

DOT/FAA/AM-92/21

Office of Aviation Medicine Washington, D.C. 20591

AD-A252 493

# Procedural Justice, Occupational Identification, and Organizational Commitment

L.A. Witt

Civil Aeromedical Institute Federal Aviation Administration Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

June 1992

Final Report





This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration

92-17461

# **NOTICE**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

## Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession	n No. 3.	Recipient's Catalog N	No.	
DOT/FAA/AM-92/21					
4. Title and Subtitle PROCEDURAL JUSTICE, OCCUPATIONAL IDENTIFICATION, AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT		5. 1	5. Report Date June 1992		
		FICATION,		<del></del>	
		0. 1	Performing Organizati	on Code	
-	<del></del>	8. F	erforming Organizati	on Report No.	
7. Author s:					
L. A. Witt, Ph.D.					
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10.	Work Unit No. (TRAI	5)	
				,	
FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute P. O. Box 25082		11	11. Contract or Grant No.		
		11.	Confract of Grant No	).	
Oklahoma City, OK 73125					
	<del></del>	13.	Type of Report and P	Period Covered	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address					
Office of Aviation Medicine					
Federal Aviation Administration					
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.		14	Sponsoring Agency C		
Washington, D.C. 20591		1.7.	Sponsoring Agency C	.oge	
15. Supplementary Notes					
This work was performed under task	AM-C-92-HRR-12	2.			
•					
16. Abstract					
100 110					
Extending Tyler's (1989) group-valu	e model the present	study tested the hype	thecic that proce	المحمد ومنعوبية المسيران	
Extending Tyler 3 (1909) group valu	c mouci, are present			vilital iligili e inav	
ha of differential caliance in the de-	valorment of organ	izational commitmen	t among individu	unic who identify	
be of differential salience in the de	velopment of organ	izational commitmen	t among individu	uals who identify	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ	velopment of organization versus their of	izational commitmen occupation. Data col	t among individuded	uals who identify 5 FAA employees	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice sc	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate	izational commitmen occupation. Data collely related to comm	t among individulected from 1,235 itment scores.	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat	velopment of organ ization versus their coores were moderate ion had no moders	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the	t among individu ected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural just	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate twith the multiple of	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature	t among individu lected from 1,235 litment scores. procedural just e, employees ider	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, l	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con-	t among individudected from 1,235 litment scores. procedural justice, employees iden nmitment to the continuation	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bur commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitment occupation. Data collely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitmen occupation. Data col- ely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less con- provide little suppor-	t among individual tected from 1,235 itment scores. procedural justice, employees identification to the control of this extension and the control of the con	uals who identify FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group-	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitment occupation. Data colledy related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.	t among individual tected from 1,235 attment scores. procedural justice, employees identified in the control of	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group- the study of work	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitmen occupation. Data collely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Distribution Statement Documer	t among individual tected from 1,235 attment scores. procedural justice, employees identified to the control of	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group- the study of work	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.  17. Key Words  Procedural Justice Participation in Organizational Commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitmen occupation. Data collely related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Documer public th	t among individual tected from 1,235 attment scores. procedural justice, employees identified in the control of	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group- the study of work	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.  17. Key Words  Procedural Justice Participation in Organizational Committiple Organizational Commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitment occupation. Data colledy related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less comprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Documer public th Technica	t among individual tected from 1,235 attment scores. procedural justice, employees identified in the control of	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group- the study of work  to the nal ervice,	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.  17. Key Words  Procedural Justice Participation in Organizational Commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitment occupation. Data colledy related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less comprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Documer public th Technica	t among individual tected from 1,235 attment scores. procedural justice, employees identified in the control of	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group- the study of work  to the nal ervice,	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.  17. Key Words  Procedural Justice Participation in Organizational Commitment Social Identity Theory	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the tion of the tion is the support for the tion of tion of tion of the tion of tio	izational commitment occupation. Data colledy related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Documer public th Technica Springfie	t among individual tected from 1,235 aitment scores.  procedural justice, employees identified to the control of the control of the control of the National Information Seld, Virginia 2216	to the study of work	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.  17. Key Words  Procedural Justice Participation in Organizational Committiple Organizational Commitment.	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the some support for the su	izational commitment occupation. Data colledy related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Documer public th Technica Springfie	t among individual tected from 1,235 attment scores. procedural justice, employees identified in the control of	uals who identify 5 FAA employees Contrary to the ice-organizational ntifying primarily organization, than ion of the group- the study of work  to the nal ervice,	
be of differential salience in the de primarily with their employing organ indicated that procedural justice so hypothesis, occupational identificat commitment relationship. Consisten with their occupation perceived more those identifying with their organiza value model of procedural justice bu commitment.  17. Key Words  Procedural Justice Participation in Organizational Commitment Social Identity Theory	velopment of organ ization versus their cores were moderate ion had no moderate with the multiple of procedural justice, I tion. These results to some support for the tion of the tion is the support for the tion of tion of tion of the tion of tio	izational commitment occupation. Data colledy related to commating effect on the commitment literature but expressed less conprovide little support he multiple commitment.  Documer public th Technica Springfie	t among individual tected from 1,235 aitment scores.  procedural justice, employees identified to the control of the control of the control of the National Information Seld, Virginia 2216	to the study of work	

