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INTRODUCTION

How to use this generic FITS Syllabus

This syllabus is an FAA Industry Training Standards (FITS) accepted training method. This generic syllabus is a guide for you to use in developing your specific FITS curriculum. This FITS Syllabus is intended as a guide for aircraft manufacturers, training providers, and flight schools to use in developing a specific FITS curriculum for their aircraft, geographic region, and customer base. This syllabus is unique in several ways. First, it is a syllabus that uses real-world scenarios as the foundation of the training. Flight maneuvers are still a vital part of flight training and flight maneuvers are a part of this syllabus, but the use of real-world scenarios is used to also enhance the pilot's decision making skills. The syllabus presents situations and circumstances that pilots face everyday as learning experiences and lessons. The primary tenant of FITS training is that you prepare for the real world of flying, by acting as a pilot while in training. Therefore, throughout the syllabus, the pilot in training (PT) will take on different tasks or jobs just as if they were already certificated pilots. The second important unique feature of this syllabus and of FITS training is that it is all competency based. When the pilot in training (PT) masters a particular skill area in the syllabus, he/she moves on regardless of how much time it takes to reach that point of mastery. This means that each lesson does not necessarily equal one flight. It may take several flights before the PT masters the elements of the lesson and is ready to move on to the next lesson. Consequently, the amount of total flight hours a PT has when the syllabus is completed may be more or less than the minimum times under current aviation regulations. Please note that FITS training is conducted under the current Federal Aviation Regulations. Although philosophically, FITS is competency based, many training organizations must still require their pilots in training to meet the FAA minimum training hours. Courses under 14 CFR Part 142 and section 141.55(d) may be approved to train to competency and not require an hours minimum.

Regulations

This generic syllabus is adaptable to 14 CFR Parts 142, 141, or 61. Please refer to the appropriate regulations for your specific curriculum requirements.

FITS Acceptance

FITS acceptance is achieved by developing your specific curriculum and submitting it to your local Flight Standards District Office for operations under 14 CFR Part 61, 141, and 142. If you are an OEM (Original Equipment Manufacturer, you should submit your curriculum to the FAA FITS Program Manager, AFS-800, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20591. A cover letter
explaining exactly for what courses you are requesting FITS acceptance and under what regulations should accompany the curriculum. Use of the FITS logo. Once accepted, you are free to use the FITS Logo on all accepted curriculums and in advertising about this particular curriculum. The FITS logo cannot be used in relationship to non-FITS products.

There are 4 levels of FITS acceptance:

1. Accepted FITS Flight Syllabus: Will contain all the tenets of FITS and will include flight in an aircraft or at least an Advanced Training Device. Examples of this type of syllabus include initial, transition, and recurrent training syllabi.

2. Accepted FITS Syllabus (No flight): It is not intended to teach the pilot in training (PT) psychomotor pilot skills or full cockpit/aircraft integration in a specific aircraft. It’s intended to enhance certain skill sets of the PT. Application of this level of acceptance may be to teach the PT how to use a new glass cockpit display or develop better Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM) skills. A FITS Accepted Syllabus will also contain all the tenets of FITS. A live instructor will lead the training.

3. Accepted FITS Self-Learning Program: This acceptance is between the FITS Accepted Syllabus and FITS Supporting Material. It may be either an interactive CD or on-line course on a specific application or subject. The purpose of this training is to learn a specific piece of equipment or enhance a specific higher order thinking skill. Scenario training and/or testing is required. Since a live instructor is not required, Learner Centered Grading may not be applicable.
   a. If the program is for a piece of equipment (i.e. GPS), the equipment should act like the actual piece of equipment during the interaction with the equipment as much as feasible. After basic training on the equipment, scenarios should be used to demonstrate PT proficiency and knowledge.
   b. For non equipment programs (i.e. ADM development) scenarios with multi-string testing should be used.

4. Accepted FITS Supporting Material: These products do not meet the training tenets of FITS (i.e. may not be scenario based), but the subject is integral to FITS. These products could be accepted on their own technical merit, but only as a part of an Accepted FITS Flight Syllabus or FITS Syllabus. For example, a CBI on risk management could be accepted as and used as a Lesson in a FITS accepted transition syllabus. Original equipment manufacturers (Cessna, Cirrus, Eclipse, etc.) or developers of training materials (Sporty’s, Jeppesen, King Schools, etc.) normally develop Accepted FITS Supporting Material.
FITS TERMINOLOGY

Automation Bias – The relative willingness of the pilot to trust and utilize automated systems.

Automation Competence – The demonstrated ability to understand and operate the automated systems installed in the aircraft.

Automation Management – The demonstrated ability to control and navigate an aircraft by means of the automated systems installed in the aircraft.

Automated Navigation Leg – A flight of 30 minutes or more conducted between two airports in which the aircraft is controlled primarily by the autopilot and the on board navigation systems.

Automation Surprise – Occurs when the automation behaves in a manner that is different from what the operator is expecting.

Candidate Assessment – A system of critical thinking and skill evaluations designed to assess a pilot in training’s readiness to begin training at the required level.

Critical Safety Tasks/Events – Those mission related tasks/events that if not accomplished quickly and accurately may result in damage to the aircraft or loss of life.

Data link Situational Awareness Systems – Systems that feed real-time information to the cockpit on weather, traffic, terrain, and flight planning. This information may be displayed on the PFD, MFD, or on other related cockpit displays.

Emergency Escape Maneuver – A maneuver (or series of maneuvers) performed manually or with the aid of the aircraft’s automated systems that will allow a pilot to successfully escape from an unanticipated flight into Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC) or other life-threatening situations.

IFR Automated Navigation Leg – A leg flown on autopilot beginning from 500 ft AGL on departure (unless the limitations of the autopilot require a higher altitude, then from that altitude) until reaching the decision altitude or missed approach point on the instrument approach (unless the limitations of the autopilot require a higher altitude, then from that altitude). If a missed approach is flown, it will also be flown using the autopilot and on-board navigation systems.

Light Turbine TAA – is a jet or turboprop Technically Advance Aircraft (TAA) certified for single-pilot operations, weighing 12,500 lbs or less, that may be equipped with cabin pressurization, and may be capable of operating in Class A airspace on normal mission profiles.

Mission Related Tasks – Those tasks required for safe and effective operations within the aircraft’s certificated performance envelope.

Multi-Function Display MFD – Any display that combines primarily navigation, systems, and situational awareness information onto a single electronic display.

Primary Flight Display (PFD) – Any display that combines the primary six flight instruments, plus other related navigation and situational awareness information into a single electronic display.

Proficiency-Based Qualification – Aviation task qualification based on demonstrated performance rather than other flight time or experience.
Scenario Based Training – A training system that uses a highly structured script of real-world experiences to address flight-training objectives in an operational environment. Such training can include initial training, transition training, upgrade training, recurrent training, and special training. The appropriate term should appear with the term "Scenario Based," e.g., "Scenario Based Transition Training," to reflect the specific application.

Simulation Training Only – Any use of animation and/or actual representations of aircraft systems to simulate the flight environment. Pilot in training interaction with the simulation and task fidelity for the task to be performed are required for effective simulation.

Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM) – The art and science of managing all resources (both on-board the aircraft and from outside sources) available to a single pilot (prior and during flight) to ensure the successful outcome of the flight is never in doubt.

Technically Advanced Aircraft (TAA) – A General Aviation aircraft that contains the following design features: Advanced automated cockpit such as MFD or PFD or other variations of a Glass Cockpit, or a traditional cockpit with GPS navigation capability, moving map display and autopilot. It includes aircraft used in both VFR and IFR operations, with systems certified to either VFR or IFR standards. TAA’s may also have automated engine and systems management.

VFR Automated Navigation Leg – A leg flown on autopilot from 1,000 ft AGL on the departure until entry to the 45-degree leg in the VFR pattern.
TRAINING PHILOSOPHY

FITS Training is a scenario-based approach to training pilots. It emphasizes the development of critical thinking and flight management skills, rather than solely on traditional maneuver-based skills. The goal of this training philosophy is the accelerated acquisition of higher-level decision-making skills. Such skills are necessary to prevent pilot-induced accidents.

FITS Training Goals

- Higher Order Thinking Skills
- Aeronautical Decision Making
- Situational Awareness
- Pattern Recognition (Emergency Procedures) and Judgment Skills
- Automation Competence
- Planning and Execution
- Procedural Knowledge
- Psychomotor (Hand-Eye Coordination) Skills
- Risk Management
- Task Management
- Automation Management
- Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT) Awareness

Previous training philosophies assumed that newly certified pilots generally remain in the local area until their aviation skills are refined. This is no longer true with the advent of Technically Advanced Aircraft (TAA). Offering superior avionics and performance capabilities, these aircraft travel faster and further than their predecessors. As a result, a growing number of entry-level pilots are suddenly capable of long distance/high speed travel—and its inherent challenges. Flights of this nature routinely span diverse weather systems and topography requiring advanced flight planning and operational skills. Advanced cockpits and avionics, while generally considered enhancements, require increased technical knowledge and finely tuned automation competence. Without these skills, the potential for an increased number of pilot-induced accidents is daunting. A different method of training is required to accelerate the acquisition of these skills during the training process.

