock County-Bar Harbor	BHB	None		11,841		Y	Hancock County	46,948	╀
E	3	Rockland	Knox County Regional	RKD	None		19,358		Υ	Knox County	36,310	╀
D	1	Cumberland	Greater Cumberland Reg.	CBE	None		6,142	Υ		Potomac Highland AA	23,706	Ţ
I I	1	Manistee	Manistee Co Blacker	MBL	None		1,281	Υ	Υ	City/County	27,999	
г	1	Glasgow	Wokal Fid/Glasgow Int'l	GGW	None	III	2,256	γ	γ	City/Valley County	5,192	
r	2	Glendive	Dawson Community	GDV	None		1,510	Y	γ	County	9,505	╢
r_	3	Havre	Havre City-County	HVR	None		1,533	Υ	γ	City/Hill County	30,899	╢
r_	4	Lewistown	Lewiston Municipal	LWT	None		1,202	Υ	γ	City/Fergus County	18,134	┦
r_	5	Miles City	Frank Wiley Field	MLS	None		1,951	γ	Y	City	8,461	╢
Т	6	Sidney	Sidney-Richland Muni.	SDY	None		10,074		Υ	City/Richland County	17,945	╀
Т	7	VVolf Point	LM Clayton	OLF	None		1,780	Y	γ	City/Roosevelt County	13,879	l
E	1	Chadron	Chadron Municipal	CDR	None	III	1,735	Y	γ	City	9,021	
E	2	Kearney	Kearney Municipal	EAR	None		7,720	γ		City	24,396	
м	1	Alamogordo	Alamogordo-White Sands	ALM	None	III	3,098	Y	Υ	City	27,596	
и	2	Carlsbad	Cavern City Air Terminal	CNM	None		7,787	Υ		City	31,888	╢
И	3	Gallup	Gallup Municipal	GUP	None		4,201	Υ		City	19,154	┦
vi	4	Santa Fe	Santa Fe Municipal	SAF	None		26,178			City	55,859	┦
м	5	Silver City	Grant County	svc	None		3,192	γ	γ	Grant County	27,676	
D	1	Dickinson	Dickinson Municipal	DIK	None	ш	3,733	Υ	Υ	City	19,013	
к	1	Ponca City	Ponca City Municipal	PNC	None	III	2,411	γ	γ	City	30,133	
х	1	Brownwood	Brownwood Regional	BWD	None	III	1,699	γ	γ	City	24,874	T
т	1	Moab	Canyonlands Field	CNY	None	III	1,960	Υ		Grand County	6,620	Î
г	2	Vernal	Vernal	VEL	None		3,694	Y	γ	City/Uintah County	39,838	î
v	1	Bluefield	Mercer County	BLF	None		2,269	Y	γ	Mercer County	69,371	î
-									<u> </u>		00,011	t
s	1	Fitiuta Village	Fitiuta	FAQ	None	III	5,389	Y		American Samoa	56,911	
s	2	Ofu Village	Ofu	Z08	None		3,936	γ		American Samoa	56,911	
C	Clas	s III Airpo	ort Totals									
_		of Airports:			37							-
		-	10,000 Enplanements:		31							-
			re Small Entities:		29							-
		of EAS Airports that a	re smail Enddes.		23							-
	- 110. 0	a sao ai porto.			2.9	1						_
Ince	e: Data	Provided by:										T
. FA		nomucu by.										t
. c.e	20		1									_

Appen	dix III	4 Final Rule Class IV	/ Airports, March 200	1							Page 1	of 1
	Airport		Airport		Current Certification Status		CY-1999	<10,000				Small Entity
State	No.	Associated City	Name	ID	Current	Proposed Class	Enplaned Passengers	Enplaned Passengers	EAS	Airport Ownership	Population- 1990	Entity
AK	1	Five Mile	Five Mile	F∨M	Limited	IV	7	Y		BLM Pipeline Office	248,709,873	
AK	2	Galbraith Lake	Galbraith Lake	GBH	Limited	IV	1,200	Y		State of AK	550,043	
AK	з	Kuparuk	Ugno-Kuparuk	UBW	Limited	IV	36	Y		ARCO AK, Inc.	N.A.	
AK	4	Prospect Creek	Prospect Creek	PPC	Limited	IV	2,419	Y		State of AK	550,043	
AK	5	Red Dog	Red Dog	AED	Limited	IV	9,316	Y		Nana Reg. Corp, Inc.	N.A.	
AZ	1	Marana	Pinal Airpark	MZJ	None	IV	N.A.	Y		Pinal County	116,379	
CA	1	Mammoth Lakes	Mammoth Yosemite	MMH	None	IV	147	Y		Town of Mammoth Lakes	4,785	Y
CA	2	Merced	Merced Municipal	MCE	None	IV	3,879	Y		City of Merced	56,216	
LA	1	Tallulah - Vicksburg	Vicksburg Tallulah Regional	TVR	Limited	IV	31	Y		Both Cities	26,866	Y
мо	1	Cape Girardeau	Cape Girardeau Reg.	CGI	Limited	IV	10,390			City of Cape Girardeau	37,092	Υ
мо	2	Jefferson City	Jefferson City Mem.	JEF	Limited	IV	568	Y		City of Jeffersosn City	35,481	Υ
мо	3	Kaiser - Lake Ozark	Lee Fine Memorial	AIZ	Limited	IV	94	Y		State of MO	5,116,901	
мо	4	Kansas City	K C Downtown	МКС	Limited	IV	1,550	Y		City of Kansas City	443,829	
мо	5	Kirksville	Kirksville Reg.	IRK	Limited	IV	1,305	Y		City of Kirksville	17,152	Y
мо	6	St. Joseph	Rosecrans Memorial	STJ	Limited	IV	221	Y		City of St. Joseph	71,852	
мо	7	St. Louis	Spirit of St. Louis	SUS	Limited	IV	10,720			St. Louis County	993,508	
NJ	1	Wildwood	Cape May County	WWD	Limited	IV	4	Y		Cape May County	95,089	
TN	1	Millington	Millington Municipal	NQA	Limited	IV	N.A.	Y		City of Millington	17,866	Υ
Class II												
		ort Totals:		=	40		1					
		of Class IV Airports	IV Airporto		18							
Total Humber of Small Entity Class IV Airports = Percent of Class IV Airports Which Are Small Entities =					33.3%							
	Number of Class IV Airports - Enplanements < 10,000 =											
Percent of Class IV Airports - Enplanements < 10,000 =					16 88.9%							
Source	e: Data	a Provided by:										
1. FA	A											
2. U.S	2. U.S. Census Bureau, 1990 Census										04/0	02/2001