# PROCEDURAL JUSTICE, OCCUPATIONAL IDENTIFICATION, AND ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT

The growing interest in organizational commitment has been congruent with the assumptions implicit in the organizational science literature that the antecedents of organizational commitment are under "management's capacity to influence" (Angle & Perry, 1983, p. 144) and that the outcomes of commitment are favorable (Meyer, Paunonen, Gellatly, Goffin, & Jackson, 1989; Randall, 1990). Some researchers have conceptualized organizational commitment as an affective involvement with the organization (Mowday. Steers, & Porter, 1979). Others have viewed commitment in terms of an assessment of the costs and benefits that employees associate with remaining in or leaving the organization (Farrell & Rusbult, 1981). Both perspectives share the notion that employees who are committed to the organization are more likely to remain in the organization than those who are not. Given the cost of unwanted attrition among highly-skilled workers, the study of organizational commitment and identification of its antecedents are important to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Work by Konovsky, Folger, and Cropanzo (1987) suggests that perceptions of procedural justice may affect organizational commitment.

The present study was designed to expand the conceptual bounds of the commitment construct by investigating: (a) the relationship between perceptions of procedural justice and organizational commitment, (b) the effect of occupational identification on perceptions of procedural justice and organizational commitment, and (c) the moderating effect, if any, of occupational identification on the relationship between procedural justice and organizational commitment.

### Procedural Justice

Greenberg and Folger (1983) noted that when assessing the fairness of managerial decisions, employees are concerned not only with the resultant outcomes (e.g., How much of a raise will I get?) but also with how the decision was made (e.g., What criteria were used?). The issue of the outcome has been addressed in terms of distributive justice (Adams, 1963). The concern

over how the decision was made has been discussed in terms of "procedural justice" (Thibaut & Walker, 1975).

An emerging literature has examined the effects of performance appraisals on employee perceptions of procedural justice. Greenberg (1986) argued that the processes by which job information is collected and by which performance ratings are made relate to matters of procedural justice. Evidence suggests that an evaluation perceived as fair is one that contains fair procedures (Landy, Barnes, & Murphy, 1978; Landy, Barnes-Farrell, & Cleveland, 1980). The issue of perceived fairness in personnel decisions (e.g., who is recognized, promoted) is of both practical and conceptual importance, as employee attributions of fairness or unfairness will affect their attitudes and behaviors.

As noted by Tyler (1990, p. 175), "People care about the decision-making process. They consider evidence about representation, neutrality, bias, honesty, quality of decision, and consistency." This concern can take many forms in work organizations. For example, rarely in organizations can all employees complete training that may increase promotion potential. Some employees may see the lack of opportunities for all employees as unfair (i.e., distributive injustice). If, however, the manager follows what is seen as a "fair" process to decide which emplovees are selected for training (e.g., when based on an objectively identified need), then employee job attitudes may be less negatively affected. In contrast, if the manager uses what is seen as an "unfair" process to make the decision (e.g., the manager's tennis partners are selected), then the injustice that the employee perceives may permeate other job attitudes. Specifically, perceptions of procedural injustice may lead individuals to consider leaving the organization. Employees seeing decisions as being made through unfair procedures may question the wisdom of remaining in such an unjust situation for the long-term. This notion is consistent with Konovsky et al.'s (1987) finding that perceptions of procedural justice were positively related to expressions of organizational commitment among 36 employees of a manufacturing plant.

