

Federal Aviation Administration

DOT/FAA/AM-16/5 Office of Aerospace Medicine Washington, DC 20591

ESRAS: An Enhanced Solar Radiation Alert System

Kyle Copeland

Civil Aerospace Medical Institute Federal Aviation Administration Oklahoma City, OK 73125

July 2016

Final Report

NOTICE

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents thereof.

This publication and all Office of Aerospace Medicine technical reports are available in full-text from the Civil Aerospace Medical Institute's publications website: http://www.faa.gov/go/oamtechreports

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.		3. Recipient's Catalog No.
DOT/FAA/AM-16/5			
4. Title and Subtitle ESRAS: An Enhanced Solar Radiation Alert System			5. Report Date
			July 2016
			6. Performing Organization Code
			A Derfermine Organization Depart No.
7. Author(s)			8. Performing Organization Report No.
Copeland, K			
Q Performing Organization Name and Address			10 Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
EAA Civil Agreement Madical Inst	ituta		
P.O. Dow 25082	itute		11 Contract or Grant No
P.O. DOX 23062			
12 Sponsoring Agency name and Address			13 Type of Report and Period Covered
Office of Acrospace Medicine			
Enderel Aviation Administration			
Pederal Aviation Administration			
Weshington, DC 20501			14 Sponsoring Agency Code
wasnington, DC 20591			
15 Supplemental Notes			
16. Abstract			
Since 2003 The Federal Aviation	Administration has opera	ated a Solar R	adiation Alert System to aid the
aerospace community and intereste	ed members of the flying	nublic in thei	r decision making with regards to
solar ionizing radiation The first a	lerts were issued during	the solar storr	ns of late October 2003 In the years
since its initial operation several in	nprovements have been	made to the c	alculations behind the dose rates
and the means of communicating t	he results to the aerospace	e community	and the flying public. The basic
method of satellite data evaluation	described in earlier repo	rts remains ur	ichanged but it has been updated
and expanded This report describe	s the most recent improv	vements as w	ell as those planned for inclusion in
the next few years. The recent imp	rovements include: new	atmosnheric r	esponse functions for protons
expansion of calculations to middle	e and low latitudes and	continuous un	dating of a global man of the most
recent calculations. Other improve	ments planned include: t	he addition of	Solar alpha particle flux to the
calculations, corrections for geoma	inents planied include. I	ts on global d	ose rates and corrections for
anisotropy based on available neut	ron monitor data	is on global di	ose rates, and corrections for
anisotropy based on available neut	ton monitor uata.		
17. Key Words		18. Distribution Sta	atement
Radiation, Solar Radiation, Proton	s, Ionizing Radiation,	Document	is available to the public through
Radiation Protection, Dosimetry, S	olar Proton Event,	http://w	the internet: www.faa.gov/go/oamtechreports/
Solar Radiation Storm, GOES, ES	RAS, SRAS, ArcGIS		
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this page)		21. No. of Pages 22. Price
Unclassified	Unclassified		
Form DUI F 1/00.7 (8-72)			Reproduction of completed page authorize

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For editorial assistance with this report, I thank Michael E. Wayda of the Civil Aerospace Medical Institute, FAA. For help with ArcGIS mapping I thank Hobi Haque and Lawrence Paskoff, also of the FAA. Thanks to Marcus Davidson, FAA, for help with Python scripting and SFTP issues. My thanks to the many attendees of Space Weather Week for their feedback year after year, leading to improvements. Finally, thank you to all those who have contributed and supported this ongoing effort over the years, some of whom have passed on, most notably: Wallace Friedberg, Ph. D. (FAA, ret.) and Herbert Sauer, Ph. D. (University Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, ret.).

Contents

ESRAS: AN ENHANCED SOLAR RADIATION ALERT SYSTEM

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. ENHANCMENTS	1
2.1 Summary of the Previous System	1
2.2 Recent Changes	1
3. FUTURE FEATURES	4
4. REFERENCES	4
APPENDIX A	1
APPENDIX B	5

ESRAS: AN ENHANCED SOLAR RADIATION ALERT SYSTEM

1. INTRODUCTION

During air and space travel, passengers and crew may be exposed to ionizing radiation at higher dose rates than members of the general population. For air travelers and for future space tourists that do not go into orbit, the principal source of ionizing radiation is galactic cosmic radiation (See Appendix A for a glossary of radiation related terms). This radiation consists of ions of nearly every element in the periodic table. These ions travel at speeds approaching that of light. This radiation is so highly penetrating that shielding of vehicle occupants and components is impractical with current propulsion technologies. This radiation is also essentially isotropic (having the same intensity from all directions) and slowly varies in intensity (typically by about a factor of two) over the course of a solar cycle (about 11 years). Because shielding is impractical and dose rates are relatively low (a few to a few tens of microSieverts per hour $[\mu Sv/h]$, depending on altitude, geomagnetic effects, and solar activity), radiation protection strategies for occupants of these vehicles rely on limiting individual cumulative exposures to below recommended limits.

