

AD-A254 680



DOT/FAA/AM-92/24

Office of Aviation Medicine  
Washington, D.C. 20591

②  
**Postmortem Alcohol  
Production in Fatal  
Aircraft Accidents**

Dennis V. Canfield  
Thomas C. Kupiec  
Edwin F. Huffine

*Civil Aeromedical Institute*  
Federal Aviation Administration  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125

**SDTIC  
ELECTE  
AUG 26 1992  
A D**

July 1992

Final Report

This document has been approved  
for public release and sale; its  
distribution is unlimited.

This document is available to the public  
through the National Technical Information  
Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.



U.S. Department  
of Transportation  
**Federal Aviation  
Administration**

92-23603



084050

92 8 25 037

## **NOTICE**

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof.

1. Report No. DOT/FAA/AM-92/24	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.	
4. Title and Subtitle  POSTMORTEM ALCOHOL PRODUCTION IN FATAL AIRCRAFT ACCIDENTS		5. Report Date July 1992	
		6. Performing Organization Code	
		8. Performing Organization Report No.	
7. Author(s) Dennis V. Canfield, Thomas Kupiec, and Edwin Huffine			
9. Performing Organization Name and Address  FAA Civil Aeromedical Institute P.O. Box 25082 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)	
		11. Contract or Grant No.	
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Office of Aviation Medicine Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591		14. Sponsoring Agency Code	
15. Supplementary Notes  Work was accomplished under approved task AM-B-91-TOX-66			
16. Abstract  During 1989 and 1990, the Civil Aeromedical Institute (CAMI) received specimens from 975 victims of fatal aircraft accidents. The maximum concentration of ethanol allowed under FAA regulations (0.04%, 40mg/dL) was exceeded in 79 of these cases (8%). It was determined based on the distribution of ethanol in urine, vitreous, blood, and tissue that 21 of the positive cases (27%) were from postmortem alcohol production. Twenty-two of the positive cases (28%) were found to be from the ingestion of ethanol. In 36 cases (45%) no determination could be made in regards to the origin of the ethanol. In two cases, postmortem alcohol production exceeded 0.15 percent (150mg/dL).  The opinion held by some toxicologists that postmortem alcohol production can be inferred from the presence of acetaldehyde, acetone, butanol, and other volatiles was found to be incorrect. Several cases with postmortem ethanol had no other volatiles. Volatile compounds were found in several cases where no ethanol was present. In addition, a case was found where the relative ethanol concentrations in blood, bile, and vitreous humor were solely consistent with the ingestion of ethanol, but acetaldehyde, acetone, and 2-butanol were also found in blood. This clearly indicates that the presence or absence of other volatiles does not establish postmortem ethanol production.			
17. Key Words Ethanol, Postmortem		18. Distribution Statement  Document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia 22161	
19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 6	22. Price

# POSTMORTEM ALCOHOL PRODUCTION IN FATAL AIRCRAFT ACCIDENTS

## INTRODUCTION

Ethanol found in the blood of a pilot is a significant factor in determining the possible cause of an aircraft accident. However, the interpretation of ethanol in postmortem specimens is complicated by the presence of endogenous ethanol. Previous authors (1,6) have pointed out the dangers of interpreting ethanol in postmortem samples. Corry (1) warns the forensic scientist to "bear in mind that specimens of human tissue containing microorganisms, particularly specimens taken from corpses, may contain ethanol produced by microbial fermentation, and that extreme caution should be exercised when assessing the significance of postmortem ethanol."