Research has proven that learning is enhanced when training is realistic. In addition, the underlying skills needed to make good judgments and decisions are teachable. Both the military and commercial airlines have embraced these principles through the integration of Line Oriented Flight Training (LOFT) and Crew Resource Management (CRM) training into their qualification programs. Both LOFT and CRM lessons mimic real-life scenarios as a means to expose pilots to realistic operations and critical decision-making opportunities. The most significant shift in these programs has been the movement from traditional maneuver-based training to incorporate training that is scenario-based.
Maneuver-based training emphasizes the mastery of individual tasks or elements. Regulations, as well as Practical Test Standards (PTS), drive completion standards. Flight hours and the ability to fly within specified tolerances determine competence. The emphasis is on development of motor skills to satisfactorily accomplish individual maneuvers. Only limited emphasis is placed on decision-making. As a result, when the newly trained pilot flies in the real-world environment, he or she is inadequately prepared to make crucial decisions. Scenario Based Training (SBT) and Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM) are similar to LOFT and CRM training. However, each is tailored to the pilot's training needs. These techniques use the same individual tasks that are found in Maneuver Based Training, but script them into scenarios that mimic real-life cross-country travel. By emphasizing the goal of flying safely, the pilot in training correlates the importance of individual training maneuvers to safe mission accomplishment. In addition, the instructor continuously interjects “What If?” discussions as a means to provide the trainee with increased exposure to proper decision-making. Because the “What If?” discussions are in reference to the scenario, there is a clear connection between decisions made and the final outcome. The “What If?” discussions are designed to accelerate the development of decision-making skills by posing situations for the pilot in training to consider. Once again, research has shown these types of discussions help build judgment and offset low experience.

Questions or situations posed by the instructor must be open-ended (rather than requiring only rote or one-line responses). In addition, the instructor guides the pilot in training through the decision process by: 1) Posing a question or situation that engages the pilot in training in some form of decision-making activity. 2) Examining the decisions made. 3) Exploring other ways to solve the problem. 4) Evaluating which way is best. For example, when the pilot in training is given a simulated engine failure, the instructor might ask questions such as: “What should we do now?” Or, “Why did you pick that place to land?” Or, “Is there a better choice?” Or, “Which place is the safest?” Or, “Why?” These questions force the pilot in training to focus on the decision process. This accelerates the acquisition of improved judgment, which is simply the decision-making process resulting from experience. It is not innate. All of our life experiences mold the judgment tendencies we bring to our flight situations. By introducing decision-making opportunities into routine training lessons, we speed-up acquisition of experience, thus enhancing judgment.

For further information, please reference “Aeronautical Decision Making” in the FAA Aviation Instructor Handbook.
TEACHING METHODS

Scenario Based Training

For Scenario Based Training (SBT) to be effective there must be a purpose for the flight and consequences if it is not completed as planned. It is vital that the pilot in training and the Instructor communicate the following information well in advance of every training flight:

Purpose of flight
Scenario destination(s)
Desired pilot in training learning outcomes
Desired level of pilot in training performance
Desired level of automation assistance
Possible in-flight scenario changes (during later stages of the program)

With the guidance of the Instructor, the pilot in training should make the flight scenario as realistic as possible. This means the pilot in training will know where they are going and what will transpire during the flight. While the actual flight may deviate from the original plan, it allows the pilot in training to be placed in a realistic scenario.

Scenario Planning – Prior to the flight, the Instructor will brief the scenario to be planned. The Instructor will review the plan and offer guidance on how to make the lesson more effective. Discussion, in part, will reflect ways in which the Instructor can most effectively draw out a pilot in training’s knowledge and decision processes. This enables the Instructor to analyze and evaluate the pilot in training’s level of understanding. After discussion with the Instructor, the pilot in training will plan the flight to include:

Reason to go flying
Route
Destination(s)
Weather
Notams
Desired pilot in training learning outcomes
Possible alternate scenarios and emergency procedures

Example of Scenario Based Training

Consider the following example: During traditional MBT, the Instructor provides a detailed explanation on how to control for wind drift. The explanation includes a thorough coverage of heading, speed, angle of bank, altitude, terrain, and wind direction plus velocity. The explanation is followed by a demonstration and repeated practice of a specific flight maneuver, such as turns around a point or S turns across the road until the maneuver can be consistently accomplished in a safe and effective manner within a
specified limit of heading, altitude, and airspeed. **At the end of this lesson, the pilot in training is only capable of performing the maneuver.**

Now, consider a different example: The pilot in training is asked to plan for the arrival at a specific uncontrolled airport. The planning should take into consideration the possible wind conditions, arrival paths, airport information and communication procedures, available runways, recommended traffic patterns, courses of action, and preparation for unexpected situations. Upon arrival at the airport the pilot in training makes decisions (with guidance and feedback as necessary) to safely enter and fly the traffic pattern using proper wind drift correction techniques. This is followed by a discussion of what was done, why it was done, the consequences, and other possible courses of action and how it applies to other airports. **At the end of this lesson the pilot in training is capable of explaining the safe arrival at any uncontrolled airport in any wind condition.**

The first example is one of traditional learning, where the focus is on the maneuver. The second is an example of scenario-based training, where the focus is on real world performance. Many course developers in flight training have built on the former option. Traditional training methods in many instances are giving way to more realistic and fluid forms of learning. The aviation industry is moving from traditional knowledge-related learning outcomes to an emphasis on increased internalized learning in which learners are able to assess situations and appropriately react. Knowledge components are becoming an important side effect of a dynamic learning experience.

Reality is the ultimate learning situation and scenario-based training attempts to get as close as possible to this ideal. In simple terms, scenario-based training addresses learning that occurs in a context or situation. It is based on the concept of situated cognition, which is the idea that knowledge cannot be known and fully understood independent of its context. **In other words, we learn better, the more realistic the situation is and the more we are counted on to perform.**

Michael Hebron, a well-known golf instructor, suggests that there is little the expert can do in the way of teaching the learner particular motions of the golf swing. Instead, learning has to be experiential and feedback based; only a handful of basic principles are involved. The same goes, he says, for any and all kinds of learning. **“It’s about learning, not about golf.”**

Scenario-based training (SBT) is similar to the experiential model of learning. The adherents of experiential learning are fairly adamant about how people learn. **They would tell us that learning seldom takes place by rote.** Learning occurs because we immerse ourselves in a situation in which we are forced to perform. We get feedback from our environment and adjust our behavior. We do this automatically and with such frequency in a compressed timeframe that we hardly notice we are going through a learning process. Indeed, we may not even be able to recite particular principles or describe how and why we engaged in a specific behavior. Yet, we are still able to replicate the behavior with increasing skill as we practice. If we could ask Mark
MacGuire to map out the actions that describe how he hits a home run, he would probable look at us dumbfounded and say, “I just do it.” On the other hand, I am sure Mark MacGuire could describe in detail the size and characteristics of every one of the baseball diamonds he was playing in as well as the strengths, weaknesses and common practices of every one of the pitchers he faced.

Developing Scenario-Based Training

Scenario-based training best fits an open philosophy of blended and multiple learning solutions in which change and experience are valued and the lines between training and performance improvement are blurred. For scenario-based training to be effective it must generally follow a performance improvement imperative. The focus is on improved outcomes rather than the acquisition of knowledge and skills. Success requires a blended, performance-based, and reinforced solution.

An athletic exercise such as Basketball might prove to be a very good example. Clearly, the team’s objective is to win, which means scoring more points than the other team. That’s the performance objective. Each member of the team also has personal performance goals. The coach can stand at a blackboard and explain defensive and offensive diagrams with players, the rules of the game, and so forth. By doing that, he has identified a set of learning subjects (rules and play patterns) that are best delivered in a traditional fashion.

On the other hand, the application of these subjects and the level of proficiency required in their use can only be learned on the court. The scenario in this example is a scrimmage. During a typical scrimmage, experienced players are mixed with non-experienced players and matched against a similarly constituted practice team. The two teams play a game, and the coaches stop the action at appropriate intervals to offer feedback. Learning takes place in a highly iterative fashion often without the player realizing that specific bits of learning are taking place. The scrimmage provides a player with the opportunity to make several decisions, engage in complex and fast-paced behaviors, and immediately see impact. The coach may have some general ideas of basketball in mind and perhaps some specific learning objectives for the day, but in most cases does not know precisely which of them will be addressed during the scrimmage – that depends on the flow of practice.

Similarly, most flight training consists of both kinds of subjects: those amenable to traditional instructional design techniques and those better approached through scenario-based training. Neither is all that useful without the other. Before a learner can engage in a scenario, he or she needs some basic subject knowledge and skill. However, the strongest adherents of the scenario-based approach suggest very little subject knowledge is needed in order to take advantage of SBT. The main point is that knowledge without application is worth very little.
The first step in the scenario design process is to engage a number of subject matter experts in a series of discovery sessions and interactive meetings for the purpose of identifying issues and learning objectives including higher-level and performance objectives. With clearly identified learning objectives, appropriate techniques and where to use them can be specified. In the basketball example, players need some rudimentary knowledge of the game and basic skill in order to make the practice session efficient and effective. Consequently, the required knowledge and skill objects need to be integrated into the actual sessions of practice. So, like a train pulling a number of boxcars, a traditional piece of learning precedes or is integrated into a scenario, with the scenario dictating what information is covered in the traditional piece. If, as described in the scrimmage session above, you don’t precisely know what will come up in the practice, you shouldn’t waste time in the traditional preparation. It’s more efficient to share very basic principles and devote your resources to preparing to teach any situation that may arise. What is important, however, is to establish the boundaries of the scenarios. These are done using performance-based learning objectives (Internalized Responses) as opposed to knowledge-based learning objectives, and are worded as performance objectives rather than skill-based behavior objectives.

For example, in the traditional, more repetitive, intensive flight training sessions, objectives are knowledge-based and tend to be specific and limited. On the other hand, in scenario-based training we are simply trying to determine whether the learner has the minimum necessary knowledge/skill to qualify for the scenario. With scenario-based objectives, we are looking for performance behaviors and indicators of internalized responses, which are usually situational recognition indicators.