While galactic cosmic radiation is the natural background radiation for flights, occasionally a disturbance in the Sun leads to a large flux of solar protons and alpha particles with sufficient energy to penetrate Earth's magnetic field, enter the atmosphere, and increase ionizing radiation levels at aircraft flight altitudes (geopotential altitudes are reported) to levels significantly above galactic cosmic radiation levels. Since 2003, the Aerospace Medical Research Division at the Civil Aerospace Medical Institute (CAMI) of the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) has maintained and continues development of a solar radiation alert system [Copeland et al., 2005; 2009] to provide information on these events, referred to as Solar Proton Events (SPEs), as they occur. This report describes the most recent enhancements, as well improvements planned for inclusion in the next few years.

2. ENHANCMENTS

2.1 Summary of the Previous System

Previous versions of the FAA Solar Radiation Alert (SRA) system continuously evaluated measurements of high-energy protons made by instruments on Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) satellites, operated by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). If measurements indicated the likelihood of a substantial elevation of ionizing-radiation levels at aircraft flight altitudes at polar latitudes (locations with a *vertical cutoff rigidity* of ~0 MV), alert messages were issued to the public through NO-AA's Weather Wire Service (NWWS). The specific criteria for issuance of an SRA was that the estimated effective dose rate induced by solar protons at 70,000 ft equaled or exceeded 20 microsieverts per hour (µSv/h) for each of three consecutive 5-minute periods, equivalent to a level 3 event on the D scale [Meier and Mathia, 2014]. Because of the potential for a delay of 3-20 minutes in communication processes and the three 5minute periods, notification of the start of alert conditions may have been 18-35 minutes after the start of the first 5-minute period. While alert conditions persisted, updates were sent through the NWWS. The alert was canceled when the average effective dose rate at 70,000 ft remained less than 20 µSv/h for six consecutive 5minute periods.

2.2 Recent Changes

As the previous alert system did, the Enhanced Solar Radiation Alert System (ESRAS) operates continuously to monitor the potential hazard of increased particulate ionizing radiation from the Sun using GOES data as the basis for the hazard analysis. With respect to sending messages through the NWWS, nothing has been changed, but improvements have been made in the calculations: The solar proton fluence to effective dose conversion coefficients have been recalculated using the final release of the Monte Carlo transport code MCNPX 2.7.0 [ORNL, 2011]; calculations have been expanded from polar latitude to include middle and low latitudes, while still assuming isotropic incident radiation; and a continuously updating global map of the most recent calculations is generated. New data sets are available using the contact information provided in Appendix B.

The tables used to convert proton fluence to effective dose at selected altitudes were recalculated using MCNPX 2.7.0 as part of the development of CARI-7 (FAA software used to calculate flight doses from galactic cosmic radiation) [Copeland, 2014]. The new conversion coefficients are based on considerably more trials and include secondary heavy and light ion transport that was missing in the coefficients calculated using MCNPX 2.6.0.

Previously, the system calculated dose rates at 0 gigavolts (GV) effective vertical cutoff rigidity (i.e., polar latitude) locations. The expansion to middle and low latitudes is based on global calculations of *effective vertical cutoff rigidity* by Smart and Shea using the 2010 International Geophysical Reference Field [Smart and Shea, 2012]. These data, for geomagnetically normal conditions (no geomagnetic storm in progress), are shown in Figure 1. For each calculated location, these effective

vertical cutoff rigidities are used as a pass band filter, screening out particles with rigidities below the effective

vertical cutoff. During a geomagnetic storm the cutoff rigidities would usually shift towards the equator.



Figure 1. Vertical magnetic cutoff rigidities in GV for the 2010 epoch calculated by Smart and Shea using the IGRF 2010 internal reference field [Smart and Shea, 2012].