Many papers have been published on postmortem ethanol production (1-15). In these papers procedures for determining postmortem ethanol production have been proposed. The presence of volatiles other than ethanol has been suggested (2) as an indicator of postmortem ethanol. The ratio of ethanol in blood to other specimens has been proposed (5,6) as another way of differentiating between ingested and postmortem ethanol. Several investigators (5,6,12) have shown that vitreous and urine do not suffer from postmortem ethanol production to any significant extent. Therefore, finding ethanol in urine or vitreous would generally indicate the ingestion of ethanol. Vitreous will normally have about 12% more ethanol than blood if the system is in the post-absorptive phase. Ethanol in urine will normally be about 25% or greater than the level of ethanol found in blood assuming no ethanol ingestion during the last 20 minutes. Levels of ethanol above certain concentrations have been used by some to infer the presence of ingested ethanol. In several cases concentrations such as 0.02% (20mg/dL), 0.15% (150mg/dL) and 0.20% (200mg/dL) have been suggested (1,8,9) as maximum levels of ethanol for postmortem ethanol production.

The following study addresses the determination of the presence or absence of postmortem ethanol in civil aviation fatalities.

The Forensic Toxicology Research Section (FTRS) at the Civil Aeromedical Institute (CAMI) receives specimens from most of the fatal aircraft accidents that occur in the United States. Collection and shipment of speci-

mens from these accidents are sometimes delayed and the possibility of postmortem ethanol production does exist. Severe damage to the body often exposes specimens to microorganisms that can produce ethanol under the proper circumstances (temperature, time, and nutrients). A study was undertaken to determine the incidents of postmortem ethanol in specimens received by the laboratory.

## METHOD

Specimens were collected by local pathologists and placed in evidence containers provided by the FAA Forensic Toxicology Research Section. These samples were refrigerated and shipped to CAMI by overnight air. Upon receipt the specimens were inventoried and prepared for analysis by a contract laboratory, the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology. The results of these tests were sent to the FTRS, the data were scanned with a SCANTRON optical card reader into a computer program developed by the Forensic Toxicology Research Section for storing, retrieving, and analyzing toxicology data. Of the various recommendations in the literature, we postulated postmortem ethanol was optimally inferred from the absence of ethanol in urine and/or vitreous coupled with a positive ethanol in blood or tissue. All cases with a blood ethanol concentration equal to or more than 0.04% (40mg/dL) were considered positive, as defined by Federal Aviation Regulation 14 CFR 91.11.

In 1989 and 1990, the Forensic Toxicology Research Section reviewed ethanol test results from 975 aviation fatalities to determine the extent of postmortem ethanol production.

## RESULTS

The maximum concentration of ethanol allowed under FAA regulations 0.04% (40mg/dL) was exceeded in 79 of the 975 cases (8%). Twenty-one of the 79 cases (27%) were determined to be from postmortem ethanol production. In two of these cases, postmortem ethanol production exceeded 0.15% (150mg/dL). Twenty-two of the positive cases (28%) were found to be from the

H  
P  
□  
□  
□  
—  
—  
—  
es

1

Dist	Special
A-1	

**TABLE I. Analysis of source of postmortem alcohol in 975 cases.**

Description	1989	1990	Total
Total cases	461	514	975
Total cases ≥0.4%(40mg/dL)	39 (8%)	40 (8%)	79 (8%)
Postmortem Ethanol	13 (33%)	8 (20%)	21 (27%)
Ingested Ethanol	8 (21%)	14 (35%)	22 (28%)
Unknown origin for Ethanol	18 (46%)	18 (45%)	36 (45%)

**TABLE II. Relationship of ethanol origin and presence of other volatiles.**

Description	1989	1990	Total
EPV	10	3	13
EIV	6	3	9
EUV	23	10	33
NEV	3	11	14
NEV	3	11	14

EPV = Postmortem ethanol & other volatiles

EIV = Ingested ethanol & other volatiles

EUV = Unknown origin of ethanol & other volatiles

NEV = Other volatiles and no ethanol

ingestion of ethanol. In 36 cases (45%), no determination could be made regarding the origin of the ethanol (Table I).