Tyler (1986; 1989; 1990; Barrett-Howard & Tyler, 1986; Lind & Tyler, 1988) developed a group-value model of procedural justice, in which he discussed reactions to processes in the legal system. However, his concepts apply to justice in organizations (1989, p. 837-838):

"...people in organizations focus on their long-term association with a group and with its authorities and institutions. People expect an organization to use neutral decision-making procedures enacted by trustworthy authorities so that, over time, all group members will benefit fairly from being members of the group... The linkage of procedural justice to issues of group identification also suggests the possibility that there will be limits to the areas in which procedural-justice issues arise, with those limits being defined by the contours of people's group identifications."

Extending Tyler's group-value model, it is suggested here that procedural justice may be of differential salience in the development of organizational commitment among individuals who identify most with their employing organization, versus those identifying elsewhere.

# Organizational Commitment: Multiple Commitments

As noted by Zaccaro and Dobbins (1989), definitions of organizational commitment have typically discussed the relationship between an individual and the entire organization. Reichers (1985, 1986) emphasized differences between global organizational commitment and commitments to other organizational constituencies, such as senior management. She argued that commitment should be viewed as a collection of multiple commitments to various organizational groups. As noted by Reichers (1985, p. 470), "the question, 'what is it that individuals are committed to?' cannot be answered satisfactorily with the response 'organizational goals and values.'" Morrow (1983) discussed 5 aspects of work

commitment -- values, career, job, organization, and union. Similarly, Becker (1990) demonstrated a utility in focusing on multiple "foci" of commitment.

In support of the multiple commitment perspective is a developing union commitment literature (e.g., Barling, Wade, & Fullagar, 1990) that has demonstrated differences between correlates of company and union commitment. Zaccaro and Dobbins (1989) found evidence of group and organizational commitments and different patterns of correlates. Gouldner (1957) reported that employees can have conflicting commitments to organizational versus professional, or career goals.

#### Professional Commitment

Researchers (e.g., Morrow & Wirth, 1989) have increasingly examined the implications of the effects of professionalism on commitment. For example, some managers may perceive commitment to or primary identification with one's profession, rather than to one's organization, as problematic for the organization (Raelin, 1986). Thus, empirical investigation of the effect of "professional commitment" or "occupational identification" may have some important practical implications.

The notion that workers have a commitment to their specialized occupation as opposed to, or in addition to, their employing organization is consistent with work by Gouldner (1957). Witt (in press) argued that difficulties with both definitions and operationalizations have contributed to the limited applicability of professional commitment and related constructs.

Conceptual Problems. Aranya and Ferris (1984) described professional commitment as the strength of identification with, and involvement in, one's profession. Tuma and Grimes (1981) described it in terms of the importance of breakthroughs, originality, and the generation of new knowledge. Both definitions are limited to only certain workers. An implicit assumption apparently held by researchers of professional commitment that only "professional" workers (i.e., those college-educated with specialized

training) can have commitments to their occupation, may be unnecessarily restricting the construct. As evidenced by statements such as, "I am an airway facilities technician" versus "I work for the Federal Aviation Administration," in response to the question, "What do you do?," some individuals may see themselves as having professions or occupations, while others have jobs. Blau (1988, p. 295) conceptualized career commitment as distinct from organizational commitment and defined it as the "attitude toward one's vocation." He focused on commitment to the vocation, rather than to a specific job within that vocation, thus extending the notions underlying the professional commitment construct to include all workers.

Operationalization Problems. Studies (e.g., Parasuraman & Nachman, 1987; Tuma & Grimes, 1981) have employed a number of measures, including: (a) organizational commitment questionnaires with changes in wording from "organizational" to "professional" commitment, and (b) behavioral measures, such as membership in professional associations and reading the professional literature. Such measures are inappropriate for workers with no "profession." Blau's (1988) operationalization of his career commitment "attitude" included desiring to remain in the vocation and perceiving the vocation as ideal. Neither a weak, positive correlation nor a negative correlation between such career or professional commitment scale scores and organizational commitment scale scores would indicate the extent to which an individual is differentially committed. While some researchers suggest that organizational and occupational commitments are not necessarily in conflict (Tuma & Grimes, 1981), different levels of identification or commitment are likely to have effects on other job attitudes. Participants in the studies of professional commitment have typically not been asked to identify which was more important or salient -- occupation or employing organization -- even though underlying much of the research has been the implicit assumption that individuals who most closely identify themselves with their profession or occupation may hold different attitudes from those who identify primarily with their employing organization.