The expansion of Web technologies and the FAA's adoption of ArcGIS graphical display software provides an opportunity to share the data on a global scale in a manner accessible by everyone by using a visual, rather than text-based, means of presentation. The new nonzero cutoff data are thus displayed as continuously updating maps of dose rate at points of equal effective vertical cutoff rigidity along lines of constant geographic longitude, color coded to the D scale at 70,000 ft (flight level 700). Figures 2 and 3, respectively, show what these maps look like during normal conditions (all $D_{700} = 1$ or 0) and during a large solar proton event ($D_{700} = 3$ or more for some locations). While the specific details may change when full mapping capabilities are added to the FAA's public servers, these maps show the basic layout and what can be done now with ArcGIS Web.

Clicking on an active (colored) point on the map brings up a report of solar dose rates at altitudes from 20,000 ft. to 70,000 ft. for that location.

'When Date	e: 20150918
UTC	18:25
From	GOES-Primary
Lat	-30.00
Long	-60.00
VertCut (G	V) 10
Level 1	
Code	green
Alt	Dose rate (microSv/h)
20000!	0.0000E + 00
30000!	0.0000E + 00
40000!	0.0000E + 00
50000!	0.0000E + 00
60000!	0.0000E+00
70000!	0.0000E+00 "



Figure 1. Solar radiation map for normal conditions. Red dots would indicate $D_{700} = 3$ or more, yellow would indicates $D_{700} = 2$, while green indicates $D_{700} < 2$.



Figure 2. Solar radiation map mockup for conditions during a large solar proton event. Red dots indicate $D_{700} = 3$ or more, yellow indicates $D_{700} = 2$, and green indicates $D_{700} < 2$.

3. FUTURE FEATURES

The planned future enhancements to calculations include: the addition of solar alpha particle flux to the dose calculations, corrections for geomagnetic disturbance effects on global dose rates, and corrections for anisotropy based on available neutron monitor data. Other improvements that can be expected in the next few years include publishing global maps to the Internet at a permanent address and, as the older GOES satellites are phased out, a revision of the GOES proton spectrum evaluation algorithm to match the new GOES-N satellite series data, which are planned to be different in binning and energy range from previous GOES proton flux measurement instruments. The next generation map will likely be expanded to multiple layers, with each layer presenting the data at a different altitude, using color coded polygons, to further ease intuitive understanding of the dose rate data being presented.

4. REFERENCES

Copeland, K., Sauer, H.H., and Friedberg, W (2005). *Solar radiation alert system* (Office of Aviation Medicine Report DOT/FAA/AM-05/14). Washington, DC: Office of Aerospace Medicine. Available at: www.faa.gov/data_research/research/med_humanfacs/ oamtechreports/2000s/media/200514.pdf Accessed 22 Sept. 2015.

Copeland, K., Sauer, H.H., and Friedberg, W. (2009). Solar radiation alert system (revised May 30, 2008) (Office of Aviation Medicine Report DOT/FAA/AM-09/6). Washington, DC: Office of Aerospace Medicine. Available at: www.faa.gov/data_research/research/ med_humanfacs/oamtechreports/2000s/media/200906 .pdf Accessed 22 Sept. 2015.

Copeland, K.A. (2014). Cosmic ray particle fluences in the atmosphere resulting from primary cosmic ray heavy ions and their resulting effects on dose rates to aircraft occupants as calculated with MCNPX 2.7.0. (A doctoral thesis at the Royal Military College of Canada [RMC], accepted July 2014).

Available from the Massey Library collection at RMC, from the Canadian National Archives in Ottawa, Canada, and from the author (kyle.copeland@faa.gov).

Hada, M. and Sutherland, B.M. (2006). Spectrum of complex DNA damages depends on the incidence radiation. *Radiat Res*, 165, 223-230.

Hall, E.J., and Giaccia, A.J (2006). Radiobiology for the radiologist (6th Ed.). Philadelphia: Lippincott.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (1991). *1990 recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection* (ICRP Pub. 60). Tarrytown, NY: Elsevier Science.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (2007). *The 2007 recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection* (ICRP Pub. 103). London: Elsevier; 2007.

International Commission on Radiological Protection (2009). *Adult reference computational phantoms* (ICRP Pub. 110). London: Elsevier.

Meier, M.M., and Matthiä, D. (2014). A Space Weather Index for the Radiation Field at Aviation Altitudes, J. Space Weather Space Clim. 4, A13. DOI: 10.1051/ swsc/2014010. Available at: www.swsc-journal.org/ articles/swsc/pdf/2014/01/swsc140002.pdf. Last accessed 22 Sept. 2015.