Volatiles other than ethanol were found in 13 cases with postmortem ethanol, 9 case with ingestion of ethanol, 33 cases with ethanol of an unknown origin, and 14 cases without any ethanol (Table II).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Vitreous and urine were submitted in only 55% of the positive cases. Therefore, only 28% of these cases could be clearly identified as containing ingested ethanol. This is a much smaller number than was expected. We must increase the awareness of local pathologists and investigators to the need for collecting and submitting urine, vitreous, and other specimens to aid in differentiating between postmortem and ingested ethanol.

The presence or absence of volatiles, other than ethanol, does not of itself provide sufficient information needed to determine the origin of ethanol found in most postmortem samples. Table II shows that other volatiles can be found when there is no postmortem ethanol production. Postmortem ethanol can be found in cases where no other volatiles were found.

It has been suggested by some that one can assume the ingestion of ethanol when the ethanol concentration exceeds certain levels, such as 0.020% (20mg/dL), 0.150% (150mg/dL), or 0.200% (200mg/dL). The data collected in this study show that postmortem ethanol concentrations occasionally exceed these values. One can not determine with any degree of certainty the presence of postmortem ethanol based solely on the level of ethanol found in the analysis of postmortem specimens. The number of unknown variables in the production of postmortem ethanol makes it difficult to state unequivocally that the ethanol level in a specimen is above that which would be expected from a postmortem specimen. Specimens from 1989 and 1990 showed postmortem ethanol ranging in concentration from our cutoff of 0.01% (10mg/dL) to 0.18% (180mg/dL). In 1991 this laboratory analyzed a case with postmortem ethanol in excess of 0.30% (300mg/dL).

## REFERENCES

1. Corry JEL. Possible sources of ethanol ante- and post-mortem: its relationship to the biochemistry and microbiology of decomposition. *J Appl Bacteriol.* 1978;44:1-56.
2. Stone BE, Pierce AR. A study using body fluids to determine blood alcohol. *J Anal Toxicol.* 1984;8:95-6.
3. Nicloux M. Dosage of alcohol in putrefied blood and tissues. *CR Soc Biol.* 1935; 121:1301-9.
4. Nicloux M. Neoformation of ethyl alcohol in human cadavers in view of putrefaction. *CR Soc Biol.* 1936;121:975-8.
5. Caplan YH, Levine B. Vitreous humor in the evaluation of postmortem blood ethanol concentrations. *J Anal Tox.* 1990;14:305-7.
6. Harper DR. A comparative study of the microbiological contamination of postmortem blood and vitreous humour samples taken for ethanol determination. *Forensic Sci Int.* 1989;43:37-44.
7. Neil P, Mills AJ, Prabhakaran VM. Evaluation of vitreous humor and urine alcohol levels as indices of blood alcohol levels in 75 autopsy cases. *Can Soc Forensic Sci.* 1985;18:97-104.
8. Bogusz M, Guminska M, Markiewicz J. Studies of the formation of endogenous ethanol in blood putrefying in vitro. *J Forensic Med.* 1970;17:156-68.
9. Spitz WS, Fisher RS. *Medicolegal investigation of death.* 2nd ed. 1980; 567-8.
10. Falconer B, Falconer C. Postmortal blood alcohol in various parts of the vascular system. *Lutalkohol.* 1973;10:328-35.
11. Luvoni R, Marozz E. Ethyl alcohol distribution in the various organs and fluids of cadavers. *J Forensic Med.* 1968;5:67-70.
12. Davis GL, Leffert RL, Rantanen, NW. Putrefactive ethanol sources in postmortem tissues of conventional and germ-free mice. *Arch Path.* 1972;94:71-4.
13. Blume P, Lakatua DJ. The effect of microbial contamination of the blood sample on the determination of ethanol levels in serum. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1973;60:700-2.
14. Russell AB. Post mortem blood alcohol survey in some Australian states. *Med J Aust.* 1971;2:948-51.
15. Blackmore DJ. The bacterial production of ethyl alcohol. *J Forensic Sci Soc.* 1968;8:73-6.