### Occupational Identification

Witt (in press) defined occupational identification or occupational commitment as the extent to which the individual identifies with an occupation (i.e., the occupation as a component of the self-concept). This is based on social identity theory and reflects the degree to which any worker (i.e., not just a professional) identifies with his/her occupation.

"That people are differentially concerned about their relationships with particular groups has long been recognized by social psychologists and postulated as an important determinant of behavior" is a key notion in social identity theory (Jackson, 1981, p. 138). Kelley (1955), for example, argued that highly valued groups provide standards and perspectives to guide behavior. As noted by Ashforth and Mael (1989, p. 21), one's social identity serves two functions: (a) "it cognitively segments and orders the social environment, providing the individual with a systematic means of defining others;" and (b) it "enables the individual to locate or define himor herself in the social environment."

Ashforth and Mael (1989) emphasized several important characteristics of social identification. First, identification is a perceptual cognitive construct; i.e., it is not necessarily associated with specific moods or behaviors. Second, it typically is maintained in situations involving failure. In other words, identification can develop even in the absence of interpersonal cohesion or similarity, but still have a profound effect on behavior and affect (Turner, 1985). Third, identification is distinct from internalization (O'Reilly and Chatman, 1986). Fourth, consistent with the multiple commitment literature, one's social identity may be derived from the organization, work group, department, union, or other constituencies at work.

Stryker (1968, 1977) argued that one's commitment to an identity determines the extent to which the identity shapes behavior. Indeed, a person who lists "social philosopher" as number one on his/her list of responses to the Kuhn and McPartland (1954) Twenty Statements Test (i.e., "Who Am I?" Test) is likely to hold different



/ Codea

social attitudes than a person who lists "soccer fan." Similarly, employees who identify most closely with their occupation may be likely to hold different job attitudes than those who identify most closely with their employing organization. It is not argued here that employees who identify most closely with their occupation do not identify at all with their employer. However, congruent with Ashforth and Mael's (1989) assertion that identities are cognitively ordered, separated, and buffered, it is suggested that the primary identification with the occupation or employer will influence job attitudes.

An important issue is the measurement of occupational identification. Identity theory (cf. Jackson, 1981) holds that the position of an identity in one's identity hierarchy is equivalent to one's commitment to that identity. Thus, "occupational identification" may reflect "occupational commitment." Given this definition. occupational identification may best be assessed by the selection of occupation-vs. organizationrelevant identities. This approach is in contrast to that of professional and career commitment constructs, which do not include relative importance of organizational commitment or identification as part of the constructs. However, it is somewhat consistent with work by Becker (1992), who assessed identification but did not look at the occupation as a relevant constituency. He asked his subjects, "How attached are you to the following people and groups?" (top management, supervisor, and workgroup). He provided a 7-point response scale (1 = not at all; 7 =completely). He then provided 5 items based on Ashforth and Mael's (1989) discussion of social identification to assess identification with each of these groups.

Following this approach, Witt (in press) found that occupational identification moderated the relationships between perceived fairness in work assignments and organizational commitment. Perceptions of fairness in work assignments were more strongly related to expressions of organizational commitment among employees identifying with their occupation than among those identifying with their organization. Among individuals perceiving unfairness in work assignments, the former group were much more com-

mitted to the organization than the latter group. Witt (in press) noted that at first glance, this could appear counterintuitive, as one prediction would be that employees who identify with their occupation might be concerned only with their occupation (e.g., "I am an attorney; where I practice my occupation is not as important."). His explanation of the counterintuitive finding was based on Schneider's (1983) attractionselection-attrition (ASA) framework, which holds that people select themselves into and out of organizations until they find one that best fits them. Consistent with the ASA framework, individuals who identified primarily their occupation may have carefully selected an organization as the appropriate place for them to be. In contrast, individuals not identifying with their occupation may have taken jobs of convenience. Because the former group may have more carefully selected their organization and thus may be more concerned with the long-term, the fairness of current work assignments may have been of less salience to them.

### The Present Study

The present study examined two hypotheses. First, consistent with work by Konovsky et al. (1987), it was hypothesized that perceptions of procedural justice would be positively related to organizational commitment. In other words, individuals who perceive the decisions of organization authorities (particularly personnel decisions) as having been based on fair procedures may be more likely to be committed to their employing organization.