National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) (1993). *Limitation of exposure to ionizing radiation* (NCRP Report 116). Bethesda, MD; NCRP.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory (2011), Computer program *Monte Carlo n-particle transport code system for multiparticle and high energy applications* (MCNPX 2.7.0), RSICC code package C740.

Smart, D. F., and Shea, M.A. (2012). *World grid of calculated cosmic ray vertical cutoff rigidities from IGRF 2010* (in partial fulfilment of FAA Procurement AAM-610-12-0157). See Appendix B for access information.

APPENDIX A

Glossary of radiation related terms

Absorbed Dose: The amount of energy absorbed by a medium divided by the mass of the medium. It is a macroscopic dose quantity. The medium could be the human body, a particular tissue or organ in the body, or some other object such as some part of a solid-state electronic device. The SI unit is the gray (Gy), where 1 Gy = 1 joule per kilogram (J/kg).

Ambient Dose Equivalent: Ambient dose equivalent is defined by the International Commission on Radiation Measurements and Units (ICRU) and the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) [ICRP, 1997] as the *dose equivalent* measured within a 30-cm diameter sphere of tissue equivalent material irradiated by a plane parallel beam. Doses at various depths, *d*, along the axis of the sphere opposing the incident radiation beam depth are denoted by $H^*(d)$. It is measured in units of joule per kilogram with the special name sievert (Sv). Because instruments can be calibrated to measure it, in aviation it is used as a surrogate measure of *effective dose*.

D index: A dose rate assessment index first suggested by Meier and Matthia [2014]. The D index ranks dose rate by powers of 2, normalized to 5 microsieverts per hour at D = 1, i.e.,

$$D = \log_2 (E/5) \tag{A1}$$

Dose Equivalent: ICRU and ICRP [ICRP, 1991] define dose equivalent (*H*) as the product of the *absorbed dose* and the *quality factor* (Q), i.e.,

$$H = D \cdot Q \tag{A2}$$

The value of Q is specified by the ICRP as relating to the unrestricted *linear energy transfer*, L_{*} of the radiation depositing the dose. The current recommended relationship is:

$L_{*}/ { m keV} \cdot \mu { m m}^{-1}$	$Q(L_{z})$	
<10	1	
10-100	0.32 L _* - 2.2	
>100	$300 (L_{\infty})^{-1/2}$	

Dose equivalent is measured in units of joule per kilogram with the special name sievert (Sv).

Effective Dose: Effective dose, *E*, was introduced as a radiation protection quantity by the ICRP in their 1990 recommendations [ICRP, 1991]. The U.S. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) published a slightly different definition in its Report 116 [NCRP, 1993]. Most recently, the ICRP currently defines effective dose as a weighted average of the sex-averaged organ equivalent doses to several different radiation-sensitive organs and tissues [ICRP, 2007]:

$$E = \sum_{T} w_T H_T = \sum_{T} w_T \left(\sum_{R} w_R D_{T,R} \right)$$
(A3)

The tissue weighting factors, w_T (Table A1), take into account the sensitivity of the various organs and tissues to radiation induced stochastic effects while the radiation weighting factors, w_R (Table A2), account for the relative biological effectiveness of each of the various radiations incident on the body. The anthropomorphic phantoms to be used in the calculations are now specified and are defined in ICRP Publication 110 [ICRP, 2009]. It is measured in units of joule per kilogram with the special name sievert (Sv). Dose limits are often expressed in effective dose.

Effective Vertical Cutoff Rigidity: There is a region above the minimum *vertical cutoff rigidity* where not all rigidities allow access. The effective vertical cutoff rigidity is a single value used to represent the effect of this region on particle

access. It is usually slightly greater than the minimum vertical cutoff rigidity. Ideally, it is chosen such that calculations using the entering particle spectrum and treating the effective vertical cutoff rigidity as a sharp cutoff yield the same results as the physical measurements of the system, such as neutron monitor count rates, aircrew doses, etc.

Galactic Cosmic Radiation: Radiation from outside our solar system.

Geopotential Altitude: Altitude system based on height above mean sea level adjusted for gravity. In a standard atmosphere with an assumed, fixed temperature profile, pressure is constant with respect to geopotential altitude. Altitudes differ from geometric altitude by less than 1 percent below a few hundred thousand feet.

Gray: The gray (Gy) is the International System (SI) unit of *absorbed dose* of ionizing radiation. One Gy is 1 joule (J) of radiation energy absorbed per kilogram (kg) of matter.