We can see this clearly illustrated in an automobile driver-training example (Table 1). The traditional Behavior (skill) objective is knowledge based and the SBT Performance objective is performance-based (responses which are situational recognition indicators).
### Table 1: Driving Learning Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Behavior (Skill)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional</td>
<td>Drive an automatic shift car on a county road over a 2-mile route with one RR crossing and 2 full stops. Maneuver the automobile into a normal parallel parking space between 2 other cars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalized Response</td>
<td>Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario-Based</td>
<td>Appropriately apply the rules of the road for driving in the local area in moderate traffic. Determine the shortest route and apply the appropriate procedures for driving in heavy and complex traffic conditions. Drive from your garage to the Shopping Center on the same side of town. Drive from your garage to a specified address in another town over 50 miles away on the Interstate and an Expressway system.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scenario design sessions should resemble focus groups in which participants work through a series of issues, from broad scenario outlines to very specific scenario details. Direct participants to address two general areas: content and style.

Sessions to determine content usually ask participants to:
- Share experiences about the subject event
- Describe desirable outcomes
- Share best practices or known instances of consistent achievement of the desired outcomes
- Create indicators of successful outcomes
- Create strategies expected to lead to successful outcomes
- Establish descriptions of successful and unsuccessful performance behaviors related to these strategies (note that outcome measures and performance behaviors will constitute the evaluative criteria for assessing performance in the scenario).

After the content discussion, ask participants to review the look, feel, and flow of the scenario. This is much like the process used for instructional design. Develop a storyboard with a general beginning and end, using the boundaries established earlier. Talk through the scenario in the session and, through iteration, create a flow script from the results.

With these two elements in place, you can begin the actual construction of the scenario. A subcommittee of Flight Instructors and subject matter experts (SME’s) should review and revise the scenario to fit into the whole course of instruction.
Scenarios are meant to be real situations. In an ideal world, an assessment team would evaluate behavior and agree on several critical performance dimensions. The key indicators should come from the initial SME's, in which they also create strategies expected to lead to successful outcomes and establish descriptions of successful and unsuccessful performance behaviors. Outcome measures and performance behaviors will constitute the evaluative criteria for assessing performance in the scenario.

Examples of indicators of successful outcomes are whether an airplane arrived and was secured at the destination airport and how safe were all aspects of the flight or were there any regulatory violations. Strategies are clusters of internally consistent behaviors directed toward the achievement of a goal. Performance behaviors are the key behaviors in those strategies. Establishing these dimensions should be a group process and is usually completed in the subject matter expert design session.

Review, obtain learner feedback, and revise. All learning, even the most traditional, is iterative. The key to creating a useful scenario is to see it as a learning experience for the designers as well as the learners. This means that results and comments about the learning experience are shared with the SME's and the designer so that they can review and modify the scenarios as necessary. Obtain open-ended qualitative data from the learner and the Flight Instructor about the experience and review the data with the SME's and the designer.

Based on this kind of feedback, scenarios can be revised to better target the learner population. That process mirrors the original design steps. There are some cautions, however, in the revision process. First, there is an old saying: “It doesn't take a cannon to blow away a tin can.” Basically, revisions should not needlessly complicate the scenario or the technology needed to employ it. It is crucial to weigh the risks of complication against the genuine learning needs. Before any revision, affirm the original purpose statement and the categorization of learning elements.

Also, do not let principles and main points become diluted by revisions. It is tempting to add more items and nuances in a scenario, but doing so further complicates the learning process. Save complexity for a full-scale “capstone” experience. Remember, adding an item in traditional learning complicates the learning process in a linear fashion. In scenarios, complication grows non-linearly with the addition of learning items. So, beware. A rule of thumb is to reduce rather than increase principles and main points in a revision.

Always review success and failure paths for realism. Remember that any change in a scenario item complicates all items on the path following it. Any time a decision node is altered, chances are that the decision nodes and information items following it must change. With every revision, follow and ensure the consistency of associated paths.
Finally, remember that traditional learning elements should service the scenario-based learning elements, which are situated in a real context and based on the idea that knowledge cannot be known and fully understood independent of its context. It is essential to place boundaries around scenarios to make the transitions between scenarios and traditional learning as efficient as possible.

Table 2: The Main Points

- Scenario-based training (SBT) is situated in a real context and is based on the idea that knowledge cannot be known and fully understood independent of its context.
- SBT accords with a performance improvement and behavior change philosophy of the learning function.
- SBT is different from traditional instructional design and one must be aware of the differences to successfully employ SBT.
- All learning solutions should employ both traditional and scenario-based training.
- Traditional learning elements should service the scenario-based training elements.
- It is essential to place boundaries around scenarios to make the transitions between scenarios and traditional learning as efficient as possible.
- Use interactive discovery techniques with subject matter experts (SME's) and designers to establish the purpose and outcomes of scenarios create the scenarios and appropriate strategies and performance behaviors, and develop learner evaluation criteria.
- SBT occurs by following success and failure paths through a realistic situation. Typically, these paths must be limited to stress the main learning objective. Otherwise the scenario can become too complex and unwieldy.
- Open-ended qualitative learner feedback is key to successful scenario revision, but revisions should not further complicate the scenario unless highly justified.

Single Pilot Resource Management

Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM) is defined as the art and science of managing all the resources (both on-board the aircraft and from outside sources) available to a single-pilot (prior and during flight) to ensure that the successful outcome of the flight is never in doubt. Most of us remember a favorite Instructor from our past that showed us the best way to solve in-flight problems and unforeseen circumstances. The FITS team has combined much of this collective CFI body of knowledge with some innovative teaching methods to give pilots practical tools to teach aeronautical decision-making and judgment. SRM includes the concepts of Aeronautical Decision Making (ADM), Risk Management (RM), Task Management (TM), Automation Management (AM), Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT) Awareness, and Situational Awareness (SA). SRM training helps the pilot maintain situational awareness by managing the automation and associated aircraft control and navigation tasks. This enables the pilot to accurately assess and manage risk and make accurate and timely decisions. **This is what SRM is all about, helping pilots learn how to gather information, analyze it, and make decisions.**

Teaching pilots to identify problems, analyze the information, and make informed and timely decisions is one of the most difficult tasks for Instructors. By way of comparison, the training of specific maneuvers is fairly straightforward and reasonably easy to understand. We explain, demonstrate, and practice a maneuver until proficiency is achieved. We are teaching the pilot in training **“what to think”** about each maneuver, and sign them off when they demonstrate proficiency. Teaching judgment is harder. Now we are faced with teaching the pilot in training **“how to think”** in the endless variety of situations they may encounter while flying out in the “real world.” Often, they learn this by watching Instructors. They observe reactions, and more importantly, actions, during flight situations and they often adapt the styles of the Instructor to their own personalities.

Pilots in training may range from 100-hour VFR-only pilots, all the way to multi-thousand hours ATP’s. The strength of this format is that the participants learn not only from their Flight Instructor, but from each other as well. The collective knowledge of many pilots, when guided by an experienced CFI, is much greater than the knowledge of each participant, including the Flight Instructor. In these scenarios, there are no right answers, rather each pilot is expected to analyze each situation in light of their experience level, personal minimums, and current physical and mental readiness level, and make their own decision.

The SRM scenarios, developed by the FITS team, incorporate several maneuvers and flight situations into realistic flight scenarios. The scenarios are much like the Line Oriented Flight Training (LOFT) employed by the major corporate and airline training organizations for years. Table 3 gives an example of the performance, standards and conditions using SRM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The training task is:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Task Management (TM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Automation Management (AM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Risk Management (RM) and Aeronautical Decision-Making (ADM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Situational Awareness (SA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT) Awareness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The “5P” Check

SRM sounds good on paper, however, it requires a way for pilots to understand and deploy it in their daily flights. This practical application is called the “Five P’s (5P’s)” The 5P’s consist of “the Plan, the Plane, the Pilot, the Passengers, and the Programming”. Each of these areas consists of a set of challenges and opportunities that face a single pilot. And each can substantially increase or decrease the risk of successfully completing the flight based on the pilot’s ability to make informed and timely decisions. The 5P’s are used to evaluate the pilot’s current situation at key decision points during the flight, or when an emergency arises. These decision points include, pre-flight, pre-takeoff, hourly or at the midpoint of the flight, pre-descent, and just prior to the final approach fix or for VFR operations, just prior to entering the traffic pattern.

The 5P’s are based on the idea that the pilots have essentially five variables that impact his or her environment and that can cause the pilot to make a single critical decision, or several less critical decisions, that when added together can create a critical outcome. These variables are the Plan, the Plane, the Pilot, the Passengers, and the Programming. The authors of the FITS concept felt that current decision-making models tended to be reactionary in nature. A change has to occur and be detected to drive a risk management decision by the pilot. For instance, many pilots ascribe to the use of risk management sheets that are filled out by the pilot prior to takeoff. These catalog risks that may be encountered that day and turn them into numerical values. If the total exceeds a certain level, the flight is altered or cancelled. Informal research shows that while these are useful documents for teaching risk factors, they are almost never used outside of formal training programs. The number of pilots who use them before each and every flight approaches zero. The 5P concept is an attempt to take the information contained in those sheets and in the other available models and operationalize it.

The 5P concept relies on the pilot to adopt a “scheduled” review of the critical variables at points in the flight where decisions are most likely to be effective. For instance, the easiest point to cancel a flight due to bad weather is before the pilot and passengers walk out the door and load the aircraft. So the first decision point is Pre-Flight in the flight planning room, where all the information is readily available to make a sound decision, and where communication and FBO services are readily available to make alternate travel plans.