Second, it was hypothesized that occupational identification would moderate that relationship; i.e., that perceptions of procedural justice would be more strongly related to organizational commitment among organizationally-rather than occupationally-identifying employees. This hypothesis is based on Witt's (in press) findings and an extension of the group-value model, which implies that procedural justice may be of differential solience among individuals who are committed to their organization, versus those committed elsewhere. Because occupationallyidentifying employees may more carefully select their employer and perhaps have fewer alternatives for employment, procedural justice may have less salience in the decision to remain in or leave the organization for them.

#### **METHOD**

. Subjects

The sample was comprised of 1,235 of 2,103 (58.7%) employees (61.5% males and 38.5% females) working at the Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center in Oklahoma City, who completed job attitude scales as a part of a larger study (Federal Aviation Administration, 1991) of employee attitudes about various aspects of their employing organization. Included were biodata items assessing racial group, age, tenure, supervisory status, education, and pay grade. Only about 20% of the employees classified themselves as members of a minority racial group. Responses to an age item were as follows: ages 17 to 29 (7.9%), 30 to 39 (23.0%), 40 to 49 (39.0%), 50 to 59 (26.6%) and 60+ years (3.5%). Responses to an item assessing years in the organization at the current site were as follows: less than 1 year (12.1%), 1 to 3 years (25.9%), 4 to 10 years (26.0%), 11 to 15 years (14.6%), and 16 or more years of service (21.4%). Supervisory status reported by the respondents were as follows: non-supervisor (86.6%), supervisor (9.5%-), and manager (3.9%). The sample was relatively well educated: 12 years or less of formal education (12.9%), 13 to 15 years (51.7%), 16 years (24.5%), and 17 or more years (10.9%). Salary within the federal government is based upon grade level. Responses to the grade level item were: grade levels 1 to 4 (12.0%), grade levels 5 to 7 (19.2%), grade levels 8 to 10 (9.4%), grade levels 11 to 13 (43.3%), and grade levels 14+ (16.1%).

#### Measures

The Hrebiniak and Alutto (1972) 4-item instrument (M = 13.82, SD = 4.07, alpha = .85) was employed to assess organizational commitment. This scale measures the workers' calculative involvement with the organization by assessing their propensity to leave the organization as a function of alternative inducements (i.e., continuance commitment). High scores reflect greater commitment.

Occupational identification was assessed by one item (M=1.47, SD=.50) asking participants to indicate with which of 5 possible responses they most closely identified. These responses were "your profession or occupational specialty (what you do)" and 4 organizational referents, ranging from the fairly immediate work unit to the global organization. Responses were recoded into 2 categories: (a) individuals who selected their occupation, and (b) remaining individuals who selected a unit of their organization.

Procedural justice was measured by 4 items selected from the Kacmar and Ferris (1991) Perceptions of Organizational Politics Scale ("Favoritism [not merit] gets people ahead here" [reverse scored]; "Promotions go to top performers;" "Rewards come to hard workers;" and "Pay and promotion decisions are based solely on merit") presented on a 5-point, Likert-type scale (1 = "strongly disagree," 2 = "inclined to disagree," 3 = "neither disagree or agree," 4 = "inclined to agree," and 5 = "strongly agree). Item responses were summed to form a total score (<math>M = 13.1, SD = 4.33, alpha = .87). High scores reflect perceptions of procedural justice.

#### **RESULTS**

Procedural justice scores were moderately related to commitment scores (r = .48, p < .01). This effect size is slightly greater than Konovsky et al.'s (1987) finding that a measure of "component procedural justice" was related (r = .38, p < .05) to affective organizational commitment.

Results of one-way analyses of variance indicated that employees selecting the occupation as the primary identity perceived more procedural justice (N = 656, M = 13.6, SD = 4.43) and expressed slightly lower commitment to the organization (M = 13.5, SD = 4.13) than did employees selecting an entity of the organization (N = 579; procedural justice: M = 12.47, SD = 4.46, F = 21.08, P < .01; commitment: M = 14.23, SD = 3.98, F = 10.07, P < .01).