Heavy ion: Traditionally, an ion in the galactic cosmic ray flux that is an atom of element 3 or higher (i.e., lithium and heavier) that has been stripped of all its electrons. It is also referred to as an HZE (high Z [atomic number] and E [energy]) particle. Sometimes the term can include any stripped nucleus with more than one nucleon. Astrophysicists and astronomers also call these *metals*.

HZE: See *heavy ion* entry.

Ionizing Radiation: Radiation that is sufficiently energetic to directly or indirectly eject an orbital electron from an atom.

ICRU Sphere: An ICRU-defined phantom. It consists of a 30-cm diameter tissue-equivalent sphere.

Isotropic: Equal from all directions.

Linear Energy Transfer (LET): Linear energy transfer is the average amount of energy per unit track length imparted to a medium by ionizing radiation of a specified energy, when penetrating a short distance. The energy imparted to the medium includes energy from any secondary radiation, such as nuclear particles released from a nucleus impacted by a high-energy neutron. LET is usually expressed in units of keV• μ m⁻¹ (thousand electron volts per micrometer). A radiation with an LET <10 keV• μ m⁻¹ is generally considered low-LET. Photons, muons, electrons, and positrons are almost always low-LET. A radiation with an LET >50 keV• μ m⁻¹ is generally considered high-LET. Neutrons, pions, and alpha particles are examples of radiations that are most often high-LET. Except near the end of its track, a proton is low-LET. However, protons are more damaging than other low-LET radiations (Hada and Sutherland, 2006). Thus, when risk estimates are calculated, protons are sometimes grouped with high-LET radiation.

Metals: See *heavy ion* entry.

Organ equivalent dose: The organ equivalent dose (also called *equivalent dose*), H, to a tissue or organ T from radiation R is defined as:

$$H_{T,R} = w_R D_{T,R} \tag{A4}$$

where, w_R is the radiation weighting factor for radiation R (Table A.1) [ICRP, 1991; 2007], and $D_{T,R}$ is the absorbed dose to tissue or organ T from radiation R. The values of w_R are based on the *relative biological effectiveness* (RBE) for *stochastic effects*. For each type of primary radiation from outside the body (or internal emitter), the radiation weighting factor takes into account the effectiveness of the primary radiation and all its secondary radiations. For multiple radiations, H_T , the total organ equivalent dose to tissue or organ T is the sum of the organ equivalent doses from each type of radiation:

$$H_T = \sum_{\mathcal{R}} H_{T,\mathcal{R}} \tag{A5}$$

Particle spectrum: The distribution of particle fluence or flux, usually with respect to particle energy, particle energy per nucleon, or particle rigidity.

Primary Radiation: In this context, radiation that reaches the top of Earth's atmosphere (See also secondary radiation).

Percent Deviation: An indication of the degree of variation of one quantity, "A" relative to another, "B".

Percent deviation = 100 (A-B)/B

(A6)

Phantom: A target defined for performing calculations of dose in simulated exposures to ionizing radiation. It may be simple, like the *ICRU sphere* (a sphere of solid homogeneous tissue), or it may very complex, such as those defined in ICRP Publication 110 (derived from whole-body CT scans) [ICRP, 2009].

Rigidity: Momentum per unit charge. Rigidity (R) is used in cosmic ray propagation problems because particles of the same rigidity all follow the same path through a magnetic field.

Relative Biological Effectiveness: Relative biological effectiveness (RBE) is the ratio of *absorbed dose* of a reference radiation (e.g., gamma radiation from the decay of cobalt-60) to absorbed dose of the radiation in question, in producing the same magnitude of the same effect in a particular experimental organism or tissue. The RBE is influenced by the biological endpoint and the *LET* of the radiation. For example, the RBE for killing human cells increases with an increase in LET to about 100 keV•µm⁻¹ and then decreases with further increase in LET. At LET 100 keV•µm⁻¹, the average separation between ionizing events is close to the diameter of the DNA double helix (a few µm). Therefore, a radiation with LET 100 keV•µm⁻¹ can most efficiently produce a double-strand break in a DNA molecule by a single LET track [Hall and Giaccia, 2006]. Double-strand breaks in DNA molecules are thought to be the main cause of DNA related biological effects, because they are more difficult to properly repair than are single strand breaks.

Secondary Radiation: Particles or photons produced by the interaction of primary radiation with matter (see also *primary radiation*).