The second easiest point in the flight to make a critical safety decision is just prior to takeoff. Few pilots have ever had to make an “emergency take-off”. While the point of the 5P check is to help you fly, the correct application of the 5P before takeoff is to assist in making a reasoned go-no-go decision based on all the information available. That decision will usually be to “go”, with certain restrictions and changes, but may also be a “no-go”. The key point is that these two points in the process of flying are critical go-no go points on each and every flight.
The third place to review the 5Ps is at the mid point of the flight. Often, pilots may wait until the ATIS is in range to check weather, yet at this point in the flight many good options have already passed behind the aircraft and pilot. Additionally, fatigue and low altitude hypoxia serve to rob the pilot of much of their energy by the end of a long and tiring flight day. This leads to a transition from a decision-making mode to an acceptance mode on the part of the pilot. If the flight is longer than 2 hours, the 5P check should be conducted hourly.

The last two decision points are just prior to decent into the terminal area and just prior to the final approach fix, or if VFR just prior to entering the traffic pattern, as preparations for landing commence. Most pilots execute approaches with the expectation that they will land out of the approach every time. A healthier approach requires the pilot to assume that changing conditions (the 5Ps again) will cause the pilot to divert or execute the missed approach on every approach. This keeps the pilot alert to all manner of conditions that may increase risk and threaten the safe conduct of the flight. Diverting from cruise altitude saves fuel, allows unhurried use of the autopilot, and is less reactive in nature. Diverting from the final approach fix, while more difficult, still allows the pilot to plan and coordinate better, rather than executing a futile missed approach. Now lets look in detail at each of the “Five P’s”.

The Plan

The “Plan” can also be called the mission or the task. It contains the basic elements of cross country planning, weather, route, fuel, publications currency, etc. Unlike risk management sheets that pilot fill out before a flight, the “Plan” should be reviewed and updated several times during the course of the flight. A delayed takeoff due to maintenance, fast moving weather, and a short notice Temporary Flight Restriction (TFR) may all radically alter the plan. Several excellent flight planning software packages are available that automate this process, allowing the pilot additional time to evaluate and make decisions. Some include real time and graphical TFR depictions. The “plan” is not just about the flight plan, but the entire day’s events surrounding the flight and allowing the pilot to accomplish the mission. The plan is always being updated and modified and is especially responsive to changes in the other four remaining P’s. If for no other reason, the 5P check reminds the pilot that the day’s flight plan is real life and subject to change at any time.

Obviously the weather is a huge part of any “plan.” The addition of real time data link weather information give the TAA pilot a real advantage in inclement weather, but only if the pilot is trained to retrieve, and evaluate the weather in real time without sacrificing situational awareness. And of course, weather information should drive a decision, even if that decision is to continue on the current “plan.” Pilots of aircraft without datalink weather should get updated weather in-flight through a Flight Service Station and/or Flight Watch.
The Plane

Both the “plan” and the “plane” are fairly familiar to most pilots. The “plane” consists of the usual array of mechanical and cosmetic issues that every aircraft pilot, owner, or operator can identify. For example, is everything working properly? Is the fuel situation where you expected it to be at that point? Are you using anti-ice equipment? However, with the advent of the Technically Advanced Aircraft (TAA), the “plane” has expanded to include database currency, automation status, and emergency backup systems that were unknown a few years ago. Much has been written about single pilot IFR flight both with, and without, an autopilot. While this is a personal decision, it is just that, a decision. Low IFR in a non-autopilot equipped aircraft may depend on several of the other “P’s” we will discuss. Pilot proficiency, currency, and fatigue are among them. The TAA offers many new capabilities and simplifies the basic flying tasks, but only if the pilot is properly trained and all the equipment is working as advertised.

The Pilot

This is an area all pilots are learning more and more about each day. Flying, especially when used for business transportation, can expose the pilot to high altitude flying, long distance and endurance, and more challenging weather. Technically Advance Aircraft (TAA), simply due to their advanced capabilities can expose a pilot to even more of these stresses. The traditional “IMSAFE” checklist is a good start. However, each of these factors must be taken in consideration of the cumulative effect of all of them together and the insidious effects of low altitude hypoxia. The authors informal survey of TAA pilots show that almost half fly with pulse oxymeters to display the effects of low altitude hypoxia in a graphic manner.

The combination of late night, pilot fatigue, and the effects of sustained flight above 5,000 feet may cause pilots to become less discerning, less critical of information, less decisive and more compliant and accepting. Just as the most critical portion of the flight approaches (for instance a night instrument approach, in the weather, after a four hour flight) the pilot’s guard is down the most. The “5P” process emphasizes that pilot recognize the physiological situation they are placing themselves in at the end of the flight, before they even takeoff, and continue to update their condition as the flight progresses. Once identified, the pilot is in an infinitely better place to make alternate plans that lessen the effect of these factors and provide a safer solution.

The Passengers

One of the key differences between CRM and SRM is the way passengers interact with the pilot. In the airline industry the passengers have entered into a contractual agreement with the pilots company with a clearly defined set of possible outcomes. In corporate aviation, the relationship between crew and passengers is much closer, yet is still governed by a set of operating guidelines and the more formal lines of corporate authority. However, the pilot of a highly capable one engine inoperative aircraft has
entered into a very personal relationship with the passengers, in fact, they sit within an arms reach all of the time.

It may be easy, especially in business travel, for the desire of the passengers to make airline connections or important business meetings to enter into the pilot’s decision-making loop. If this is done in a healthy and open way, it is a very positive thing. However, this is not always the case. For instance, imagine a flight to Dulles Airport and the passengers, both close friends and business partners, need to get to Washington D.C. for an important meeting. The weather is VFR all the way to southern Virginia then turns to low IFR as the pilot approaches Dulles. A pilot employing the 5P approach might consider reserving a rental car at an airport in northern North Carolina or southern Virginia to coincide with a refueling stop. Thus, the passengers have a way to get to Washington, and the pilot has an out to avoid being pressured into continuing the flight if the conditions do not improve.

Passengers can also be pilots. The old joke says that when four Certified Flight Instructors (CFI) board a light general aviation, a NOTAM should be posted. There is some truth to this. If no one is designated as pilot in command and unplanned circumstances arise, the decision-making styles of four self confident CFI’s may come into conflict. Another situation arises when an owner pilot flies with a former CFI in the right seat on a business trip. Unless a clear relationship is defined and briefed prior to the flight, the owner pilot may feel some pressure to perform for the Individual Learning Manager (possibly beyond his or her capability), and the Individual Learning Manager may feel inhibited from intervening in small decisions until it is clearly evident that the pilot is making poor decisions. This is actually a CRM situation and requires clear pre-flight understanding of roles, responsibilities, and communication. Non-Pilots can also cause the pilot to review the SRM process.

Pilots need to understand that non-pilots may not understand the level of risk involved in the flight. There is an element of risk in every flight. That’s why SRM calls it risk management not risk elimination. While a pilot may feel comfortable with the risk present in a night IFR flight, the passengers may not and may manifest this during the flight. The human reaction to fear and uncertainty is as varied as the shapes of our ears. Some become quiet, some talk incessantly, and in extreme cases anger and fear are strongly manifested. This may be the last thing the pilot needs to deal with while shooting the ILS to 400 feet and a mile visibility at midnight.

A pilot employing SRM should ensure that the passengers are involved in the decision-making and given tasks and duties to keep them busy and involved. If, upon a factual description of the risks present, the passengers decide to buy an airline ticket or rent a car, then a good decision has generally been made. This discussion also allows the pilot to move past what he or she “thinks” the passengers want to do and find out what they “actually” want to do. This removes a load of self-induced pressure from the pilot.
The Programming

The TAA adds an entirely new dimension to the way General Aviation aircraft are flown. The Glass Cockpit, GPS, and Autopilot are tremendous boons to reduce pilot workload and increase pilot situational awareness. And frankly, the programming and operation of these devices is fairly simple and straightforward. However, unlike the analog instruments they replace, they tend to capture the pilot’s attention and hold it for long periods of time (like a desktop computer). To avoid this phenomenon, the pilot should plan in advance when and where the programming for approaches, route changes, and airport information gathering should be accomplished...as well as times it should not. Pilot familiarity with the equipment, the route, the local air traffic control environment, and their own capabilities vis-à-vis the automation should drive when, where, and how the automation is programmed and used.

The pilot should also consider what his or her capabilities are in response to last minute changes of the approach (and the reprogramming required) and ability to make large-scale changes (a re-route for instance) while hand flying the aircraft. Since formats are not standardized, simply moving from one manufacturer’s equipment to another should give the pilot pause and require more conservative planning and decisions.

The SRM Decision Process

The SRM process is simple. At least five times, before and during the flight, the pilot should review and consider the “Plan, the Plane, the Pilot, the Passengers, and the Programming” and make the appropriate decision required by the current situation. It is often said that failure to make a decision is a decision. Under SRM and the 5P’s, even the decision to make no changes to the current plan, is made through a careful consideration of all the risk factors present.