Pay grade may influence perceptions of procedural justice and commitment expressions: employees in higher grades may see more justice and have the desire to remain in the organization, because they have more decisional control. Similarly, tenure in the organization may influence these variables: employees in the organization longer may report higher commitment and procedural justice to maintain cognitive consistency (i.e., employees who see injustice and wish to leave but stay may experience cognitive inconsistency and resulting stress, so they may alter their perceptions and feelings to remain consistent with the decision to remain in the organization). Because these biodata variables may confound the occupational identification group differences in commitment and procedural justice, analyses of covariance were run to control for the possible biodata effects. The main effects of occupational identification remained even after controlling for the effects of pay grade and tenure (commitment: F = 11.9, p < .01; procedural justice: F = 26.6, p < .01).

Hierarchical moderated multiple regression analysis (Cohen & Cohen, 1975) was used to determine whether or not occupational identification scores moderated the relationship between perceptions of procedural justice and organizational commitment. Organizational commitment scores were regressed on occupational identification and procedural justice scores, after which their cross-product was entered into the equation. The cross-product term added virtually no variance to the equation ( $\Delta R^2 = .0003$ , F = .38, ns). Thus, occupational identification did not moderate the procedural justice-organizational commitment relationship.

#### DISCUSSION

Two caveats are emphasized before the results are discussed. First, the present study neither examined nor controlled for the possible confounding effects of such individual differences as length of occupational socialization, availability of career options, and differing career or occupational goals (cf. Miller & Wager, 1971). Second, other measures of occupational identification (e.g., use of the terms "work," "field," or "career;" various types of "professional"

behaviors) or organizational commitment may have yielded different results. Morrow and Goetz (1988) suggested that professional commitment should be assessed multi-dimensionally, as dimensions of professional commitment have been differentially related to other components of work commitment (Bartol, 1979). This may apply to occupational identification as well.

Despite these problems, the present study has extended the multiple commitment and procedural justice literatures. The individual's selection of his/her primary identification permitted a test of this extension of the group-value model. The procedure enabled a comparison of identification with the occupation versus with organizational constituencies. Although this unidimensional approach may have precluded many important aspects of occupational identification, it did provide an index of the importance of one's occupation as a component in individual identity.

These results are consistent with the multiple commitment perspective, as they showed an effect, albeit a small one, of occupational identification on procedural justice and organizational commitment. The finding that organizationallyidentifying employees expressed greater organizational commitment is consistent with work by Levinson (1970), which indicated that individuals who identified with the organization, and left that organization, experienced psychic loss. Efforts to socialize new employees that may increase identification with the organization may be well spent. However, in contrast to Raelin's (1986) argument, these results support studies (e.g., Randall, 1988) suggesting that primary commitment to constituencies other than the organization may not necessarily be a significant negative factor for the organization, as the differences were only about one-fourth of a standard deviation.

Becker (1992) argued that global organizational commitment should not be assessed, as such an approach ignores multiple foci and antecedents of commitment. He advocated matching the focus of the independent variable to that of the dependent variable. In the present study, the focus was on perceptions of procedural justice as an antecedent of the decision to remain

in or leave the employing organization (continuance commitment). The examination of occupational identification as a possible moderator of that relationship was congruent with Becker's suggestion.

The hypothesis tested in the present study provided no support for this extension of the group-value model of procedural justice. The employees' identification with their employing organization, versus their occupation, had no moderating effect on the procedural justiceorganizational commitment relationship. The results suggest that fairness in how personnel decisions are made may not be differentially salient for occupationally- versus organizationally-identifying employees. Although other measures of group identification and procedural justice may have yielded different results, it is possible that the group-value model may be less appropriate in work contexts regarding work issues than in society at large regarding legal issues.

The relationship between perceptions of procedural justice and expressions of organizational commitment suggests that the fairness characterizing decision processes on the job may be associated with the desire to remain in the organization. These results have implications for the use of strategies to enhance justice perceptions in organizations, as they support Konovsky et al.'s (1989) finding that perceptions of procedural justice may have some effects on organizational commitment. Why should this be of concern to managers? Managers who pay particular attention to both the use of fair procedures and communication to subordinates about those procedures may be promoting organizational commitment among subordinates. Employees who perceive fairness in procedures may be more likely to perceive the outcomes as fair (Joy & Witt, in press). Indeed, a history of fairness can be a major asset to the manager. A manager who is seen as fair may also be seen as honest, ethical, honorable, and trustworthy (Messick et al., 1985). As noted by Greenberg (1990), a manager with a reputation for fairness may be evaluated less harshly when he/she commits what is seen as an unfavorable action than a manager with a reputation of unfairness. Similarly, a manager

known as fair may be given the benefit of the doubt in times of environmental uncertainty. Moreover, discussion of the procedures (particularly during the decision process) may provide the subordinate with the opportunity to give some input. A phenomenon called the "fair process effect" suggests that such an opportunity may bring about positive attitudes; it occurs even when the employee is assured that his/her input will be considered, but when there is no way he/she can verify that it was (Greenberg & Folger, 1983).