Sievert: Sievert (Sv) is the SI unit of organ equivalent dose, ambient dose equivalent, and effective dose. It quantifies harm from stochastic effects.

Solar Cosmic Radiation: Ionizing radiation from the Sun.

Solar Cycle: While the Sun has several cycles, in this context it refers specifically to the approximately 11-year cycle of change of polarity of the Sun's magnetic field. This both modulates *galactic cosmic radiation* and the occurrence of *solar proton events*.

Solar Proton Event: Event defined by NOAA as 3 or consecutive 5-minute periods with flux of 10 pfu of solar protons with energies at or above 10 MeV.

Solar Radiation: See solar cosmic radiation.

Stochastic Effect: Radiation effect for which the chance of incidence, but not the severity, is related to the dose (e.g., cancer).

Vertical Cutoff Rigidity: The *rigidity* a particle must have to approach a location from the vertical direction. It is often used as an indicator of the effectiveness of the Earth's magnetic field at deflecting energetic ions arriving from outer space.

Pub. 60		P	Pub. 103		
Tissue	Tissue Weight Factor	Tissue	Tissue Weight Factor		
Gonads	0.20	Bone marrow (red)	0.12		
Bone marrow (red)	0.12	Colon	0.12		
Colon	0.12	Lung	0.12		
Lung	0.12	Stomach	0.12		
Stomach	0.12	Breast	0.12		
Bladder	0.05	Remainder tissues ^B	0.12		
Breast	0.05	Gonads	0.08		
Liver	0.05	Bladder	0.04		
Oesophagus	0.05	Oesophagus	0.04		
Thyroid	0.05	Thyroid	0.04		
Skin	0.01	Liver	0.04		
Bone surface	0.01	Bone surface	0.01		
Remainder tissues A	0.05	Skin	0.01		
		Brain	0.01		
		Salivary glands	0.01		

Table A.1. ICRP [1991; 2007] recommended values for tissue weighting factors (w_T).

^A Adrenals, brain, upper large intestine small intestine, kidneys, muscle, pancreas, spleen, thymus and uterus.

^B Adrenals, extra-thoracic region, gall bladder, heart, kidneys, lymphatic nodes, muscle, oral mucosa, pancreas, prostate, small intestine, spleen, thymus and uterus/cervix.

Table A.2. Recommended values for radiation weighting factors (w_R) .^a

Type and energy of the radiation			W R	
		ICRI	P (2007)	
Photons, electrons, muons		1		
Protons, charged pions		2		
Alpha particles, fission fr	agments, heavy ions	20		
Neutrons	(energy, E _n)			
	$E_n < 1 MeV$	2.5 + 18.2 x e	$\exp[-(\ln(E_n))^2/6]$	
	E_n 1 MeV to 50 MeV	5.0 + 17.0 x e	$xp[-(ln(2E_n))^2/6]$	
	$E_n > 50 \text{ MeV}$	2.5 + 3.25 x exp	$p[-(\ln(0.04E_n))^2/6]$	
		NCRP (1993)	ICRP (1991)	
Photons, electrons, muor	18	1	1	
Protons ^b		2 c	5	
Alpha particles, fission fragments, heavy ions		20	20	
Neutrons	(energy, E _n)			
	$E_n < 10 \text{ keV}$	5	5	
	$E_n 10 \text{ keV}$ to 100 keV	10	10	
	$E_n > 100 \text{ keV to } 2 \text{ Me}$	V 20	20	
	$E_n > 2$ MeV to 20 MeV	V 10	10	
	$E_n > 20 \text{ MeV}$	5	5	

^a For radiations not in the table, both ICRP and NCRP recommend using the mean quality factor, rounded up to the nearest whole number.

^b Except recoil protons, $E_p > 2$ MeV.

^c If $E_p > 100$ MeV, NCRP suggests $w_R = 1$ is more appropriate.

APPENDIX B

Data Availability

Due to the large size of the tables, the following data are made available electronically:

- Atmospheric response tables to convert primary particle fluence to effective dose at altitude for protons and alpha particles.
- A 1 by 1 degree world grid of effective vertical cutoff rigidities at 20 km.

Please send e-mail requests and inquiries to: 9-AMC-AAM600-SPECIMENS@faa.gov

And postal requests to: Kyle Copeland, Ph.D.

Protection and Survival Research Laboratory, Numerical Sciences Research Team

Federal Aviation Administration

Civil Aerospace Medical Institution, AAM 600

P.O. Box 25082

Oklahoma City, OK 73125