Example of Single Pilot Resource Management

The teaching of SRM is best accomplished in a seminar environment. Recently, the authors conducted a set of classroom seminars that presented real time flight scenarios to a room full of qualified pilots of varied experiences. The first scenario presented was a night MVFR/IFR flight from St Augustine Florida to Washington Dulles Airport. The original “Plan” called for a non-stop flight with a 45-minute fuel reserve. The “Plane” was a well-equipped TAA with a minor navigation light problem that delayed departure by an hour. The “Passengers” were one pilot and one non-pilot. The non-pilot seemed nervous about the trip and a little ill. Both passengers needed to get to Washington DC for an important meeting the next day. The “Pilot” had spent a full day at a flight refresher clinic, including a two-hour flight and a three-hour class, and felt reasonably refreshed at the 5 PM departure time. And finally, the GPS/MFD, the “Programming,” combination looked like it would make the flight a snap. However, there were questions about the currency of the database that required the pilot’s attention.
The discussion that followed revolved around the reliability of the weather data, the fatigue of the pilot landing at Dulles at 9 PM, alternate ways to get the passengers to their meeting, minimum requirements for aircraft night flight, and a more complete understanding of the benefits and challenges posed by GPS programming and database currency. The 5p’s ensured that each pilot looked at the entire picture prior to making the critical decisions that would lay the groundwork for success or failure over four hours later in Washington.

Predictably, the destination weather deteriorated slowly as the flight proceeded northbound. The pilot’s fatigue level, low altitude/long duration hypoxia, a succession of minor annoyances caused by the airplane and the passengers, began to become a factor. Again, the pilots applied the 5p’s, and many decided to land short of Washington Dulles, check the weather, and secure a rental car as a backup for the Monday morning meeting (in fact many decided this prior to takeoff).

For the purposes of the discussion, this aircraft was equipped with a ballistic parachute system. For those that proceeded to Dulles, the scenario ended with a spatial disorientation incident at 1500 feet, 10 miles short of the airport caused by pilot fatigue, latent hypoxia, and failure to use the autopilot. For many, it was the first time they had considered all the options available, and the criticality of quick and accurate decisions. In the background, another instructor began calling out altitudes and speeds as the aircraft descended to the ground, providing an added dose of realism and pressure. Should the class initiate an unusual attitude recovery, and if it did not work should they attempt another? How much will the passengers help or hinder the pilots thought processes? When, and how, should the ballistic parachute system be deployed, and what are its limitations. This scenario sparked questions about the capabilities and limitations of the autopilot, cockpit automation, and the parachute system. More importantly, it caused the pilots in the room to examine how they should gather critical information, assess the risks inherent in the flight, and take timely action. All agreed that a few accurate decisions before and during the early part of the flight reduced the risk to pilot and passengers.

All these questions were discussed in a lively thirty-minute session following the scenario. In this type of Scenario Based Training, the group discussion is just as important as the actual situation, for it is during the discussion that the pilots are most ready to learn, and begin to develop a mental model of how they might react to situations. Instead of encountering a once in a lifetime, life or death, situation alone on the proverbial dark and stormy night, the participants could examine how the situation had developed, understand the options available to them, and begin to develop a general plan of action well ahead of time.
Learner Centered Grading

The third component of the FITS training method, following each flight scenario, is to use the concept of "learner-centered grading." Learner centered grading includes two parts: learner self assessment and a detailed debrief by the instructor. The purpose of the self assessment is to stimulate growth in the learner’s thought processes and, in turn, behaviors. The self-assessment is followed by an in-depth discussion between the instructor and the pilot in training which compares the instructor ratings to the pilot in training's self-assessment.

To improve learning, it is recommended that learners prepare to learn from their experiences both before and after key events. This preparation should increase learning and enhance future performance. Pre-briefs are essential for setting goals. During key events, especially those that require high levels of attention, there may be little time for learning; most individuals allocate the bulk of their cognitive resources to performing the actual task; however, they may also dedicate some cognitive resources to self-monitoring, learning, and correction.

How facilitation and feedback occur is important to the learning process. In order for feedback to be useful for both informational and motivational purposes, it should be designed systematically. For example, the facilitator (Flight Instructor) should avoid lecturing the learner, and should withhold their observations and opinions of the exercise until the learner has given their opinion. The use of closed-ended questions may stymie the usefulness of the feedback process as well, as they encourage one-word/yes/no types of answers that do not elicit opinions of performance or suggestions for improvement. It is more effective to use open-ended questions that probe the learner to assess their own performance. Alloting enough time for the feedback is also important. Debriefs that are rushed often turn into one-way “lectures” due to time constraints.

Referring to prior pre-briefs when conducting subsequent debriefs provides a sense of continuity, reliability, and consistency, all of which are desirable attributes of a feedback source. Reminding learners of goals and lessons learned from prior exercises helps them plan for future events. Learners may also be more receptive to feedback during a debrief if they were appraised of the goal criteria in a pre-brief.

The FITS approach utilizes scenarios to teach Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM) while simultaneously teaching individual tasks such as landings and takeoffs. The authors quickly realized that this required a new approach to the pilot in training’s performance measurement. Traditional grading approaches are generally teacher centered and measure performance against an empirical standard. The following example of a traditional flight syllabus demonstrates.
Table 4: A Traditional Grading Scale

- **Excellent** - the pilot in training has performed in an excellent manner
- **Good** – the pilot in training has exceeded basic requirements
- **Satisfactory** – the pilot in training has met basic standards
- **Marginal** – the pilot in training has failed to perform the task standards
- **Unsatisfactory** – the pilot in training has demonstrated significant performance difficulties

Table 5: A Traditional Lesson

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesson Tasks</th>
<th>Lesson Sub Tasks</th>
<th>Lesson Grading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight and Balance and Aircraft Performance Calculations</td>
<td>U, M, S, G, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Preflight and Cockpit Procedures</td>
<td>Normal Pre-Takeoff Checklist Procedures</td>
<td>U, M, S, G, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPS/Avionics Programming</td>
<td>U, M, S, G, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MFD /PFD Setup</td>
<td>U, M, S, G, E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This type of grading scale (See Table 4), or something similar, is in wide use throughout the aviation training industry. While it appears to be based on published standards, in reality it is often used as a tool to determine pilot in training progress and provide motivation. Thus, on the first lesson a pilot in training may receive an “Excellent” grade for attempting to plan the flight and accomplishing the weight and balance with a few minor errors. However, by the third flight, that same performance may only earn a “Satisfactory” grade due to lack of pilot in training progress (*note that while performance remained the same, the grade changed*). Additionally, the Flight Instructor awards the grade based on his or her observation of the pilot in training's performance. This observation, while accurate, may not be based on an understanding of the pilot in training’s level of knowledge and understanding of the task. Lastly, the pilot in training has been conditioned since grade school to look at grades as a reward for performance and may feel that there is a link between grades earned and their self-esteem. In reality, none of this aids pilot in training performance in any meaningful way.

The learner centered grading approach addresses the above concerns. First, the grade is now a “Desired Scenario Outcome.” These outcomes describe pilot in training-learning behavior in readily identifiable and measurable terms. They reflect the pilot in training’s ability to see, understand, and apply the skills and tasks that are learned to the scenario.
For instance, a pilot in training who can “explain” a successful landing has achieved the basic level of competence to begin the learning process. Once the pilot in training can “explain” the effect of crosswind and speed reduction on rudder effectiveness, they have achieved a level of learning that will allow for meaningful “Practice.” The “Perform” level denotes unsupervised practice and self-correction of errors. These grades are equally applicable to the first scenario to the last since they are not lesson dependent.

The grade of “Manage/Decide” is used solely for SRM grading and the grade of “Perform” is used solely for task grading. A pilot in training who is becoming proficient at aeronautical decision-making and risk management would be graded first at the “Explain” level, then at the “Practice”, and finally at the “Manage/Decide” level. A Manage/Decide or Perform grade does not describe perfection. Rather, these grades simply show a proficient pilot who corrects their own errors so that the outcome of the flight is never in doubt. Realistically, this is the performance level we desire. All pilots make mistakes, it is in learning to identify and correct mistakes that they become proficient pilots.

Desired Outcomes

The objective of scenario-based training is a change in the thought processes, habits, and behaviors of the pilot in training during the planning and execution of the scenario. Since the training is learner centered, the success of the training is measured in the following desired pilot in training outcomes.

(a) Maneuver Grades (Tasks)

- Describe – at the completion of the scenario, the PT will be able to describe the physical characteristics and cognitive elements of the scenario activities. Instructor assistance is required to successfully execute the maneuver.

- Explain – at the completion of the scenario the PT will be able to describe the scenario activity and understand the underlying concepts, principles, and procedures that comprise the activity. Significant instructor effort will be required to successfully execute the maneuver.

- Practice – at the completion of the scenario the pilot in training will be able to plan and execute the scenario. Coaching, instruction, and/or assistance from the CFI will correct deviations and errors identified by the CFI.

- Perform – at the completion of the scenario, the PT will be able to perform the activity without assistance from the CFI. Errors and deviations will be identified and corrected by the PT in an expeditious manner. At no time will the successful completion of the activity be in doubt. (“Perform” will be used to signify that the PT is satisfactorily demonstrating proficiency in traditional piloting and systems operation skills)

- Not Observed – Any event not accomplished or required
(b) Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM) Grades

- Explain – the pilot in training can verbally identify, describe, and understand the risks inherent in the flight scenario. The pilot in training will need to be prompted to identify risks and make decisions.

- Practice – the pilot in training is able to identify, understand, and apply SRM principles to the actual flight situation. Coaching, instruction, and/or assistance from the CFI will quickly correct minor deviations and errors identified by the CFI. The pilot in training will be an active decision maker.

- Manage/Decide - the pilot in training can correctly gather the most important data available both within and outside the cockpit, identify possible courses of action, evaluate the risk inherent in each course of action, and make the appropriate decision. Instructor intervention is not required for the safe completion of the flight.

- Not Observed – Any event not accomplished or required

Grading will be conducted independently by the pilot in training and the instructor, and then compared during the post flight critique.