As noted by Reis (1986), personality differences tend to influence what will be seen as just. In other words, the accuracy of perceptions may be reduced by individual disposition. Awareness of individual differences in perceiving fairness may provide some managers an excuse to ignore perceptions; i.e., a manager may say, "Some folks never see things as fair and don't try to consider my perspective, so why worry about it?" It is what the employee perceives that affects the employee and his/her colleagues. As stated by Greenberg et al. (1991, p. 113), the "astute manager" realizes the importance of the impression of fairness and focuses on "what others believe to be fair."

What can the managers who believe their decisions to be fair do to foster the impression of fairness? They can consider what subordinates may be looking for as they think about the manager's decision process. Greenberg et al. (1991, p. 114) listed 6 questions that employees consider in the process of assessing fairness: Does the manager: (a) adequately consider subordinates decisions? (b) appear to be neutral? (c) apply decision-making criteria consistently? (d) give subordinates timely feedback? (e) provide adequate explanations for his or her decisions? (f) treat subordinates with respect or dignity? A manager may solicit feedback from subordinates to determine whether or not answers to these questions about his/her behavior are "ves." When the employee perceives the decision criteria to be fair, he/she may be less likely to want to leave the organization.

#### REFERENCES

- Adams, J. S. (1963). Toward an understanding of equity. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 67, 422-436.
- Angle, H. L., & Perry, J. L. (1983). Organizational commitment: Individual and organizational influences. Work and Occupations, 10, 123-146.
- Aranya, N., & Ferris, K. R. (1984). A reexamination of accountants' organizational-profession conflict. *The Accounting Review*, 59, 1-15.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. Academy of Management Review, 14, 20-39.
- Barling, J., Wade, B., & Fullagar, C. (1990). Predicting employee commitment to company and union: Divergent models. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 63, 49-61.
- Barrett-Howard, E. & Tyler, T. R. (1986). Procedural justice as a criterion in allocation decisions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50, 296-304.
- Bartol, K. M. (1979). Professionalism as a predictor of organizational commitment, role stress, and turnover: A multidimensional approach. Academy of Management Journal, 22, 815-821.
- Becker, T. E. (1992). Foci and bases of commitment: Are they distinctions worth making? Academy of Management Journal, 35, 232-244.
- Blau, G. J. (1988). Further exploring the meaning and measurement of career commitment.

  Journal of Vocational Behavior, 32, 284-297.
- Cohen, J. & Cohen, P. (1975). Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum.

- Farrell, D., & Rusbult, C. E. (1981). Exchange variables as predictors of job satisfaction, job commitment, and turnover: The impact of rewards, costs, alternatives, and investments. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 27, 78-95.
- Federal Aviation Administration. (1991). Mission support into the next century: A management review of the Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center. Washington, D.C.: Office of the Deputy Associate Administrator for Appraisal, Federal Aviation Administration.
- Gouldner, A. W. (1957). Cosmopolitans and locals: Toward an analysis of latent social roles. *Administrative Sciences Quarterly*, 2, 281-306.
- Greenberg, J. (1986). The distributive justice of organizational performance evaluations. In H. W. Bierhoff, R. L. Cohen, & J. Greenberg (Eds.), Justice in social relations (pp. 337-351). New York: Plenum.
- Greenberg, J., & Folger, R. (1983). Procedural justice, participation, and the fair process effect in groups and organizations. In P. Paulus (Ed.), Basic group processes (pp. 235-256). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Hrebiniak, L. G., & Alutto, J. A. (1972). Personal and role-related factors in the development of organizational commitment. Administrative Science Quarterly, 17, 555-572.
- Jackson, S. E. (1981). Measurement of commitment to role identities. *Journal of Personality* and Social Psychology, 40, 138-146.
- Joy, V. L., & Witt, L. A. (In press). Delay of gratification as a moderator of the procedural justice-distributive justice relationship. Group and Organization Management.
- Kacmar, K. M., & Ferris, G. R. (1991). Perceptions of Organizational Politics Scale (POPS): Development and construct validation. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 51, 193-205.

- Kelley, H. H. (1955). Salience of group membership and resistance to change of group-anchored attitudes. *Human Relations*, 8, 275-289.
- Konovsky, M. A., Folger, R., & Cropanzo, R. (1987). Relative effects of procedural and distributive justice on employee attitudes. Representative Research in Social Psychology, 17, 15-24.
- Kuhn, M. H., & McPartland, T. S. (1954). An experimental investigation of self-attitudes. *American Sociological Review*, 19, 68-76.
- Landy, F. J., Barnes, J. L., & Murphy, K. R. (1978). Correlates of perceived fairness and accuracy of performance evaluation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 63, 751-754.
- Landy, F. J., Barnes-Farrell, J., & Cleveland, J. N.(1980). Perceived fairness and accuracy of performance evaluation: A follow-up. *Jour*nal of Applied Psychology, 65, 355-356.
- Levinson, H. (1970). A psychologist diagnoses merger failures. *Harvard Business Review*, 48, 139-147.
- Lind, E. A., & Tyler, T. R. (1988). The generality of procedural justice. New York: Plenum.
- Meyer, J. P., Paunonen, S. V., Gellatly, I. R., Goffin, R. D., & Jackson, D. N. (1989). Organizational commitment and job performance: It's the nature of the commitment that counts. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74, 152-156.
- Miller, G. A., & Wager, L. W. (1971). Adult socialization, organizational structure, and role orientations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 16, 151-163.
- Morrow, P. C. (1983). Concept redundancy in organizational research: The case of work commitment. Academy of Management Review, 8, 486-500.

- Morrow, P. C., & Goetz, J. F., Jr. (1988). Professionalism as a form of work commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 32, 92-111.
- Morrow, P. C., & Wirth, R. E. (1989). Work commitment among salaried professionals. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 34, 40-56.
- Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14, 224-247.
- O'Reilly, C. III, & Chatman, J. (1986). Organizational commitment and psychological attachment: The effects of compliance, identification, and internalization on pro-social behavior. Journal of Applied Psychology, 71, 492-499.
- Parasuraman, S., & Nachman, S. A. (1987). Correlates of organizational and professional commitment. Group and Organization Studies, 12, 287-303.
- Raelin, J. A. (1986). The clash of cultures: Managers and professionals. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Randall, D. M. (1988). Multiple roles and organizational commitment. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 9, 309-317.
- Randall, D. M. (1990). The consequences of organizational commitment: Methodological investigation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 11, 361-378.
- Reichers, A. E. (1985). A review and reconceptualization of organizational commitment. Academy of Management Review, 10, 465-476.
- Reichers, A. E. (1986). Conflict and organizational commitments. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 71, 508-514.

- Schneider, B. (1983). An interactionist perspective on organizational effectiveness. In K. S. Cameron and D. S. Whetten (Eds.), Organizational effectiveness: A comparison of multiple models (pp. 27-54). New York: Academic Press.
- Stryker, S. (1968). Identity salience and role performance. Journal of Marriage and the Family, 30, 558-564.
- Stryker, S. (1977). Developments in two social psychologies: Toward an appreciation of mutual relevance. *Sociometry*, 40, 145-160.
- Thibaut, J., & Walker, L. (1975). Procedural justice: A psychological analysis. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum.
- Tuma, N. B., & Grimes, A. J. (1981). A comparison of models of role orientations of professionals in a research-oriented university. Administrative Science Quarterly, 28, 187-206.
- Turner, J. (1985). Social categorization and the self-concept. A social cognitive theory of group behavior. In E. J. Lawler (Ed.), Advances in group processes (Vol. 2, pp. 77-122). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

- Tyler, T. R. (1986). When does procedural justice matter in organizational settings? In R. J. Lewicki, B. H. Sheppard, & M. H. Bazerman (Eds.), Research on negotiation in organizations (pp. 7-23). New York: JAI Press.
- Tyler, T. R. (1989). The psychology of procedural justice: A test of the group-value model. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57, 830-838.
- Tyler, T. R. (1990). Why people obey the law: Procedural justice, legitimacy, and compliance. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Witt, L. A. (In press). Reactions to work assignment as predictors of organizational commitment: The moderating effect of occupational identification. *Journal of Business Research*.
- Zaccaro, S. J., & Dobbins, G. H. (1989). The effects of group cohesion and leader behavior on subordinate satisfaction. *Group and Organization Studies*, 11, 203-219.