Learner centered grading (outcomes assessment) is a vital part of the FITS concept. Previous syllabi and curriculum have depended on a grading scale designed to maximize pilot in training management and ease of instructor use. Thus the traditional: “excellent, good, fair, poor” or “exceeds standards, meets standards, needs more training” often meet the instructor’s needs but not the needs of the pilot in training. The learner centered grading described above is a way for the instructor and pilot in training to determine the pilot in training’s level of knowledge and understanding. “Perform” is used to describe proficiency in a skill item such as an approach or landing. “Manage-Decide” is used to describe proficiency in the SRM area such as ADM. Describe, explain, and practice are used to describe pilot in training learning levels below proficiency in both.

Grading should be progressive. During each flight, the pilot in training should achieve a new level of learning (e.g. flight one, the automation management area, might be a “describe” item by flight three a “practice” item, and by flight five a “manage-decide” item.

An Example of Learner Centered Grading

Immediately after landing, and before beginning the critique, Flight Instructor Linda asks her pilot in training Brian to grade his performance for the day. Being asked to grade himself is a new experience but he goes along with it. The flight scenario had been a two-leg IFR scenario to a busy class B airport about 60 miles to the east. Brian had felt he had done well in keeping up with programming the GPS and the MFD until he reached the approach phase. He had attempted to program the ILS for runway 7L and had actually flown part of the approach until ATC asked him to execute a missed approach.
When he went to place a grade in that block he noticed that the grades were different. Instead of satisfactory or unsatisfactory he found, “Describe, Explain, Practice, and Perform”. He decided he was at the Perform level since he had not made any mistakes.

When Linda returned Brian discovered that she had graded his flight as well, with a similar grade sheet. Most of their grades appeared to match until the item labeled “programming the approach”. Here, where he had placed a “Perform” Linda had placed a “Explain”. This immediately sparked a discussion. As it turned out, Brian had selected the correct approach, but he had not activated it. Before Linda could intervene, traffic dictated a go around. Her explain grade told Brian that he did not really understand how the GPS worked and he agreed. Now, learning could occur.

In Table 6 on the following page, the desired outcome table denotes a pilot in training near the beginning of training and the grades reflect proficiency of the pilot in training to an expected level of performance in each of these areas. These grades are not self-esteem related since they do not describe a recognized level of prestige (such as A+ or “Outstanding”), rather a level of performance. You can't flunk a lesson. However, you can fail to demonstrate the required flight and SRM skills. By reflecting on the lesson and grading their own performance, the pilot in training becomes actively involved in the critique process. Pilot in training participation in the process also reduces the self-esteem issue. But most importantly, this establishes the habit of healthy reflection and self-criticism that marks most competent pilots.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Activities</th>
<th>Scenario Sub Activities</th>
<th>Desired Scenario Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Flight Planning                     | 1. Scenario Planning  
2. Weight and Balance and Aircraft Performance Calculations  
3. Preflight SRM Briefing  
4. Decision making and Risk Management | 1. Perform  
2. Perform  
3. Perform  
4. Explain/Practice |
| Normal Preflight and Cockpit procedures | 1. Normal Pre-Takeoff Checklist Procedures  
2. GPS Programming  
3. MFD Setup  
4. PFD Setup | 1. Perform  
2. Explain/Practice  
3. Practice  
4. Explain/Practice |
| Engine Start and Taxi Procedures    | 1. Engine Start  
2. Taxi  
3. SRM/Situational Awareness | 1. Perform  
2. Perform  
3. Explain/Practice |
| Before Takeoff Checks               | 1. Normal and Abnormal Indications  
2. Aircraft Automation Management  
3. Aeronautical Decision Making and Risk Management | 1. Perform  
2. Explain/Practice  
3. Manage/Decide |
FITS GPS Moving Map Display Master Syllabus

Goal
The goal of GPS Training is to help insure the PT can safely, efficiently, and effectively operate their specific type of GPS navigation equipment and use it to the fullest extent possible while performing flight operations appropriate to their certificate and type of flight operations.

Master Syllabus
This document, the Master Syllabus, is a general outline of the items to be included in the ground and flight training of pilots learning to use GPS navigation equipment with moving map displays. The Master Syllabus should be used by manufacturers, training companies, or flight instructors to develop a syllabus for specific models of GPS navigation equipment. The intended recipients of this training are those pilots who are equipping their aircraft with GPS navigation equipment that has a moving map display.

Transition Guides
A GPS Training Guide is written for a specific make and model of GPS navigation equipment and is based on the Master Syllabus. It may be produced by any person or company, such as a Certificated Flight Instructor (CFI), training organization, manufacturer, or aviation publisher. Because sequence of training may need to be altered to accommodate individual progress or special circumstances, the training syllabus should be flexible. As technical complexity varies among specific GPS equipment makes and models, those who develop GPS Training Guides may find it necessary to expand upon the information described in the Master Syllabus. If the prescribed sequence of training is changed, it is the responsibility of the curriculum developer to make sure that all necessary training is accomplished.

Standards
In every airplane system there are limitations based on two factors:

1. The absolute capability of the equipment to perform a particular function and;
2. The individual pilot’s ability to use that equipment.

Effective training and experience can enable safe and effective operation of an specific type of navigation equipment within these limitations. Some makes and models of GPS navigation systems are more complex than others and require a higher level of skill and interpretation. Pilot skills and knowledge of GPS navigation with a pilot’s total flight time, time-in-type, and recent flight training or experience. Pilots, therefore, must be trained to recognize their personal limitations as well as that of the particular make and model of GPS equipment.
Throughout the ground school and flight curriculum, emphasis should be placed on operating within airplane and pilot limitations. Risk management and decision-making skills (also referred to as Single Pilot Resource Management (SRM)) should be consistently integrated into each scenario. A discussion of limitations, as they apply to the pilot’s experience level, and with reference to potential problem areas, will enhance the decision process. GPS Training Guides should include discussions of equipment limitations, operation of the specific equipment, and how to use the equipment in realistic situations.

Ground Training
The ground-based segments of the syllabus are an integral part of the SBT course and should be mastered prior to the in-flight training experience. The pilot-in-training (PT) should demonstrate, through written and oral review, the knowledge to safely operate the specific GPS equipment using the operating handbook or other guidelines. The CFI will discuss each incorrect response with the pilot to ensure complete understanding. The instructor must integrate SRM concepts and techniques in each of these discussions.

Flight Training
Each flight-training lesson consists of a highly scripted scenario. The first two scenarios focus on the PT learning to use the equipment and the third is where the PT demonstrated competence in both VFR and IFR scenarios. If the PT is receiving training for VFR use only, then the lesson on using the equipment in IFR settings need not be accomplished and the final scenario should focus only on those skills needed for VFR operations. The instructor and student should use the scenario as a “.lesson plan.” The intent is for the student to study the lesson script, prepare a scenario plan, and brief it as part of the preflight preparation.

The pilot-in-training should demonstrate the necessary skill and experience required for the specific equipment. Operations using the equipment must be accomplished within the tolerances specified in the Practical Test Standards appropriate to the pilot’s airmen certificate.
Scenario: You and your brother are planning on flying to a nearby city in order to tour a famous museum. However, your brother lives about 50 miles away from you, so you decide to pick him up at his local airport on the way to the museum. The plan is to fly to the museum airport where a friend will meet you and your brother, then take you both to the museum. After the tour of the museum, you fly back to your original airport.

Lesson Objectives: The Pilot in Training (PT) will plan a 3-leg VFR cross-country flight, to include a full stop landing at two airports other than the departure airport, and return to the airport of origin.

The PT will describe his/her approach to management of avionics and the specific risks involved in this flight. The Instructor will provide the necessary guidance to insure that the plan provides for all the scenario activities and sub-activities listed for this lesson. The PT is evaluated on the ability to plan a comprehensive flight with conscious attention to all the required scenario activities.

The PT will practice all GPS preflight and set-up functions, program the flight plan, and the check the different modes of the avionics for each leg of the scenario. This will include appropriately setting the moving map display, checking the accuracy of the flight plan programming, and an effective pre-takeoff briefing. The PT should practice determining the system status and currency.

These Preflight activities will be accomplished prior to takeoff for each leg of the flight.

Pre-Flight Briefing Procedures: The instructor will discuss the objective of the lesson and determine whether the student is adequately prepared for the activity. Each line item will be briefly covered and the student should have a clear understanding of how the training activity will be conducted and what standards will be expected of them.

Before initiating this flight, the PT will have completed a quiz on normal operating procedures, aircraft systems, and avionics corrected to 100%. The PT will also have reviewed the following:

a. Normal operating procedures in the operating handbook
b. A worksheet on VFR functions and operations
c. Airport information for departure and destination airports.
d. Route of flight information for both trips.
e. Aircraft and avionics systems display and procedures.

Completion Standards: The PT will demonstrate basic knowledge and proficiency in using the GPS navigation system installed in the aircraft in normal and emergency situations.
Prior to this first flight, the PT will also have completed a pre-training packet corrected to 100%. PT’s should have a clear understanding of the Pilot in Command concept and how command is transferred. This should include a detailed pre-takeoff briefing procedure and format. Basic pre-flight, in-flight decision making, risk management, mid-air collision avoidance procedures, and taxi procedures should also be understood by the PT.

**Desired Outcome Grading Sheet:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Activities</th>
<th>Scenario Sub Activities</th>
<th>Desired PT Scenario Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Flight Planning             | 1. Scenario Planning  
2. Preflight SRM briefing  
3. Decision making and risk management  
4. GPS operations appropriate for flight scenario  
5. GPS system modes  
6. System messages          | 1. Explain  
2. Explain  
3. Explain  
4. Explain  
5. Explain  
6. Explain |
| Normal Preflight and Cockpit procedures | 1. GPS initialize and status  
2. GPS setup  
3. GPS programming  
4. Datacard check/update  
5. COMM/NAV functions | 1. Explain/Practice  
2. Explain/Practice  
3. Explain/Practice  
4. Explain/Practice  
5. Explain/Practice |
| Engine Start and Taxi Procedures | SRM/Situational Awareness during taxi with GPS | Practice |
| Before Takeoff Checks       | Setting GPS and Nav indicators for departure                                           | Practice |
| Climb procedures            | 1. Navigation programming  
2. Situational Awareness, Task Management, and ADM | 1. Practice  
2. Explain |
| Cruise Procedures | 1. Flying flight planned route  
2. Direct-To functions  
3. In-flight navigation programming  
4. Task Management, SA, and ADM | 1. Practice  
2. Practice  
3. Explain/Practice  
4. Practice |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| GPS Operation and Programming | VFR  
a. Direct-To  
b. Nearest Airport/ARTCC/FSS information  
c. Airspace depiction and interpretation  
d. Flight plan changes  
e. Entering waypoints  
f. Panning/Changing display ranges and features  
g. Identification of failure modes | Explain/Practice |
| Descent Planning and Execution | 1. Airport Information, including runways and frequencies, if so equipped.  
2. Airport arrival and traffic pattern entry planning  
3. Navigation programming for arrival | 1. Explain  
2. Practice  
3. Practice |
| Landing | 1. Before landing procedures  
2. ADM and SA during taxi operations | 1. Practice  
2. Practice |
| Aircraft Shutdown and Securing procedure | Avionics shutdown | Practice |
Post-Flight Procedures: Solicit a self-critique from the Pilot in Training about their personal performance by having them grade their performance based on the desired outcomes for the flight. Compare the Pilot in Training’s self evaluation to your own and discuss why you either agreed or disagreed with the Pilot in Training’s assessment. Use this information to direct your analysis of the flight. Additionally, discuss the role SRM played in the training activity and why it is critical to always consider how a flight or a situation could have been better managed to achieve the optimal outcome. Provide guidance on what the tasks and objectives will be for the next training activity and how the Pilot in Training should prepare for it.

Notes to the Instructor: To enhance the PT’s ability to focus on GPS operation, the instructor may wish to fly the airplane when the PT is performing an operation for the first time. This will allow the PT to focus his/her attention on the equipment without being distracted by having to fly the airplane. After the initial performance of the task, the PT should then practice the operation while flying the aircraft.

Leg 1 (Outbound flight)
The PT will perform a normal takeoff and departure to a safe altitude. When established in the departure the autopilot will be engaged. Basic GPS functions will all be practiced during cruise, descent and normal landing phase of the flight. The VFR pilot will perform a normal descent and pattern transition followed by a normal approach and landing to a full stop. Experience has shown that this first leg should be kept very simple to allow the pilot to get more comfortable with operating the equipment.

Leg 2 (Outbound flight 2)
A different route will be programmed into the GPS flight plan for the second leg of the trip. During this leg, course diversions and nearest airport and facilities functions should be practiced. The PT should practice displaying and interpreting airspace, nav-aids, and airport information as available on the particular equipment. If practical, the PT should perform an actual diversion in VFR conditions to a destination other than the original destination for this leg.

Leg 3 (Return Flight)
A different route will be programmed into the GPS for the return trip. After the aircraft is established in cruise the PT will perform all the necessary functions of the GPS equipment, including any weather or traffic displays if so equipped. Any remaining functions that have not been previously covered on the first two legs should be covered, as well as any operations the PT may need additional practice performing.
LESSON 2

Scenario: You and a friend are planning on flying to a nearby city in order to watch a professional football game. Your friend lives about 50 miles away from you, so you decide to pick him up at his local airport on the way to the football game. The plan is to fly to the football game airport, watch the game, then fly back to your original airport.

Lesson Objectives: The PT will plan a 3-leg IFR, actual or simulated, cross-country flight, to include a full stop landing at two airports other than the departure airport, and return to the airport of origin. The PT will practice IFR operations using the GPS with a moving map display.

The PT will describe his/her approach to management of avionics and the specific risks involved in this flight. The Instructor will provide the necessary guidance to insure that the plan provides for all the scenario activities and sub-activities listed for this lesson. The PT is evaluated on the ability to plan a comprehensive flight with conscious attention to all the required scenario activities.

The PT will perform all avionics set-up, flight plan programming, and the different modes of the avionics for each leg of the scenario. This will include flight plan programming for the flight as well as GPS setup and an effective pre-takeoff briefing.

These Preflight activities will be accomplished prior to takeoff for each leg of the flight.

Pre-Flight Briefing Procedures: The instructor will discuss the objective of the lesson and determine whether the student is adequately prepared for the activity. Each line item will be briefly covered and the student should have a clear understanding of how the training activity will be conducted and what standards will be expected of them.

Before initiating this flight, the PT will have completed a quiz on normal operating procedures, aircraft systems, and avionics corrected to 100%. The PT will also have reviewed the following:

- Normal operating procedures in the operating handbook
- A worksheet on VFR functions and operations
- Airport information for departure and destination airports.
- Route of flight information for both trips.
- Aircraft and avionics systems display and procedures.

Completion Standards: The PT will demonstrate basic knowledge and proficiency in using the GPS navigation system installed in the aircraft in normal and emergency situations.
Prior to this flight, the PT will also have completed a pre-training packet corrected to 100% (proctored by the training provider. PT’s should have a clear understanding of the Pilot in Command concept and how command is transferred. This should include a detailed pre-takeoff briefing procedure and format. Basic pre-flight, in-flight decision making, risk management, mid-air collision avoidance procedures, and taxi procedures should also be understood by the PT.

Note: A view-limiting device is required for this lesson.

**Desired Outcome Grading Sheet:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Activities</th>
<th>Scenario Sub Activities</th>
<th>Desired PT Scenario Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flight Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Scenario planning</td>
<td>1. Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Preflight SRM</td>
<td>2. Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>briefing</td>
<td>3. Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Decision Making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and Risk Management</td>
<td>4. Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. GPS operations</td>
<td>5. Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. GPS system Modes</td>
<td>6. Explain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. System messages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Preflight and Cockpit procedures</td>
<td>1. GPS initialize and status</td>
<td>1. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. GPS setup</td>
<td>2. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. GPS programming</td>
<td>3. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Datacard check/update</td>
<td>4. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. COMM/NAV functions</td>
<td>5. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Start and Taxi Procedures</td>
<td>SRM/Situational Awareness during taxi operations</td>
<td>Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Takeoff Checks</td>
<td>Setting GPS and Nav indicators for departure</td>
<td>Explain/Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takeoff</td>
<td>Instrument departure procedures</td>
<td>Explain/Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise Procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Navigation programming</td>
<td>1. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Task Management, SA, and ADM</td>
<td>2. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS Operation and Programming</td>
<td>IFR</td>
<td>Explain/Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Direct-To</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Nearest airport information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. VOR/NDB information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Approach select</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Flight plan changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Changing display and features on moving map.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avionics Operation</th>
<th>1. GPS Normal Operation</th>
<th>1. Explain/Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Setup pages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Navigation mode</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Checklist mode</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. COM radio operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. NAV radio operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Identification of failure modes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Descent Planning and Execution</th>
<th>1. Airport information</th>
<th>1. Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Approach selection</td>
<td>2. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. GPS holding</td>
<td>4. Practice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument Approach procedures (IFR Rated Pilot)</th>
<th>1. GPS transition to an ILS</th>
<th>1. Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. GPS transition to a non-GPS non-precision approach</td>
<td>2. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. GPS approaches, full and vectors</td>
<td>3. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Missed approach</td>
<td>4. Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. STAR procedures</td>
<td>5. Practice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Post-Flight Procedures:** Solicit a self-critique from the Pilot in Training about their personal performance by having them grade their performance based on the desired outcomes for the flight. Compare the Pilot in Training’s self evaluation to your own and discuss why you either agreed or disagreed with the Pilot in Training’s assessment. Use this information to direct your analysis of the flight. Additionally, discuss the role SRM played in the training activity and why it is critical to always consider how a flight or a situation could have been better managed to achieve the optimal outcome. Provide guidance on what the tasks and objectives will be for the next training activity and how the Pilot in Training should prepare for it.

**Notes to the Instructor:** At some point during the flight, the PT should practice a GPS transition to an ILS or a non-GPS non-precision approach, either a VOR, NDB, or LOC type approach. Also, on one segment, the PT should practice programming, entering, and leaving a holding pattern using GPS navigation. Also, the PT should practice at least one missed approach using the GPS for navigation during the missed approach and re-programming the equipment for and executing a second approach. These items are not specific for any one of the three legs as the airports and approaches available will vary by location, weather, and other factors.

**Leg 1 (Outbound flight)**
The PT will perform a normal takeoff and departure in accordance with the IFR clearance, either actual or simulated. If the GPS equipment allows for the programming of departure procedures, the PT should practice using this function. If the airplane has an autopilot, it’s use should be encouraged so the PT can concentrate on practicing GPS programming and use of it’s functions. These functions will be practiced during cruise, descent and normal landing phase of the flight. The IFR pilot will perform a normal descent and transition from the enroute phase to a GPS instrument approach and landing to a full stop. Experience has shown that this first leg should be kept very simple to allow the pilot to get more comfortable with the GPS equipment.
**Leg 2 (Outbound flight 2)**
A different route will be programmed into the GPS flight plan for the second leg of the trip. The PT will use the moving map/GPS to proceed to the destination and will perform a descent and transition to an instrument approach and full stop. During this leg, the PT should practice programming the approach, then canceling it and programming another approach, simulating a change that might be given by ATC. This could be as simple as programming the approach based on an expected transition, then changing it to a vectors-to-final approach.

**Leg 3 (Return Flight)**
A different route will be programmed into the GPS for the return trip. The PT will practice an in-flight change to the programmed flight plan route, execute the routing change, and proceed to the new destination and execute a GPS approach.
LESSON 3

Scenario: You have made plans to make a late afternoon flight to a city close by to meet a friend for dinner. Your friend has agreed to pick you up at the airport at 5:00 sharp, and must return to work no later than 8:00. Weather for the route is 4000 broken with isolated rain showers. When you look out the window, the sun is shining through the clouds here and there across the whole area, with some scattered areas of virga, and the winds are out of the south at 10 kts. There are no NOTAMS affecting your flight.

Lesson Objectives: The PT will plan a cross-country flight to a different airport and return to the originating airport with little or no assistance from the instructor. The flight will consist of two legs, one operating under VFR and the other operating under IFR, actual or simulated. The flight profile will include GPS/Moving Map Operations in VFR and IFR operations. The choice of which leg should be VFR and IFR will be decided based on the routes and airports used and the available of instrument approaches at those airports.

Pre-Flight Briefing Procedures: The instructor will discuss the objective of the lesson and determine whether the student is adequately prepared for the activity. Each line item will be briefly covered and the student should have a clear understanding of how the training activity will be conducted and what standards will be expected of them.

Before initiating this flight, the PT will have completed a worksheet on Abnormal & Emergency Procedures and completed a progress Quiz on the material to be covered that has been corrected to 100%. The PT will also have reviewed the following:

a. GPS/Moving Map Display
b. Flight profile
c. Command transfer and pre-takeoff briefing Decision making, risk management
d. Automation/task management
e. Situational Awareness
f. Mid-air collision avoidance procedures
g. Appropriate NOTAMS
h. Airport diagrams and taxi procedures
i. Emergency procedures.

Completion Standards: The PT will demonstrate knowledge and skill level appropriate and demonstrate proficiency in GPS/Moving Map Display procedures in both VFR and IFR operations (VFR only if appropriate). The PT will be evaluated at the performance and manage/decide level of proficiency in all operations. If the PT is not instrument rated, only the VFR operations will be evaluated.

Note: A view-limiting device is required for this lesson.
**Desired Outcome Grading Sheet:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario Activities</th>
<th>Scenario Sub Activities</th>
<th>Desired PT Scenario Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flight Planning</td>
<td>1. Scenario planning</td>
<td>1. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Preflight SRM briefing</td>
<td>2. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. GPS operations</td>
<td>4. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. GPS system modes</td>
<td>5. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal Preflight and Cockpit procedures</td>
<td>1. GPS initialize and status</td>
<td>1. Perform/Manage/Decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. GPS setup</td>
<td>2. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. GPS programming</td>
<td>3. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Datacard check/update</td>
<td>4. Perform/Manage/Decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. COMM/NAV functions</td>
<td>5. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine Start and Taxi Procedures</td>
<td>SRM situational awareness during taxi operations</td>
<td>Manage/Decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before Takeoff Checks</td>
<td>Setting GPS and Nav indicators for departure</td>
<td>Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takeoff</td>
<td>IFR departure procedures</td>
<td>Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climb procedures</td>
<td>1. Navigation programming</td>
<td>1. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Situational Awareness, Task Management, and ADM</td>
<td>2. Manage/Decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cruise Procedures</td>
<td>Navigation programming</td>
<td>Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS Operation and Programming</td>
<td>1. Vfr</td>
<td>1. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Direct-to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b. Nearest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c. Airport/ARTCC/FSS information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d. Airspace depiction and interpretation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>e. Flight plan changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f. Entering waypoints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Avionics Operation | 1. GPS normal operation  
|                   |   a. Setup pages  
|                   |   b. Navigation mode  
|                   |   c. Checklist mode  
|                   | 2. Com radio operations  
|                   | 3. Nav radio operations  
|                   | 4. Identification of failure modes  |
| Descent Planning and Execution | 1. Airport Information, including runways and frequencies, if so equipped.  
|                                | 2. Airport arrival and traffic pattern entry planning  
|                                | 3. Navigation programming for arrival  
|                                | 4. Approach select  
|                                | 5. GPS holding  |
| Instrument Approach procedures (IFR Rated Pilot) | 1. GPS transition to an ILS  
|                                                          | 2. GPS transition to a non-GPS non-precision approach  
|                                                          | 3. GPS Approaches, full and vectors  
|                                                          | 4. Missed approach  |
5. **STAR Procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landing</th>
<th>5. STAR Procedures</th>
<th>5. Perform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Before landing</td>
<td>1. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Instrument</td>
<td>2. Perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Landing Transition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. ADM and SA</td>
<td>4. Manage/Decide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>During Taxi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Aircraft Shutdown and           | Avionics shutdown | Perform |
| SECuring procedure              |                    |          |

**Post-Flight Procedures:** Solicit a self-critique from the Pilot in Training about their personal performance by having them grade their performance based on the desired outcomes for the flight. Compare the Pilot in Training’s self evaluation to your own and discuss why you either agreed or disagreed with the Pilot in Training’s assessment. Use this information to direct your analysis of the flight. Additionally, discuss the role SRM played in the training activity and why it is critical to always consider how a flight or a situation could have been better managed to achieve the optimal outcome. Provide guidance on what the tasks and objectives will be for the next training activity and how the Pilot in Training should prepare for it.

**Notes to the Instructor:** While this scenario has Leg 1 as the VFR leg and Leg 2 as the IFR leg, these can be reversed or otherwise modified to accommodate the actual airports and approaches available.

**Leg 1 (Outbound Flight)**
The PT will perform a normal takeoff and departure to a safe altitude. When the PT is established in the departure necessary avionics and GPS/Moving Map Display functions will all be performed during cruise, descent and normal landing phase of the flight. The VFR pilot will perform a normal descent and pattern transition followed by a normal approach and landing to a full stop.

**Leg 2 (Return Flight)**
The PT will perform a normal takeoff and departure to a safe altitude. When the PT established in the departure avionics and GPS/Moving Map Display functions will all be performed during cruise, descent and normal landing phase of the flight. The IFR pilot will perform a normal descent and pattern transition followed by an instrument approach and landing to a full stop.
### FITS Master Learning Outcomes List

#### MFD 01
**GPS/Moving Map Equipment Operation**

**Lesson Objective:** The student will demonstrate mastery of MFD equipment functions, the use of all available information depicted by the equipment for enhanced situational awareness, traffic identification and collision avoidance, planning, weather awareness, SRM, and Aeronautical Decision Making.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The training task is:</td>
<td>The training is conducted during:</td>
<td>The pilot in training will:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Overview of GPS/Moving Map System and Equipment Requirements**
   - Pre-arrival eLearning, home study course, or classroom training
   - **a)** Be able to explain the major components of a general aviation GPS/Moving Map Display system and how they work together.
   - **b)** Be able to explain the operation of the equipment installed on the training airplane.
   - **c)** Be able to explain the different modes, functions, features, and display options of the equipment.
   - **d)** Be able to explain the capabilities of the equipment with respect to VFR and IFR operations, the limitations associated with those operations, and what types of operations the equipment is certified to conduct.

2. **GPS Equipment Operation**
   - **a)** Pre-arrival eLearning, home study, or classroom training
   - **b)** Simulator, training device, or static airplane
   - **c)** In all phases of flight
   - **a)** Locate and be able to change the data card for the unit.
   - **b)** Turn on the equipment, monitor system initialization, resolve any messages, and decide if the system is functional and current.
   - **c)** Be able to operate all menu functions via the function keys, soft or “smart” keys, or other input devices.
   - **d)** Change the range settings, change the map orientation, and be able to add and remove information from the display.
   - **e)** Identification of failure modes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Preflight Planning</th>
<th>a) Pre-arrival eLearning, home study course, or classroom training</th>
<th>a) Be able to determine the system status and decide if the unit is operating satisfactorily for the intended operation. This can include RAIM predictions and WAAS integrity if so equipped.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Pre-Flight Planning</td>
<td>b) Be able to decide if the data card is current and appropriate for the equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Takeoff and Departure, Enroute, and Arrival Operations, with emphasis on SRM, ADM and Risk Management</td>
<td>a) Pre-arrival eLearning, home study course, or classroom training</td>
<td>a) Accurately program a complete flight plan into the system and be able to select a previously stored flight plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Simulator, training device, or static airplane</td>
<td>b) Activate the appropriate flight plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) In all phases of flight</td>
<td>c) Use the system to fly a departure from the airport and get established on the planned flight in both VFR and IFR operations. make adjustments to route of flight based on any changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d) Select the appropriate map settings, ranges, and features appropriate for all phases of flight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e) Program course diversions or re-routes into the system, including appropriate use of the “direct-to” function, and “nearest” function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f) Select and activate instrument approach procedures, including approaches with procedure turns, transition fixes, and via vectors to final.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>g) Perform holding using GPS navigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>h) Perform missed approaches using GPS navigation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>i) Cancel and reselect a different approach.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>j) Use the moving map display to plan arrivals into unfamiliar airports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>k) Use information to maintain situational</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
awareness during taxi and other ground operations to avoid runway/taxiway incursions.

l) Explain the limitations of GPS in all of the above operations.